Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

- 1 International Criminal Court
- 2 Trial Chamber VI Courtroom 2
- 3 Situation: Democratic Republic of the Congo
- 4 In the case of The Prosecutor v. Bosco Ntaganda ICC-01/04-02/06
- 5 Presiding Judge Robert Fremr, Judge Kuniko Ozaki and Judge Chang-ho Chung
- 6 Trial Hearing
- 7 Friday, 30 October 2015
- 8 (The hearing starts in open session at 9.34 a.m.)
- 9 THE COURT USHER: All rise.
- 10 The International Criminal Court is now in session.
- 11 Please be seated.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Good morning, everybody.
- 13 Court officer, please call the case.
- 14 THE COURT OFFICER: Thank you, Mr President.
- 15 The situation in the Democratic Republic of the Congo in the case of The Prosecutor
- versus Bosco Ntaganda, case reference ICC-01/04-02/06.
- 17 We're in open session.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you.
- 19 Parties, participants, appearances, please, starting with the Prosecution.
- 20 MS SAMSON: Good morning, Mr President and your Honours. Appearing for the
- 21 Prosecution are to my left, Mr Rens Van der Werf, assistant trial lawyer; and behind me,
- 22 Ms Marion Rabanit, associate trial lawyer; Mr James Pace, assistant trial lawyer;
- 23 Ms Selam Yirgou, case manager; and myself, Nicole Samson, senior trial lawyer.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you, Ms Samson.
- 25 Defence now.

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

- 1 MR BOURGON: (Interpretation) Good morning, Mr President. Good morning, your
- 2 Honours. And good morning to all those in the courtroom. For Bosco Ntaganda, who
- 3 is present this morning, we are Elodie Victor, intern; Berta Casas Rochel, intern; William
- 4 St-Michel; and myself, Stéphane Bourgon. Thank you, Mr President.
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you.
- 6 Legal Representative of Victims.
- 7 MS PELLET: (Interpretation) Thank you, Mr President. Former child soldiers are
- 8 represented by myself, Sarah Pellet, counsel at the OPCV.
- 9 MR SUPRUN: (Interpretation) Good morning, Mr President, your Honours. Victims
- of the attacks are represented by myself, Dmytro Suprun, counsel at the OPCV.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you, Ms Pellet. Thank you, Mr Suprun.
- 12 I further note that we now have with us a lawyer appointed pursuant to Rule 74 to assist
- 13 the witness.
- 14 Welcome and please state your name for the record.
- 15 MR CIKONZA: (Interpretation) Good morning, Mr President. Good morning, your
- 16 Honours. My names are Gémi Mundere Cikonza. I'm a lawyer with the Brussels Bar
- and I've been appointed to assist witness number 55. Thank you.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you very much, Mr Cikonza.
- 19 Before the next witness can be called, we have to address some matters related to the
- witness testimony.
- 21 First there is outstanding request by the Legal Representative of the former child soldiers
- 22 to question the witness. In line with the decision on the conduct of proceedings, the
- 23 Chamber will rule on this request after the examination-in-chief by the Prosecution,
- 24 having heard that the Legal Representative maintains her application and having heard

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

- 1 any objections from the parties.
- 2 In line with guidance previously provided, the Chamber does, however, note already at
- 3 this stage that it will not allow any examination of the witness which would be
- 4 duplicative of the testimony elicited by the Prosecution and will only permit questioning
- 5 if it considers that the line of questioning is an area upon which the witness could
- 6 usefully comment.
- 7 Then second, the Chamber has received the Defence indication of objection to certain of
- 8 the documents the Prosecution may be using with this witness. The Chamber considers
- 9 that these objections can be best addressed if and when they arise throughout the course
- 10 of the examination-in-chief.
- 11 Any comment on that, Ms Samson?
- 12 MS SAMSON: No, your Honour. That's acceptable. Thank you.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Mr Bourgon?
- 14 MR BOURGON: Fully agree, Mr President.
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Well, there are three more matters to address before the
- witness can start testifying, for which we need to briefly move into private session.
- 17 So, court officer, let's move into private session now.
- 18 (Private session at 9.39 a.m.) * Reclassified partially in public.
- 19 THE COURT OFFICER: We're in private session, Mr President.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you.
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

Pursuant to the Trial Chamber VI's Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-1887, dated 4 May 2017, the public reclassified and lesser redacted version of this transcript is filed in the case.

Trial Hearing (Private Session) ICC-01/04-02/06

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

- 1 In addition, private sessions will be used to deal with potentially identifying information
- 2 that could expose the witness or those close to him.
- 3 We have already prepared our oral decision on Defence request for postponement for
- 4 cross-examination, but I understood that Defence yesterday, yesterday late evening filed
- 5 an email asking to be granted a chance to reply. I understood that there are three issues
- 6 on which Defence would like to reply.
- 7 First, how and when the Defence has become aware of the allegations of interference
- 8 related to Witness P-0055;
- 9 Second, whether the Prosecution's disclosure violations are mitigated by the fact that the
- 10 Defence was aware of the substance of the allegations and not the identity of the alleged
- 11 victim;
- 12 And third, that the consultations with Witness P-0055 were required before disclosing
- the 14 documents.
- 14 We consider this request and according to us, only the first issue could be beneficial for
- us to get more information or to get some submission from Defence for the sake of clarity,
- 16 I would say.
- 17 So, Mr Bourgon, if you still insist, you may address the issue number one briefly, please.
- 18 MR BOURGON: Thank you, Mr President. I will be very brief. In its response, the
- 19 Prosecution refers to the fact that we had been aware of the substance of the allegations
- 20 (Redacted). The issue,
- 21 Mr President, is at that time we were indeed looking for this information. We had
- 22 information that a witness had made such allegations, but we had no idea of the identity
- of the witness at that time. The only time that we did come upon the identity of the
- 24 witness is in a Prosecution filing in a footnote where we realized that the witness
- 25 referred to back in December was Witness P-0055.

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

- 1 So all of our other issues that we wanted to raise are related to the fact that this identity
- 2 was given to us. Whether it's inadvertently or not, we're not sure. It's in a footnote of
- 3 a Prosecution filing that we managed to establish this and only much later and not in
- 4 December.
- 5 So we have not been aware of the specific allegations related to this witness and of his
- 6 identity until much later. And we did not find this through investigation.
- 7 That's all I wanted to say, Mr President. Thank you very much.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: And if you said much later, what time, in fact, do you
- 9 rely that?
- 10 MR BOURGON: If you'll give me just one quick moment, Mr President, we --
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Take your time.
- 12 MR BOURGON: Thank you, Mr President. The footnote that we are talking about
- appeared in the Prosecution's motion or request (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted). So as of that time, based on that footnote, it took us a while to
- 15 figure it out, but that's when it first appeared and then (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted).
- 17 Now, of course, even then that doesn't change the fact that (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted). Thank you,
- 19 Mr President.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you. Now give me a second because I have to
- 21 confer with my colleagues whether this information is new for us because I think that it's
- 22 not the case, but I have to consult them, please. Give me a second.
- 23 (Trial Chamber confers)
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: So we now assured ourself that we, in fact, knew this
- 25 information because it was one of the facts we took into account when preparing this

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

- 1 oral ruling.
- 2 So the oral ruling is following:
- 3 Oral ruling on Defence urgent request seeking postponement of Witness P-0055's
- 4 cross-examination as a result of Prosecution violation of its disclosure obligations.
- 5 Earlier this week on 25 October 2015 and notified the following day, the Defence filed an
- 6 urgent request for postponement of its cross-examination of Witness P-0055. It was
- 7 filing number 938.
- 8 The Defence submits that the Prosecution violated its disclosure obligations by
- 9 disclosing 14 documents related to Witness P-0055 only in the period of 19 to
- 10 22 October 2015. According to the Defence, the Prosecution had a duty to disclose these
- documents much earlier pursuant to Rules 76 and 77 of the Rules and Article (67)(2) of
- 12 the Statute and the versions of certain of these documents that had previously been
- 13 provided to the Defence contained extensive redactions.
- 14 The Defence argues that it had requested this material as early as 12 June 2015 and that
- absent its renewed request on 13 October 2015, these 14 documents would likely not
- 16 have been disclosed despite the Prosecution undertaking on 14 September 2015 to do so.
- 17 The Defence avers that the untimely disclosure causes significant prejudice to it and that
- 18 under these circumstances, particularly (Redacted) and in light
- of the nature and extent of the materials in question, the Defence is unable to adequately
- 20 prepare for cross-examination. It therefore requests that the cross-examination of
- 21 Witness P-0055 be postponed.
- 22 Yesterday the Prosecution filed its response opposing the Defence request. The
- 23 Prosecution submits that the material in question are not voluminous. Further, the
- 24 Prosecution states that the information contained therein does not relate to the charges.

25 Rather, the Prosecution submits that (Redacted)

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

- 1 (Redacted). It further submits that these items contain
- 2 information of a similar nature to that already disclosed to the Defence in December 2014
- 3 and there is also a degree of overlap between the documents.
- 4 With respect to the alleged late disclosure and late lifting of redactions, the Prosecution
- 5 submits that it needed to consult the witness in person (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted). The Prosecution states that it did so at the
- 7 outset of its witness preparation session on 17 October 2015.
- 8 As to the 14th item, the Prosecution explains that (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted).
- 11 According to the Prosecution, there does not seem to be scope for any further
- 12 investigations in this regard and the Defence can cross-examine the witness on this issue.
- 13 The Prosecution submits that postponement of the cross-examination of Witness P-0055,
- 14 who is here and ready to testify, is not warranted, would negatively impact on his
- well-being and security and would run counter to the efficient and expeditious conduct
- 16 of proceedings.
- 17 The Chamber notes that the Prosecution does not dispute that it had an obligation to
- 18 disclose the items concerned. Moreover, in the Chamber's view, the Prosecution has
- 19 not provided an adequate explanation for its late disclosure of the 14 items.
- 20 (Redacted), the Chamber considers that consulting
- 21 with the witness about disclosure cannot serve as a sufficient justification in light of the
- 22 obligation to disclose.
- 23 Moreover, the Prosecution has not shown why such consultation needed to be done in
- 24 person or could not have taken place at an earlier stage. In this regard, the Chamber
- observes that the Prosecution had scheduled Witness P-0055 to appear during the second

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

1 evidentiary block as early as 25 June 2015.

- 2 The Chamber, therefore, finds that the Prosecution has violated its disclosure obligation
- 3 with regard to the items in question.
- 4 The Chamber cautions the Prosecution to take better care in reviewing the case file in a
- 5 timely manner and disclosing relevant materials further in advance of the scheduled
- 6 testimony of a witness.
- 7 However, the Chamber does not consider that the present circumstances warrant a
- 8 postponement of the cross-examination of Witness P-0055. In this regard, the Chamber
- 9 notes that the late disclosure concerns a limited volume of information on a confined
- aspect, not relating to the substantive anticipated testimony of the witness in relation to
- the charges, and that the material has by now been in the possession of the Defence for
- 12 over a week.
- 13 The Chamber further notes that the Defence has been aware of allegations of interference
- 14 with this witness already during the restrictions litigation and accordingly should be in a
- position to cross-examine the witness and test his credibility, including in relation to any
- 16 interference allegations or any expenses paid.
- 17 The Defence, and I would like to emphasize that however, is free to conduct any further
- investigations it deems necessary at a later stage.
- 19 On the basis of the foregoing and without prejudice to any recalling of the witness for
- 20 limited further cross-examination in the future should the Defence provide cogent and
- 21 convincing reasons justifying such a measure, the Chamber does not consider it
- 22 necessary to postpone the cross-examination of the witness.
- 23 The request is, therefore, rejected.
- 24 There is still one remaining issue, and this decision on Rule 74.
- 25 It's noted at the start of this session, a lawyer is present to assist the witness. And

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

- 1 pursuant to Rule 74 of the Rules, Mr Cikonza was appointed as a legal advisor for the
- 2 witness following an indication by the Prosecution that the issue of self-incrimination
- 3 might arise.
- 4 On 29 October 2015, the legal advisor made a filing indicating that the witness requests
- 5 assurances pursuant to Rule (74)(3) of the Rules.
- 6 Yesterday, the Prosecution provided the Chamber with ex parte observations pursuant
- 7 to Rule 74(4) accepting that such assurances would be appropriate.
- 8 Defence, you were also notified of the request for assurances. Do you have any
- 9 observation in this regard?
- 10 MR BOURGON: We do not have any observations, Mr President.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Mr Cikonza, is there anything you wish to add?
- 12 MR CIKONZA: (Interpretation) No, Mr President. I have nothing to add.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: So, again, give us a second. We will silently deliberate.
- 14 (Trial Chamber confers)
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: So our ruling is, Mr Cikonza, the Chamber will provide
- the witness with the Rule 74(3)(c) assurances at this time of his testimony. And if any
- 17 questions are asked that could lead to self-incrimination we will hear the witness'
- 18 answers in private session.
- 19 Now we can proceed with the witness testimony. Court officer, please have the witness
- 20 brought in. And we will go into closed session for this purpose, please.
- 21 (Closed session at 9.57 a.m.) * Reclassified entirely in public
- 22 THE COURT OFFICER: We're in closed session, Mr President.
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you. So the witness may be brought in.
- 24 (The witness enters the courtroom)
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: And we can now move into open session.

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

- 1 (Open session at 9.58 a.m.)
- 2 THE COURT OFFICER: We're in open session, Mr President.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you, court officer.
- 4 Mr Witness, good morning.
- 5 Mr Witness you are going to testify before the International Criminal Court. On behalf
- 6 of the Chamber I would like to welcome you to the courtroom. (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted), pay close attention.
- 9 Mr Witness, this Chamber has been established to try the case of The Prosecutor against
- 10 Mr Bosco Ntaganda and you are called to testify to assist us in our search for the truth.
- 11 You will be asked questions both by the Judges and lawyers in the courtroom. And in
- this connection, I would like to guide you in the following way:
- 13 Please listen carefully to those questions. It's very important that you make sure that
- 14 you understand the question before you answer. If you do not understand, feel free to
- ask for the question to be repeated or rephrased. We want you to tell the truth and tell
- us only what you really saw or heard or sensed yourself. If you did not see or hear it
- 17 yourself but you found out some other way, then you should explain how.
- 18 You may be asked about events that happened many years ago, so it's natural that you
- 19 may not remember all the details. It doesn't matter. Please testify just on that which
- 20 you remember. Don't guess, don't make things up, please. There is nothing wrong to
- 21 say "I don't remember" or "I don't know."
- 22 Do you understand all this Mr Witness?
- 23 Sorry, I don't get any translation from English booth. Could that be repeated? I meant
- 24 the answer of the witness. I haven't received any.
- 25 THE INTERPRETER: We're waiting for the relay, your Honour.

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

- 1 Your Honour, the Swahili booth points out that it could be the case that the witness is
- 2 connected to another channel.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: So I see we face some technical problem because
- 4 according to the Swahili booth there is some risk that the witness is connected to other
- 5 than Swahili booth channel, so please court officer.
- 6 Mister -- then Mr Witness, maybe could you repeat your response. And maybe I would
- 7 say you are maybe too far. Mr Witness, please, yeah. Could you just repeat your
- 8 response whether you understood what I had told to you?
- 9 WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0055
- 10 (The witness speaks Swahili)
- 11 THE WITNESS: (Interpretation) I said that I had understood.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Very well. Now it seems everything is going well.
- 13 Thank you, Mr Witness.
- 14 Now, court officer, can you please administer the solemn undertaking to tell the truth.
- 15 THE COURT OFFICER: Mr President, the solemn undertaking is already on the bench
- 16 of the witness.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: So in such a case, Mr Witness, could you read the solemn
- undertaking which is prepared for you, in front of you.
- 19 THE WITNESS: (Interpretation) Yes, I see it, but I would like to ask you one thing.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Please. Please go ahead. You may ask us.
- 21 THE WITNESS: (Interpretation) (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted).
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Could you be a bit more specific what you, in fact, are

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

- 1 requesting for because it's not clear to me.
- 2 Mr Cikonza, do you know what is the problem or why Mr Witness would like to
- 3 postpone the -- giving his testimony and consult Mr Registrar?
- 4 MR CIKONZA: (Interpretation) Thank you very much, your Honour, your Honours.
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 Thank you very much, your Honour, your Honours.
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Okay. We will anyway move into private session.
- 15 And now it depends, Mr Witness, because we -- at the moment we don't know the
- possible topic of our conversation, but it's fine with you to have such a talk with the
- 17 presence of parties, or would you prefer to -- just to speak to the Chamber itself? Before
- 18 that -- but, sorry, before that we have to move into private session, so please wait with
- 19 your response, Mr Witness.
- 20 (Private session at 10.07 a.m.) * Reclassified entirely in public
- 21 THE COURT OFFICER: We're in private session, Mr President.
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: So just to give you a clear picture at the moment, nobody
- 23 apart of the people present in this courtroom could listen to us. Is this arrangement
- 24 okay with you, or would you prefer to just to speak only to Judges?
- 25 THE WITNESS: (Interpretation) Yes. I would like to speak to the Judges. I

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

- 1 understood what my lawyer said, but personally I would like to speak to the Judges as
- 2 we haven't yet started with the testimony. I think that my lawyer has already told you.
- 3 Personally I would like, first of all, to speak to the Judges alone.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Okay. So I think -- give me a second.
- 5 (Trial Chamber confers)
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Mr Bourgon.
- 7 MR BOURGON: Thank you, Mr President. Before the Chamber rules on the request
- 8 put forward by the witness, we would like to make some observations, and I think those
- 9 should be made in the absence of the witness, Mr President.
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Okay. So we will start in this way: For the beginning
- of our further discussion, Mr Witness will be escorted out of the courtroom and for that
- 12 purpose we will have to move into regime of closed session.
- 13 (Closed session at 10.10 a.m.) * Reclassified partially in public
- 14 THE COURT OFFICER: We are in closed session, Mr President.
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Mr Bourgon.
- 16 MR BOURGON: Thank you, Mr President. I'll try to be as brief as possible. We
- don't know what the witness would like to entertain the Chamber about.
- 18 What we do know is that when the witness arrived in The Hague and when he met the
- 19 Prosecution during a preparation session, and that was on (Redacted), immediately from
- 20 the get go he indicated to Prosecution that he had some concerns for his security.
- 21 The Prosecution responded and my colleague can confirm that, that this should be
- 22 addressed with VWU and not with the Prosecution. And I think my colleague was
- 23 right in doing so.
- Now, we don't know how long this discussion took place, we have no timing, but then
- 25 the formal preparation session began and then it lasted for some 20 minutes on that first

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

- 1 day.
- 2 On the second day, on (Redacted), the witness had the preparation session with the
- 3 Prosecution, but immediately before that there was another meeting. We don't know
- 4 the duration of the meeting and we don't know what was discussed during the meeting,
- 5 but this meeting was -- involved my colleague from the Prosecution. It involved the
- 6 (Redacted), who was present and the witness, and they had a
- 7 conversation. Again, I say we don't know the duration nor what was discussed.
- 8 On the third day, the witness proofing proceeded as normal.
- 9 Mr President, on this basis we say that everything that was discussed during those two
- days, I'm referring here to (Redacted), has not been reported to the
- 11 Defence, so we have no information and we don't know exactly any details of what was
- 12 then discussed.
- 13 We don't know either whether (Redacted) reported the essence of the second meeting to
- 14 the Chamber or not. So we are completely in the dark. That being said, Mr President,
- 15 I believe that the witness' request at this time is not at the right -- made at the right time
- 16 or in the right context.
- 17 It is our respectful submission that we need to separate between two issues: One is the
- 18 testimony of the witness and the second is security concerns that he may have and that
- 19 he might wish to share. I think the two are unrelated.
- 20 We are ready to proceed with his testimony. And I don't think that his security
- 21 concerns, unless of course he refuses to testify, which is a different issue, but we're not
- 22 aware of that, and we have no idea, unless it is related to a refusal to testify, then there
- are no relationship between the two.
- We can proceed with the testimony. He is testifying -- testifying with all the possible
- 25 guarantees that can be provided to a witness. And now -- and he also has the

Pursuant to the Trial Chamber VI's Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-1887, dated 4 May 2017, the public reclassified and lesser redacted version of this transcript is filed in the case

Trial Hearing (Closed Session) ICC-01/04-02/06

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

- 1 assurances pursuant to Rule 74, and he has a lawyer present. So I don't think there's
- 2 anything preventing him from testifying.
- 3 And in parallel to his testimony, to address the security concerns with what the -- the
- 4 persons and the authorities, which are provided for in the framework of the
- 5 International Criminal Court. There's a whole system put in place to address these
- 6 concerns and I think that's where these concerns should be addressed. Here he's here to
- 7 testify and we should proceed with his testimony, Mr President. Thank you very
- 8 much.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you, Mr Bourgon.
- 10 Ms Samson, do you also want to make any comment on this issue?
- 11 MS SAMSON: Thank you, Mr President. Just briefly. The Prosecution was not
- aware that the witness wanted to speak to the Judges, but we support his request.
- 13 These Judges -- your Honours are professional judges, so you can separate any issues
- 14 related to substantive discussions versus security issues.
- We are aware that the witness (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

26

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted) and to assist in facilitating his testimony, including under Rule 88 as a special
- 3 measure.
- 4 In relation to the Defence's request for disclosure of (Redacted). As the
- 5 Prosecution has set out in its filings on disclosure of (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted), it makes every
- 7 effort to do that as quickly as possible.
- 8 In this case, the nature of the discussions that the Prosecution had with the witness at the
- 9 outset of his witness preparation session, in our assessment, (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted). Nothing disclosable had arose
- 12 from that discussion. The witness has not refused to testify in my presence and has
- 13 always been cooperative with the Prosecution but has, indeed, on several occasions
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted).
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you very much. Before asking you to leave the
- 18 courtroom for a while, I would like to assure you that for us it's also new information.
- 19 The information we received (Redacted)
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted).
- 22 So we will now to ask witness, and I can assure you that we will not take any evidence,
- obviously, that we will -- it seems to be the main issue to deal with (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted), and we firmly hope we will solve this issue for
- 25 the common benefit, which means that there would be no -- hopefully no obstacles to get

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

- 1 testimony from the witness. So now please we are asking you to leave the courtroom
- 2 for a short while.
- 3 Mr Bourgon.
- 4 MR BOURGON: Thank you, Mr President. I respectfully submit, Mr President, that
- 5 before we proceed in hearing the witness in such a way, that it would be appropriate,
- 6 Mr President, for the Chamber to hear (Redacted) who met with the
- 7 witness to discuss these issues because now the Chamber does not know what was
- 8 discussed.
- 9 This man is (Redacted), so he must have information that is relevant.
- 10 I think before you hear directly from the witness, I understand this might take more time,
- but it might give you a better idea before you hear what he has to say. My colleague
- 12 has already informed you what he will say. He will say all kinds of bad things about
- the accused, he will say all kinds of bad things about his fears and his views and his
- 14 protection.
- 15 So we don't know the details, but we know that he will say all kinds of negative things
- about the accused and we know that you are professional Judges and we have no
- problem with that, the issue is maybe it's a good thing to hear from VWU so we see their
- assessment before we proceed to granting such an exceptional request of speaking to the
- 19 Judges alone. Thank you, Mr President.
- 20 (Trial Chamber confers)
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: So we deliberated and we even -- we have taken into
- 22 account some technical aspects of different options, but we still -- I would like to hear
- 23 witness himself at the beginning and that we still hope there is some chance to solve it
- 24 just between us and the witness. Even we admit there is a possibility that we will need,
- as Mr Bourgon mentioned, to invite VWU in the discussion. We will see.

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

- 1 As to the technical aspects, we also consider the possibility, because there are some
- 2 technical issues that complicates possible move -- prospective move of other parties
- 3 outside of the courtroom, to listen to the witness in our deliberation room, but it would
- 4 also mean some complications. We would invite all three interpreters. We will have
- 5 to invite somebody who will make a record.
- 6 So we still believe that even if we would need to break for that purpose, we would like to
- 7 listen to the witness in the courtroom.
- 8 So now we will have to, if I understood well to our court officer, we will have to break.
- 9 We will start with witness -- I mean as the Chamber itself and we also invite VWU to
- 10 be -- to stand by and, if need, we will then invite both VWU and the parties. It will
- 11 really depend what will be the issue discussed. It will be security, so it's highly
- 12 sensitive. We will see to what extent it should be confidential, to what extent the
- 13 parties may be involved.
- 14 So at the moment we --
- 15 (Pause in proceedings)
- 16 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: So even if it means some complications for all of us, we
- still mean that the testimony of this witness seems to be very important, so we are ready
- 18 to do everything in order to get the testimony.
- 19 So now, before the tape has to be changed, we will break for 30 minutes and then the
- 20 Chamber itself will meet the witness in the courtroom.
- 21 So for the moment, we break and the Chamber only will proceed at 11.00.
- 22 THE COURT USHER: All rise.
- 23 (The hearing ends in closed session at 10.28 a.m.)
- 24 RECLASSIFICATION REPORT
- 25 Pursuant to the Trial Chamber VI's Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-1887, dated 4 May 2017,

ICC-01/04-02/06-T-41-Red2-ENG WT 30-10-2015 19/19 SZ T

Pursuant to the Trial Chamber VI's Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-1887, dated 4 May 2017, the public reclassified and lesser redacted version of this transcript is filed in the case.

Trial Hearing (Closed Session) ICC-01/04-02/06

Witness: DRC-OTP-P-0055

the public reclassified and lesser redacted version of this transcript is filed in the case. 1

Page 19 30.10.2015