Trial Hearing WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010 (Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 International Criminal Court
- 2 Trial Chamber VI Courtroom 2
- 3 Situation: Democratic Republic of the Congo
- 4 In the case of The Prosecutor v. Bosco Ntaganda ICC-01/04-02/06
- 5 Presiding Judge Robert Fremr, Judge Kuniko Ozaki and Judge Chang-ho Chung
- 6 Trial Hearing
- 7 Monday, 16 November 2015
- 8 (The hearing starts in open session at 9.33 a.m.)
- 9 THE COURT USHER: All rise.
- 10 The International Criminal Court is now in session.
- 11 Please be seated.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Good morning, everybody.
- 13 Court officer, please call the case.
- 14 THE COURT OFFICER: Thank you, Mr President.
- 15 Situation in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, in the case of The Prosecutor
- 16 versus Bosco Ntaganda, case reference ICC-01/04-02/06.
- 17 For the record, we are in open session.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you.
- 19 Appearances now, starting with Prosecution, please.
- 20 MS SAMSON: Good morning, Mr President, your Honours. Appearing for the
- 21 Prosecution today are Mr James Pace, assistant trial lawyer; Ms Claudine Umurungi,
- 22 legal assistant; Ms Selam Yirgou, case manager; and myself, Nicole Samson, senior
- 23 trial lawyer.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you, Ms Samson.
- 25 Defence now, please.

16.11.2015

- 1 MR BOURGON: (Interpretation) Good morning, Mr President. Good morning,
- 2 your Honours. And I greet all those who are present in the courtroom.
- 3 On behalf of Bosco Ntaganda, who is present in the adjacent room, and along with
- 4 Dennie Michielsen, there is Maître Isabelle Martineau, William St-Michel and myself,
- 5 Stéphane Bourgon. Thank you, Mr President.
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you.
- 7 Now, please, Legal Representatives of Victims.
- 8 MS PELLET: (Interpretation) Thank you, Mr President. Former child soldiers are
- 9 represented by myself, Sarah Pellet, counsel at the OPCV. Thank you.
- 10 MR SUPRUN: (Interpretation) Good morning, Mr President. Good morning,
- 11 your Honours. Victims of the attacks are represented by myself, Dmytro Suprun,
- 12 counsel at the OPCV.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you, Ms Pellet. Thank you, Mr Suprun.
- 14 Today we should finish examination of Witness P-10. As I already said, according
- 15 our rules, the Defence was entitled to continue for one more session, but we granted,
- 16 under special circumstances, we granted to the Defence one more session, which
- 17 means, Mr Bourgon, that you are expected to finish your cross-examination by
- 18 1 o'clock.
- 19 Now, Mr Bourgon, you have the floor.
- 20 MR BOURGON: (Interpretation) Thank you, Mr President.
- 21 WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010 (On former oath)
- 22 (The witness speaks Swahili)
- 23 QUESTIONED BY MR BOURGON: (Continuing)
- 24 Q. Good morning, Madam.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Sorry, Mr Bourgon, to stop you.

Trial Hearing WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010 (Open Session)

1	And Ms Witness, I hope that you are feeling well today; and as usually, it is my duty
2	to remind you that you are still under oath, you know it. So please do it as during
3	previous days. I think you are doing very well. So you have to speak the truth and
4	nothing but the truth.
5	Mr Bourgon, sorry for that. Now you have the floor.
6	MR BOURGON: (Interpretation) Thank you, Mr President.
7	Q. Good morning, Witness.
8	A. Good morning.
9	Q. This morning I would like to revisit quickly the video on the visit you said you
10	made to Rwampara. And you know what video I am referring to?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. At some point in that video, the Prosecutor asked you to identify some person
13	who was very short, and you said that this person was one of Bosco Ntaganda's
14	escorts. Do you remember that part of your testimony?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Last Friday I forgot to ask you in fact, that person on the video, would that
17	person's name be Zakayo (phon). Do you know Zakayo?
18	A. Yes, the kadogo. Yes.
19	Q. You know that at the time Zakayo was more than 20 years old; is it not so?
20	A. That is not correct. He was a kadogo. In fact, he was younger than I.
21	THE INTERPRETER: Overlapping question, Mr President. We didn't get the
22	question.
23	THE WITNESS: (Interpretation) I don't know who you are referring to as Zakayo.
24	I know, however, that there was a kadogo who was Ntaganda's bodyguard. So
25	I don't know who you are referring to when you talk about Zakayo.

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

1 MR BOURGON: (Interpretation) I'm not engaging in any debate with you, but you

2 have just said that you knew Zakayo, who was a bodyguard. Now, the question is

3 the following: The Zakayo whom you knew, how old was he?

4 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Ms Samson.

5 MS SAMSON: Thank you, Mr President.

6 Just to be clear, I wasn't clear myself whether the witness had answered that this

7 person Zakayo is the individual that she saw in the video who was very short. It

8 wasn't clear to me. And right now, based on her answer, it seems that there is

9 confusion as to whether or not they're speaking of the same individual or if she, in

10 fact, knows Zakayo. Thank you.

11 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: I also agree that it's not 100 percent clear, Mr Bourgon.

12 Please, could you kindly try to clarify that?

13 And the one comment I got a message from the interpreters that sometimes you are

14 too fast. So I know you are under some time pressure, but still please observe

15 pauses between your question and, in fact, not -- not response, but translation on his

16 response, please.

17 MR BOURGON: Thank you, Mr President.

18 Q. Witness, the bodyguard whom you saw on the video who was putting a

19 weapon into the lorry, and you know who I am talking about, don't you?

20 A. He was a kadogo, in fact, a kadogo.

21 Q. Do you know who I am talking about? I'm talking about the person whom you

22 saw on the video who was putting a weapon into the lorry. You know that person,

23 don't you?

A. Yes, it was a kadogo who put weapons into a white lorry. Yes.

25 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Mr Bourgon, sorry, I would rather for further

16.11.2015

- 1 questions move into private session because maybe there is some relation between
- 2 some persons and -- so, court officer, please let's move into private session now.
- 3 (Private session at 9.42 a.m.)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

16.11.2015

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 6 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
19 20	
20	
20 21	
20 21 22	

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 7 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 8 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 9 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 17 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 22 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010 (Closed Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Closed session at 11.04 a.m.)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Open session at 11.04 a.m.)
- 10 THE COURT OFFICER: We are in open session, Mr President.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you.
- 12 We break now and we will reconvene half past 11.
- 13 THE COURT USHER: All rise.
- 14 (Recess taken at 11.05 a.m.)
- 15 (Upon Resuming in open session at 11.39 a.m.)
- 16 THE COURT USHER: All rise. Please be seated.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: So the Defence is going to continue in
- 18 cross-examination of Witness P-10. As we already indicated, the Defence has last 80
- 19 minutes to make it and as usually I'm asking you, Mr Bourgon, whether you think
- 20 you -- we can stay in open session or if you, and I guess it will be the case, if you
- 21 would like to continue in the line of questioning you followed before the break, then
- 22 we will have to go into private.
- 23 MR BOURGON: Private session please, your Honour.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Court officer, please move into private session now.
- 25 (Private session at 11.40 a.m.)

16.11.2015

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 35 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
16 17	
17	
17 18	
17 18 19	
17 18 19 20	
17 18 19 20 21	
 17 18 19 20 21 22 	

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 39 redacted – Private session
14	
14 15	
15	
15 16	
15 16 17	
15 16 17 18	
15 16 17 18 19	
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	
 15 16 17 18 19 20 	
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 51 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

(Private Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Closed session at 1.08 p.m.)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Open session at 1.09 p.m.)

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 THE COURT OFFICER: We're in open session, Mr President.
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you. So we will break now and we will
- 3 reconvene at half past 2. But before doing that, for the sake of planning, Ms Samson,
- 4 you have indicated that you would like to make some re-examination-in-chief. How
- 5 much time you think you will need?
- 6 MS SAMSON: Mr President, I will be reevaluating that again over the lunch break.
- 7 I had reduced it over the weekend. So if I were to come back and request
- 8 re-examination time, it would be limited to 15 minutes. Thank you.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you very much.
- 10 So now we break and we will reconvene half past 2.
- 11 THE COURT USHER: All rise.
- 12 (Recess taken at 1.10 p.m.)
- 13 (Upon resuming in open session 2.37 p.m.)
- 14 THE COURT USHER: All rise. Please be seated.
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Good afternoon, everybody. We will continue with
- 16 testimony of Witness P-10 and we will continue by re-examination-in-chief made by
- 17 Prosecution.
- 18 Ms Samson, do you want to make it in private or in open session?
- 19 MS SAMSON: Thank you, Mr President. In private session, your Honours. And
- 20 just to give you an update, I don't think I will need more than five minutes.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Very well. So now let's move into private session.
- 22 (Private session at 2.38 p.m.)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 58 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 59 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

(Closed Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 (Closed session at 3.08 p.m.)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

(Closed Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Open session at 3.10 p.m.)
- 9 THE COURT OFFICER: We are in open session, your Honour.
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: So we moved into open session at the end of Witness
- 11 P-10 testimony because she asked explicitly the Chamber to have a chance to speak or
- 12 to say something on behalf of herself in public.
- 13 So, Ms Witness, you have the floor.
- 14 THE WITNESS: (Interpretation) The first thing I want to do is to thank you,
- 15 Mr President, your Honours, for the opportunity you gave me to testify here.
- 16 I came here to testify not in my own name alone, but on behalf of all the victims in my
- 17 country who did not have the opportunity to address the Judges. I am speaking
- 18 here on their behalf, particularly on behalf of the girls who were enlisted into the
- 19 armies and who have been victims of rape.
- 20 Mr President, I thank you very much for having given me the floor.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you, Witness. And as I said, and I will repeat
- it also for public, we thank you a lot that you came, that you testify sometimes on
- 23 very difficult topics for you. Thank you very much, and we save you -- we wish you
- 24 safe journey home. Thank you very much.
- 25 And now we can move into closed session and escort the witness out of the

(Closed Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 courtroom.
- 2 (Closed session at 3.13 p.m.)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Open session at 3.15 p.m.)

9 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Now we will continue with few procedural issues; but

10 before doing that, I would like, again, to thank to the Defence and Mr Ntaganda for

11 the constructive approach, for the consent to move Mr Ntaganda out of the courtroom

12 for the duration of this testimony, which obviously helped witness to speak more

13 freely. And I think, I hope, it was for the benefit of both parties because there were

14 significant difference between the way how she testified first day and the other days.

15 So thank you very much for that.

16 And now, as a first issue before us is the pending request made by Prosecution on

17 admission of video DRC-OTP-0120-0293 and connected or related transcripts and

18 translations, Ms Samson, you have already made this submission. Do you want to

- 19 add anything at this moment?
- 20 MS SAMSON: No, thank you, your Honour.

21 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Well, then Mr Bourgon, you asked us it was the reason

22 why we postponed ruling on that, that you would rather make your submission on

23 this request after or at the end of testimony of Witness P-10. So now, if you want,

24 you have the floor.

25 MR BOURGON: (Interpretation) Thank you, Mr President. I will be very brief.

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

1 It is our position that the testimony of the witness does not allow for a sufficient 2 reliability, in terms of her identification of the video, for the video to be admitted 3 through her. 4 We believe that the evidence, which was led by the Prosecution and cross-examined 5 by the Defence, does not establish sufficiently that the Witness was present when this 6 video was made. So the making of the video and, for this reason, we believe that the 7 video itself should not, at this stage, be admitted into evidence. 8 That being said, Mr President, should the Chamber decide to admit the video, we 9 believe that the position in respect of the transcript is different. And I was 10 wondering whether the Chamber would like to hear me now on this issue or after the 11 Chamber takes a decision whether to admit the video or not. 12 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: I guess you may continue because we also have been 13 informed of some progress in the meantime, but please continue. 14 MR BOURGON: Thank you, Mr President. The idea behind the admission of 15 transcript -- and this has been an ongoing issue between the Prosecution and the 16 Defence and which -- or the Chamber has been involved into, it is our position, 17 Mr President, that we are dealing with two issues. The first one is straightforward 18 an issue of transcription and translation. And that's straightforward a question of 19 the Defence having the possibility to agree with the Prosecution. And regardless of the decision that is taken whether to admit a transcript or a translation, until we can 20 21 reach this agreement on the verification of the -- of a transcript, then it should be 22 marked for identification. 23 We have informed already the Chamber that we have consulted with the Registry. 24 We are awaiting an answer so that we have the capability to do so.

25 As soon as we do have this capability, we will immediately embark on verifying these

Page 67

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 transcriptions and these translations and then consult with our -- with the Prosecution
- 2 so that we can have these exhibits admitted.

In the meantime, I think they should just be marked for identification until this issuetakes place. So that's one issue.

5 The other issue is the transcript itself. It is our submission, Mr President, that a 6 decision on the conduct of the proceedings is not -- does not provide specifically in 7 terms of what will happen to a transcript when admission is requested by a party. 8 It is our submission, Mr President, that when we request admission of a transcript, it 9 is important to state what is the purpose of such admission because a transcript and a 10 translation of an audiovisual exhibit is an exhibit of a special category. It is our 11 submission that there are three purposes for admitting a transcript. A transcript can 12 be admitted if for -- straightforward for impeachment purposes, which poses no 13 difficulty in terms of whether it is the truth of the matter or whether the words were 14 pronounced. It is straightforward an issue of impeachment. That is the first level. 15 The second level of admitting a transcript in our respectful submission is when you 16 admit a transcript for the proof of the words that were mentioned, and in this 17 category then if that is the request, then if the transcript is admitted, it proves that 18 these words were mentioned by the persons who are depicted in the video. This is 19 the second level.

The third level, Mr President, is when a transcript is admitted for the proof of its content. And to us that is a very sensitive area and that we should only enter into when we have evidence coming from the witness that what is depicted in the video in terms of the words represents the truth, and that should be the exception rather than the rule.

25 On this issue, the Chamber will recall that when Witness 886 was on the stand, we

Page 68

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

1 requested admission of a transcript for the truth of its content. The reason for this 2 was simply because the witness through his answers to the questions that were put to 3 him on the video basically establish the truth of what could be seen and heard on the 4 audiovisual exhibit. And in this exceptional circumstances, we decided to ask for 5 admission of this transcript for the truth of its content. 6 My colleague in her objections -- in her response to the Defence objection mentioned 7 that the Defence had requested admission of this transcript, and she's right, we did 8 exceptionally request admission of that transcript for the truth of its content. 9 But I will recall -- the Chamber will recall, Mr President, that even then we had 10 mentioned to the Chamber, regardless of your decision, it should still be marked for 11 identification until the first issue is sorted out, which is the issue of transcription and 12 translation. 13 So that's our submission, Mr President. So if in this case we -- I'd like to hear what 14 the Prosecution's request is. What is the purpose of admitting this transcript so that 15 it is clear for everybody what can be done with a transcript once it's admitted, of 16 course, if the video itself is admitted. Thank you, Mr President. 17 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you. Ms Samson, could you comment the second issue mentioned by Mr Bourgon now? 18 19 MS SAMSON: Certainly, your Honour. There were two issues that Mr Bourgon 20 had addressed in relation to the transcripts. The first is procedurally whether or not 21 the transcript should receive an MFI code now while we await the Defence being able 22 to review the material in full. That is indeed how we proceeded with Witness 901 23 and Witness 768. The Prosecution has no objection to proceeding in that way. 24 The concern that I have expressed in an email and I will express now is simply the 25 length of time that that seems to be taking. However, in principle, no objection,

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 because it is in line with the Chamber's decision on how admission of transcripts
- 2 should take place.

3 On the second issue, the purpose of admission of the transcripts, the Prosecution is 4 seeking to admit the video in this case for the truth of its contents to represent to the 5 Chamber and for the use of the parties and participants what occurred on a given day, 6 in this case a visit to the Rwampara training camp and who was present, what was 7 said, what was taking place to corroborate the account of this witness, eventually to 8 corroborate the account of other witnesses who will come forward on behalf of the 9 The transcript and accompanying translation are provided as Prosecution. 10 accompanying documents to the video in order to assist the Chamber, the parties and 11 the participants in using that material to the fullest now or at a later stage. 12 The video is in this case in Swahili, so the translation is provided so that everyone can 13 understand what has taken place. And the transcript is for ease of use of that facility 14 really, of use of the material later. 15 So the transcript and translation in our submission is sought to be introduced into 16 evidence for the truth of the content, the words spoken, just as the video is as well in 17 case there's any difficulty with that or any -- if it wasn't clear already, I make that

18 clearer.

19 And with Witness P-886, the witness was not present when the video put to him was 20 He could not verify the accuracy of the account on the day in question. shot. 21 However, the Defence had suggested that what was being spoken seemed generally 22 to accord with his understanding of the situation at the time, to which he answered 23 yes, and on that basis the Defence made an application to admit the video and 24 corresponding transcript and translation into evidence for the truth of its contents. Here we have a Witness who has indicated being present when the video was filmed.

16.11.2015

25

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 She has confirmed that what took place is an accurate description of what she herself
- 2 witnessed.
- 3 There is a large difference in my submission between the position of this witness
- 4 vis-á-vis the video we're seeking to admit and P-886's position vis-á-vis the video that
- 5 was admitted through him. And there are no obstacles in our submission to
- 6 admitting the video and the corresponding transcript and translations into evidence.
- 7 Thank you.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you.
- 9 Now give me a minute, I will deliberate with my colleagues.
- 10 (Trial Chamber confers)
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: So after silent deliberation, I can render the following
- 12 ruling on admission of video DRC-OTP-0120-0293 and related transcripts and

13 translations DRC-OTP-0120-0318, DRC-OTP-0120-0298 and DRC-OTP-0120-0335.

14 The Chamber originally deferred consideration of the admission of video

15 DRC-OTP-0120-0293 until after cross-examination of this witness.

16 Notwithstanding the challenges made on cross-examination, the Chamber considers

17 that the prima facie relevance and probative value of the video has been established at

18 this time, noting that the witness maintained her testimony, that she was present at

19 the time the video was made and testified as to its contents.

20 As with the admission of all evidence, the ultimate weight to be attached will be a

21 matter for consideration at the end of the case in light of all other relevant evidence.

- 22 The portion of DRC-OTP-0120-0293 used with the Witness may, therefore, be
- 23 admitted without subtitles.
- 24 With respect of the translation and transcription as previously addressed by the
- 25 Chamber at paragraph 57 in the decision on the conduct of proceedings and its oral

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

decision of 20 October 2015, the Chamber has already established the procedure with
respect to transcripts and translations pursuant to which in the event of concrete
disagreement I am highlighting concrete disagreement, and if the Chamber considers
it appropriate, the Registry may be requested by the Chamber to assist with particular
transcription or translation issues. The transcripts and translations do not otherwise
require verification or certification.

7 The Defence indicated on 12 November 2015 that it had approached the Registry with 8 respect to the transcription and translation issue and that this was awaiting a 9 response. The Chamber has been provided with this correspondence and notes that 10 the response was given on Friday and that further discussions may need to be 11 ongoing.

While the Chamber considers that further guidance is not required on this issue at this stage, it emphasizes that the purpose of any such review by the other party is the identification of concrete disagreement and not a full verification and certification of translations and transcriptions already made.

16 In light of this, the transcripts and translations DRC-OTP-0120-0318, then

17 DRC-OTP-0120-0298 and DRC-OTP-0120-0335 will be marked for identification at this

18 time. However, the Chamber would like to emphasize that the Defence must liaise

19 further with the Registry and the Prosecution and bring the issue back to the attention

20 of the Chamber when the matter is resolved. Otherwise, should it become necessary,

21 the Chamber will impose a deadline for the raising of any objections to transcripts

22 and translations for which admission has so far been deferred pending Defence

23 review. Since translation and transcript had not been admitted at the moment to the

24 evidence, this ruling does not touch the second issue mentioned by Mr Bourgon in his

25 submission.

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

1	It concludes our ruling, and now I think we have all indicated that we don't see
2	reasonable to start a witness, the next witness within the last 25 minutes, but we also
3	understood that Mr Bourgon would like to make any or some further procedural
4	submission or not?
5	MR BOURGON: Indeed, Mr President, I have a number of documents that I would
6	like to have admitted for the purpose of impeachment further to the
7	cross-examination that was conducted by the Defence.
8	PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Before you will start with the list, one general question
9	to Ms Samson. If those proposed or tendered documents are intended to be
10	admitted only for only for purpose of impeachment, what will be your position?
11	MS SAMSON: I won't have an objection, your Honour, to that. I would just like to
12	know which documents my friend would like to introduce in this way, because he
13	didn't show all of the documents on his list to the witness. Thank you.
14	PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you very much.
15	So now please, Mr Bourgon, start to present your list in a way which would allow
16	both Chamber and Ms Samson to catch all those documents and the parts which have
17	been used with this witness.
18	MR BOURGON: Mr President, maybe to save some of the Court's time, maybe I can
19	just liaise with my colleagues in the Prosecution and we can arrive with a list on
20	which we agree either even by email or at the next time that the Chamber will be
21	sitting, Mr President.
22	PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: We would certainly appreciate and we generally
23	support this approach.
24	Would it be okay with Prosecution?
25	MS SAMSON: Certainly, yes.

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Are there any further submissions or issues,
- 2 comments, questions at this moment?
- 3 Mr Bourgon.
- 4 MR BOURGON: Thank you, Mr President. There is one issue that we would like
- 5 to raise at this time which is completely unrelated and I believe, Mr President, that we
- 6 need to go into private session to raise this issue.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: All right. Let's move into private session now.
- 8 (Private session at 3.34 p.m.)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0010		

(Private Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (The hearing ends in private session at 3.40 p.m.)