Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-01/14-01/21 Procedural Matters

- 1 International Criminal Court
- 2 Trial Chamber VI
- 3 Situation: Central African Republic II
- 4 In the case of The Prosecutor v. Mahamat Said Abdel Kani ICC-01/14-01/21
- 5 Presiding Judge Miatta Maria Samba, Judge Maria del Socorro Flores Liera
- 6 and Judge Sergio Gerardo Ugalde Godinez
- 7 Trial Hearing Courtroom 2
- 8 Tuesday, 30 January 2024
- 9 (The hearing starts in open session at 9.30 a.m.)
- 10 THE COURT USHER: [9:30:50] All rise.
- 11 The International Criminal Court is now in session. Please be seated.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:31:19] Good morning, everyone.
- 13 Can I ask the Court Officer to please call out the case.
- 14 THE COURT OFFICER: [9:31:28] Good morning, Madam President, your Honours.
- 15 The situation in the Central African Republic II, in the case of The Prosecutor versus
- 16 Mahamat Said Abdel Kani, case reference ICC-01/14-01/21. And we are in open
- 17 session.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:31:43] Thank you very much.
- 19 May I ask the parties to introduce themselves, beginning with the Prosecution, please.
- \* MS MAKWAIA: [9:31:51] Good morning, Madam President. For the Prosecution this
- 21 morning, myself, Holo Makwaia, senior trial lawyer; Ms Le Bailly, Brunhild, associate
- 22 trial lawyer; Mr Kamran Choudhry, Ms Marie-Jeanne Sardachti, Mr Thomas Bifwoli,
- 23 and Ms Sanyu Ndagire, trial lawyers. Thank you.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:32:12] Thank you very much, Ms Makwaia.
- 25 Ms Pellet, for your team, please, for victims.

- 1 MS PELLET: [9:32:19](Interpretation) Thank you, Madam President. \*The victims are
- 2 represented by Tars Van Litsenborgh, Caroline Walter, and myself, Sarah Pellet,
- 3 Counsel within the Office of Public Counsel for the Victims.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:32:39] Thank you very much.
- 5 Ms Naouri, please, for the defence.
- 6 \* MS NAOURI: [9:32:42](Interpretation) Thank you, Madam President. On my right, Léa
- 7 Allix, and on my left, Dov Jacobs, just like yesterday. And behind us, Élina Legat and Me
- 8 Florian François-Jacquemin. As for me, I'm Jennifer Naouri, lead counsel for Mr Said.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:33:05] Thank you very much. I didn't hear mention of
- 10 Mr Jacobs. Did you announce his representation?
- MS NAOURI: [9:33:14](Interpretation) Yes, I had. Perhaps -- maybe too quickly, but I
- 12 had introduced Counsel Jacobs who is just on my left.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:33:21] Thank you very much.
- And for the record, I note that Mr Said is in court. A very good morning to you, Mr
- 15 Said.
- 16 MR SAID: [9:33:36](Interpretation) Yes. Good morning, your Honour.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:33:41] Thank you very much.
- 18 Good morning, Madam Witness.
- 19 WITNESS: CAR-OTP-P-2241 (On former oath)
- 20 (The witness speaks Sango)
- 21 (The witness gives evidence via video link)
- 22 THE WITNESS: [9:33:53](Interpretation) Good morning.
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:33:55] Yes. Now, we are going to continue with your
- testimony. Ms Naouri will continue her cross-examination, questioning you on behalf

of Mr Said. Do you understand?

- 1 THE WITNESS: [9:34:18](Interpretation) Yes, I understand.
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:34:20] So I wish to remind you that you're still on oath
- 3 to say the truth to the court.
- 4 So, Ms Naouri, your witness, please.
- 5 MS NAOURI: [9:34:43] Thank you, Madam President. For the first very first questions
- 6 this morning, we should go into private session because they could be somewhat
- 7 identifying. So I would ask that we go into closed session or private session.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:34:54] That's okay.
- 9 Madam Court Officer, can we go into private session, please.
- 10 THE COURT OFFICER: [9:35:07] We are in private session, your Honours.
- 11 (Private session at 9.35 a.m.)
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:35:16] Thank you.
- 13 Ms Naouri, continue, please.
- 14 MS NAOURI: [9:35:21] Thank you, your Honour.
- 15 QUESTIONED BY MS NAOURI: (Interpretation)(Continuing)
- 16 Q. [9:35:22] Good morning, Madam Witness. I hope that you are doing well. So we will
- 17 continue with the questions on a new topic, if you will, which is how you came into contact
- with the investigators of the Office of the Prosecutor of the ICC. In your witness statement,
- and this is CAR-OTP-2127-9406, and on page 9411, paragraph 1 and 2. This is tab 1 of our
- 20 list of notifications for the French version, and tab 2 for the English version.
- 21 You said that:
- 22 "I was informed by my uncle (Redacted) that the ICC investigators wanted to talk to me
- 23 about certain events I witnessed and experienced as a victim of events that took place
- 24 in 2013."
- 25 When you said that (Redacted), could you explain what your

- uncle said to convince you to come and give your testimony? So what did you say to
- 2 each other about the possibility of meeting the ICC investigators from the Office of the
- 3 Prosecutor, Madam Witness?
- 4 A. [9:37:03] My uncle came to say that he had been called, and I told the investigators
- 5 that I was a victim. And it was in coming to see me that he was arrested. That's how
- 6 the information was given to me.
- 7 Q. [9:37:40] Just to clarify, Madam Witness, your uncle, did he explain within which
- 8 context he was called upon, within which context he had been called upon to cooperate
- 9 with the investigators of the Office of the Prosecutor?
- 10 A. [9:37:59] He did not give me an explanation. He merely said to me that he would
- 11 come. He set a day to come and get me and drive me to see the investigators of the
- 12 Office of the Prosecutor.
- Q. [9:38:29] Okay. So he explained why you were to speak to the investigators of the
- 14 Office of the Prosecutor?
- 15 A. [9:38:35] No. He said to me that the Office of the Prosecutor had contacted him,
- and he talked about what had happened with me at the Office of the Prosecutor and
- said that the investigators of the Office of the Prosecutor wanted to meet with me.
- 18 Q. [9:39:13] Okay. And did he give you details as to what he said to the investigators
- of the Office of the Prosecutor when you say that "he told them what had happened to
- 20 me"?
- 21 A. [9:39:24] We did not talk about this. He simply accompanied me. He left and I
- spoke with the investigators. But he did not say anything to me about this prior to that.
- 23 Q. [9:39:50] Okay. And prior to that date, before going to meet with the investigators
- of the Office of the Prosecutor, did you speak together about what had happened at the

25 OCRB?

- 1 A. [9:40:00] When I was released, I was in (Redacted) and he was living in
- 2 (Redacted), and we didn't have the opportunity to talk about what happened to me.
- 3 Q. [9:40:27] Okay. And subsequently did he come back to the neighbourhood
- 4 (Redacted)? That is, I'm referring to your uncle (Redacted).
- 5 A. [9:40:37] He was living in the (Redacted) neighbourhood, and after that he moved
- 6 to (Redacted).
- 7 Q. [9:40:52] Okay. And when you were in (Redacted) at the same time, did you talk
- 8 to him about what had happened to you at the OCRB?
- 9 A. [9:41:01] No. We did not talk about this again.
- 10 Q. [9:41:21] Okay. So how long had it been since you had not seen your uncle before
- 11 he called to you tell you that the investigators of the Office of the Prosecutor wanted to
- see you?
- A. [9:41:30] We had had the opportunity to see each other, but to say that we had
- talked about what happened to me, we had never done so.
- 15 Q. [9:41:56] Okay. Did you discuss it with any other members of your family,
- 16 Madam Witness?
- 17 A. [9:42:02] No, I did not talk about it with members of my family because it is a very
- traumatising story, and nobody dares to talk about it with me.
- 19 Q. [9:42:31] Okay. Thank you for those clarifications. Witness, you said your uncle
- 20 (Redacted) accompanied you. Did he stay during the visit? Explain to us how this first
- 21 meeting with the investigators of the Office of the Prosecutor unfolded.
- \* A. [9:43:06] He waited for me at the meeting place. I called him by phone. He drove
- 23 me. He led me into the fence, and then I discussed things with the investigators, so he
- 24 was not present. When I came out, he was gone.
- 25 Q. [9:43:31] Okay. Very well. So you yourself went to the meeting place indicated by

- 1 your uncle to meet with the investigators of the Office of the Prosecutor. Did I
- 2 understand that correctly?
- 3 A. [9:43:43] I told you that I did not know where. He waited for me at the meeting
- 4 place. I arrived there. I called him and he said I had to cross over. I crossed. He
- 5 brought me into the compound. And he indicated the door where I should go and then
- 6 he left.
- 7 Q. [9:44:19] Okay. But in order to get to this meeting place with your uncle
- 8 (Redacted), how did you get there? Did you take a taxi? A bus? Did you go on foot?
- 9 MS MAKWAIA: [9:44:33] What's the relevance of that question? The witness has
- already answered. She went to a meeting point where she agreed with her uncle and
- then proceeded to meet with the investigators.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:44:43] Yes, Ms Naouri, what's the relevance?
- MS NAOURI: [9:44:47](Interpretation) The relevance is that, depending on the mode of
- transport, there are witnesses who said that they had received a per diem from the
- 15 Office of the Prosecutor. So depending on how she went, the idea was to know
- whether the Office of the Prosecutor had covered the costs of transport. This is a
- 17 question we typically ask of witnesses to see whether they've received per diem. It is a
- question to understand the relationship the witness had with the investigators of the
- 19 Office of the Prosecutor. This is an issue of credibility. I have answered before the
- witness, but perhaps I've influenced her, but that's not too serious. There you go.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:45:42] No, you've not influenced her because, of
- course, if she received a per diem, those would have been disclosed to you. But
- 23 actually, couldn't you have put the question directly, whether she received anything
- 24 from the OTP? I don't think you influenced her in any way, but just be mindful also of
- 25 the VWU's recommendation as how to deal with this witness, please. Thank you very

- 1 much.
- 2 You may continue with your questioning, please. Let's stick to the relevance of the
- 3 purpose why we are here. Thank you.
- 4 MS NAOURI: [9:46:25](Interpretation) Thank you, your Honour.
- 5 Q. [9:46:26] Madam Witness, were you compensated for costs of transport during this
- 6 first meeting with the representatives of the Office of the Prosecutor?
- 7 A. [9:46:48] I myself covered my transport costs, and it was at the end of the meeting
- 8 that they paid for my transport, or upon the return --
- 9 THE INTERPRETER: [9:47:04] "For the return," corrects the interpreter.
- 10 MS NAOURI: [9:47:14](Interpretation) Okay. Thank you.
- 11 Q. [9:47:14] Do you remember how much this compensation was?
- 12 A. [9:47:16] 4,000 CFA francs.
- Q. [9:47:21] Okay. Now still on that question, we see in your statement that you met
- with the investigators of the Office of the Prosecutor, we see that you met with them
- more than once. So each time were your transport costs covered?
- 16 A. [9:47:36] Yes, that is the case. Indeed, the investigators of the Office of the
- 17 Prosecutor paid for the return trip.
- 18 Q. [9:47:55] And every time the amount was 4,000 CFA francs?
- 19 A. [9:47:59] It varied.
- 20 Q. [9:48:12] Do you remember approximately for the second meeting -- you spoke of
- 21 the first meeting of the month of (Redacted), that was 4,000 CFA francs. \* For the
- second meeting that took place on (Redacted) 2018, do you remember how much the
- compensation was for transport costs? If you recall, of course?
- A. [9:48:49] During the first meeting, they gave me 6,000 francs. During the second

25 meeting, they gave me 4,000 CFA francs.

- 1 Q. [9:49:00] Okay. Thank you for that clarification. During the third meeting on
- 2 (Redacted) 2018, do you recall the amount?
- 3 A. [9:49:12] Yes, I remember.
- 4 Q. [9:49:24] Okay. Then tell us how much, Madam Witness.
- 5 A. [9:49:29] They gave me 6,000 francs.
- 6 Q. [9:49:36] Okay. And on (Redacted) 2018, how much did they give you?
- 7 A. [9:49:55] The last meeting, we began it in the morning, and it went all the way to 6
- 8 p.m. They gave me 10,000 francs in order to cover my transport costs.
- 9 Q. [9:50:19] Okay. Thank you for those clarifications. Did the Office of the
- 10 Prosecutor cover other costs, such as medical costs?
- 11 A. [9:50:26] I did not understand your question.
- 12 Q. [9:50:45] No problem. I will reword it. Did the investigators or representatives of
- the Office of the Prosecutor cover fees because you needed medical treatment for
- asthma or other health problems that you could have had?
- 15 A. [9:51:07] No, they did not cover my expenses.
- 16 Q. [9:51:27] Very well. Thank you, Witness. Now let's go back to this first meeting
- with the representatives of the Office of the Prosecutor. Did they explain to you what
- topic was of interest to them? Did they already know about certain things that had
- 19 happened to you, for instance?
- 20 A. [9:51:45] They did not know anything about it.
- 21 Q. [9:51:58] Okay. Very well. So then explain to us somewhat how this first meeting
- 22 went. Did they ask you questions, for instance, where you had to answer yes or no?
- A. [9:52:11] They asked me questions and I gave them answers about what I had
- 24 lived through.
- 25 Q. [9:52:36] Okay. Did they help you to recall certain things, for example, to

- 1 remember names?
- 2 MS MAKWAIA: [9:52:41] That question has already been asked. She just rephrased it
- 3 in a different manner. The witness has answered her question. "They asked me
- 4 questions and I gave them answers."
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:52:57] Yes, Ms Naouri.
- 6 MS NAOURI: [9:52:58](Interpretation) These are very different questions. The first is
- 7 to know whether there were leading questions, to which you answer yes or no; and the
- 8 second is, did we help the witness remember things. These are two distinct questions.
- 9 We have a witness statement that was accepted under 68(3), so it's important for us to
- 10 understand how this witness statement came to be.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:53:30] Maybe you can ask her which kind of questions
- were put to her. "Which type of questions were put to you?" If you say, "You were
- asked questions. Which type of questions were put to you." Instead of, you know,
- assuming that leading questions were asked. Maybe not. I know it's cross-
- examination, but let's lead the witness on the right path.
- 16 MS NAOURI: [9:54:10](Interpretation) Thank you, your Honour. In a cross-
- 17 examination, it's important for us to guide the witness.
- 18 Q. [9:54:20] Madam Witness, could you answer the question. Do you remember, did
- 19 they ask you questions that helped you remember certain things, such as names?
- 20 A. [9:54:26] No, they did not help me remember names. It was more open questions.
- 21 And I gave them explanations with regard to my arrest and everything else that had
- 22 happened to me.
- 23 Q. [9:55:05] Okay. Thank you, Madam Witness. I have another question for you,
- 24 Witness. Do you remember that someone had you -- rather, someone read out to you

25 the document which they had noted down the day of your first interview?

- 1 A. [9:55:33] I remember.
- 2 Q. [9:55:34] Very well. According to your recollection, how long did it take?
- 3 MS MAKWAIA: [9:55:44] Sorry for the interruption. Can we get a bit more precision
- 4 which document is being referred to?
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:55:52] Actually, that's what I wanted to ask but then
- 6 the witness answered. I think she means her witness statement of the first interview
- 7 with the investigators. That's how I understand it.
- 8 Am I correct, Ms Naouri?
- 9 MS NAOURI: [9:56:04](Interpretation) The first time they meet, where it's explained
- that there is a testimony given, because in (Redacted), we see in the witness statement,
- and there are other meetings in (Redacted). So I'm trying to know if, in (Redacted), the
- statement that she had given was re-read to her, and the witness says that this was
- indeed the case. Thank you, Madam President.
- 14 Q. [9:56:43] Now, Madam Witness, are you asked to give a piece of ID when you
- meet with the representatives of the Office of the Prosecutor in (Redacted) 2018?
- 16 A. [9:56:51] Yes, they asked me for some.
- 17 Q. [9:57:07] Okay. Thank you. Then you did not see the investigators of the Office of
- the Prosecutor for some months. So what did you do in the interim between when you
- met the representatives of the Office of the Prosecutor in (Redacted) and the month of
- 20 (Redacted)? I will clarify my question. It's a bit broad. Okay?
- 21 So what explains that there was such a long break? Is it that you were working, you
- 22 were not available? Do you remember why it took so long before you met a second
- 23 time with the representatives of the Office of the Prosecutor?
- A. [9:57:47] It was the investigators of the Office of the Prosecutor who contacted me
- every time, so they would call me and ask that I go and meet with them, and that's

- 1 what I did.
- 2 Q. [9:58:19] Okay. Thank you for that clarification, Witness. So from your witness
- 3 statement, which the representatives of the Prosecutor, \* you saw them again on
- 4 (Redacted) and (Redacted) 2018. Every time you see the investigators of the Office of
- 5 the Prosecutor, did you sign a document, or is it only at the end of all these meetings
- 6 that you signed the document?
- 7 A. [9:58:50] It was at the end that I signed.
- 8 Q. [9:59:07] Okay. And you signed a version in English. Do you remember, Witness,
- 9 that what you signed was the English version and not the French version?
- 10 A. [9:59:14] Yes, I remember that.
- 11 Q. [9:59:27] Thank you, Witness. Now some other questions. When do you learn
- that Mr Said had been transferred to the International Criminal Court?
- 13 A. [9:59:38] I did not hear that Said had been arrested. One day, when the
- investigators contacted me, I came to have an interview with them, and only
- subsequently I learned that Said had been arrested.
- 16 Q. [10:00:21] When did this meeting with the OTP take place, when you were
- informed that Mr Said had been arrested?
- 18 A. [10:00:24] When I met them for the first time.
- 19 Q. [10:00:43] Madam Witness, you met the investigators of the Office of the
- 20 Prosecutor for the first time on (Redacted) 2018, according to the information that we
- 21 have at hand. Mr Said had not been arrested at that juncture. So in January -- so 24
- January 2021 is when he was arrested, so that was several years after you met with the
- 23 members of the OTP.
- So my question is when did you find out about Mr Said? Was it when you met the
- 25 representatives of the Office of the Prosecutor or was it by some other means?

- 1 A. [10:01:48] I met the investigators of the Office of the Prosecutor and they did not
- 2 talk to me about the arrest of Mr Said. They asked me questions which I answered.
- 3 And after this, they showed me photographs and I identified him on one of these
- 4 photographs. But before that, they did not tell me that Said had been arrested.
- 5 Q. [10:02:30] All right. So you have told us that you talked about the fact that Mr
- 6 Said had been arrested during your preparatory meeting. Were you in touch with the
- 7 representatives of the Office of the Prosecutor between that time?
- 8 MS MAKWAIA: [10:02:48] Madam President, I have an objection.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:02:53] I didn't get your objection, Madam Prosecutor.
- 10 MS MAKWAIA: [10:02:56] I'm objecting to the way the counsel is now paraphrasing
- the witness's evidence. So she, at line 16, and I'm quoting:
- "So you have told us that you talked about the fact that Mr Said had been arrested
- during your preparatory meeting." So she is referring to the meeting with the
- investigators. That is incorrect. That is not the witness's evidence.
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:03:25] It depends on what is written in French. But
- 16 from what I hear, the evidence of the witness, she has not said that she was so informed
- during the preparatory meeting with the Office of the Prosecutor.
- MS NAOURI: [10:03:47](Interpretation) Thank you, your Honour. It's stated in the
- 19 French transcript: "I met the investigators of the OTP. They did not talk to me about
- 20 the arrest. They asked me questions and I answered these questions."
- 21 I'm sorry, this is maybe the wrong -- no.
- 22 "They asked me to identify myself. They showed me photographs. I identified him on
- these pictures. And at this point they had not told me that Said had been arrested."
- So for me, this identification of photographs was in the preparatory meeting. This is
- 25 the information that we all have at hand, that we all have at our disposition.

- 1 So if I finish my question, but I was interrupted, I would have asked if it was during
- 2 this meeting or at another time that they spoke about these incidents. So I did it the
- 3 other way around. I gave options to the witness. But I wanted to ask was it was during
- 4 this preparatory meeting or at another moment that they were given this information,
- and it is up to the witness to answer the question, being free to do so as they see fit.
- 6 Thank you, Madam President.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:04:58] You may want to ask that question and give
- 8 her a timeframe, because our understanding is that the preparatory meetings took
- 9 place maybe a week or two weeks, just quite recently, when she -- when the Office of
- the Prosecutor contacted her again. I don't want her to think that the time you're
- talking about was in 2018, in (Redacted) or the, you know, days in (Redacted) 2018.
- 12 Try to distinguish those dates to when she had the preparatory meeting with the
- lawyers of the Office of the Prosecutor. Thank you.
- 14 MS MAKWAIA: [10:05:41] Thank you, your Honour.
- 15 MS NAOURI: [10:05:43](Interpretation)
- 16 Q. [10:05:43] So we'll continue, Madam Witness. If I've understood correctly, you
- 17 had a preparatory meeting a few days ago. My question is, before this meeting or at
- any other time before this preparatory meeting, and we have explanatory documents --
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:06:09] No, no, no, Ms Naouri. No, Ms Naouri. You
- 20 know, let's ask the questions one at a time so that the witness understands. If you are
- 21 asking about the preparatory meetings, let her understand that this is the timeframe
- 22 you're talking about. Thank you.
- 23 MS NAOURI: [10:06:29](Interpretation) Okay. I understand where the
- 24 misunderstanding comes from. I'm not really interested in the preparatory meeting,
- 25 quite honestly. I want to know if apart from this meeting, outside of that, whether she

- 1 met with the investigators of the OTP between 2018 and the preparatory meeting. I'm
- 2 not focused -- I am not interested in the preparatory meeting as such, because without
- 3 trying to skew what the witness has said, she stated they did not talk about this during
- 4 the preparatory meeting.
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:07:01] Okay. So why not ask her whether she met
- 6 with the Office of the Prosecutor after 2018 in any way, whether it's by telephone,
- 7 whether they met in the field. Ask her and she will tell you.
- 8 MS NAOURI: [10:07:14](Interpretation) With pleasure, Madam President.
- 9 Q. [10:07:17] Madam Witness, were you in contact with representatives of the Office
- of the Prosecutor between your last meeting in 2018 and your preparatory session?
- 11 A. [10:07:25] I did not understand your question.
- 12 Q. [10:07:39] Madam Witness, when you finished your statements in 2018, if, after
- that time -- after that time, did you speak with representatives of the Office of the
- Prosecutor, for example, by telephone? Did they contact you? Did they try and get in
- touch with you at any time after that? And when was it, if that indeed took place?
- 16 A. [10:08:04] After I was contacted by telephone, but I cannot remember the date.
- 17 Q. [10:08:24] So without giving us a precise date, can you tell us if it was a year later?
- 18 Two years later? Three years later?
- 19 A. [10:08:32] I cannot recall the dates exactly, the year. But I do know that they did
- 20 contact me by telephone, but I do not recall the dates.
- 21 Q. [10:09:07] Did they contact you often?
- 22 A. [10:09:10] No, not very often.
- 23 Q. [10:09:20] Did they inform you that there was a Confirmation of Charges meeting
- with Mr Said in October 2021?
- A. [10:09:32] Yes, they told me this. But after that, they informed me that he was ill.

- 1 Q. [10:09:50] When did they inform you that he was ill?
- 2 A. [10:09:52] I think that in all my answers I stated that I did not recall the dates. I
- 3 can only tell you that I received telephone calls in order to provide me with certain
- 4 information. And to find out what time, at what dates, I could not.
- 5 Q. [10:10:23] Last question on this topic. Did you give your authorisation for your
- 6 written statement, the one we're talking about today, for it to be used before the trial?
- 7 So, for example, in the very -- in a public hearing for the confirmation of the charges, if
- 8 you remember this or not.
- 9 Madam Witness, are you still with us?
- 10 A. [10:11:07] Yes, I'm still with you.
- 11 Q. [10:11:13] This is my last question about your relationship with the OTP. Do you
- remember if you gave -- do you remember if you gave the authorisation for your
- written statement, the document that we have of your written statement, to be used not
- during a large trial but during the important hearing which is that of the confirmation
- of the charges? Do you remember this? If you don't remember this, please tell us.
- 16 A. [10:11:52] I'm not sure I have understood your question.
- 17 Q. [10:11:56] That's not a problem. We'll skip this question, then. Thank you very
- 18 much.
- 19 So can you tell us how you were informed that you could ask to be a victim that
- 20 participates in the proceedings?
- A. [10:12:18] It is the investigators of the Office of the Prosecutor who had, first of all,
- met with my uncle who introduced me, and that is what has led me here to my
- 23 statement in front of you today.
- 24 Q. [10:12:47] Ma'am, we have a document, CAR-OTP-2135-3895, which is tab 16 on

our list, which is your request to participate as a victim in these proceedings. The

- 1 document will be displayed on the screen.
- 2 And I'd like you to display page 2135-3900.
- 3 Can you see this page, Madam Witness?
- 4 A. [10:13:46] Yes, I see it.
- 5 Q. [10:13:57] And the signature that is on this page, is it yours?
- 6 A. [10:13:59] It is mine.
- 7 Q. [10:14:09] All right. So you signed -- you signed a request to participate as a
- 8 victim. So my question is to know how did you know that this was a possibility, to be
- 9 a victim in this procedure? Is it the investigators that told you that? Is it someone else?
- 10 Explain to us how you found out that you could participate in this trial but as a victim.
- 11 A. [10:14:51] The investigators of the Office of the Prosecutor had told me what the
- 12 procedure to follow was.
- Q. [10:15:00] Okay. Thank you, Madam Witness. So you were asked questions by
- the victims representatives, \* and this is the real-time transcript of 29 January 2024,
- page 18, starting at line 14. And on this transcript it is stated that you were in the office
- of Mr Bekoy. \* End of quote:
- 17 Can you explain to us how this was organised, how this meeting with Bekoy was
- 18 organised?
- 19 A. [10:15:43] They were at the office. They called me and said that Mahamat Said
- 20 was ill. They then informed me that the proceedings would continue once he felt
- 21 better.
- 22 Q. [10:16:24] Madam Witness, your request to participate is signed on \* 21 March
- 23 2022. Mr Said was not ill at that time.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:16:37] You see, that's why she's confused. If you give
- 25 her the dates that you're talking about, then she'll give you the precise answer, if at all

- 1 she has any.
- 2 MS NAOURI: [10:16:55](Interpretation) Your Honour, she has a document in front of
- 3 her dated \* 21 March 2022 that she signed herself, and I asked where this meeting took
- 4 place when she signed this document. She said Mr Said was ill. So for reasons of
- 5 credibility and understanding, I ask her to clarify this because what I'm basing myself
- on is a document signed by the witness. And I'm being extremely careful because the
- 7 witness is illiterate, so I'm giving them the opportunity to explain themselves. And so
- 8 this is the document that they signed. So I hope you understand this.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:17:25] Your question to the witness was whether or
- 10 not that was her signature which she identified. You did not draw her attention to the
- date, and yet still you and I know these are important when it comes to evidence and
- exhibits. So she may not have drawn her attention to that. That's why she keeps telling
- 13 you about Mr Said's illness, which you and I know is quite -- well, not too recent, it
- must be after the date that is on the victim's form. Let her know that you are talking
- specifically about that document and at that period.
- 16 I'm sorry, Ms Pellet, do you have anything to say? Thank you.
- MS PELLET: [10:18:05](Interpretation) Yes, thank you, your Honour. \*Maybe there are
- other questions to be put to her, such as perhaps she went several times to Counsel
- 19 Bekoy's office, and maybe that would explain why she's mixing up the dates.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:18:24] Thank you very much. She will say so if that
- 21 is what happened based on Ms Naouri's questions. Let's allow the cross-examination
- 22 to carry on.
- 23 Ms Naouri, please.
- 24 MS NAOURI: [10:18:39](Interpretation) Thank you, Madam President.
- 25 Q. [10:18:40] So let's pick up where we left off, Madam Witness. The document that

- you have in front of you, that you signed, it is dated \* 21 March 2022, and it bears your
- 2 signature. You were kind enough to tell us that this is, indeed, the case, that you signed
- 3 it. Where did you sign this document, please?
- 4 A. [10:19:02] I signed it in the office of counsel.
- 5 Q. [10:19:15] Which counsel? I don't know if it was the interpretation, but we didn't
- 6 hear the name of the counsel.
- 7 A. [10:19:25] I do not remember the name of the counsel.
- 8 Q. [10:19:36] All right. So how many times did you visit the counsel's office?
- 9 A. [10:19:39] I went to the counsel's office on three occasions.
- 10 Q. [10:19:57] Okay. So the first time you went there, who invited you to go to the
- 11 counsel's office? Who helped you -- who took you there?
- 12 A. [10:20:19] It is the Office of the Prosecutor who contacted the counsel, and then the
- counsel contacted me by telephone and gave me the address of the place I was to go to.
- 14 Q. [10:20:29] Okay. And the counsel, is it a Central African man?
- 15 A. [10:20:41] It is indeed a man.
- Q. [10:20:47] Okay. And so you went to his office for the first time, to the counsel's
- office. What happened? Were you asked questions on what had happened to you?
- 18 A. [10:20:59] Counsel did not ask me questions. It is the Office of the Prosecutor who
- 19 contacted me, and we had a telephone interview.
- 20 Q. [10:21:21] Okay. I'd like to focus on the meeting at the counsel's office. Was it
- 21 during the first meeting at that office that you signed the document you have in front of
- you, the one with your signature that says 21 March 2022, at Bangui? Where was this
- 23 signed?
- A. [10:21:37] On each occasion I met representatives of the Office of the Prosecutor or
- 25 the counsel, I signed documents, so I cannot recall which document you are specifically

- 1 referring to.
- 2 Q. [10:22:16] Madam Witness, a last question. Were you at any time asked for any
- 3 proof of your asthma through the Office of the Prosecutor or through your legal
- 4 representatives?
- 5 A. [10:22:32] They asked me for no document.
- 6 Q. [10:23:02] Okay. Do you have any document that can attest to you suffering from
- 7 asthma?
- 8 A. [10:23:07] I am asthmatic, and every time I fall ill I go to the hospital.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:23:33] Counsel, really, what's the relevance of that
- 10 evidence? I think the evidence before us is that she was born asthmatic. She says the
- 11 Prosecution never gave her any money for her medicals in respect of that illness, so
- really, what's the relevance of that question?
- MS NAOURI: [10:23:51](Interpretation) Thank you, Madam President. That was my
- last question. But to answer your question, there might potentially be a discussion on
- reparations. We need to see the harm done. We only have the word of the witness to
- say that she's asthmatic and no medical proof. So it's our responsibility to ask this
- 17 question to see if there is any proof. If I don't ask this question today, I won't be able to
- do it later. Thank you, your Honour.
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:24:15] I agree with you but, I mean, the evidence
- 20 before us is that she was born asthmatic. She didn't get asthma as a result of being
- 21 arrested or detained by the parties concerned. But anyway, thank you. Did you say
- 22 that was your last question, Ms Naouri?
- 23 MS NAOURI: [10:24:34](Interpretation) Yes, indeed, Madam President. This was my
- last question, and this ends the Defence's cross-examination.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:24:42] I thank you very, very much, and I thank you

- 1 for managing the time in respect of your cross-examination.
- 2 Prosecution, any re-examination, please, may I ask?
- 3 MS MAKWAIA: [10:24:52] Just one or two questions, with your leave, Madam
- 4 President.
- 5 QUESTIONED BY MS MAKWAIA:
- 6 Q. [10:25:02] Good morning, Madam Witness.
- 7 A. [10:25:04](No interpretation).
- 8 Q. [10:25:08] You were asked by counsel for Mr Said -- in fact, you were told that you
- 9 signed a statement which was in English. Do you remember that?
- 10 A. [10:25:20] Yes, I remember that.
- 11 Q. [10:25:31] So before you signed this statement that was in English, was it read back
- to you, Madam Witness?
- 13 A. [10:25:37] Yes, it was read back to me.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:25:51] Again, counsel, the testimony before us is that
- each time, each of those three or four times that investigators met this witness in 2018,
- 16 her statements were read over to her and she signed, each and every time. That's the
- 17 evidence we have.
- MS MAKWAIA: [10:26:10] Just the final question to this line, Madam President.
- 19 Q. [10:26:14] And can you tell the Court, please, Witness, in which language was it
- 20 read back to you?
- 21 A. [10:26:21] It was read back to me in the French language.
- 22 Q. [10:26:40] And when it was read back in French, was there interpretation to you
- 23 into Sango?
- A. [10:26:50] Yes, the person who read back my statement to me also interpreted it

25 into Sango.

- 1 Q. [10:27:07] Thank you, Witness. You were asked about expenses that you were
- 2 paid in terms of your transportation when you came to meet the investigators. Do you
- 3 remember that?
- 4 A. [10:27:28] Yes, I remember this.
- 5 Q. [10:27:31] Now, if you know, is it correct to say that 6,000 CFA is about 8 euros?
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:27:54] Excuse me, Madam Witness, who are you
- 7 talking to in the room where you're at? Are you talking to somebody in the room?
- 8 THE WITNESS: [10:28:06](No interpretation).
- 9 THE INTERPRETER: [10:28:21] The interpreter did not hear the answer of the witness.
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:28:28] Madam Witness, were you talking to
- somebody in the room where you are at now?
- 12 THE WITNESS: [10:28:49](Interpretation) No, I'm alone. I just turned my head. You
- thought that I was speaking to someone.
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:28:55] Thank you very much for that answer.
- Do you want to answer counsel's question, if at all you know? I don't know the
- 16 relevance but ...
- 17 Yes, Ms Naouri.
- MS NAOURI: [10:29:14](Interpretation) I'm sorry, Madam President. I think it's a very
- 19 leading question when it comes to the point of how much it is in euros.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:29:32] Yes, I agree it's leading, and I'm sure that
- 21 figure you gave, Ms Makwaia, would be for now. I don't know about 2013 -- or is it
- 22 2018? Well, that's leading. Can you ask another question if you have any at all.
- 23 MS MAKWAIA: [10:29:55] No, Madam President. That ends my re-ex with this
- 24 witness.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:30:06] Thank you very much, Ms Makwaia.

- 1 Now, Madam Witness, I'm asking my colleagues on my left and right whether or not
- they have any question for you. I don't have any. So I'll start by asking Judge Socorro
- 3 whether or not she has any questions for you.
- 4 JUDGE FLORES: [10:30:37] Thank you. I don't have any questions either.
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:30:41] Thank you very much, Judge.
- 6 And Judge Ugalde.
- 7 JUDGE UGALDE: [10:30:48] Thank you, Judge. I do have one question.
- 8 Good morning, Madam Witness. In your statement dated (Redacted) 2018, which I
- 9 believe is annex 2 of the Defence's list of evidence, at paragraph 100, you stated the
- 10 following:
- "In total, my family paid 750,000 CFA francs to the Seleka, but they never released me."
- So the question is at what point in time were you free? And what I mean to say is, we
- know that you were at the hospital at that time. Your family, as you stated, paid this
- money, but you said that you were never released. At what point do you leave the
- 15 hospital? So I would like to know if you have -- if you remember that. Thank you.
- 16 THE WITNESS: [10:32:27](Interpretation) Despite the money that had been given, I
- was not released. It was when I was hospitalised that the doctors said that my
- detention was no longer possible. So it was starting at the hospital that I was able to
- 19 then return home directly.
- 20 JUDGE UGALDE: [10:32:44] Thank you, Madam Witness. I have nothing further.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:33:09] Thank you very much, Madam Witness, for
- 22 your support to the Court in respect of these proceedings. We wish you well in your
- future endeavours. Take care of yourself, and try best, as you've done through the
- years, throughout these years, as you say, not to discuss what has happened in your
- 25 past life in respect of what happened in your country with other people. So I wish you

Trial Hearing (Private Session) ICC-01/14-01/21 Procedural Matters

- well on behalf of the Chamber, and I'll ask the Court Officer to disconnect the link now.
- 2 (The witness exits the video link room)
- 3 THE COURT OFFICER: [10:34:34] Your Honours, the witness is now escorted out of
- 4 the transmission room. Thank you.
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:34:41] Thank you very much, Court Officer.
- 6 Now, it is the Chamber's understanding that the next witness, I think that would be the
- 7 Prosecution's eighteenth witness, cannot start until Thursday. Going forward, the
- 8 Chamber expects the Prosecution and the VWU to liaise more closely in advance to
- 9 make sure there are no gaps between the witnesses.
- 10 Last, can I inquire about the status of the disclosure of the video recording that the
- 11 Chamber ordered yesterday? Madam Prosecutor, please.
- MS MAKWAIA: [10:35:25] Thank you, Madam President. We shall ensure there will
- be no further gaps between witnesses.
- 14 With respect to your ruling of yesterday, we immediately e-mailed our evidence unit to
- have the audio and video processed so that we can disclose it. As we speak, we haven't
- 16 heard back from them yet. The moment court is adjourned, I will follow this diligently
- in the hope of disclosing it today.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:35:51] Thank you very much, Ms Makwaia. And
- 19 please do so that the Defence would have an opportunity to look at it, and whatever
- 20 the concern -- their concerns are can be dealt with.
- 21 On that note, I thank all the parties, and I thank Mr Said also --
- 22 Yes, Ms Naouri.
- 23 MS NAOURI: [10:36:14](Interpretation) Yes, Presiding Judge, excuse me, but as we are
- talking about organisational matters, I am taking the liberty very quickly because last
- 25 Friday and we then sent a reminder yesterday to our colleagues of the Prosecution to

Trial Hearing (Private Session) ICC-01/14-01/21 Procedural Matters

- 1 know when will we have a list of witnesses from 26 February, since, depending on
- 2 your decision on the conduct of the proceedings, we must make our... our best efforts
- 3 to inform the other party within... within one month paragraph 10 of your decision
- 4 479 and so it is becoming quite urgent for us to know, from 26 February, who the next
- 5 witnesses will be, so that we can organise the work of the Defence.
- We want to bring this up so that we can get organised as soon as possible. Thank you,
- 7 your Honour.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:37:00] Thank you very much, Ms Naouri.
- 9 Ms Makwaia, do you want to give a response to that, please?
- 10 MS MAKWAIA: [10:37:07] Thank you, Madam President. As counsel is aware, we
- were adjourned for a fairly long time, and with your order of resumption of trial
- occurring on 15 December, we did our best to ensure we were producing witnesses
- even during the session. Irrespective of that, your Honours, we are working diligently
- and very hard to secure witnesses whom we've been contacting again as of last week,
- after your ruling that an additional week was added to this session. As of yesterday,
- we were speaking to a number of individuals. Unfortunately, they also have their lives
- and we have to schedule our order of appearance in function of their availability.
- 18 By the end of the day, I expect to send at least five names to the Defence with respect to
- individuals we intend to call until 28 March.
- 20 Thank you, Madam President.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:38:08] Well, that would be very much appreciated so
- 22 that at least the Defence can also do what they have to do in defence of their client.
- 23 That would be the position, Ms Naouri. You know we are not scheduled to sit, I think,
- for that period, so I do appreciate what the Prosecution is now saying. But as Ms
- 25 Makwaia has promised, by the close of business today, she will send you some more

Trial Hearing (Private Session) ICC-01/14-01/21 Procedural Matters

- 1 names of witnesses who they will be putting on the witness stand for that period
- 2 concerned.
- 3 So I don't think there's any more -- anything else that we have to discuss in respect of
- 4 housekeeping.
- 5 I'll adjourn this session and ask that we come again on Thursday, appreciating that we
- 6 don't have any other witness for this afternoon. So I rise the court and ask that we
- 7 come again on Thursday, at 9.30, please.
- 8 THE COURT USHER: [10:39:10] All rise.
- 9 (The hearing ends in private session at 10.39 a.m.)