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THE COURT USHER: [9:04:16] All rise.

The International Criminal Court is now in session.

Please be seated.
PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [9:04:39] Good morning. Good morning, everyone. Welcome back to the courtroom.

Welcome again, Mr Witness.
I think the first order of the day is we're starting with open session.
And, Mr Prosecutor, what is your wish?
MR BLACK: [9:05:06] Yes, your Honour, we can remain in open session. PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [9:05:08] Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Mr Prosecutor.

MR BLACK: [9:05:12] And before we start the witness, I believe lead counsel may have a couple of issues to raise.

MR DUTERTRE: [9:05:21] (Interpretation) Good morning, Mr President, good morning, your Honours. Two very quick points: The first being that the court usher has informed us that so far we have used 4 hours 38 of the 12 hours that were allotted to
us. And the second point is that this morning I distributed to those in the courtroom, Chamber, Defence and Victims, a copy of the interactive presentation that I made yesterday. Thank you, Mr President. PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [9:05:59] Thank you. We note that, Counsel. MR BLACK: [9:06:04] May I proceed, your Honour. PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [9:06:06] Yes, please. MR BLACK: [9:06:08] Thank you very much.

Before we restart the questions if I could, with the usher's assistance, hand back to the witness the same binder he had at the witness stand yesterday.

Thank you, sir.
WITNESS: MLI-OTP-P-0182 (On former oath)
QUESTIONED BY MR BLACK: (Continuing)
Q. [9:06:34] Good morning, Witness.
A. [9:06:35] Good morning.
Q. [9:06:36] Thank you for being here this morning. As you recall yesterday we discussed a number of topics, including some information about the armed groups and the institutions and primarily the investigation that you and your team conducted. Our last topic, we began to discuss the September 2015 interview of Mr Al Mahdi which you led.

This morning I'm going to ask you to look at some specific passages from the transcript of that interview. And you can find the entire transcript at tab 7 to 34 of your binder. Now that's more than 800 pages of transcript, as you know. Obviously I won't ask you about everything in the interview, instead I'll pick just a very few select portions.

In some instances -- well, in fact most of the time I'll try to put the most relevant part of the transcript on the screen so the public can follow along, but I think for you it would be
best to try to follow in the transcript. And sometimes in fact I'll ask you to look at three or four pages and it will be easier for you on the hard copy than on the screen. Does that seem to be a good way to proceed?
A. [9:07:59] That's good. Thank you.
Q. [9:08:02] And for the court officer, just a reminder we'll be using the laptop so I think we need evidence 2 for the broadcast.

Thank you.
Witness, please turn first to tab 10 of the binder. And we'll start at the bottom of page MLI-OTP-0037-0362. And when I refer to pages in the transcript I'll just use the last four digits of the ERN usually.

And if you -- starting at line 940, if you could just read to yourself that part of the page and on to the next page.

THE COURT OFFICER: [9:09:06] Mr Black, I'm sorry to interrupt, but I need the level of confidentiality of the document, please.

MR BLACK: [9:09:12] This should all be confidential, please. But again, the pages that I will show will not reveal any non-public information.
Q. [9:09:32] Witness, do you recall this portion of the interview?
A. [9:09:36] Yes, I do.
Q. [9:09:39] A couple of questions to help us follow the transcript: Who is interviewer 1?
A. [9:09:47] That is myself.
Q. $\quad[9: 09: 51] \quad$ And when we see the interpreter speaking English, he's translating the Arabic language answers of Mr Al Mahdi into English; is that correct?
A. [9:10:03] That's correct.
Q. [9:10:06] And so where it says "interpreter" those are, in effect, Mr Al Mahdi's
answers translated into English?
A. [9:10:14] Yes.
Q. [9:10:15] Okay. On the screen to help you see the portion that I'm focused on I've highlighted lines 940 to 948 . Let me see if I can make them bigger.

According to the interview, when did Mr Al Mahdi say that he considered himself to be a member of the Hisbah?
A. [9:10:45] Sorry, you're asking when he was a member of the Hisbah or a member of the group?
Q. [9:10:50] Sorry, both.
A. [9:10:52] Okay. If we talk about this specific portion of the interview what we're discussing here is -- my question is about whether there was a specific point when Mr Al Mahdi joined the Ansar Dine.
Q. [9:11:08] Correct.
A. [9:11:10] And we had discussed this before, during the interview, and Mr Al Mahdi had said -- had said how he expressed his willingness to be a part of this movement. There was no official procedure to become a member, but in his own mind it was at the point in April when he was appointed head of Hisbah that he himself, and when he accepted to join -- to join the Hisbah that he himself felt that he became a member of the Ansar Dine.
Q. [9:11:50] And in this same portion of the interview Mr Al Mahdi also explained how he was asked to establish the Hisbah; is that correct?
A. [9:12:03] That's correct.
Q. [9:12:05] If you please look at page 0365, starting at line 1023 and following on to the next page up to line 1047, and again I'll bring this up on the screen, who was it who gave Mr Al Mahdi the task of creating the Hisbah?
A. [9:12:44] That was Abdelhamid Abou Zeid.
Q. [9:13:01] Thank you, sir. Please turn to tab 13 of the binder and have a look specifically at page 0443 and the following several pages up to 0450 . Just take a moment to look through them, you don't need to read every word but familiarise yourself with those passages.

Perhaps a point of clarification for the court officer and the Judges while he's reading. These pages of the transcript can be shown in the public gallery also. And I'd ask that when we do show things in the public if it could be from evidence 2 only, because that way I'll control what's shown and what's not.

Witness, in this part of the interview did Mr Al Mahdi describe the duties and responsibilities of the Hisbah?
A. [9:14:57] That's correct. And he -- he did it both in a more theoretical way, but also to some extent the way it was implemented in Timbuktu.
Q. [9:15:10] On page 0444 he refers to two sort of complementary aims or complementary missions of the Hisbah, what were those?
A. [9:15:26] Those are the prevention of vice and promotion of virtue.
Q. [9:15:33] And did he go on to give some examples of the kind of things that the Hisbah was supposed to do?
A. [9:15:45] That is correct.
Q. [9:15:48] If you could look at page 0449, starting at line 254 to line 269, and I'll highlight this portion on the screens as well, what is Mr Al Mahdi discussing in this portion of the transcript?
A. [9:16:20] Here he describes how the -- how it would be prohibited to -- to worship anything else than God. And this is how people should not pray to the graves and also that you should not ask for anything in exchange -- in exchange for money, and this is in
relation to the fact that people in Timbuktu would have -- would have worshiped the dead people in the graves.
Q. [9:17:04] In line 263 he talks about building a dome over the tomb. What is he referring to when he uses the word "dome" or when the interpreter uses the word "dome"? A. [9:17:23] Yeah, this was a point that was discussed at several times during the interview, also because of the issue of translation as you -- as you point out, to make clear that there were different parts of the -- of what was a shrine as a whole and the tomb is referred to -- refers to the grave itself while the dome is the mausoleum built on top of it. Q. [9:17:52] And here he's talking about the local population's religious practices at the mausoleums; is that correct?
A. [9:18:04] That's correct.
Q. [9:18:08] And he considers that practice to kind of fall within the competence of the Hisbah?
A. [9:18:20] To prevent that practice, yes.
Q. [9:18:23] Thank you.

Please look also at page 0450, so the next page, at lines 281 to 288 . Here Mr Al Mahdi mentions Friday sermons. Was there a sermon delivered the Friday before the destructions, immediately preceding the destructions in this case?
A. [9:19:05] Yes, there was.
Q. [9:19:09] And did that sermon address the, let's say the religious rationale for the destructions, for the need to destroy the mausoleums according to the groups?
A. [9:19:28] Correct. It -- as it, the way it was explained was that it explained the prohibition to build anything over the graves and also the just -- it gave justification for the destruction of them.
Q. [9:19:48] Unfortunately I don't have the page number handy, but did Mr Al Mahdi
in this interview say who drafted that particular sermon delivered the Friday just before the destructions?
A. [9:20:05] Mr Al Mahdi said that he drafted it himself.
Q. [9:20:13] Please look at tab 14 of your binder, starting at page 473 up to 478 , sorry, 0473 to 0478.

Witness, does this portion of the interview relate to the question, and I guess it's really two questions as you just suggested, of whether in the view of the leaders of the armed groups it was prohibited to construct mausoleums over graves and then a related but for them distinct question of whether they should be, the mausoleums should be destroyed?
A. [9:22:00] That's correct.
Q. [9:22:02] Look please at page 0474, starting at line 166. And here Mr Al Mahdi identifies two issues. He uses the word "answer" or the interpreter uses the word "answer." But there are two distinct issues. The first, that they should not build anything over the graves, and then the second, the idea of destroying the mausoleums; is that right?
A. [9:22:42] Correct.
Q. [9:22:43] And what was Mr Al Mahdi's, what did he say was his position on the first issue, whether or not it was prohibited to build over graves?
A. [9:23:03] Yes, in this particular part or section of the interview it comes across as if Mr Al Mahdi talks about what his idea was. But over the -- over the section of the interview it was clear that he based his view on jurisprudence, so on texts and references, and he came to a conclusion which he says there was no -- no argument about which was that it was prohibited to -- to build mausoleums over the graves.
Q. [9:23:36] And did he explain in fact that he conducted essentially kind of legal research into this question? You referred to jurisprudence, and he looked back at
religious texts and jurisprudence to see if there was information on this question; is that right?
A. [9:23:56] Correct. He talked about using up to 160 references where -- where this was -- was made clear. And this was an important point that this was in particular what Al Mahdi saw that the lead -- the leaders of the groups appreciated him for and took his input for, for being able to read and interpret jurisprudence.
Q. [9:24:29] So that's the first issue, whether or not it's acceptable to build constructions over graves. The second issue he refers to as a matter of Sharia policy. And that's the issue of whether existing constructions such as the mausoleums should be destroyed; is that right?

## A. [9:24:54] Yes. And my understanding of why it is a matter of Sharia policy is

 because he could not find jurisprudence on this matter.Q. [9:25:09] And did he address in the interview whether who was the appropriate person or body to make policy decisions? Was that something that fell within his competence or was that for someone else?
A. Mr Al Mahdi explained very clearly that this was out of his competence and that it was something for leaders even above the judicial authorities, so it's the -- it was something for the main leaders.
Q. [9:25:44] Nevertheless, did he have himself a position on this second issue of whether or not the mausoleums should be destroyed?
A. [9:26:00] The way it came across to me during the interview was that he had a view which was based on the situation at the time, which -- which could either be a matter of timing or possible reaction from the population. He at some point, I think it's even in this section, he says that he was not asked for his opinion on this. But he did not have a view on whether it should be done or not, but he had an opinion that it should not have
been done at that point.
Q. [9:26:45] Please have a look at pages 0476 to 0477 . And I think perhaps this addresses what you were just discussing. Is this on the same topic, these two issues, the sort of legal issue of whether you could construct over graves as opposed to the second issue, the policy issue of whether they should be destroyed?
A. [9:27:27] That's correct.
Q. [9:27:28] And at line 274 of the transcript to line 275 , he says, "I told them, quote, 'At this stage I don't recommend you do it because it might ... hurt people's feelings.'" End quote. How did you understand that? Whose people's feelings was he addressing there?
A. That would be the population of Timbuktu.
Q. [9:28:13] In the same tab please turn to page 0478, starting at line 306 and in fact continuing on to the next page, up to line 339.

Is Mr Al Mahdi referring here to the mausoleums of Timbuktu and again to the local religious practice of praying at the tombs?
A. [9:29:02] That's correct.
Q. [9:29:05] And I'll just highlight on the screen lines 322 to 330 where Mr Al Mahdi, is it not correct, he refers to these people buried in the tombs as very prominent scholars from the past and he refers to them as holy saints?
A. [9:29:34] Correct.
Q. [9:29:38] And then at line 329 he explains that according to Islamic Sharia, it is prohibited to ask these dead persons for something.
A. [9:29:51] Correct.
Q. [9:29:54] On the same page, starting at line 344, just read to yourself up to line 349 . What is this passage referring to?
A. [9:30:26] This is about -- about what was just discussed before, the practice of going -- of the population going to pray to the -- to the graves and how Abou Zeid had asked Mr Al Mahdi to -- or, he gave him a task to prohibit people from doing that and he -- he did it by going to these people, going to the cemeteries and what he -- the way this, the term is translated at least is to sensitise them about this practice, and the fact that he did this for -- for nearly one month, which I think in the context of the whole interview is the month before the destructions.
Q. [9:31:28] Okay, thank you.

Still in tab 14 -- or, actually yeah, still in tab 14 , please look at page 484.
At line 517 you asked Mr Al Mahdi about his role in the destructions. And from there, from line 522 up to line 531 on the next page, what does he say in response? Paraphrase it.
A. [9:32:25] Yes. What is discussed here is what -- what happened after the decision had been taken that the mausoleums would be destroyed, and how from there on Mr Al Mahdi takes on the responsibility because it is to be carried out in the framework of Hisbah, which he was at this point the head of.

He also here, an important point that is discussed not only here but also in other parts of the interview is that even it's the prevention of visible vice when -- and that's how when we said earlier that one of the tasks of Hisbah is the prevention of vice, this was a specific prevention of visible vice.

And he goes on to explain how the Hisbah as an institution was the one to take charge of the individuals' tools and support to carry out this operation.
Q. [9:33:37] Still in tab 14, turn to page 0488.

THE COURT OFFICER: [9:33:56] Mr Black, your microphone is not activated. MR BLACK: [9:33:59] Thank you. Sorry about that.
Q. [9:34:01] Please turn to page 0488, still in tab 14.

Witness, I've highlighted three separate sections on the screen here. The first one from line 633 to 638, Mr Al Mahdi again explains that he was using Al Hisbah funds to cover the expenses and to buy more tools and things like that; is that correct?
A. [9:34:31] Correct, this is the practical execution of what we just -- what he had just described as his responsibility.
Q. [9:34:41] Then at line 642 to 643 he says that the emirs are the leaders, Yahia and Abdelhamid came to supervise the destruction.

Again, what's another name for Abdelhamid? How is he known?
A. [9:35:03] His full name is Abdelhamid Abou Zeid.
Q. [9:35:10] And did Mr Al Mahdi, perhaps at other points in the interview, clarify at which sites and on which occasions the leaders came? We don't have to go through those specifically but was it a few, some or all?
A. [9:35:28] This was not fully clear but it was several of them. It was not clear if it was at each and every, but definitely on several of the locations.
Q. [9:35:41] Then from lines 651 to 666 Mr Al Mahdi explains that he didn't personally take the decision to destroy the sites, but he was responsible, as he says, for dividing the work between the different individuals.

Who were the individuals that he was organising or which institutions did they come from?
A. [9:36:25] There was -- there were members of -- of various institutions taking part in the destruction. Mr Al Mahdi had his -- had his own subordinates from -- from the Hisbah, then a large resource was from -- were individuals from the training centres that were -- that were sent to -- to take part, there was also members of the Islamic police and the security battalions.
Q. [9:37:04] And is it your understanding based on the interview that all these different individuals from different -- different institutions, they were under the supervision of Mr Al Mahdi for the purpose of implementing these destructions?
A. [9:37:23] That's correct.
Q. [9:37:29] Turn please to the next page, 0489 , and look at lines 693 and then up actually to line 704, which is on the next page. What is being discussed at this portion of the interview?
A. [9:38:10] Here I'm asking Mr Al Mahdi how it was decided which -- which -- now I'm using the wrong word here, "tomb", actually, but I think we clarified it. It was the question of in which order the mausoleums would be destroyed and -- and who took the decision. Mr Al Mahdi says that it was his decision and that they, first of all, this is not in this part, but -- but we discussed before about the sensitisation, he said that he selected the cemeteries based on where -- where most of what were translated to me as transgression had taken place during his sensitisation project during that month for them to be -- for them to be the best examples, and from those that he had selected they decided to start from the north and move towards the south.
Q. [9:39:17] Thank you. Very briefly, on page 490 from lines 732 to 735 , he refers to explaining the religious basis for decisions to journalists at the site. During the interview did the accused recognize himself on a number of open-source videos actually giving such explanations to the media?
A. [9:39:51] Yes, he did.
Q. [9:39:56] Look now please at tab 16 of the binder. And turn to page 0538, starting at line 636 and up to line 666 on the next page, and I think this addresses a point that you just mentioned. Let's see there, at the top of 0539 he says:
"I noticed that most of the Sharia infringements were carried out in these particular three
cemeteries ..."

Is that what you were referring to just a moment ago where he visited the various cemeteries and sort of monitored the activities of the local population to see where the prayers and other prohibited activity was taking place?
A. [9:41:08] That's correct. And of course again we have to remember that this is translation, here is the word "infringement" and on line 644 the interpreter says "transgression". I think it refers to the same thing.
Q. [9:41:20] Okay. Which three cemeteries was he referring to, if you recall?
A. [9:41:44] I'm trying to look at how the binder is divided at this point. If I remember correctly we were early on in the interview here. Mr Al Mahdi had been asked in an open way about -- about the number of cemeteries, which cemeteries were attacked. And at this point he was talking about three -- he remembered that it was three cemeteries that had been -- that had been subject to these destructions or attacks. I believe it's on the following day when we returned to the interview that he says that he had given it some thought and he remembered that there was actually four cemeteries. So here he's still talking about the totality of three cemeteries which was in his head at that time.
Q. [9:42:39] Thank you for that, that clarification, and that raises an interesting point: During the interview were there in fact times when Mr Al Mahdi on his own sort of instigation said, you know, I've been thinking about things and I recall this is slightly different, or he corrected things that he'd said maybe a previous day as his memory was refreshed?
A. [9:43:09] That's correct and it's something very typical in these type of interviews with discussing issues from the past. And while discussing the witness will remember how things actually developed, so the transcript needs to be looked at as a whole and not
pick out piecemeal parts of it.
Q. [9:43:31] And was it your impression that he was --

MR GILISSEN: [9:43:36] (Interpretation) Your Honour, your Honour, I'm sorry but we don't have any French interpretation. The French interpretation is no longer coming to us. Thank you very much.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [9:43:46] Yes, can we ask if that problem can be solved.

It works now? Good. Thank you so much.
You can now proceed. Perhaps, counsel, you can move along on this point. I think you have spent quite some time on the point of the participation by the accused and this is actually covered in the agreement. Please move along.

MR BLACK: [9:44:30] Thank you, your Honour. I'm grateful for the guidance there.
Q. [9:44:50] Witness, if you look at tab 25 in your binder, and please look at page 0843. I'm just going to ask you a very quick question.

At line 1032, Mr Al Mahdi identifies someone on a video taken at one of the sites and in fact identifies himself; is that right?
A. [9:45:39] Yeah, that's correct.
Q. [9:45:42] And I won't go through them, but is it correct that during the interview Mr Al Mahdi was shown a number of videos of the destruction or of statements made of the destruction and he -- he placed himself, he identified himself at the destruction sites for several of the mausoleums, the door of the Sidi Yahia mosque and also the two mausoleums outside of the Djingareyber mosque?
A. [9:46:13] That's correct. And he -- of course before that he had -- he had placed himself at these locations in his narrative and the videos he -- on top of that he recognized himself on the videos.
Q. [9:46:31] Thank you. One final portion before we conclude with the transcript: Please look at tab 33, starting at page 1104.

And if you start really at line 741, please read to yourself the rest of that page and the first part of the next page. This is basically the end of the interview, and I believe you're wrapping up. And you ask Mr Al Mahdi in line 743 if he thinks there's anything else that should be added to the interview. What was his response?
A. [9:47:42] Well, Mr Al Mahdi expresses that he -- that through the process he's, like we just said before, he's remembered a lot of things by discussing them. He does not express that he has anything specific to add. However, I believe at a later point, he -- it is -- it is clear that the more you talk the more you could remember, but he makes it clear here that he has -- that he has -- he does not feel that there is anything that needs to be added to this about what has been discussed.
Q. [9:48:33] Witness, do you think it's fair to say that during this interview Mr Al Mahdi to a significant degree accepted responsibility for his own role in the war crime of destroying buildings dedicated to religion and historic monuments?
A. [9:48:52] That's correct.
Q. [9:48:57] Would you say that during this interview he cooperated with your investigation?
A. [9:49:07] Yes, he did.
Q. [9:49:12] And has the information that he provided during that interview assisted in the investigation of this and other crimes in Mali?
A. [9:49:27] Yes, that's correct.
Q. [9:49:30] Thank you very much.

MR BLACK: [9:49:32] Thank you for your patience, your Honours. No further questions.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [9:49:35] Thank you very much, Counsel. Any questions from the Defence?

MR AOUINI: [9:49:43] (Interpretation) Thank you, Mr President. I have a couple of questions to raise to the witness, to ask to the witness and I won't be long. I will only limit myself to 10 minutes.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [9:50:08] Yes, please proceed.
MR AOUINI: [9:50:13] (Interpretation) Thank you, Mr President, your Honours. QUESTIONED BY MR AOUINI: (Interpretation)
Q. [9:50:21] Good morning, Mr Witness.

In your statement we heard that you conducted investigations with Mr Al Mahdi; is this true? Can you confirm this? Can you confirm that you personally conducted the investigations with Mr Al Mahdi?
A. [9:50:53] Yes, your Honours. Perhaps it's a translation issue. If you're talking about conducting the interview with $\mathrm{Mr} \mathrm{Al} \mathrm{Mahdi}, \mathrm{that's} \mathrm{correct}$.
Q. [9:51:12] Thank you. I would like to ask from you some clarifications. In particular, I would like to know if during the interview you conducted with Mr Al Mahdi, if the latter was cooperative and was truthful in what he said?
A. [9:51:53] Yes. During the interview -- sorry, I'm getting Arabic in my ears. MR BLACK: [9:52:03] Your Honour, we were getting Arabic language on the English channel I believe.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [9:52:08] That is correct, counsel, same here. THE WITNESS: [9:52:30] When -PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [9:52:31] Well, I'm sorry, so it works now. Thank you so much, court officer. And, Mr Witness, can you just repeat the last answer so that the Court -- the record will
reflect the answer in English.
THE WITNESS: [9:52:43] The answer to the previous question?
PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [9:52:46] To the last question.
THE WITNESS: [9:52:48] Okay, this one I think I didn't start yet.
PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [9:52:52] Okay.
So please proceed.
THE WITNESS: [9:52:56] Thank you, your Honour.
Mr Al Mahdi undoubtedly cooperated throughout the interview and it was very helpful to us. I want to make clear that what we've been talking about here yesterday and today, even though I have made statements about what I believe to be a conclusion, I've talked about what the collected evidence show.

What Mr Al Mahdi told us during the interview to a large extent corroborated what we knew from before and also specified and corrected -- or not corrected, but specified and clarified certain issues.

When it comes to -- to truthfulness, I don't want to comment on that, but it comes across as he replied in an honest manner.

MR AOUINI: [9:54:05] (Interpretation)
Q. [9:54:06] Thank you, Mr Witness. Can you confirm that throughout the interview Mr Al Mahdi told you that he did not deliberately write Friday's sermon or preach to circulate it to the scholars and imams, but it is Mr Abdallah Al Chinguetti who asked him to do so, to write the preach or the sermon to distribute it to the imams and to the scholars?
A. [9:54:56] It's possible that I would need to go back to see the exact passage of the interview, but what I remember is that there was -- there was these discussions going on where -- and where Sheikh Abdallah, who was an -- Al Mahdi considered as a superior,
was the one who suggested that -- that the mausoleum should be destroyed.
Abdallah Al Chinguetti had written a document that -- that provided the argument and the justification for it. And Mr Al Mahdi used this after the decision had been taken, Mr Al Mahdi used this document for the purpose of drafting the Friday sermon.
Q. [9:55:55] Thank you, Mr Witness. This is indeed what I wanted to come to, that is that Mr Abdallah Al Chinguetti, after the emirs had taken the decision of destruction, he personally gave Mr Al Mahdi this statement, including the issues or the points based on which Mr Al Mahdi had drafted Friday's sermon and circulated it to the preachers. Thank you for the clarifications, Mr Witness.

Last question to the witness: During the interview, did you have a feeling that in the intonation of Mr Al Mahdi, did you have a feeling that Mr Al Mahdi was remorseful for what he had done? Did you feel this remorse in the pitch of his voice and the way he was answering your questions? Did you feel that Mr Al Mahdi had regrets for what he did?
A. [9:57:31] The main feeling and understanding I got from -- from the totality of the interview with Mr Al Mahdi was that we felt very appreciate -- it was appreciated and respectful from Mr Al Mahdi that he owned up to what he had done, he was honest about what had taken place and he explained why it had happened. And he took responsibility for his own deeds.

He was very interested in understanding the Rules of the International Criminal Court, even though he did not know them from when we first met him. And he understood that in certain jurisdictions what he did would be considered a crime.

I must say I appreciate if -- if through this process Mr Al Mahdi feels remorse or remorseful, but it was not the main feeling I got from the interview. I felt that he was -- he took responsibility for what he had done.
Q. [9:58:53] Thank you. I have a last question for more details and clarification. Because I had attended the interview from the beginning to its end, and since I am in the Defence party, I had a feeling that Mr Al Mahdi while answering your questions wished to assume the responsibility and to acknowledge all the acts alleged and directed to him. And in his answers he was trying to convey to you and later on to the Court that by saying the truth, he wanted indeed to contribute to the reconciliation because at some point he mentioned Timbuktu, his parents and his relatives in Timbuktu, the inhabitants of Timbuktu, he wanted in some way to help us reach the truth and national reconciliation in Mali.

Did you have this feeling? Because I had a feeling that he was remorseful, he was truthful. And through the words he chose, he selected, because he was speaking Arabic, I felt that he was seeking to tell everybody, to tell you first and everybody later on and the Court as well that by saying the truth he wished to contribute to reach justice because he wanted to assume the responsibility of what he had done and contribute to national reconciliation in Mali. Thank you.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [10:01:12] There was a question somewhere there. If you recall, do you recall the question, Mr Witness, please reply to the question. THE WITNESS: [10:01:21] Thank you, your Honour. I believe I should not try to guess or estimate what went on inside Mr Al Mahdi's head and how this has developed. I would make a difference between or a distinction between the truth telling, taking responsibility on one hand and being remorseful on the other hand.

Perhaps in understanding his language you may have a different understanding of what his feeling was. At the end of the interview, Mr Al Mahdi said that he did what he did with good -- with good intent and that's what -- what it was about at the time when he -- when he -- when these destructions took place. Now I appreciate that and it's for
you and $\mathrm{Mr} \mathrm{Al} \mathrm{Mahdi} \mathrm{to} \mathrm{say} \mathrm{whether} \mathrm{he} \mathrm{feels} \mathrm{remorse} ,\mathrm{but} \mathrm{I} \mathrm{don't} \mathrm{want} \mathrm{to} \mathrm{take} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{stand} \mathrm{on}$ that.

MR AOUINI: [10:02:32] (Interpretation) Thank you, Mr Witness.
And I'd like to thank the Court as well.
PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [10:02:36] Thank you. Thank you as well, Counsel.

And will the Prosecution wish to ask questions in re-direct?
MR BLACK: [10:02:44] No questions, your Honour. Thank you.
PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [10:02:46] Thank you, Counsel.
Mr Witness, the Court thanks you for answering the questions put to you this morning and yesterday and in assisting this Chamber. This concludes your testimony. You are now excused and we thank you.

THE WITNESS: [10:03:12] Thank you, your Honours.
(The witness is excused)
PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [10:03:35] Mr Black, are you ready with your next witness?

Mr Dutertre, are you ready with your next witness?
MR DUTERTRE: [10:03:43] (Interpretation) Yes, your Honour. I'm just going to switch places here and we will then be ready to begin with the next witness.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [10:03:53] Yes, please. Thank you so much.
(Pause in proceedings)
(The witness enters the courtroom)
MR DUTERTRE: [10:06:02] (Interpretation) Your Honour -- oh, yes, indeed, I see.
That was the point I was about to address.
PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [10:06:19] Let us now begin Mr Dutertre. We
will --
MR DUTERTRE: [10:06:27] (Interpretation) If you will just allow me a moment, your Honour, I just need to get the transcript up and running on the screen. PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [10:06:35] Yes, of course. MR DUTERTRE: [10:07:19] (Interpretation) I shall now begin, your Honour. PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [10:07:27] We now proceed to the testimony of Witness P-151, Mr Francesco -- please tell me if I'm pronouncing this correctly -- Bandarin or Bandarin?

THE WITNESS: [10:07:47] It's Bandarin, Mr President.
PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: Bandarin. Welcome to the Court, Mr Bandarin.

WITNESS: MLI-OTP-P-0151
THE WITNESS: [10:07:51] Thank you, your Honour.
PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [10:07:53] A UNESCO representative has been authorised to attend the hearing, and may I ask the representative to identify himself for the record.

MR GIUDICI: [10:08:00] Good morning, your Honour, my name is Esteban Giudici, I work for the Office of International Standards and Legal Affairs of UNESCO.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [10:08:06] Thank you.
Mr Bandarin, we now proceed to the solemn undertaking under Rule 66(1) of our Rules. There should be a card in front of you with a solemn undertaking to tell the truth. Please read it out.

THE WITNESS: [10:08:25] Thank you, your Honour. I solemnly declare that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [10:08:32] Thank you, Mr Bandarin.

I will just read out the conditions for the testimony of Mr Bandarin.
Upon the request of the Prosecution and at the behest of the UNESCO, the Chamber has permitted you to testify under certain conditions today. These conditions were set out in decision 145, but the Chamber will repeat them now.

First, your testimony shall be limited to the procedures, legal framework, effects and consequences of the inscription of sites on the UNESCO World Heritage List and their implementation. Under those terms you are not to be asked questions on the manner in which the sites were destroyed or the persons responsible.

Second, a representative of UNESCO has been authorised to attend the hearing and he has identified himself for the Court, and to consult with, with you or be consulted by you and make submissions with leave of the Chamber. So please ask for leave been you intervene later. Any intervention by the UNESCO representative will be subject to prior authorisation by the Chamber at each point.

And this one is addressed to Mr Bandarin, if you feel like a question goes beyond the terms of these conditions or if you wish to speak to the UNESCO representative, please inform the Chamber accordingly.

Further, the Chamber notes that the Prosecution intends for this witness to provide expert testimony on UNESCO's roles and mission, including in Mali, the system, importance and meaning of World Heritage, the process of listing a property on the World Heritage List, the consequences, as well as the meaning of World Heritage in Danger as a term. Does the Defence, and I address this to Mr Aouini, does the Defence object to this witness giving expert testimony on these topics?

MR AOUINI: [10:10:55] (Interpretation) Thank you, Mr President. We do not object to this.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [10:11:02] Thank you. Thank you so much,

Counsel.
The Chamber thus permits this witness to testify as an expert.
Now a few practical matters. Everything you say here, Mr Witness, is written down and interpreted into French and English. It is important for you to speak clearly and at a moderate or rather slow pace. We want to make sure that your words are well understood by the interpreters and by the rest of us. Please speak into the microphone and start speaking only when the person asking you the question has finished. To allow for the interpretation, everyone has to wait a few seconds before starting to speak. I recommend to you when the lawyer has asked his or her question please count in your head to three and only then to give your answer. If you have any questions yourself just raise your hand so we know you wish to speak and the Court will give you a chance to speak. That is clear to you, I hope, Mr Witness?

Thank you. And Mr Dutertre, please proceed with your questions. MR DUTERTRE: [10:12:12] (Interpretation) Thank you, your Honours. And just to be effective and expeditious, we are not going to raise the issue of the actual destruction of the buildings. I will be addressing a number of points not covered by the agreed facts so that way we will be able to proceed expeditiously.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [10:12:47] Thank you.
MR DUTERTRE:
QUESTIONED BY MR DUTERTRE:
Q. [10:12:52] Good morning, Mr Bandarin. We met very briefly yesterday at a courtesy meeting, and I believe that was the case for all the parties, and you're well this morning?
A. [10:13:08] Very well.
Q. [10:13:10] Thank you. Mr Expert Witness, now, currently you are assistant
director general at UNESCO; is that not the case?
A. [10:13:36] Yes.
Q. [10:13:38] More specifically, as part of your duties you are responsible for all aspects of UNESCO's work relating to culture?
A. [10:13:47] Yes.
Q. [10:13:51] Would it be correct to say, sir, that UNESCO is the largest international organisation that deals with cultural matters throughout the world?
A. [10:14:04] Yes, I think we can affirm that, because this is the specific mandate of the organisation within the UN system.
Q. [10:14:12] Thank you.

Now, within UNESCO the branch that handles culture specifically is called the Centre for World Heritage -- correction, World Heritage Centre; isn't that the case?
A. [10:14:40] It's actually a bit different. The organisation that deals with culture is this culture, sector of culture, which I direct. And within the sector of culture we have different bodies, one of them is the World Heritage Centre, the centre that deals with the World Heritage Convention, the secretariat of the World Heritage Convention that deals with the listing of UNESCO protected sites. But the culture sector also includes other departments that deal with other types of heritage, for instance, intangible heritage and other programmes and conventions. In fact, the culture sector in UNESCO manages all the six international treaties concerning culture.
Q. [10:15:29] Could you mention these various treaties that come under your area?
A. [10:15:34] Yes, okay. We have the first international convention called the Hague Convention, the 1954 convention for the protection of heritage in case of armed conflict; then we have the Paris Convention, the 1970 convention, for the fight against illicit traffic of cultural heritage; then the World Heritage Convention, the convention for
the protection of cultural and natural heritage in 1972; then we have the 2003 Intangible Heritage, a convention for the safeguard of intangible heritage; also the 2001 convention for underwater archaeological heritage; and finally the 2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions. These are the six conventions that we manage and in fact these are the only six international conventions concerning cultural heritage.
Q. [10:16:39] Now amongst the six conventions what is the standing or the role played by the Paris Convention you mentioned, the convention for the protection of world cultural and --

THE INTERPRETER: [10:17:01] Overlapping speakers.
THE WITNESS: [10:17:03] Yes. We call it shortly there, World Heritage Convention. This is among the sixth, the most, say, ratified convention. I will not use the term most important because that's judgmental, but certainly the one that is more ratified. We have 193 member states that have signed this convention and probably the most popularly known international treaty in this area of culture globally. It is, as I mentioned in my witness statement, one of the, perhaps the most known programmes of the entire organisation. In the world UNESCO's very often known mostly for the protection of sites of culture and national heritage.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [10:17:44] May I just remind the counsel and the witness both to pace the -- their speaking -THE WITNESS: [10:17:50] Yes. PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [10:17:51] -- for the interpreters. THE INTERPRETER: [10:17:53] Many thanks from the interpreters. MR DUTERTRE: [10:17:59] (Interpretation) Q. [10:18:02] Sir, could you explain, and then I will turn to your CV, but for the time
being could you explain why the 1972 convention was so successful, why so many countries ratified it?
A. [10:18:23] Yes, I think this -- it's an important, say, change in our recent evolution, social evolution. Heritage, I can say, has become a very important item in public policy in the past 50 years. We have witnessed and perhaps even promoted this change from something that was more, say, limited to an elite, to something. Heritage today is really within the reach and within the interest of large masses of people. Obviously this has -- has to do also with the societal changes around the world, greater education of course, greater interest for the traditional heritage and the components of -- in the history of society.

I also believe that the growth of global tourism has added to this success because people have realised that the world is full of very important heritage pieces, both in culture and nature, and that these pieces not only play an important role in the societies where they are placed, but also belong to a greater, say, understanding of the beauty and relevance of heritage in our world.

It is, therefore, we are quite satisfied with the results of this project -- or, this programme. Probably as I said one of the most ratified conventions in, treaties in the world, something that in a way member States support that people in the -- the different societies recognize, and in a way a beacon of the activities that UNESCO has conducted in the past 70 years since its creation.

I think we -- we could elaborate this further if you -- if you so wish, but in a world UNESCO's been one of the, say, components of the creation of a very important idea that heritage that belongs to one society can also belong to the world.
Q. [10:21:05] I think you've already answered my next question, at least in part. From a historical point of view, why is it that States agreed to this convention in 1972?

What were the grounds or the justification for establishing this convention which sets out --
A. [10:21:33] Well, the history of the, say, birth of the 1972 convention is quite -- quite interesting and quite important I would say because in a way it represents the merging of two main streams of heritage preservation ideas, one linked to cultural heritage and I would say that it was mostly originated in this continent in Europe in the last century more or less and the other one concerning natural heritage. Perhaps at that time it was mostly in the Americas where the principle of natural heritage conservation was -- was established.

In the 1960s these two streams of thought developed the idea of creating an international convention. In fact, at the beginning there were two projects, two separate projects, one for culture and one for nature.

It was at that time the US administration literally the White House that tried to merge these two streams of thought, and during a number of meetings that took place in 1970 and '71 at the White House in Washington the idea of creating a unique, say, joint international treaty for culture and nature came about and this later developed into the World Heritage Convention.

Let me also state that this is the only international treaty that covers both culture and nature. We have, as you heard, a number of cultural heritage treaties at UNESCO and there are of course a number of treaties that protect natural heritage, not managed by UNESCO but by other bodies, but this is the only one that actually puts these two types of heritage within the same system of protection and listing, and I think this gives the World Heritage Convention a central role in the world of heritage preservation.
Q. [10:24:03] I have one question about your CV and the convention: How many sites have been registered on the world heritage -- (Overlapping speakers)?
A. [10:24:12] Well, just after the recent meeting of the World Heritage Committee which took place in Istanbul a month ago, we reached 1051. That's the final figure. Q. [10:24:30] Thank you. We have seen the role of UNESCO and the various bodies within UNESCO and the various conventions, including the 1972 convention that UNESCO is holding or -- so perhaps I'll ask you this question, perhaps you'll understand better if I put it this way: Could you describe in greater detail the various functions that you carried out as assistant director general of UNESCO responsible for culture?
A. [10:25:12] Yes, thank you. Yes, but first of all, as I said, I'm responsible for the organisation of the conduction of these six treaties which are, of course, a large part of my -- my work because most of the activities in the culture sector runs around the management of the treaties.

Managing the treaties means essentially ensuring a very effective delivery of the services. We are the secretariat of all these six treaties and therefore we have to serve the member States for all the functions that are related to the lives of the conventions. For instance, we organise the annual meetings of the -- the different committees, we implement their decisions, we manage during the period between one meeting and the other all the activities that are -- you know, that have been given to us following the instructions and the decisions of the committees and so on, and then we try to also expand the conventions by doing the fundraising in order to acquire resources for -- for the different activities, and of course, you know, conducting missions and conducting meetings that are relevant and pertinent for them.

Clearly, this is not all of what we do because we also have a number of activities that are not related to the conventions. Some of them are somehow, let's call it, prospective activities which we try to identify new areas of work where UNESCO can assert a role. Others are projects that are negotiated with member States and then we implement
through -- directly through our services at headquarters or one of the -- or 75 offices that we have in the rest -- in the field.

We also have to deal with this relationship with the field offices, which is rather, say, intensive and complex because we have to ensure coherence in the management of the different programmes and we have to offer support to the field offices in their activities. So there is a lot of this.

I also in my tasks, of course I have, you know, the administrative tasks. I'm managing the staff of the sector. We have about 160 people at headquarters and about 50,60 in the field that I have to supervise, so I'm responsible for the performance, evaluation and management of all these personnel.

I have to of course do a lot of representation of the organisation both when requested by the director general and in -- you know, as head of the culture sector. So I, you know, of course I take a lot of -- I undertake a lot of missions in the field and so on. Very often I represent the director general at headquarters or in the field when requested.

And of course any other thing that, you know, requires -- is required by the situation. For instance, in recent times we had to work a lot on the issue of security because after the Paris attacks, you know, we had to change a lot our security, so I'm also responsible as part of the SMT, the senior management team, of the security of the staff and as a proper implementation of the security regulations and instructions.
Q. [10:29:20] Thank you. That was a very fulsome response. I gather that you retired shortly -- a while ago, but before that you had been assistant director general from 2010 to 2014. Could you explain to us why it was that you were retired but then called back to service?
A. [10:29:52] Yes, thank you for the question. I -- in fact I retired from the position of assistant director general in June 2014 and I resumed my previous position as professor
of -- of planning at the University School of Architecture in Venice. A year after, in October 2015, the director general informed me that my successor had decided to resign and she asked me whether I could take an interim position in order to ensure transition, so I accepted to take the interim function that I fulfil right now. Now, this interim position has been extended now to the end of the year and I presume as the mandate of the director general will end in November 2017 that she will also extend my position as interim ADG until the end of her mandate for the simple reason that it makes little sense to recruit somebody that will of course have to leave at the moment that she will leave because all the ADGs are leaving with her.
Q. [10:31:03] Thank you. So as a matter of fact you have been in this position for five years?
A. [10:31:17] Yes. Up to -- up to now, yes.
Q. [10:31:19] Do you remember having been interviewed by the investigators of the OTP in 2015? Do you remember that you provided them with a CV of about 20 pages? A. Yes, yes. MR DUTERTRE: [10:31:31] (Interpretation) Mr President, I would like this document to be displayed, it is a document that can be displayed publicly, document 0029-0864, which is in tab 2 of your binder.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [10:31:56] Yes, please proceed.
MR DUTERTRE: [10:32:03] (Interpretation)
Q. [10:32:04] Mr Witness, please press evidence 2, that button, if you can see it.
A. [10:32:10] I don't see it, but -- oh, yes, yes. But no page is available. It doesn't matter, I have it here on paper.

MR DUTERTRE: [10:32:57] (Interpretation) Court officer, please could you assist and display it on evidence 2, please.
Q. [10:33:17] While this technical issue is being addressed, Mr Witness, I would urge you to be patient and to answer after a few seconds following my question; is that fine?
A. D'accord. Excusez-moi.

THE COURT OFFICER: [10:33:34] You do have the floor, you only need to release the document.

MR DUTERTRE: [10:33:48] (Interpretation) What we are asking is for the court officer to kindly display the document herself.

THE COURT OFFICER: [10:33:59] It will then be displayed on the evidence 1 channel. MR DUTERTRE: [10:34:17] (Interpretation)
Q. Mr Witness, do you recognize this document?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it your CV , the CV you handed to the OTP?
A. Yes.

THE INTERPRETER: [10:34:29] Overlapping speakers.
THE WITNESS: [10:34:31] It is. It is.
MR DUTERTRE: [10:34:34] (Interpretation) Court officer, could you please go to page 0870.
Q. [10:35:16] Do you see? Are you there, Mr Witness?
A. [10:35:22] Yes, I see it.
Q. [10:35:23] On that page we have a list of the various initiatives that you took and followed up during your term of office in 2010 to 2014 in your capacity as assistant director general for culture.

As we go to the bottom of the page, the penultimate bullet point, you would see the following in English:
(Speaks English) "Promotion of activities for the celebration of the 40th anniversary of
the World Heritage Convention in 2012."
(Interpretation) Mr Witness, could you explain to the Court the significance of that celebration and its impact?
A. [10:36:17] Yes. Of course, we use anniversaries to celebrate the results of the convention, but also to call for the attention of the public. In fact, as you may have noticed from my CV, I was the director of World Heritage Centre for 10 years before being the ADG and I was in charge of the 30th anniversary of the convention, which was a very, very successful event.

So when the 40th anniversary approached, we started preparing a number of activities that would have, you know, enhanced the visibility of the convention. This included publications, events in many parts of the world. In fact, we launched a campaign for member States to organise events around the world, and we also organised an important international conference which took place in Kyoto, Japan.

Now, the importance of this anniversary is linked to some of the initiatives that are listed above because we tried, and I would say that we were successful in this attempt, to stress the importance of the World Heritage Convention as a tool for human social and economic development, which in a way was a new approach.

The convention in its first 40 years was more like focused on the individual sites and the protection of sites. It was essentially a technical tool for listing sites and protecting them. And all the activities that were developed during the first three decades of the convention were essentially aimed at this kind of developing the tools, internal tools for an effective protection of the sites.

But as, you know, the United Nations in the past decade have discussed quite extensively on the new international development agenda, the one that was adopted a few months ago, in September last year, and it is called Agenda 2030, we thought that it would have
been important for this, say, relevant international tool to also address the issue of development. So we termed the -- we actually gave the title to the 40th anniversary of the World Heritage Convention to development. It was called, you know, developing local communities, you know, and bringing benefits to local communities. So I think it was not only an anniversary, but it was a very significant policy reorientation of the treaty.
Q. [10:39:33] Would the court officer please kindly go to the next page, 0871 . And here we're still looking at the initiatives that you took during your mandate in 2013/2014 as assistant director general for culture at UNESCO.

The third paragraph reads as follows in English:
(Speaks English) "Coordination of the actions to prevent damage to cultural heritage in conflict countries (notably Libya, Syria, Yemen) and of the international convention for the protection of cultural heritage in the event of armed conflict, The Hague Convention, 1954."
(Interpretation) Can you explain to us, Mr Witness, why it is that you took this initiative to coordinate these activities with a view to preventing damage to cultural heritage?
A. [10:40:46] Well, of course we manage this treaty, The Hague Convention, which as I said earlier is called the Convention for the Protection of Cultural Heritage in Case of Armed Conflict. And as you can see it is the first and the oldest of all our system of treaties.

Already at that time, many conflicts had erupted in areas that are indicated. And of course Mali followed right after. And therefore we decided, I mean, the director general took this orientation to strengthen the two treaties that were specifically related to conflict zones, I would say of course The Hague Convention, but also the 1970 convention for a fight against illicit traffic. As you can imagine, when there is an armed conflict, when
governments lose control of their territory, looting happens, illicit traffic and illicit excavation in archaeological sites as we unfortunately see in many of these scenarios. So we tried to boost both conventions. These conventions are, in our view, very important, very close to the mandate of the organisation. But they suffer a little bit some limitations. Very simple, one limitation is the fact that not all the member states have signed these conventions. In fact, the 1954 convention has 127 member states, which means that one-third of the constituency of UNESCO has not signed the convention. And the 1970 convention has just passed the two-thirds mark and has 131 member States. Again, it means that almost a third of constituency is not there, which is I think a limitation of a clear -- a clear limitation because we would need a much, say, broader constituency to be effective.

In this respect, if I can add, we have recently launched a campaign. The director general has written to all member states in their highest representation to invite them to sign these two conventions.

The other thing that we do is not only to promote the convention in their image and so on in the media, but also to strengthen them in terms of the resources that are available for them and to somehow promote international cooperation.

The 1954 convention is essentially based on the mobilisation of resources from member States. And some member States have taken this seriously and they have developed activities within their military to train people, to develop a, say, protection of sites and do exercises. And this has been very, very effective because many governments and many states have been able to help other states that are, you know, weaker in the protection of heritage in quite an effective way.

The Hague Convention is very important but unfortunately belongs to a series of, a long series I would say of international treaties that started back in the 19th century aimed at
protecting heritage in case of armed conflict, but in a very, say, let's say, classical form, you know, where wars were essentially international wars. Now in the past 50 years, most of the conflict that we are witnessing are essentially internal conflicts. Therefore, this convention is less effective than we would hope because of the nature of the conflict. However, it is the only one that the international community has in terms of a tool and, therefore, we are trying to use it also as a tool for, you know, increasing and improving the capacities of the different forces, the military, the police forces, the customaries and so on to -- custom officers to protect heritage in the event of armed conflict.

If I can add, because of these limitations, UNESCO has recently taken a new initiative in November of last year, the general conference of UNESCO has adopted a document, which is called the Strategy for the Reinforcement of the Protection of Cultural Heritage in Case of Armed Conflict. Of course it builds on the principles of The Hague Convention, but essentially it tries to mobilise additional resources and to somehow deal with this new form of conflict that we witness today.
Q. [10:45:59] Thank you, Mr Witness, for a very exhaustive answer which depicts UNESCO's efforts towards mobilising all legal instruments for the protection of heritage. I have a few more questions for you relating to your activities. You indicated that prior to becoming the assistant director general, you had served as the director of the World Heritage Centre at UNESCO for a 10-year period.

I would like us to now look at page 0872 of the CV, court officer, which outlines a list of the activities undertaken by the witness during his tenure at the World Heritage Centre. I would like to go to what is at the bottom of the page, and there you can see that you participated in the promotion of the, in English, (Speaks English) preservation of the historical centre of Timbuktu, Mali.
(Interpretation) Mr Witness, can you tell the Court what this entailed?
A. [10:47:32] Yes, I can elaborate a little bit. Timbuktu is a site that is located in a very difficult region in terms of, you know, climatically and also it is very remote. Sometimes Timbuktu is almost associated to the idea of remoteness.

Therefore, since its inscription in the World Heritage List in 1988, that is the day of -- date of inscription, we always had a number of problems in the management in the conservation and preservation of the site. These problems were essentially linked to climatic factors.

In the winter very often the region, which is an arid region in the Sahel, is hit by torrential rains. Sometimes these rains can, you know, last two, three, four days, and maybe during those three, four days the entire, say, rain of the year, precipitation of the year falls, which of course this has a very strong, it's a very strong eroding factor of these buildings that are made essentially of mud bricks.

Then during other times of the year, especially in the spring, you have another phenomena which is also very, say, damaging of the buildings, which are the wind of the Sahara. During the period of in the spring essentially, you have very often wind storms, very heavy wind storms that bring to the area a huge amount of sands -- sand, which sometimes fills completely the city and fills the buildings of sand.

So the maintenance of these sites is extremely complex and requires a constant attention and consistent resources. So we were trying since 1988 to address these issues because these climatic factors endangered the integrity of the site and threaten the survival of the mosques of Timbuktu, which are the constituent of the World Heritage Site.

All throughout the time from 1988 all the -- until recently actually, we actually provided technical assistance in form of, say, financial resources and technical missions. I would say that we have done, I don't -- I don't have the exact number, but at least a dozen of these technical assistance programmes and projects and missions all throughout.

In 1988 when the site was described in the World Heritage List, the situation was so critical that the committee decided to put it in the special list they call, it's called the List of World Heritage in Danger. And the site remained in this list for a long time, so in the -- for about 10 years or something like this.

In the midst of the first decade of the century the situation had improved. The local authorities, especially the imams of the different mosques had found ways of maintaining the mosques, removing the sand, huge quantities of sand, ensuring a regular maintenance of the -- of the plastering of the mosques. So at that point the committee decided to take the site off the list in danger. That's when I decided to actually go to Timbuktu, I had visited Timbuktu in 2005 to discuss of course this situation with -- with the local authorities and the imams, and at that time we were able to -- not only to verify, check on the situation which, as I said, was very positive, but also launch an activity for a complete survey of the monuments of Timbuktu, which we did. In fact, UNESCO did a complete architectural survey of the monuments which turned out to be extremely useful and very important for the reconstruction of the monuments after they were destroyed.
Q. [10:52:18] You raised a number of points which I would like to revisit, but we can summarise what you have said is that UNESCO and the Malian authorities deployed significant efforts to ensure that the various sites were mentioned, that is the sites enlisted on the World Heritage List; is that correct?
A. [10:52:47] Yes. Yes, this is the case.
Q. [10:52:51] Could you please repeat your answer.
A. [10:52:57] Sorry. Yes, yes, that is correct. That is the case.
Q. [10:53:09] Your answer is yes. And again I would please plead with you to observe a little pause before answering. Please try to do that.
A. Excusez-moi, oui.
Q. You went to Timbuktu in 2005 as you testified. How many times in total have you travelled to Timbuktu?
A. [10:53:30] In fact, that was my only visit.
Q. [10:53:32] Okay. You were made an honorary citizen of the town; is that correct?
A. [10:53:41] Yes, I received this honour. I am an honorary citizen of Timbuktu.
Q. [10:53:49] Can you explain the circumstances in which you were made honorary citizen of Timbuktu?
A. [10:53:54] Well, it was in fact during that mission where we were able to celebrate the fact that Timbuktu was off the list in danger and at that time the local administration organised a ceremony to -- to receive us, receive me and my team, and on that occasion, and I think it was a -- considered more an honour for UNESCO than for me, they -- they gave me a certificate of an honorary citizen of Timbuktu and of course we had a seminar not to discuss the situation of -- of the site.

We had many encounters at that time. I remember very well visiting all the sites and meeting the imams of the mosque and in particular the imam of the Djingareyber mosque, who is a person that we have always kept a connection with all throughout the time, before and during the occupation of the Ansar Dine and also after, afterwards he also came to UNESCO at least once or twice to testify, you know, the situation of the city. So we had developed -- used also the opportunity to develop a connection with the local authorities to strengthen their capacities, to see what were the needs and as I said earlier to launch this very important architectural survey of the monuments in order to have complete and very detailed understanding of the structures that composed the site. Q. [10:55:42] We still have a few minutes and I would like to put a question in follow-up to your answer: What did you observe, what were your feelings during that visit to Timbuktu in relation to the population's attachment to its heritage, particularly to
the heritage that is enlisted on the World Heritage List?
A. Well, very simply --
Q. And please just observe the pause again.
A. [10:56:26] Very simply I would say that the population is extremely attached to its heritage, both in terms of physical heritage, the mosques and the mausoleums, but also the -- what we call more the intangible heritage or, you know, represented more by the manuscripts, which as you know are one of the great richness of Timbuktu.

During my visit in fact I could witness a very spectacular event because they were doing at that time the -- what's called the crépissage in French, the -- essentially the plastering of the mosque. They took the mosque of Sankore, one of the three mosques, and they did the crépissage, the plastering of the -- of the mosque during the time of the visit. And this is essentially a collective effort. It's not done by specialists, it's done by the population. The women stay at the bottom of the mosque and prepare some -- the balls of clay. The clay is taken of course from places where there is a good quality, it's brought to the city and this clay is made up into balls and then the balls are passed on to the males which are all, you know, hanging in the different sides of the -- of the mosques. If you have seen a picture of this mosque you would notice that there are some poles that protrude out of the -- of the building, these poles are essentially the ladders for people to stand during the crépissage.

So these balls of clay are passed on, like a very -- in a very, you know, athletic way, very quickly up to the top of the building and then manually they are stuck to the building and they are -- you know, they form the new layer of plaster which is needed because as I said, you know, every year the climatic events, you know, somehow erode the plastering. It's very important to do the plastering every year because that's a -- ensures the preservation of the underneath structures.

So what I could see was essentially a collective effort is done on a voluntary basis, not retributed or paid, and it is something almost like a festive event, you know, that involves all the population and all their capacities, as I said, women, elderly at the bottom and the young people on the top to do this -- this plastering which has, of course, a functional but also a very highly symbolic value.

MR DUTERTRE: [10:59:25] (Interpretation) One last document to be displayed before the break and this will take only one second.

Document, public document 0029-1075.
Q. [10:59:52] Mr Witness, please be brief in your answer. And the picture that you're looking at, does that depict the process you have just described whereby the population is involved in the renovation exercise?
A. [11:00:04] Yes. It is an exact effect. It's a picture I took at that time and that's exactly what I was describing.

MR DUTERTRE: [11:00:12] (Interpretation) Thank you, Mr President. This might be a good time for the break.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [11:00:17] Thank you, Mr Dutertre.
Before we take the break may I just ask for planning purposes your estimate and how much longer you would wish to ask questions to the witness?

MR DUTERTRE: [11:00:36] (Interpretation) I will need about an hour, not more, and then we could call the next witness in the next session.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [11:00:43] Thank you. Thank you so much. So we take a break and we resume at 11.30.

THE COURT USHER: [11:00:49] All rise.
(Recess taken at 11.00 a.m.)
(Upon resuming in open session at 11.33 a.m.)

THE COURT USHER: [11:33:51] All rise.
Please be seated.
PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [11:34:12] Mr Witness, if I would just remind you before we resume that we have to speak a bit more -- you have to speak a bit more slowly for the benefit of the interpreters.

And, Mr Dutertre, please resume your questioning.
MR DUTERTRE: [11:34:30] (Interpretation) Thank you very much, your Honour. And I would say that I will also take drastic measures myself and I will wait for my case manager to efficiently indicate to me that the verbal translation has finished before I ask a question. And I will make a sign to the witness when I've finished asking my question as to when he can commence.
Q. [11:35:06] Now, we were talking about Timbuktu and I would like you to explain to the Chamber today what's the importance of the town of Timbuktu from a historical/cultural/commercial and religious perspective?
A. [11:35:33] Thank you for this question. It's very important to -- to stress the role of Timbuktu in history because this will reveal the importance of the heritage that we are protecting or trying to protect.

Well, Timbuktu was essentially, you know, a centre of trade, but it became very quickly an important intellectual centre in the 15th and 16th centuries. The origins of Timbuktu go back perhaps to earlier times. Estimates are that, in fact, a village was created and established around the 12th century to provide services to the traffic of caravans throughout the Sahara. This village, which was called Buktu, and Timbuktu means the place of Buktu, was gradually developed into a very important trade centre. If you look at the map you realise why. This is essentially the only important city in a very, very vast area of desert, and it is also a city that it is located at the border of the Sahelian region and
the Saharan region, so it really is a corner, I mean a hub for commerce of different goods. Which were the goods at that time? The goods at that time that made the fortune of Timbuktu were gold, it was trafficked from parts of Africa to the north and so on. Salt, near Timbuktu, in the surroundings about 50 miles from Timbuktu there is a very important salt mine, which in fact is still used for -- to mine -- to mine salt. The salt is cut in very large slabs and the slabs are put on camels and the camels are transferring the salt to the destinations. Now, salt at that time was a very important and rich commodity, so this made -- made the fortune of the city.

Salt, gold, probably slaves also at that time, made of Timbuktu not only a caravan hub but also a very important city in terms of its wealth and so on.

Now this wealth supported the creation of a very important, perhaps one of the most important intellectual centres in that region, which attracted scholars, intellectuals, of course religious people and so on, and the creation of the -- these mosques was a -- was possible due to or thanks to the riches of the city.

Now, the apogee of Timbuktu was during the kingdom of the Askia, which -- it's a kingdom that lasted for about one century from the end of the 15th century, 1493, to the end of the 16 th century, 1591, which is the date in which Timbuktu and the Askia kingdom were conquered by the Moroccan kingdom. So that actually put an end to the autonomous, say, life of Timbuktu as part of the Askia kingdom.

But during that century most of the mosques that we know today, the Djingareyber, Sankore, Sidi Yahia, were built and therefore we look at the heritage that was created during this golden age of the city. Now, of course that city continued its life, but in fact after the conquest of the Moroccans it started a long decline. Practically, the memory of Timbuktu was lost, at least in the west. It was only rediscovered in the 19th century with the explorers that went to the
region.
One important dimension of this heritage is the collection of manuscripts. Perhaps they're not under the protection of world heritage, so, you know, it's up to you to decide whether, you know, you want to have more information on this, but the manuscripts are really very important heritage. They are very large in numbers and they represent a collection of the Arabic knowledge of the time, which still is, you know, to be studied. The manuscripts of Timbuktu are largely in private property, belongs to families that have their own libraries, and only a very small component, a small part has been studied, has been documented, has been classified, has been scanned and so on. They were also, you know, part of the, say -- certainly they are part of the heritage of Timbuktu and certainly they were one of the heritage items most threatened during the occupation of the Ansar Dine.
Q. [11:41:23] Could I ask you to go back into certain points, particularly to go back into the role that this town had at an academic level, and also with regards to the expansion of Islam in Africa.?
A. [11:41:49] Yes. Well, during that time of the golden age, let's say the apogee, a number of schools were created that represented, you know, the best of -- or, actually were able to, say, teach the best of the Arabic knowledge. Arabs -- Arab -- as you know, the Arab world was the inheritant of the classical world. The knowledge of the classical world reached Europe during the middle ages through the Arab world, so it was the Arab world that actually preserved the great philosophical works of Aristotle, Plato, et cetera, et cetera. And all the manuscripts that you find there are -- you know, reflect this incorporation, let's say, of the classical culture. But more than that, this represents also the creation of the Islamic culture, so the Quranic studies and so on. So this was a mix of say philosophical, technical and religious teaching that took place in Timbuktu
during -- during the apogee.
Now because of the location of Timbuktu it was the perfect hub for the transmission of Islamic culture, and I would say in general culture to -- to the region of the Sahel. So therefore it is very, very -- we played a very important role in the expansion, intellectual expansion of Islam in the Sahelian region.
Q. [11:43:32] And could we sum up by saying that Timbuktu ultimately was an intellectual capital and a religious capital within this region of the world?
A. [11:43:46] Yes. I think we can say that Timbuktu was matching the roles that perhaps Florence played in the Renaissance in Europe as a centre of intellectual life, religious life and teaching.
Q. [11:44:14] And so during this period there were the mosques and mausoleums, the manuscripts as well which you mentioned, but it was the mosques and mausoleums which we're dealing with today. Now, could you indicate to us what the value is of these mausoleums for the people of Timbuktu today?
A. [11:44:45] Yes. Of course the mausoleums, which are sometimes associated to the mosques, represent a focal point for the religious life of the -- of the inhabitants, and I would say also the region, and they are a focus for very extensive pilgrimage. Now, these mausoleums signify the virtues of man that were -- for their wisdom, for their knowledge, for their, you know, religious commitment, you know, where it exemplified the, you know, the ideal life. And most of them lived in that period. There are different, say maybe some difference between the mausoleums of the different personalities, but most of them are from the 15th/16th century and they are -- have represented for Timbuktu a very important, you know, religious and symbolic I would say focal point. They still represent that. They are, you know, not only people show their great attachment to this area, to these mausoleums as symbols of these men, but also they
attract a lot of pilgrimages, as I said, from the entire region. So they represent very important value of the heritage site.
Q. [11:46:29] Before the break we talked about the mosques, I'm going to come back to them. There are a lot of mosques in Timbuktu, but there's the Djingareyber and Sidi Yahia mosques. Is it true that these are the most known, most important mosques in Timbuktu?
A. [11:46:58] Yes, I think it's correct, but I would like also to add that the Sankore mosque, because in fact these three represent the heritage site that was inscribed in the World Heritage List, I would say that they are equally important.
Q. [11:47:25] I'm now going to go into the process of registration with the World Heritage List and to see how it is applied, or how it was applied to Timbuktu and the heritage therein. I'll ask you a series of questions which will be quite short and I would ask if you could also reply in a brief manner.

So on the basis of the convention ' 72 which establishes the list of world heritage, it's the State which takes the initiative to ask for a building to be registered on the list?
A. [11:48:20] Yeah, that is correct. The proposal for nomination comes from the Member State, yeah.
Q. [11:48:32] So the State has to submit a detailed dossier which it has to submit to the Centre of World Heritage, one of the UNESCO organs; is that correct?
A. [11:48:42] Yes, that is also correct. We receive the nomination proposal from the Member States.
Q. [11:48:52] The role of the World Heritage Centre is to examine if the dossier is complete. If it is -- well, if not they'll send it back to the State, and if it is they will send it to an advisory body. We'll come back to that afterwards.
A. [11:49:12] Yes, that corresponds to the process, yes.
Q. [11:49:20] Now, where it concerns the buildings, this advisory body is ICOMOS, that is to say the International Council on Monuments and Sites; is that correct?
A. [11:49:34] Yes.
Q. [11:49:37] Could you tell us who that is made out of or who are the representative part --
A. [11:49:43] Yes. ICOMOS is an international organisation of professionals. It's an NGO that has its headquarters in Paris, secretariat in Paris, and about 110 national chapters. Essentially it is composed of heritage professionals ranging from architects to archaeologists to historians and restorers, I mean these different types of specialties and skills, and it is organised through a system of international committees which deal each one with a different theme; for instance, there is a committee for historic cities, one for monuments. There are about 28 of these committees and this constitutes the life of the organisation.

On top of this they are the advisory body of the World Heritage Convention, therefore the body that evaluates the nomination dossier.
Q. [11:50:46] So we're going to come back to that in a few minutes. So ICOMOS and their intervention is envisaged by the convention of '72. They have missions to the field to look at the interest or the importance of the building as wished by the State?
A. [11:51:09] Yes. Once they receive the nomination dossier, they prepare an evaluation, and part of the evaluation is a mission to the place to verify what the situation and conditions of the sites that has been proposed, yes.
Q. [11:51:31] So if I understand well, there are four types of recommendation that ICOMOS can give: One to be registered, and we know what that means; one a recommendation for it to be rejected, we know what that means. There are also a deferral or a referral recommendation. Could you explain to us what a deferral is and a
referral if you would be so kind?
A. [11:52:00] Yes. In the evaluation process, ICOMOS analyses the different criteria and conditions that are required for the inscription. If they feel that the site does not fulfil yet the criterias that are established by the convention, they can ask for a deferral, means that essentially the site is given back the nomination, the proposal is given back to the member state for a reformulation in terms of, you know, the nature of the site, for instance, its perimeter or its composition, or very often for what concerns the management structures. You know, a site cannot be inscribed in the World Heritage List unless it is properly managed. And very often this dimension is lacking, so ICOMOS can propose a deferral.

Now, a deferral means that essentially the state has to reformulate entirely the nomination dossier and come back in the following cycle.

Where else, if you allow me, a referral is, you know, an indication that the site has reached a very good level of completeness in terms of the description of its value and the management, the procedures and so on, but still some elements are lacking. Normally, for instance, we have problems with the perimeters, not indication of the borders of the site, whether there are some elements that are missing and so on, so things that can be fixed in a relatively short time.

And therefore the referral, unlike the deferral, does not imply the, say, a new cycle.
Essentially it is sent to the following meeting of the committee the following year, and that gives the member state the time to fix the missing part.
Q. [11:54:16] Thank you. That was very clear.

Deferral, if it's been completed for the next cycle, so the dossiers been completed for the next cycle, does this happen a lot that a deferral takes place?
A. [11:54:34] Yes, it is actually quite frequent. You know, the nomination dossier are
complex, becoming even more complex because of the requirements that have been, you know, developed along the years. So on average I would say that half the sites that are discussed every year in fact are requested for deferral.
Q. [11:55:03] So ICOMOS can make these four recommendations, rejection, registration, deferral, referral, and then that's sent to the committee, the World Heritage Committee that's created by the convention of '72?
A. [11:55:20] Yes, the World Heritage Committee is the governing body of the convention and it is the decision-making body. In fact, the dossier is sent to them for the discussion and the final decision. A committee can or cannot accept the recommendation of the advisory body.
Q. [11:55:49] Could you explain briefly who is on the committee?
A. [11:55:56] Yes. The committee as the governing body of the convention is elected by the general assembly of the convention. So every two years about half of the committee is renewed. The body is made up of 21 member states. As I said they change every two years, half of the body change every two years, and so every two years there is an election for half of the committee.

In recent times there has been a reorganisation of the process, so we have established regional seats so that every region is properly represented, because there was a moment in which the free system of election left some regions without representation.
Q. [11:56:51] And all this process, how much time does it take on average?
A. [11:56:55] The process takes about a year and a half because the dossier has to arrive at the World Heritage Centre the 1st February of every given year and then it is discussed by the committee in the session of the following year, so it is about one and a half years.
Q. [11:57:19] So all of that seems to be a very formal rigorous process.
A. [11:57:26] It is quite regulated by the operation guidelines which, by the way, is one of the documents that has been transmitted to the Court by UNESCO. It's included in your file.
Q. [11:57:50] I'm now going to go on to the criteria to be registered on the World Heritage List. We've talked about the process, but I'd now like us to go into the process itself.

And, court officer, if you'd be so kind so as to show the public document 0029-0889 and specifically page 0912. It's public.

Please excuse me, I wanted to go to page 0906.
Do you see the document, witness?
A. [11:59:40] (Microphone not activated)
Q. [11:59:40] Now, on this page you have the quote of Article 1 of the Convention of '72 on world heritage, which is written as follows:
"So for the present convention the following are considered as cultural heritage: Monuments, architectural works, sculpture, monumental painting, structures or elements of an archaeological nature, inscriptions, caves, other elements which have a universal and exceptional value from the point of view of history, art or science."

Now my question is as follows, Mr Witness: Could you explain to us or explain to the Chamber what we understand by exceptional universal value, which is one of the conditions of registration on the list?
A. [12:01:10] Yes. In fact, the concept of outstanding universal value, valeur exceptionnelle universelle, is the key concept in the World Heritage Convention. To be in the World Heritage List a site has to be declared possessing the outstanding universal value; if it doesn't, it will never be inscribed. So it is the key concept.

Of course there are other criteria that come into play. I mentioned for instance the level
of protection and the criteria, authenticity and integrity, which I can also explain if needed, but without this definition it will never be inscribed, so it is a key concept. Now what it means essentially is it may seem a bit tautologic, but that's the definition of the convention, a site that has such an exceptional value in that it goes beyond the local dimension.

How to reach this definition is the task of the evaluation process and it is done essentially through a comparative system. Of course we don't derive the concept of outstanding universal value from an abstract principles, but we try to compare a site with sites of similar nature or of similar value.

So a fundamental component of the evaluation process is in fact the comparative analysis which is done by the member states in the composition, in the drafting of the dossier, and very often integrated by ICOMOS.

I must say that because of the importance of this comparative analysis, very often this is the weak point of the nomination dossier, and very often ICOMOS defers the nomination because the comparative analysis is not sufficient.

Now, through the comparative analysis the member states and the evaluator, ICOMOS, can assess whether the value of the site goes beyond the local or national level and whether this site deserves, therefore, to be considered for an inscription in the World Heritage List.
Q. [12:03:48] Thank you for that explanation.

Madam court officer, if we could please now move to another page, 0912, which I mentioned a few moments ago, and if we could zoom in and move downwards on the screen.

Witness, now, on this document we find a list of criteria for the assessment of exceptional universal value and it says that the candidate, so to speak, must meet one of the following
criteria: It must represent a masterpiece of human creativity, it must bear witness to a considerable influence over a period of time or over a determined cultural area, or it must provide or represent a unique form of testimony or bear witness to a living or ancient cultural tradition or civilisation, it must be an eminent example of a particular kind of building or architecture or technology, or finally, it must be an eminent example of traditional human -- traditional human establishment or settlement. And there are other criteria on the following page. This is taken from a document dated 8 July 2015, but would it be correct to say that these criteria were also used in the past when Mali was a candidate for this designation and these were indeed the criteria that the World Heritage Committee followed to assess the exceptional universal value of a particular building?
A. [12:06:52] Yes. As I explained earlier, the outstanding universal value which is established through a comparative system has to be anchored to one of the criteria. You read five out of the six criteria for cultural heritage, then there are four other criteria for natural heritage.

So essentially it has -- these criteria help in the description of the reason why the site is considered for inscription in the World Heritage List in a way giving a characterisation to the nature of the site. So one criteria alone is sufficient, sometimes sites are ascribed for more than one criteria, but one criteria alone is sufficient but at least one has to be identified.
Q. [12:07:53] I would now like to move directly to the case of Mali. Mali ratified the 1972 convention before the events of 2012, which we are familiar with. Could you confirm that indeed the first application for this designation was submitted and that was in 1979 and, in that particular case, the committee recommended deferral because there was a lack of information about the buildings in question. The ICOMOS
committee -- (overlapping speakers)?
A. [12:08:43] Yes. In fact when the member State proposed the nomination of Timbuktu for the first time, it was found by ICOMOS that their dossier was lacking some important elements and therefore they proposed for a deferral of the site.
Q. [12:09:10] And then there was a second application or a second file was submitted later.
A. [12:09:19] That is correct. The member States came back a few years later with this new dossier which at that time included the entire historic city of Timbuktu.

MR DUTERTRE: [12:09:54] (Interpretation) My microphone was switched off, I apologise. Excessive enthusiasm, no doubt.

I'd now like to draw your attention to tab 21 in the binder. And could the court officer display publicly 0013-3541, and then if she could kindly move on to page 3543 once the document is up. Reference number 0013-3541 at page 3543.
Q. [12:10:48] Witness, can you see this document?
A. [12:10:51] Yes, I see it.
Q. [12:10:57] Is this the second application from Mali for designation of these buildings?
A. [12:11:04] Yes, that is the nomination dossier received in 1987.
Q. [12:11:17] If we could now move on to page 3562.

Witness, can you confirm that the Djingareyber mosque is specifically mentioned in this application?
A. [12:11:55] Yes, I confirm that.
Q. [12:12:07] If we could now move to page 3584.

Could you confirm that the Sidi Yahia mosque is mentioned?
A. [12:12:57] Yes, I confirm.
Q. [12:12:58] If we could now move to page 3592 just to conclude our review of this particular document.

Witness, the various mausoleums are listed here.
A. [12:13:41] Yes, that's correct.
Q. [12:13:42] Thank you. What recommendation was issued by the committee after this second candidacy, the ICOMOS committee?
A. [12:13:51] As I just said, the nomination dossier included the entire historic city of Timbuktu but ICOMOS was not convinced that the conservation measures that were proposed were sufficient for their preservation of the site in its entirety and therefore ICOMOS recommended to limit the nomination to the three mosques Djingareyber, Sidi Yahia and Sankore, and to the mausoleums, so only to the monuments of the city and not to the entire perimeter of the historic city.
Q. [12:14:37] And finally, what was the decision by the World Heritage Committee?
A. [12:14:41] Well, at that time in 1988, during its 12th session the committee approved the recommendation of ICOMOS and inscribed the site, as I just said, as a combination of the three mosques and the sixteen mausoleums.
Q. [12:15:07] Mr Witness, now off the top of your head, could you list those mausoleums, or would you prefer with authorisation of the Chamber for me to refresh your memory with your statement?
A. [12:15:23] I think I know a few of them but not all of the 16, but of course I remember a few of them.

Some of them are grouped. The mausoleums are -- sometimes are annexed to the mosques. For instance, the Djingareyber mosque has two of them. Sometimes are -- they are placed in what the -- they're called cemeteries or cimetières, cemeteries. So there are in the city a number of cemeteries. For instance, there is one called the
cemeteries of the Three Saints which has three, the important mausoleums. If I'm not wrong, the Sheikh Abdoul Kassim Attouaty was one of them, the Sheikh Sidi El Micky and the Sheikh Sidi Ben Amar Arragadi. These are the three saints.

Then there are -- there is another cemetery called the cemetery of the babies, cimetière des enfants where it has other mausoleums, for instance, the one of Mohamed Boukkou. And then there is an area called the Kabara that has also three mausoleums, the Sheikh Nouh, Sheikh Ousmane, Mohamed Al Fulane. So as you see, they are in different parts. Sometimes they are isolated, for instance, the -- one of the biggest one which is the Alpha Moya mausoleum is isolated, and scattered in different parts of the city, sometimes just outside and so on. So this creates, you know, a difference. You know, some of them are very close to the mosque, other are parts of cemeteries, but in the World Heritage nomination only the individual monuments have been inscribed, not the areas, not the cemeteries. However, the cemeteries enjoy a national level of protection, they are listed under national law, so is in fact the city of Timbuktu as an historic city. The entire city is listed in the national laws as a heritage but not in the World Heritage List.

## Q. [12:17:45] Thank you, Mr Witness.

You mentioned a number of mausoleums that were protected and which were not included in the charge. Just to be perfectly clear for the transcript and the case record I would like to ask the Chamber for leave to show part of our expert witness's statement, which includes a list of protected buildings just so that he can recognize and confirm this particular list.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [12:18:34] Yes, please proceed.
MR DUTERTRE: [12:18:38] (Interpretation)
Q. [12:18:42] I draw your attention to tab 1, and this contains the statement 0029-0843.

And if we could go directly to page 0861 and if we could zoom in on paragraphs 99 and 100, paragraphs 99 and 100.

Now this page can be shown publicly, but the rest of the document must remain confidential.

Mr Witness, at paragraph 99 we see the following:
(Speaks English) "The following sites are protected as World
Heritage:
Sidi Yahia Mosque; Sidi Mahmoud Ben Omar Mohamed Aquit; Sheikh Sidi Ahmed Ben Amar Arragadi; Sheikh Abdoul Kassim Attouaty; Sheikh Muhammad El Micky; Sheikh Sidi Mokhtar Ben Sidi Mouhammad Ben Sheikh Al Kabir; Alpha Moya; Djingareyber Mosque and the two tombs attached."

The next paragraph mentions the two particular tombs in question Bahaber Babadié and Ahamed Fulane. Could you confirm that all these monuments, be they mosques or mausoleums, are indeed on the World Heritage List?
A. [12:21:03] Yes, I -- I confirm that these elements as listed are inscribed in the World Heritage List, but allow me to say also that this list is incomplete because it was built on my personal memory, but we have also included in your -- in your files the official list issued by the government of Mali, it's annex 12, which, you know, is the one that should be the reference for this case.
Q. [12:21:46] Yes, indeed there is a list in a document dating back to 2015 and that list mentions all the other sites that have been recorded on the World Heritage List, and that particular list is broader than the list that was provided in the charge. But I do thank you for pointing out that detail. It was very helpful.

Mr Witness, now once a monument has been recorded or placed on the World Heritage List, could you explain to the Bench what the consequences are, what are the obligations
and duties of UNESCO and States to preserve and maintain these buildings?
A. [12:22:50] Yes. Once the site is inscribed it -- the government has taken a certain number of obligations. These obligations are normally described in the decision that the committee takes at the time of inscription, and of course they are referred to the appropriate preservation and maintenance of the site. So the responsibility for the conservation of the site belongs to the government. UNESCO plays a role of control and watch. We essentially observe the situation. If we find that there is no problem, we don't have -- no need to intervene. If we find on the contrary that the State has not complied with the obligations or some other factor has affected the conservation and the preservation of the site, then we try to intervene. We normally warn the State, through, you know, communications that we feel that there is a matter of concern and we inform the committee through a system which is called the state of conservation reports, which we transmit every year to the World Heritage Committee. These documents are based on information that we receive from the Member States on the situation of the site, or in case of lacking information we can send a mission, or even, you know, obtain information through other forms, through experts and so on. So we try to identify the issues that affect the site, we inform the committee, and the committee during its yearly session examines all the state of conservation reports and issues its own recommendations which we are now then implementing during the following year.
Q. [12:25:00] You said that in 2005 you travelled through Timbuktu, and that reminds me of something. There was a preservation plan, the 2006-2010 conservation plan; am I correct? What was the respective role of UNESCO and the Malian authorities when it came to implementing this plan?
A. [12:25:30] In fact, my mission was preliminary to the preparation of this plan. We issued technical assistance. You know, we have a fund, it was called the

World Heritage Fund. It's not a big fund but it supports technical assistance programmes, so we issued support to the Member State, and during the following year through the -- with the help of international consultants, some of them were with me in fact during my visit, we were able to complete this management plan. Now, the management plan is a very fundamental tool, in fact it's one of the requirements for inscription. Not all the sites have it because sometimes, especially in old inscriptions like these ones, you know, these requirements were not so strict. But nowadays, you know, no site will be allowed into the World Heritage List without a management plan. So the management plan was completed in 2006 and it became the reference document for the activities for the conservation of the site involving the responsibilities both of the government, the central government and the local governments and the local actors, in particular the imams of the mosque.
Q. [12:27:01] My last question to you, sir: Let us set aside Timbuktu and I'll ask you a general question now that has nothing to do with the 2012 events. I would like to ask you to explain in general terms what is the impact of the destruction of a building or monument that has been placed on the World Heritage List; in other words, let us not delve into the actual events, but rather, generally speaking, what is the impact when a monument or building is destroyed?
A. [12:27:42] I will answer, if you allow me, also with some examples because it is important to -- to look at what happens. Well, the destruction of a site in whatever forms, whether voluntary or by natural disasters, is a very major event in our -- in the conduction of the convention. The convention is supposed to extend an international protection to the sites, so if a site is destroyed because of an act of war or conflict or because of a natural disaster we have the obligation to intervene and to try to help the situation. I would like to recall, for instance, the deliberate destruction of the Bamiyan Buddhas
in 2001, which was a, you know, a major shock I would say in international cultural affairs. This destruction led to a very important intervention of UNESCO in the following years to consolidate the site of the niches of the Buddhas and so on, and to direct reorganisation of the local capacities for -- for conservation. Today, nowadays we witness many destructions. You know that recently we had very severe damages to important World Heritage sites like Palmyra in Syria and Aleppo and many others. And I must say that this has become the core of our concerns.

Of course we deal with all the sites and we deal with all the situations, but the deliberate destruction of cultural heritage has become, unfortunately, a very important dimension of our work.

We also, as I said, intervene in case of natural disasters. For instance, we have recently done important activities in Nepal, which was hit by a quake last year. And Haiti, and many other places I can give you a number of examples. But certainly the deliberate destruction and the destruction of heritage during conflict has become -- also because of the large amount of and the vastity of the front that we have to deal with has become a major element of activity of UNESCO.
Q. [12:30:12] I thank you, Mr Witness. I have no further questions for you. MR DUTERTRE: [12:30:22] (Interpretation) And I now turn to the Chamber for guidance regarding the next phase of our trial. PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [12:30:32] Thank you, Counsel. Before I proceed to the next phase I would like to ask Mr Aouini if he has questions for this witness?

MR AOUINI: [12:30:45] (No interpretation)
PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [12:30:58] I am waiting for the interpretation. MR AOUINI: (Interpretation) We have no questions for the witness, Mr President.

Thank you.
PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [12:31:05] I just now received the English translation.

Well, thank you. Thank you so much, Mr Aouini.
Let me just now consult with the Chamber on the process for shifting from the open session with this witness and to the next witness, but we will hang on to the witness for the moment.
(Trial Chamber confers)
PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [12:32:40] Judge Mindua will have some questions for the witness. JUDGE MINDUA: [12:32:47] (Interpretation) Mr President, I have just one question, not many questions.

Mr Expert Witness, I would like to avail myself of your unchallenged experience in this area to seek some small clarification on a small matter arising from the last question put to you by the Prosecutor in relation to the impact arising from the loss of a monument or building that is listed on the World Heritage List.

You talked about the Bamiyan Buddhas and the destruction in the ancient city of Palmyra. Now my question is in relation to the damage that may be felt or experienced, so to speak, by humanity in general. Can you please address the two aspects of this question: The monuments and buildings are protected, or should I say listed by UNESCO. What, to your mind, is the damage suffered by UNESCO and what would be the damage suffered by humanity itself when it comes to the Bamiyan Buddhas and to the case of Palmyra? Can you assess that there is damage or prejudice suffered at one level or at two levels? Thank you.

THE WITNESS: [12:34:33] Thank you, your Honour, for this very important question.

And obviously the destruction of heritage, it's something that, you know, concerns both UNESCO and those who believe in the international system for protection of heritage. For UNESCO it is, let's say -- you said, you used the word prejudice, damage or something like this because, you know, we are supposed to protect these sites. The international law is there for the protection of sites. Whenever this protection fails, of course, you know, we are suffering, you know, or damaged because we show the limits of the international system of protection. This is why we are so active in trying to do prevention. This is why we are so active in trying to intercept all the possible threats that affect sites.

But in some cases we are in a situation of -- we are unable to give a proper response. You mentioned the Buddha of Bamiyan that I referred to, but during that case, I remember very well because I was already director of the World Heritage Centre, during that case we mobilised all the international opinion, public opinion, and directly the most important imams of the Islamic world, they issued, especially the Al Azhar mosque in Cairo, they issued declarations asking the Taliban not to proceed with the destruction of the Buddhas.

We tried to even -- we sent an envoy that was trying to discuss with the Talibani government this issue and trying to -- he spent over two months in the country just trying to convince them.

When we failed and the Buddhas were destroyed, the system of international protection suffered the damage because we were showing the limits of it. Similar is the case of Palmyra. This is a world heritage site. It has been, you know, one of the most important heritage archaeological sites in the world, over 100 years of research and excavations and so on, a symbol, an icon of world heritage. When this site was destroyed, of course we are suffering because we show that our system has limits.

Obviously we can't change the history, but that's the situation.
For the public that are interested in world heritage, as I said this is now becoming -- has become a major convention in the world. World Heritage sites are very, very well-known and people recognize them as part of modern culture. So when a site is destroyed, you must have noticed that destruction of Palmyra, for instance, raised huge reactions from the public opinion, from institutions, cultural institutions around the world, the big museums and so on, when a site is destroyed deliberately, I think the entire community that believes that heritage is a fundamental component of cultural, modern cultural life, it's suffering. So it is really a wound that is sometimes very hard to heal. JUDGE MINDUA: [12:38:22] (Interpretation) Thank you very much, expert witness, for your very clear explanation.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [12:38:31] Judge Schmitt, would you have some questions?

There are no more questions from the Chamber, Mr Witness.
And in behalf of the Chamber and of the Court, I thank you for appearing before us today and for answering all the questions put to you. Thank you and you're now excused.

THE WITNESS: [12:38:51] Thank you.
(The witness is excused)
PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [12:38:52] Let me now turn to the schedule, Mr Dutertre, for the next witness. It is now 40 minutes past 12. The court officer has advised me that they will need time to shift for the protective measures for the next witness, and so the Court will now take a break. We will resume -- I need help with the math here -- we will resume at quarter past 2.

Let's break now. Thank you.
THE COURT OFFICER: [12:39:38] All rise.
(Recess taken at 12.39 p.m.)
(Upon resuming in open session at 2.20 p.m.)
THE COURT USHER: [14:20:45] All rise. Please be seated.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [14:21:11] The Prosecution is ready with the next witness? Thank you.

We will now hear Witness P-431.
Mr Witness, good afternoon and welcome, welcome to the Court. You're going to testify before the International Criminal Court, Mr Witness, and we will now ask you to make the solemn undertaking under Rule 66(1). There is a card in front of you with a solemn undertaking to tell the truth. Please read out the card for the Court.

Please read it aloud, Mr Witness.
WITNESS: MLI-OTP-P-0431
(The witness speaks French)
THE WITNESS: [14:22:24] (Interpretation) I solemnly declare that I will tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [14:22:40] Thank you so much, Mr Witness. As a preliminary point, the Chamber notes that the Prosecution presents this witness to provide expert testimony on Mali's cultural heritage.

Does the Defence object to this witness giving expert testimony on these topics?
MR AOUINI: [14:23:09] (Interpretation) No, your Honour. In principle we have no objection to the witness giving his testimony. Thank you, President.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [14:23:21] And thank you as well, Counsel.
The Chamber accordingly permits this witness to testify as an expert.
Let me now explain to you, Mr Witness, the protective measures that have been put in
place by the Chamber granted in decision 133.
Mr Witness, we have put in place the following measures for your protection:
Number one, face and voice distortion, meaning that no one outside this courtroom can see your face or hear your real voice during your testimony;

Second, there will also be the use of a pseudonym. Accordingly, you will be referred to only as "Mr Witness" to make sure that the public does not know your name.

When you answer questions that -- when you answer questions that will not give away who you are we will do so in open session, which means that the public can hear what is being said in the courtroom.

When you are asked to describe anything that relates specifically to you or are asked to mention facts that might reveal your identity, for example any locations where you live or persons close to you, we will do this in private session. As I've already explained to you, in private session there is no broadcast and no one outside the courtroom can hear your answer.

If, Mr Witness, you are ever unsure if the hearing is in open or private session, please do not hesitate to ask.

If ever anything gets said during open session which should have been said in private session we will do our best to protect this information. Your testimony will be broadcast on a delay, and we can remove any such remarks from the broadcast before they are heard by the public and from the public transcript of the proceedings.

The Chamber recognizes that your security and well-being is important. If at any point you feel that you would like a brief break from giving your testimony, or if you feel unwell, please do not hesitate to say.

I now turn, Mr Witness, to a few practical matters. Everything we say here in the courtroom is written down and interpreted into English and French. It is therefore
important to speak clearly and to speak at a moderate or rather slow pace. We want to make sure that your words can be well understood by the interpreters and by the rest of us.

Please speak into the microphone and only start speaking when the person asking you the question has finished. To allow for the interpretation, everyone has to wait a few seconds before starting to speak. So I recommend to you that when your lawyer has asked -- when the lawyer has asked his or her question please count in your head to three and only then give your answer.

If you have questions yourself, just raise your hand so we know that you wish to say something and we will give you the opportunity to speak.

I hope, Mr Witness, that that is all clear to you? It is clear, Mr Witness? THE WITNESS: [14:26:57] (Interpretation) Yes. PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [14:26:59] Thank you so much. THE WITNESS: [14:27:01] (Interpretation) Yes, it is very clear up to now. PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [14:27:04] Thank you, Mr Witness. Then I give the floor to the Prosecution. THE INTERPRETER: [14:27:10] Request from the interpreter: Could the microphone be put closer to the speaker's mouth, please. Thank you very much.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [14:27:18] I'm sorry, before you begin, the interpreter has requested, I imagine that it is the microphone of the witness. Yes, please. Mr Witness, can you draw the microphones closer to your lips.

THE WITNESS: [14:27:40] (Interpretation) I think that will do it.
PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [14:27:45] Thank you so much, Mr Witness. Counsel, please proceed.

MR MUNEESAMY: [14:27:51] I'm grateful, your Honour.

QUESTIONED BY MR MUNEESAMY:
Q. [14:27:58] Mr Witness, before starting my examination, let me remind you that we are in public session. And before asking the questions I will just give you an overview of the different topics we will cover during today's examination.

The first topic will deal with your academic and professional background; the second topic will be looking at your role during the occupation of Timbuktu; the third topic will look at the importance of Malian cultural heritage, especially that of Timbuktu; the fourth topic will look at the legal regimes of protection concerning the cultural heritage of Timbuktu; and the last topic will deal with the reactions of the local and international community in relation to the destructions of the sites of Timbuktu.

Was I clear, Mr Witness, in the description of different topics?
A. [14:29:37] Indeed. Yes, it is clear for me.

MR MUNEESAMY: [14:29:40] Your Honours, to deal with the first two topics I will ask that we move into private session.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [14:29:48] And counsel may I ask do you have any time estimate for how long we will be in private session?

MR MUNEESAMY: [14:29:52] Roughly around 20 minutes, your Honour.
PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [14:29:55] Yes.
Okay, court officer, we go into private session.
(Private session at 2.30 p.m.)
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21 (Open session at 3.17 p.m.)
22 THE COURT OFFICER: [15:17:11] We are back in open session, Mr President.
23 PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [15:17:28] Thank you.
24 MR MUNEESAMY: [15:17:29] May I proceed, your Honour?
25 PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [15:17:31] Yes.

MR MUNEESAMY: [15:17:33]
Q. [15:17:34] Mr Witness, let me remind you we're now back into public session, so I would ask you to be careful not to reveal any information pertaining to your identity. Now, you mentioned earlier that the Malian cultural heritage is important because of its diversity and quality. Now, my next question, which is a follow-up question from this remark, pertains to the social functions of the Malian cultural heritage. Can you tell us what they are?
A. [15:18:36] If one were to talk about the social functions of Mali's cultural heritage, one would say that the cultural heritage, broadly speaking, is part of the education of the upcoming generations. It also contributes to providing social ethics and etiquette as well as educating the people on their history.

Heritage, cultural heritage in one word is part of the socialisation of the people. So for each of the items of a people's cultural heritage you can always find a relation, a relationship to the community, a link to ethics with a link to cultural identity as it emerges from within a people.

Cultural heritage also is a vehicle through which the values of a community can be recognized. Therefore, heritage is of genuine importance when one considers how societies function, to the extent that it contributes to the socialisation of a people, to the people's identity and to the furtherance of the values and value systems of people touching on matters such as ethics, education, history and what have you. Therefore, each aspect of the cultural heritage can be seen to function along the lines I have just described.

But when you contact communities and you ask them about what the social functions and values could be, you are likely to get these types of answers.
Q. [15:21:52] Where does Timbuktu fit in the Malian cultural heritage?
A. [15:22:19] Timbuktu is at the very centre of the story of Mali's cultural heritage, it holds a distinguished position insofar as it is listed on the World Heritage List of UNESCO, it also is reflected in the national classification of heritage. And various explorers and travellers to that area discovered the mythical and other dimensions of the city in terms of how attractive it was to various persons and travellers and, therefore, Timbuktu holds a place of choice, so to speak, over and above other areas of Mali. All people are proud of Timbuktu and of its renown, as well as of the role that the city played in the history of Mali, including the place that Timbuktu now holds at the international level.

For all these reasons, Timbuktu is an emblematic city and an integral part of the cultural heritage of Mali.
Q. [15:24:27] Can you tell us what role Timbuktu played in the spread of Islam in the region?
A. [15:24:53] Timbuktu played a role in the dissemination of Islam in the region can be said simply to have been a very important role. You see, at some point in history, Timbuktu crystallised a high level of dissemination of Islam because it had universities in the early times of history and became a centre for training and education in Islam. Timbuktu was also a trade centre and a centre from which Islam was to spread through the efforts of various scholars who taught Islam and its culture. Most of those scholars were saints. They were the ones who spread Islam throughout the West African region. Timbuktu, therefore, played and, by the way, continues to play that role through the rich documentary material that was left by the scholars, and I'm referring here to the various manuscripts. In that regard, therefore, Timbuktu played a central role as a trade centre and as a city from which Islam would spread and where the manuscripts were produced. It was also the city that hosted a number of saints. And today the products of their work
is still attracting a lot of attention, and I'm referring to the mausoleums of the saints in which these saints have found rest today.
Q. [15:28:09] I'll come back to the mausoleums in my next question, but first, just to have it on record, in 2006 Timbuktu was named the capital of Islamic culture and backed by the Islamic organisation for education, sciences and culture. Do you confirm this? A. [15:28:46] Yes, I can confirm that. And earlier on I was saying that this is part of the reason for which we held the national week of heritage, the National Heritage Week in Timbuktu in order to celebrate that recognition, by revisiting Timbuktu's history and also recognising its role as a city that contributed to the spread of Islam and also recognising its contribution in earthly items that have become part of the UNESCO World Heritage List.
Q. [15:29:44] Thank you. Now, you spoke of mausoleums. Tell us what are those mausoleums and who are the saints?
A. [15:30:11] What are those mausoleums and who are the saints? Well, let me say in general terms is that mausoleums are the tombs of saints, tombs of people who played an important role in spreading the Islamic culture. As I said before, these were people who during their lifetime were scholars, they were academics who explored all areas of knowledge.

At the end of their lives, these saints were buried in mausoleums which were sometimes -- which sometimes would also contain some disciples who were linked to those saints.

So what one can say is that the saints are resting in the mausoleums. The question then is who are these saints?

We might be able to provide some very brief information about some of the saints, particularly those whose mausoleums figure on the National Heritage List and on the

UNESCO World Heritage List. However, there is still ongoing research to gather more information regarding these saints.

As I have said before, there is some information about the saints whose mausoleums appear on the World Heritage List, but when it comes to conservation we need to gather more information to substantiate what already exists. But in any event, each mausoleum has a name and it has some information relating to the saints, as required for such to be documented and entered on the UNESCO World Heritage List.
Q. [15:33:22] Can you tell us what do these mausoleums represent for the local community?
A. [15:33:46] For the people of Timbuktu, these mausoleums are of great importance. First of all, the mausoleums in Timbuktu reveal or display the presence of the saints who lie in those tombs, reflected in the physical structures. The mausoleums also reflect part of Timbuktu's history, its role in the expansion and spread of Islam. The mausoleums also reflect all aspects of life in Timbuktu to the extent that, from the time of their creation to this day, the mausoleums continue to have the same importance and to elicit the same attachment and admiration from the communities.

Furthermore, the mausoleums also are a reflection of life in Timbuktu because they are a reflection of the people's commitment to Islam. The communities of Timbuktu consider the mausoleums as places of prayer and they provide psychological safety nets for the people, to the extent that the people perceive them as protection.
In a nutshell, what I am saying is that the mausoleums are important at several levels. First of all, as a testimony to the past history and religion of a people that continues to shine in the minds of the people of Timbuktu.

The mausoleums also play the role of protectors of the city. One could say even that these aspects are a reflection of life itself in Timbuktu and that is why the mausoleums are
such an important testimony of the life of Timbuktu as a centre for Islamic culture and as a place where the scholars who now repose in those areas played in the expansion of Islam and relations with other communities. So it also played -- they also play a psychological role, to the extent that they provide protection for the city. Q. [15:38:28] Before I ask my next question, again I'll just remind you that we're in public session and in answering the next question just try to avoid to reveal the identity of anyone. So the question is: you mention that the mausoleums were used for prayers. Have you witnessed this yourself?
A. [15:39:13] (Redacted)
(Redacted)
there came a time when I saw one of my colleagues stop to pray at the site of one of the mausoleums that we were visiting. This means, therefore, that, quite frankly, prayer was a practice that I saw with my own eyes when we conducted our activities in that area. Q. [15:40:25] Thank you. MR MUNEESAMY: [15:40:28] Your Honour, we seem to have -- can we move to go into private session, your Honour, and I will explain the problem in private session. PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [15:40:39] Okay, thank you. Please, let's go into private session, court officer. (Private session at 3.40 p.m.)
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(Open session at 4.06 p.m.)
THE COURT OFFICER: [16:05:46] We're back in open session, Mr President. PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [16:06:04] Thank you. Thank you so much. So please proceed with your questions. MR MUNEESAMY: [16:06:09] I'm grateful, your Honour.
Q. [16:06:11] Mr Witness, can you tell us how you personally reacted when you heard of the destruction of the mausoleums of Timbuktu?
A. [16:06:39] My reaction, my personal reaction when I heard about the destruction of the mausoleums in Timbuktu, first of all, I was concerned, I was concerned about the integrity of Timbuktu's heritage which was coming under attack. Because the classification in national heritage, and on the UNESCO list of World Heritage sites as well, means that the building is kept in its entirety, in its whole state, and when part is destroyed this whole entirety is destroyed and this takes away the universal exceptional value of it. The reason why the building figured on the UNESCO list on the first place. So my reaction was immediately, well, what has to be done in order to get this entireness
of the building together again? And this was the reaction that I had. How can it be restored in its entirety faced with the destruction of the mausoleums? If one part is attacked it is no longer complete and, therefore, risks -- there's a -- it risks putting its registration as a world heritage site at risk and so the first thing is to re-establish its completeness, its entirety.
Q. [16:08:59] And how did the local population react to the destruction of the mausoleums?
A. [16:09:18] As regards the information that we've had the local population protested, they protested against the destruction of mausoleums. They protested in the sense that it was their property, their buildings, as we mentioned, all the cultural meaning that goes along with them. They protested about that. And with regards to certain pictures that you could see at the time, we felt this protest and a refusal to see these mausoleums being destroyed.
Q. [16:10:28] Mr Witness, in your statement, and if I may read and lead on this, and I will read in French, you said:
(Interpretation) "The best way to kill somebody is to do it at a cultural level with regard to everything that that person has that is of importance."
(Speaks English) Why did you say this?
A. [16:11:14] The reason why I said this was because we were in a war context and in that war context everything that could harm the enemy was what often happened. And when it came to the destruction of the Timbuktu mausoleums, this was indeed a matter of an activity of war to psychologically kill the people of Timbuktu, destroying the property or buildings for which they had an effective attachment. So everything happens that by destroying the mausoleums you attack the affection of Timbuktu because -- well, it affects Timbuktu, the town is attacked. And from the cultural and religious perspective it is
known that it is one of the means that's used during war, that is, to harm the other person in regards to that person's deepest inner self. And everything is done, such as destruction of mausoleums in Timbuktu, it comes from that war practice. It consists of killing the enemy in that person's soul through such type of activity.
Q. [16:13:47] Now, you told us about your personal reaction, you told us about the reaction of the communities living in Timbuktu. Are you aware of the reaction of the Malian population in general?
A. [16:14:15] With regards to the population in Mali I've already said that Timbuktu is a source of pride for all Malians, it's a reference for the whole of Mali. The population, faced with the destruction of mausoleums was, to speak quite simply, they were indignant to see these acts of destruction of national heritage and world heritage take place. There was protests. It was condemned, such acts were condemned. And that was it.
Q. [16:15:13] Let's move to the international reaction. Are you aware of a conference taking place in Saint Petersburg, the World Heritage Committee meeting?
A. [16:15:37] Yes, I do know that there was a meeting of the World Heritage Committee in Saint Petersburg.
Q. [16:15:48] (Redacted)
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MR MUNEESAMY: [16:22:23] Your Honour, I'm conscious of the time. I will be another 10 minutes, not more.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [16:22:32] I'm just looking at the clock. And after that you terminate your questions. Okay, please.

MR MUNEESAMY: [16:22:39] Yes, your Honour. Thank you.
Q. [16:22:42] Mr Witness, I will now show you a photograph.

And I would ask that the photograph only be shown in the courtroom and not outside, so it is confidential level.

Your Honour, I'm referring to the photograph, the first photograph at tab 6 of your binders. The ERN of the photograph is MLI-OTP-0037-0140 -- sorry, 0139 and the page is 0140.

Now, Mr Witness, I'll remind you we're in public session and I will ask you not to reveal the identity of anyone.

Can you tell us what you see in the photograph on your screen?
A. [16:23:55] This photograph here on the screen depicts someone who is still praying before a mausoleum that has been destroyed. So this means that the mausoleum is still important to the person because of the location, because the inhabitants of Timbuktu can come here to express their faith, to pray, as they did in the past before the mausoleum had been destroyed. So this is a demonstration of faith before -- in front of the mausoleum which has been destroyed.

THE COURT OFFICER: [16:25:10] I have a message for the French booth. MR MUNEESAMY: [16:25:20]
Q. [16:25:20] Mr Witness, can you tell us of the current state of the mausoleums of Timbuktu?
A. [16:25:46] Well, the current state of the mausoleums in Timbuktu is as follows: Thanks to the people of Timbuktu coming together and taking action, thanks to the international community which has also come together and taken action, and thanks to everything that the culture ministry has been able to undertake, the mausoleums have been rebuilt.
Q. [16:26:22] How did the population of Timbuktu react to the reconstruction of the mausoleums?
A. [16:26:44] The people were overjoyed. Immediately the community reappropriated the mausoleums and continue to go to these buildings as they did in the past. So I would say that the rebuilding of the mausoleums was greeted with joy, particularly since there was quite a pressing need to rebuild the mausoleums because they were part of the life of the city that part -- and that part of the city's life had been destroyed, taken away, and so people were full of joy once the mausoleums had been rebuilt.

MR MUNEESAMY: [16:27:51] (Microphone not activated) I am done with the examination of the witness.

PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [16:27:59] Thank you. Thank you so much, Counsel.

We now turn to Defence counsel, Mr Aouini. Will you have any questions for this witness?

MR AOUINI: [16:28:10] (Interpretation) Thank you, President. We do not have any
questions to the witness. Thank you.
PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [16:28:21] Thank you, Mr Defence Counsel. I think Judge Mindua has a question. Judge Mindua, please proceed.

JUDGE MINDUA: [16:28:37] (Interpretation) Yes, yes, indeed, I do have a question to put to the witness.

Mr Expert Witness, I'd like us to hark back to the mausoleums in Timbuktu. And you said that they were under guard, so to speak, and that there were specific families that would maintain the mausoleums. So you said that these families would use special masons who had specific expertise in maintaining these buildings. And I would imagine that the masons were paid.

So I have two questions, in fact. First of all, now, a family that maintains a mausoleum and is responsible for it, has custody of it, so to speak, does this family get any financial advantage, any income because they have taken on responsibility for a mausoleum? Because this responsibility does mean that masons must be hired, so I'd like to know if these families receive any money and, if so, who pays the money? That is my first question.

THE WITNESS: [16:30:17] (Interpretation) We do not have any knowledge to the effect that the masons associations receive money for their work. I must stress that these masons, as I said earlier this afternoon, belong or are part of the community. These masons have ties to the families in question. For example, they build houses for these families, they also build other buildings for the families.

So it's more of -- I would say it's more of a community way of life that goes beyond a definition. It's not a matter of paying wages or anything like that. It's also a family thing, masons hand down the trade from father to son. And the lives of these people are
governed by a system of living together. And if someone can pay a mason for work -- as for the mausoleums, I think we need to say that these are public buildings. To our knowledge, I really -- I wouldn't say that the masons are paid to rebuild the mausoleums. And the same thing holds true for the conservation of mosques. Contributions to the conservation of mosques is a demonstration of faith, the faith of the people who take part in these conservation activities. Thus, you see, these are public buildings. As I said earlier, these people are primary stakeholders, so to speak, and I don't really think that the issue is viewed in financial terms, how much should one pay a mason or an association of masons for their work. The work is done for the community. That is what I can tell you about that aspect of the question.

JUDGE MINDUA: [16:33:40] (Interpretation) Thank you very much for your reply. Now, if I've understood you, you are telling us that the masons are not really paid because they're working for the general community.

And the families that are responsible for the mausoleums, do they receive anything? I don't think you've answered.

THE WITNESS: [16:34:08] (Interpretation) The families that are responsible for the mausoleums, if you put it in those terms, well, I think -- I think we are outside the system, so to speak. The mausoleums operate within a system, an integrated system and a system that is inclusive within this system, there's no sort of special responsibility or custody of mausoleums, the mausoleums are simply there, known or not known, and they are places where people go to pray, they are a form of protection, they are seen as a place where one is protected, everyone.

Furthermore, they are places that people can -- they have a special link or tie, it's as if they can almost communicate or commune with the building. The people show trust. And these are places of tranquillity. When one goes by a mausoleum, one stops, one prays.

So these are places that the people of Timbuktu want to experience within their own lives no matter where they may be, thus when you ask this question and you put it in terms of responsibility or having custody of a mausoleum, you are outside of the integrated system of living with these mausoleums the way that people in the community live and experience these historic places. Everyone feels a certain sense of responsibility and everyone feels trust in relation to the mausoleums.

JUDGE MINDUA: [16:37:07] (Interpretation) Thank you very much. My last question: Now, these families that are responsible for the mausoleums are they made up of descendants of the saints in question, or can any family acquire the right to maintain a mausoleum or the right to have responsibility or custody of it because you mentioned that these families are entrusted with the mausoleums? Thank you. THE WITNESS: [16:38:01] (Interpretation) Generally speaking the families have a relationship with the mausoleums. What do I mean by that? Well, they have a certain link or tie to the saint who is at rest in the mausoleum and this link with the saint who is resting there, this link with the family is to the family and then there is a link to the masons who are responsible for conserving the buildings and any other person who can show an interest in being responsible for a mausoleum or taking care of one, someone who wants to show his or her faith in relation to the mausoleum. In any event, these are common or public places and everyone feels a certain responsibility towards these places. And since these sites have been put on a heritage list, you also must consider that the state is responsible for some of this and must assist the population, help the local people take care of these mausoleums on an ongoing basis. JUDGE MINDUA: [16:39:52] (Interpretation) Thank you very much indeed. PRESIDING JUDGE PANGALANGAN: [16:39:59] Well, thank you so much for the questions, Judge Mindua.

At this point, Mr Witness, on behalf of the Chamber, I thank you for coming here today to assist the Court and for answering the questions put to you. This concludes your testimony. At the close of this hearing you will be excused. Now that the Prosecution has completed its questions for the presentation of this witness, we will resume tomorrow at 9 a.m. for the Prosecution, if it has any further submissions within the time limits it has been granted to them, and then proceed to the submissions by the Defence and if at all possible also receive submissions within the time limit for the Legal Representative for Victims. On that point, we close today's hearing and we resume tomorrow at 9 o'clock. Thank you.

THE COURT USHER: [16:41:25] All rise. (The witness is excused) (The hearing ends in open session at 4.41 p.m.)

