Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English

No.: ICC-01/14-01/18 Date: 27 March 2024

TRIAL CHAMBER V

Before:

Judge Bertram Schmitt, Presiding Judge Judge Péter Kovács Judge Chang-ho Chung

SITUATION IN THE CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC II IN THE CASE OF PROSECUTOR v. ALFRED YEKATOM AND PATRICE-EDOUARD NGAÏSSONA

Public

Public redacted version of "Prosecution's Response to the Yekatom Defence's "Request for an extension of time for the formal submission of the prior recorded testimony of P-6018 pursuant to Rule 68(2)(b) and Second Defence Request for Leave to add items to its List of Evidence" (ICC-01/14-01/18-2228-Conf)" (ICC-01/14-01/18-2262-Conf), 14 December 2023

Source: Office of the Prosecutor

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the Court* to:

The Office of the Prosecutor Mr Karim A. A. Khan KC Mr Mame Mandiaye Niang Mr Kweku Vanderpuye **Counsel for Alfred Yekatom** Ms Mylène Dimitri Mr Thomas Hannis Ms Anta Guissé Ms Sarah Bafadhel

Counsel for Patrice-Edouard Ngaïssona Mr Geert-Jan Alexander Knoops

Mr Richard Omissé-Namkeamai Ms Marie-Hélène Proulx

Legal Representatives of Victims Mr Dmytro Suprun Mr Abdou Dangabo Moussa Ms Elisabeth Rabesandratana Mr Yaré Fall Ms Marie-Edith Douzima-Lawson Ms Paolina Massida

Unrepresented Victims

Legal Representatives of Applicants

Unrepresented Applicants (Participation/Reparation)

States Representatives

Amicus Curiae

REGISTRY

Registrar Mr Osvaldo Zavala Giler

Victims and Witnesses Unit Mr Nigel Verrill

Victims Participation and Reparations Section

Counsel Support Section

Detention Section

Other

ICC-01/14-01/18

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The Prosecution defers to the discretion of Trial Chamber V's ("Chamber"), subject to the observations below, regarding the YEKATOM Defence's request for an extension of the time limits: (a) to add a third prior statement of P-6018, together with five associated documents (together, "Third Prior Statement") to its Final List of Evidence, and (b) to request the submission of the Third Prior Statement pursuant to rule 68(2)(b) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("Rules").

2. As regards the YEKATOM Defence's submission of the Third Prior Statement pursuant to rule 68(2)(b) of the Rules, the Prosecution opposes the request on its merits. The Third Prior Statement is a follow-up to the witness's previous two statements² ("First and Second Statement") which the Prosecution already opposed.³ The information this witness provides pertains to issues that are materially in dispute and of significance for the Chamber's eventual determination of the charges. P-6018 should testify entirely *viva voce* or, at a minimum under rule 68(3) of the Rules so that the Prosecution can examine him under oath on relevant issues.

II. CONFIDENTIALITY

3. Pursuant to regulation 23*bis*(2) of the Regulations of the Court ("RoC"), this document is filed as "Confidential" because it responds to a filing of the same classification. A public redacted version will be filed as soon as practicable.

¹ CAR-D29-0009-0562-R01, CAR-D29-0009-0568, CAR-D29-0009-0570, CAR-D29-0009-0572, CAR-D29-0009-0574, CAR-D29-0009-0575.

² CAR-D29-0009-0396, CAR-D29-0009-0557.

³ ICC-01/14-01/18-2249-Conf, paras. 3, 6-14.

III. SUBMISSIONS

A. Inability to file the request of time extension within the time limit

4. The Prosecution defers to the Chamber's discretion regarding the Defence request to extend the time limit to add the Third Prior Statement to its Final List of Evidence, and to file a request to submit it pursuant to rule 68(2)(b) of the Rules. However, it makes the following observations:

5. *First,* the Prosecution notes that the YEKATOM Defence had scheduled to meet P-6018 on 17 November 2023, the day of the disclosure deadline. Given the realities of the filed, including the plurality of factors that can lead to delays of such meetings, the time needed for a read-back, for the transmission of the statement from the field to headquarters, and the registration of evidence for a disclosure the same day, it would have been prudent to have asked for an extension at this time.

6. *Second*, the Prosecution notes that, by the afternoon, the YEKATOM Defence was aware of the witness's failure to meet them at the scheduled time and was thus impeded from completing the Third Prior Statement. As P-6018's Second Statement taken that very morning - was included in their application and the YEKATOM Defence knew that this witness was still to provide additional evidence, the necessity for an extension to add such material to the Final List of Evidence and to file a corresponding rule 68(2)(b) application was obvious.

B. Rule 68(2)(b) application for P-6018

7. As noted, the Prosecution opposes the request to submit the Third Prior Statement under rule 68(2)(b) on its merits. P-6018 should be called to testify entirely *viva voce* or, at a minimum, pursuant to rule 68(3) of the Rules so that the veracity and reliability of his claims can be tested through cross-examination under oath before the Chamber.

4/6

8. The Prosecution refers to the applicable law on the introduction of prior recorded testimonies pursuant to rule 68(2)(b) of the Rules, as previously set out by the Chamber.⁴ In particular, it recalls the Chamber's finding that "*this sub-rule should* [...] *be used to streamline the proceedings where it can avoid the calling of witnesses whose evidence, in light of its content and significance to the case, does not need to be 'tested' through an oral examination of the witness at trial.*"⁵

9. The Third Prior Statement seeks to supplement P-6018's First and Second Statements with respect to P-2475's conduct in the Relevant Period.⁶

10. *First,* given that it is an extension of the First and Second Statements, the Prosecution hereby incorporates the arguments of its previous response, opposing their introduction through rule 68(2)(b) of the Rules.⁷

11. *Second,* the Third Prior Statement similarly challenges the credibility of P-2475's testimony [REDACTED]. This is based on the information that P-6018 [REDACTED] (CAR-OTP-2095-5254). Given that P-2475's testimony bears on issues that are materially in dispute, [REDACTED], the issues addressed in the Third Prior Statement are materially in dispute and of clear significance in the case.

⁴ ICC-01/14-01/18-1833-Conf-Corr, ICC-01/14-01/18-1907-Conf.

⁵ ICC-01/14-01/18-1833-Conf-Cor, para. 37.

⁶ From September 2013 through December 2014 ("Relevant Period").

⁷ ICC-01/14-01/18-2249-Conf, paras. 3, 6-14.

IV. CONCLUSION

12. For the foregoing reasons, the Prosecution defers to the Chamber's determination of the YEKATOM Defence's request for an extension of the time limits: (a) to add the Third Prior Statement to its Final List of Evidence and (b) to request its submission pursuant to rule 68(2)(b) of the Rules; and (ii) opposes the request to introduce the Third Prior Statement pursuant to rule 68(2)(b) of the Rules.

Del_

Karim A. A. Khan KC, Prosecutor

Dated this 27th day of March 2024 At The Hague, The Netherlands