(Open Session)

- 1 International Criminal Court
- 2 Trial Chamber VI Courtroom 2
- 3 Situation: Democratic Republic of the Congo
- 4 In the case of The Prosecutor v. Bosco Ntaganda ICC-01/04-02/06
- 5 Presiding Judge Robert Fremr, Judge Kuniko Ozaki and
- 6 Judge Chang-ho Chung
- 7 Trial Hearing
- 8 Tuesday, 27 October 2015
- 9 (The hearing starts in open session at 9.33 a.m.)
- 10 THE COURT USHER: All rise.
- 11 The International Criminal Court is now in session.
- 12 Please be seated.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Good morning, everybody.
- 14 Court officer, please call the case.
- 15 THE COURT OFFICER: Thank you, Mr President.
- 16 The situation in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, in the case of The Prosecutor
- 17 versus Bosco Ntaganda, case reference ICC-01/04-02/06.
- 18 We are in open session.
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you.
- 20 Now appearances, please.
- 21 Starting with Prosecution.
- 22 MS LUPING: Good morning, Mr President, your Honours. Appearing on behalf of
- 23 the Prosecution, Dianne Luping, trial lawyer; Ms Kristy Sim, assistant trial lawyer;
- 24 Lois Mbafor, legal assistant; and Selam Yirgou, case manager.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you, Ms Luping.

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

1 Defence.

2 MR BOURGON: (Interpretation) Good morning, your Honour, your Honours.

3 And good morning to all those seated in the courthouse -- the courtroom. I'm

4 representing Mr Bosco Ntaganda, who is present this morning. Intern, Ms Braber;

5 Mr William St-Michel; and myself, Stéphane Bourgon. Thank you, your Honour.

6 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you.

7 Now Legal Representatives of Victims, please.

8 MS PELLET: (Interpretation) Thank you, your Honour. For the former child

9 soldiers, I, myself, am representing them, Sarah Pellet, for the OPCV.

10 MR SUPRUN: (Interpretation) Good morning, your Honour, your Honours. The

11 victims of the attacks are represented by myself, Dmytro Suprun, counsel for the

12 OPCV.

13 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you, Ms Pellet. Thank you, Mr Suprun.

14 Before we approach to the further part of cross-examination of our witness, I would

15 like to touch one procedural issue. This morning we received courtesy copy of the

16 latest Defence filing, dated 26 October 2015, concerning our current witness.

17 I don't want at the moment to go into substance. We will do it -- we would like to do

18 it later on. So as to the position of the Defence is clear, I think it is fully covered by

19 this filing, but I would like to ask Prosecution.

20 And my question at the moment is how much time you need to respond? My idea

21 was that either you can respond immediately, or we are ready to give you some time,

22 and for us it would be pretty enough to get your respond in the end of this day.

23 So, Ms Luping, what is your position?

24 MS LUPING: Thank you, Mr President, your Honours. I'm -- I'm ready to make

25 the submissions now if that is convenient or the end of the day. How you wish.

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 Because I think the answers are very simple to be provided.
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: In that case, it would be better for us to get your
- 3 position immediately, but for that purpose, excuse me, for that purpose we will have
- 4 to move into private session.
- 5 So, court officer, let's move into private session.
- 6 (Private session at 9.37 a.m.)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

27.10.2015

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 4 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
17 18	
18	
18 19	
18 19 20	
18 19 20 21	
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	

Trial Hearin	ıg
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

ICC-01/04-02/06

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 5 redacted – Private session
13 14	Page 5 redacted – Private session
	Page 5 redacted – Private session
14	Page 5 redacted – Private session
14 15	Page 5 redacted – Private session
14 15 16	Page 5 redacted – Private session
14 15 16 17	Page 5 redacted – Private session
14 15 16 17 18	Page 5 redacted – Private session
14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 5 redacted – Private session
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> </ol>	Page 5 redacted – Private session
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	Page 5 redacted – Private session

25

Trial Hearin	ıg
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 6 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 7 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearin	ıg
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 8 redacted – Private session
13 14	Page 8 redacted – Private session
	Page 8 redacted – Private session
14	Page 8 redacted – Private session
14 15	Page 8 redacted – Private session
14 15 16	Page 8 redacted – Private session
14 15 16 17	Page 8 redacted – Private session
14 15 16 17 18	Page 8 redacted – Private session
14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 8 redacted – Private session
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 8 redacted – Private session
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 8 redacted – Private session
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	Page 8 redacted – Private session

Trial Hearin	ıg
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

ICC-01/04-02/06

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 9 redacted – Private session
13 14	Page 9 redacted – Private session
	Page 9 redacted – Private session
14	Page 9 redacted – Private session
14 15	Page 9 redacted – Private session
14 15 16	Page 9 redacted – Private session
14 15 16 17	Page 9 redacted – Private session
14 15 16 17 18	Page 9 redacted – Private session
14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 9 redacted – Private session
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 9 redacted – Private session
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	Page 9 redacted – Private session
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	Page 9 redacted – Private session

25

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 10 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 11 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

(Private Session)

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Open session at 9.58 a.m.)
- 17 THE COURT OFFICER: We are in open session, Mr President.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you.
- 19 We will have to wait for the witness.
- 20 (The witness enters the courtroom)
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Good morning, Mr Witness.
- 22 WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0886 (On former oath)
- 23 (The witness speaks Swahili)
- 24 THE WITNESS: (Interpretation) Good morning.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: I hope you are feeling well, at least you look so.

(Open Session)

- 1 THE WITNESS: (Interpretation) I feel well, like every day.
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: That's good to hear that.
- 3 Mr Witness, today we will continue or, to be exact, Defence will continue with your
- 4 cross-examination. I have to remind you again that you are under oath so that you
- 5 have to speak the truth and nothing but the truth.
- 6 And maybe I would also like to emphasise that take your time when you are
- 7 responding because sometimes the questions asked you to recollect things that occur
- 8 a long time ago, so -- and each detail here, you know, is important. So don't hurry
- 9 up. Take your time and, as we told you yesterday, focus on the question. Just try
- 10 to respond exactly what the question is asked for, okay?
- 11 THE WITNESS: (No interpretation)
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you very much.
- 13 And now I give the floor to Mr Bourgon.
- 14 QUESTIONED BY MR BOURGON: (Continuing)
- 15 Q. Good morning, sir.
- 16 A. Good morning.
- 17 Q. As the Presiding Judge explained to you, we will proceed with your
- 18 cross-examination this morning, and I would like to clarify one issue at the beginning
- and to very shortly come back on the meeting you had with a person who was not a
- 20 Prosecution investigator. Do you recall talking about meetings with a person other
- 21 than Prosecution investigators?
- A. I only met people from the ICC. I don't know why you were asking me aboutother people who I allegedly met.
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

(Private Session)

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: A good point. So let's move into private session.
- 22 (Private session at 10.06 a.m.)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 15 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
21 22	
22	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 16 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 17 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
19 20	
20	
20 21	
20 21 22	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 18 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 19 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 20 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	Page 21 redacted – Private session
13	1 aze 21 1eualieu – 1 11vale session
13	1 age 21 Ieuacieu – I Iivale Session
	1 age 21 feuacieu – I fivale session
14	1 age 21 redacted - 1 fivate session
14 15	r age 21 reuacieu – i fivale session
14 15 16	r age 21 reuacieu – i fivale session
14 15 16 17	r age 21 reuacieu – i fivale session
14 15 16 17 18	r age 21 reuacieu – i fivate session
14 15 16 17 18 19	r age 21 redacted – i fivate session
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> </ol>	r age 21 redacted – i fivate session
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	r age 21 reuacieu – i nivale session
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	r age 21 retacted – 1 fivate session

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 22 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 23 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
23 24	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 24 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22 23	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 25 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
~~	
22	
22 23	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 26 redacted – Private session
14	
14 15	
15	
15 16	
15 16 17	
15 16 17 18	
15 16 17 18 19	
15 16 17 18 19 20	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 27 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
24	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 28 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 29 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
17 18	
18	
18 19	
18 19 20	
18 19 20 21	
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 30 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

(Private Session)

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Open session at 10.59 a.m.)
- 11 THE COURT OFFICER: We are in open session, Mr President.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you, court officer.
- 13 And I'm just announcing that now we break for 30 minutes, which means that -- no.
- 14 Mr Bourgon, please.
- 15 Okay, then. As I said, we break now for 30 minutes, and we will reconvene at half
- 16 past 11.
- 17 THE COURT USHER: All rise.
- 18 (Recess taken at 10.59 a.m.)
- 19 (Upon resuming in open session at 11.33 a.m.)
- 20 THE COURT USHER: All rise.
- 21 Please be seated.
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Mr Bourgon, you maybe sit down for a while because
- 23 I would like to start with two procedural issues: The first one is that we now
- 24 formally received filing -- it is Defence filing number 948 that we, I think, the parties
- 25 discussed any change of views on that -- the beginning of our morning session. So

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

1 after doing that, I am asking Defence whether you still insist on the request, or

2 whether you withdraw that; if not, we will decide. So it is up to you, please,

3 Mr Bourgon.

4 MR BOURGON: Mr President, our position is that through the questionings that 5 were put to the witness, we have established more than a sufficient basis to establish 6 the importance of the role of the intermediary in this case. And on this basis, we 7 would like to insist that the Prosecution be ordered to disclose the information related 8 to the intermediary, which is P-743, in terms of his relationship with this witness and 9 other witnesses. We feel that the questioning, although there maybe some doubts as 10 to exactly whether there was an extent of discussions between the witness and the 11 intermediary, we did establish the role of the intermediary and the importance for the 12 Defence to obtain such information. And on this basis, this is the part that we insist 13 on, Mr President. Thank you.

PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: All right then. So the Chamber will for sure decide.Prosecution, any comment on that?

MS LUPING: Mr President, your Honours, only to say that we will -- we still maintain our opposition to the request. We do not believe that there has been any sufficient basis established. It has not been established that this information is material to the -- the -- to the Defence and, in fact, to the contrary. The witness's answers have established. There have been no substantive contacts. That was all. Thank you, your Honours.

PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you. So the Chamber will certainly decide
before the departure of this witness because in case we decide positively, it will have
some impact on the timing.

25 And the second issue was current timing, so I would just like to inform the parties

Page 32

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

1 that until so far, Defence used 2 hours and 24 minutes for cross-examination. So

2 pursuant to our principles, we try to observe, it should still have 4 hours and 20

3 minutes for the rest of cross-examination.

4 Mr Bourgon, you may proceed. And do you want to proceed in private or in open

5 session?

6 MR BOURGON: In open session, Mr President.

7 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Okay. Then you may proceed.

8 MR BOURGON:

9 Q. Welcome back, sir. I'd like to move now to an issue which is directly related to

10 what you said at the end of the last session concerning the instructions that were

11 given to the population in Sayo and other places, as well as the harsh conditions

12 imposed. And I would like at this stage to show you a video.

13 Mr President, the video I would like to show to the witness is video number on our

14 list DRC-OTP-2058-0251. And this video, Mr President, the part I would like to

15 show to the witness goes from 02 hours 01 minute 47 -- sorry 01 hour, 47 minute, 07

16 seconds until 02 hours, 01 minute, 47 seconds.

17 The transcript that go along this video recording go into the following exhibits: The

18 first one is the transcription of that video extract, and this can be found at

19 DRC-OTP-2062-2023, starting at page 45, lines 1622 until page 55, line 1957.

20 The translation of this extract can be found in DRC-OTP-2062-1333. It starts at page

21 1383, line 1751, until page 1393, lines 2109.

22 Mr President, the witness is not present during this video that I would like to show

him; but, according to the ruling that was issued by the Chamber on 20 October, my

24 position, Mr President, is as follows: That the witness has just testified at length

about the conditions or the harsh conditions imposed by the Lendu -- by the Lendus

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

1 in Sayo and in Mongbwalu.

2 And that video shows -- is relevant to this. I'm not going to say what is in the video 3 because the witness is present, but the purpose of showing the video is to -- for the 4 witness to confirm whether what he will see in this video matches his testimony. So 5 it's a matter of cross-examination, and a matter that goes also to confirming his own 6 testimony. So it is material that is submitted to the witness as a suggestion that what 7 he will see matches what he testified about pursuant to the Chamber's decision of 20 October. 8 9 And I can reply to my colleague, as I see she's on her feet, I can reply to those 10 arguments, but it is our submission that the decision of 20 October talking about 11 cross-examination and giving leeway to the Defence. And I can say more after my 12 colleague provides the Chamber with her objection. Thank you, Mr President. 13 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Ms Luping, before you start, do you think it's better to 14 keep the witness in or better to escort him for this exchange of views? 15 MS LUPING: I think it is better to have the exchange of views without the witness 16 present. I would not to want influence his answers. 17 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: It was just my concern, so please, court officer, please 18 escort the witness out of the courtroom. 19 Mr Witness, we will call you back soon. 20 (The witness stands down) 21 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Ms Luping, you may proceed. My last question it's 22 for you okay to make this objectional comment in the regime of open session? 23 MS LUPING: I will want to make some observations that are of an identifying 24 nature. If we could move into private session briefly, Mr President. 25 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Well, court officer, let's move into private session.

	Trial Hearing WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0886	(Private Session)	ICC-01/04-02/06
1	(Private session at 11.44 a.m.)		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13	Page 35 redacted – Private sess	ion	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Trial Hearing			
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 36 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	Page 37 reducted Private session
13	Page 37 redacted – Private session
13 14	1 age 57 redacted – 1 rivate session
	i age 57 ieuacieu – i iivale session
14	r age 57 reuacieu – i rivale session
14 15	r age 57 reuacieu – i rivale session
14 15 16	r age 57 reuacieu – i rivale session
14 15 16 17	rage of redacted – i fivate session
14 15 16 17 18	rage of redacted – i fivate session
14 15 16 17 18 19	rage of reducted – i fivate session
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	rage of reducted – i fivate session
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	r age or redacted – i rivate session
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	r age or redacted – i rivate session

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0886	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 38 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
21 22	
22	

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0886	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 39 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

(Private Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Recess taken at 11.57 a.m.)
- 21 (Upon resuming in open session at 12.09 p.m.)
- 22 THE COURT USHER: All rise.
- 23 Please be seated.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: So after -- so my mic is still on? So -- sorry, it was my
- 25 fault.

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

1 So after thorough deliberation outside the courtroom, the Chamber decided to

2 overrule the objection made by Prosecution, which means we grant Defence the

3 possibility to use this exhibit with this witness.

And very briefly, as concerns reasoning of our ruling, I would like to refer to part of
our decision on conduct of proceedings, concretely to paragraph 56, when we
said -- where we said, "If a party wishes to present audio-visual material to a witness,
it must establish that the witness has personal knowledge of the making of the
recording or its contents. This may be achieved by playing a brief excerpt of the
audio-visual material only to the extent strictly necessary for the witness to confirm
his/her personal knowledge of it.

11 Once this has occurred, the party may play the excerpts or the recording it intended 12 to present to the witness. Regardless of whether the party's allowed to present such 13 a recording to the witness, the audio-visual material itself will not be considered for 14 the truth of its contents unless it is admitted into evidence, but we still mean that we 15 are not establishing by our decision any exception from this because still we believe 16 that it has, as Defence mentioned, also connection to -- to the issue of credibility, and 17 which means there are also some differences that are applied to cross-examination, 18 and because of that we decided as today. Moreover, we believe that it's also 19 Prosecution -- or exhibit produced originally by Prosecution. We fully believe in its 20 authenticity, and we don't see any prejudice if Defence will use this exhibit. 21 So now we can call Mr Witness back to the courtroom, and Mr Bourgon may proceed. 22 MR BOURGON: Thank you, Mr President. We have, I'm sorry to say, an 23 additional difficulty that was not anticipated. We contacted the translation services 24 during the break; and, of course, our position was that there was no need for the 25 translation to say anything as the video was played because we have a transcript.

(Open Session)

1	However, the transcript is in French. So is the Trial Chamber interested in getting
2	translation maybe only for the Chamber in English while the witness is listening to
3	the original? We don't need a translation. We can we have French on this side,
4	and I take it that the Prosecution can live with the French translation. So I'm not sure
5	about the Chamber, sir, Mr President.
6	PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: First of all, Prosecution as concerns translation, any
7	position from your part?
8	MS LUPING: Mr President, your Honours, it is as you wish. If you prefer to have
9	English translation as the video is being shown, we do not have a problem with that.
10	PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Fine. I think it will be obviously preferable to the
11	Chamber.
12	Okay. Then, we can move
13	THE INTERPRETER: Mr President, from the English booth, but the interpreters
14	have not been provided with a transcript.
15	PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Is there a possibility to provide interpreters with a
16	written transcript?
17	MR BOURGON: We have a hard copy, and I think it can also be put on the screen
18	for them, I'm not sure. No? But we have a hard a copy.
19	THE COURT OFFICER: We will give the copy to the interpreters, but we can't put
20	the transcript on the screen, as you will be playing the video.
21	MR BOURGON: So maybe here's a hard copy. It is a French one, and I think the
22	English booth requires it. The other booth will not require.
23	PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: So I believe that in other those conditions it will be
24	fine with all of us. Another question, I guess, Mr Bourgon, it will be better to present
25	this video with in the regime of private session?

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 MR BOURGON: Mr President, the video itself is labeled by the Prosecution as a
- 2 confidential exhibit, so I think, yes, per se, it should go into private session.
- 3 However, I'm not -- I don't understand why the Prosecution made it a confidential
- 4 exhibit, and I would prefer if it was played in public session. Maybe we can hear the
- 5 Prosecution as to why they made this into a confidential exhibit, Mr President.
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: We don't know the content, so it is always better to
- 7 ask Prosecution. Ms Luping, please.
- 8 MS LUPING: Mr President, your Honours, there are a number of individuals that
- 9 are depicted in this. We cannot say exactly who they are. And for the security of
- 10 unknown individuals, it would be better if it is not shown publicly.
- PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: All right. I also think it would be safer. So we willnow move into the regime of private session.
- 13 (Private session at 12.16 p.m.)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 44 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0886	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 45 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0886	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 46 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 47 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 48 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Trial Hearin	ıg
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 49 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

(Private Session)

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Open session at 12.36 p.m.)
- 15 THE COURT OFFICER: We are in open session, Mr President.
- 16 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you.
- 17 Mr Bourgon, you may proceed.
- 18 MR BOURGON: Thank you, Mr President.
- 19 Q. Sir, I just have a few questions to ask you following your viewing of this video.
- 20 And I will try to do it into one question and maybe if that doesn't work, then I can
- 21 split it up, but just to gain some time I will try to make it into one question.
- 22 I would like you to recall what you saw in this video, which was mentioned by the
- 23 two ladies. So maybe my first question is: Did you understand what was said by
- 24 those two ladies when you viewed the video?
- 25 A. I understood.

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

1 Q. Now, these two ladies, to paraphrase what they said, because that's the aim of 2 my question, they mention the difficult conditions they were living in, they mention 3 being threatened, they mention having been beaten up for two weeks, they mention 4 having to walk around topless, they mention that they were living very difficult times 5 and they mention having been intimidated by those who were there before the UPC 6 arrived, which is the Lendus. 7 What you heard in this video from those two ladies on these specific issues, does that 8 match, sir, what you describe as what was happening in Sayo and Mongbwalu? 9 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Ms Luping. 10 MS LUPING: Just simply to ask if the question can be broken down because now 11 this is a very long list and it's a bit unfair I think for the witness. So if it could just be 12 broken down for the witness, it would make it simpler. 13 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Yes, I support that. 14 Mr Bourgon, please try. 15 MR BOURGON: I was trying to gain time, Mr President, but I agree. 16 Q. The lady who was speaking in this video, sir, she mentioned that they were 17 living in very difficult conditions. Now, does that match what you said about the 18 difficult conditions being imposed? 19 A. Not at all. Not at all. These women said that they couldn't eat the manioc 20 leaves, they couldn't carry out any work, they couldn't even get wood. So in these 21 conditions which they described, well, those are the conditions they experienced. 22 Q. My question, sir, is these conditions that they describe, does that match what 23 you testified about and what you personally saw being imposed on the population in 24 Sayo? 25 A. Yes, exactly. That is correct.

1	Q. And, sir, the first woman indicated that she had been threatened. Does that
2	match your testimony that the Lendus and the population of Sayo and Mongbwalu
3	was being threatened by the FNI?
4	A. Yes. That's correct because it didn't even have they didn't have the means to
5	eat, whether in Mongbwalu or Sayo. Everywhere where the Balendu were the
6	conditions were the same.
7	Q. And, sir, the lady said that she was personally beaten up for a period of two
8	weeks. Now, are you aware and does that match your testimony that the people
9	were being beaten up?
10	MS LUPING: Mr President, if the question
11	PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Ms Luping.
12	MS LUPING: could be a bit clearer because it was he aware that the people were
13	being beaten up, what people?
14	MR BOURGON: I will make my question more clear, Mr President.
15	Q. So the lady, the first lady, who was breastfeeding her child, she said that she
16	had been beaten up for a period of two weeks. Are you aware of other cases of
17	people who were beaten up at that time by the Lendus?
18	A. The Balendu, between them, they had refused to eat to drink, and when
19	somebody was drinking in the evening, they'd cut their ear off. That was the
20	condition that the Balendu had imposed.
21	THE INTERPRETER: Microphone, please.
22	THE WITNESS: (No interpretation)
23	THE INTERPRETER: The microphone of the witness was off.
24	PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Mr Witness, could you kindly repeat the final part of
25	your response because it was not captured by the interpreters. Please repeat that.

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

THE WITNESS: (Interpretation) The way in which these women suffered, in fact
 bad conditions were imposed on them, bad living conditions. The Balendu didn't
 accept that people could drink alcohol. If somebody drank alcohol that person's ear
 would be cut off.

5 MR BOURGON:

6 Q. And, sir, the lady also mentioned at one point that the -- that they were -- that 7 they had to walk about topless. Does that match what you testified about and are 8 you familiar that the ladies had to walk topless because they were forced to do so? 9 A. Yes, they were bare-chested, they walked around bare-chested, they couldn't 10 wear blouses, they just had pagnes around their waist. These women told the truth. 11 Q. Now, these women also said that they were being intimidated. Is that 12 something you can relate to based on your own knowledge of what was happening?

13 A. That was done everywhere.

14 THE INTERPRETER: Microphone, please.

15 THE WITNESS: (No interpretation)

16 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: We haven't received, I think, a translation of the last

17 witness's response. Any problem on the part of the interpreters?

18 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honour, the Swahili booth indicated they weren't able to

19 hear the witness. We're not sure what the technical issue is.

20 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: I'm sorry, Witness, but I have to again repeat my

21 request to you to repeat your last response, please.

22 THE WITNESS: (Interpretation) Even among the Balendu, when a woman got

23 drunk, her ear was cut off. They were struck, they were hit when they were found

24 on the road. If they had drunk, if they had drunk, they would be seriously punished

and whipped.

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

1 MR BOURGON:

2 Q. Thank you, sir.

3 My next question is -- was not mentioned in the video, but you testified to that effect.

4 And I refer to the transcript on 23 October, at page 11, lines 1 to 4. So I will quote

5 your words:

6 When they arrived, they imposed very bad conditions. A woman could not fold her7 arms when they went off to war.

8 I stop here to say, what did you mean by a woman could not fold her arms when they9 went off to war?

A. This is what I meant to say: The women couldn't cross their arms, they could
not leave their arms out, they had to stay standing up until people left the battle.
You couldn't cross your arms, you couldn't stretch your arms. In the case if you did

13 that, you were whipped and you could even be killed.

14 Q. And I have one last question, sir, which relates in some parts of the video that -- this might be a difficult question. Are you familiar with the facts, sir, that at 15 16 the time there was actually cannibalism practised by the Lendus over the Hemas? 17 A. Well, I didn't see them practising that, but what I did hear was that they had 18 eaten an Alur soldier. I didn't see it with my own eyes, but I did -- never see it. But 19 I never saw them eating human flesh, but I did hear that they had eaten a soldier who 20 was Alur.

21 Q. Thank you, sir.

Before I move on to my next question, Mr President, I would like to ask if the video be admitted into evidence. And I would like to reserve my comments regarding the transcript which was used during the hearing because we have a different position regarding the transcript; we do not believe that a transcript should go into evidence.

Page 54

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

1 What should go into evidence, in our respectful submission, Mr President, is the

2 video and the comments of the witness. This is what, is in our view, should go into

3 evidence and not the transcript. Thank you.

4 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Ms Luping.

5 MS LUPING: Mr President, your Honours, now, on the basis of your ruling, my

6 objection is not to the admission of the -- the video being entered into evidence, but to

7 the entirety of the excerpt what was shown because indeed there's only a -- the earlier

8 part of the portion of the excerpt was shown to the witness that he was questioned on.

9 So we would -- I would submit that it is only up to the part on which the witness was

10 actually questioned about that should be admitted into evidence and the rest -- the

11 rest of it that followed, because the excerpt does go on for quite some time, should not

12 be admitted into evidence.

13 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Mr Bourgon.

14 MR BOURGON: Mr President, we -- we tried to limit the excerpt to what was

15 relevant to those conditions. What I propose, Mr President, is that I can -- to save

16 some time, I will meet with my colleague and we can agree on an exact timing that

17 will suit their purposes and our purposes.

18 Our purpose in showing the video is clear and explained, and we are not trying to go19 anywhere beyond what we explained we would do.

20 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: So we will postpone the decision on this until after the

21 lunch-break. And please meet and try to find the precise excerpt that should be

22 admitted.

23 And I understood that the request is only concerning only video, no transcripts?

24 MR BOURGON: At this point in time there is no request for the transcript,

25 Mr President.

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: So please come again after the lunch-break with this
- 2 request in a more specific way.
- 3 Now you may proceed.
- 4 MR BOURGON: Thank you, Mr President.
- 5 Q. Sir, we'll move on to a completely different topic which has to do with questions
- 6 that were put to you yesterday asking you to identify a -- certain features on sketches
- 7 that you made with your statement. And what I would like to do is to call upon -- to
- 8 have the following exhibit called up, which is DRC-OTP-0077-0035, which was
- 9 admitted yesterday with the witness.
- 10 MS LUPING: Your Honours.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Ms Luping.
- 12 MS LUPING: Just a reminder, it is a confidential exhibit.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: So we will now move into private session.
- 14 MR BOURGON: If it's at all --
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Please hold on, Mr Bourgon.
- 16 (Private session at 12.54 p.m.)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

(Private Session)

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Open session at 12.56 p.m.)
- 20 THE COURT OFFICER: We are back in open session.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you.
- 22 So you may proceed, Mr Bourgon. And please have in mind that you have
- 23 approximately last 5 minutes before the break.
- 24 MR BOURGON: Thank you, Mr President.
- 25 Q. Sir, you recognize this -- this sketch which was used yesterday during your

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

1 testimony?

2 A. I remember very well.

3 Q. Sir, I draw your attention to the left part of your sketch where we see

4 Mongbwalu, and there is an arrow. So my understanding is that this indicates that

5 Mongbwalu is to the left of your sketch; is that correct?

6 A. Mongbwalu is situated on both sides, on the left and on the right. That's where7 you see the offices.

8 Q. Sir, the -- you can confirm that Mongbwalu, at least the part that is on the

9 right -- on the left, sorry, is much lower than Sayo. Do you agree with this?

10 A. Yes, yes. Sayo is on a hill, while Mongbwalu is in the valley.

11 Q. And if I look at your arrow pointing towards Mongbwalu, would I be right that

12 if I go --

13 A. Ndiyo.

14 Q. -- further left I would reach the airport of Mongbwalu?

15 A. Yes, you would get to Mongbwalu airport because the camp was on -- also on

16 that side. Mongbwalu was in the valley, while the airport was on the hill.

17 Q. Thank you, sir. I appreciate this because that's exactly my point. The airport

18 was also much higher than Mongbwalu, which is in the valley between the airport on
19 one side --

20 A. Ndiyo.

21 Q. -- and Sayo on the other.

A. Sayo is a bit higher. You found -- Mongbwalu is found a bit in front. You can
climb up the mountain in order to go to Sayo.

24 Q. And my last question before we break for -- sir, is that Mongbwalu is actually in

25 the valley in between, on one side the airport and on the other side --

27.10.2015

Page 58

(Open Session)

- 1 A. Ndiyo.
- 2 Q. -- with Sayo?
- 3 A. Yes, Sayo is a bit further away. To go to Mongbwalu, Sayo is 7 kilometres
- 4 away.
- 5 Q. Thank you, sir. We'll take up with this sketch again when we come back from
- 6 the break, sir. Thank you very much.
- 7 Mr President.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you.
- 9 So now Mr Witness should be escorted out of the courtroom.
- 10 (The witness stands down)
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: We will break now for 90 minutes to have a
- 12 lunch-break, but before we do that I would like to express on behalf of the Chamber
- 13 our special thanks to the interpreters because they succeeded to provide us with
- 14 translation even regardless very hard circumstances. So thank you very much for
- 15 that.
- 16 Now we break and we will reconvene at half past 2.
- 17 THE COURT OFFICER: All rise.
- 18 (Recess taken at 1.02 p.m.)
- 19 (Upon resuming in open session at 2.33 p.m.)
- 20 THE COURT OFFICER: All rise.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Mr Bourgon, we still -- we still don't have witness here,
- so please be patient because we would like to start with two procedural issues.
- 23 The first one is our decision on Defence request seeking disclosure orders and leave to
- 24 recall Witness P-886 for further cross-examination.
- 25 So the Chamber will now render its oral ruling on the Defence request seeking disclosure

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 orders and leave to recall Witness P-886 for further cross-examination filed earlier this
- 2 morning. It's filing number 948 confidential.

3 The Defence submits that the cross-examination of Witness P-886 establishes that the

4 witness had substantive contacts with Prosecution's intermediary P-743. The Defence

5 requests that the Prosecution be ordered to disclose any information

6 provided -- provided by the witness to this intermediary in its possession as well as any

7 reports provided to the Prosecution by the intermediary containing information that he

8 received from the witness.

9 The Defence requests leave to further cross-examine the witness at a later stage if

10 necessary. The Defence also requests that the Prosecution be ordered to disclose any

11 similar information relating to contacts between P-743 and other Prosecution witnesses.

12 This morning, the Prosecution responded orally to the request. Afterwards, with leave

13 of the Chamber, the Defence asked the witness additional questions to clarify his

14 contacts with the intermediary.

15 When subsequently asked whether the Defence maintains its disclosure request, the

16 Defence stated that it insists on the Prosecution being ordered to disclose the information

17 related to the intermediary which is P-743 in terms of his relationship with the witness18 and other witnesses.

19 In this regard, the Defence submitted that it had established during its cross-examination

20 of the witness a more than sufficient basis to establish the importance of the role of the

21 intermediary in this case and the importance for the Defence to obtain such information.

22 The Chamber notes that the Prosecution stated that intermediary P-743 had no

23 substantive contact with P-886 and that no further screening notes exist.

24 The Chamber considers that the answers provided by the witness to the Defence further

25 questions appear to confirm the limited nature of the interaction between the witness

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 and the intermediary.
- 2 The Chamber does not consider that the testimony of the witness has provided a basis to
- 3 reconsider its previous oral decision of 26 October 2015 on the Defence disclosure
- 4 request relating to intermediary P-743 nor to grant additional disclosure of the nature
- 5 described either in Defence filing number 948 or in the oral submissions this morning.
- 6 The request is, therefore, rejected.
- 7 This concludes the Chamber's ruling.
- 8 And the second issue concerns the last point before our break, we encouraged the parties

9 to have some negotiation as concerns possible admission of video, especially to specify

- 10 those excerpts which are really relevant.
- 11 Have you made any progress in this, Mr Bourgon?

12 MR BOURGON: Thank you, Mr President. There were some inter partes consultation

13 during the lunch break. We have not reached an agreement, a final agreement, but we

14 are close to doing so. The excerpts shown to the witness will be much reduced in the

15 time -- in the time frame of the excerpt because I want to stick with exactly what I

16 intended to show and nothing more. And it is my submission, Mr President, that by

17 tomorrow morning we should agree on the specific timing for this excerpt.

18 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Ms Luping, could you kindly confirm this perspective.

19 MS LUPING: Thank you, Mr President, your Honours. The Prosecution has indicated

20 to the Defence the specific time stamps or, rather, the specific excerpt of the video that

21 we would be able to agree to. We have not yet had a response to that. If Mr Bourgon

22 believes that we're able to reach agreement, that we're close, then we'll certainly seek to

23 endeavour do so. We'll be able to inform you in the morning as to the result of those

24 discussions.

25 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you very much. Even if the agreement hasn't

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 been reached yet, we anyway appreciate some cooperative spirit.
- 2 Okay, so now the witness may be escorted into courtroom.
- 3 And Mr Bourgon, what kind of regime your upcoming questions require?

4 MR BOURGON: The same regime as when we left before the lunch-break, which is to

- 5 testify in public session; however, the exhibit itself, which is the sketch that the witness
- 6 prepared, should not be shown outside of the courtroom. So if we can maybe close the
- 7 blinds immediately to ensure that the sketch does not appear to the public.
- 8 (The witness enters the courtroom)
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Okay. Court officer, please make the same arrangement
- 10 like before the break.
- 11 Mr Witness, good afternoon. I know that it is a long day.
- 12 THE WITNESS: (Interpretation) Good afternoon.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: I know that it is a long day today for you, but we're now
- 14 approaching the last part of the trial today, so please continue. You were doing very
- 15 well. So please continue in the same way.
- 16 Okay. Now Mr Bourgon you may proceed.
- 17 MR BOURGON: Thank you, Mr President. I would like to call up exhibit number
- 18 DRC-OTP-2077-0035. And as indicated previously, the exhibit should not be available
- 19 or should not be -- the public should not be able to see the exhibit itself.
- 20 Q. Sir, this exhibit that will appear in front of you is the sketch we were working with
- 21 this morning just before the break. You recall this?
- Sir, if you could confirm by yes or no whether you recognize this sketch as being yourown sketch?
- A. I recognize the diagram and, by the way, I saw it yesterday. It's the same sketch.
- 25 Q. Now, just before we left for the lunch-break, I was trying to establish with you the

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

1 position of Mongbwalu, which is indicated by an arrow to the left of your sketch, and I 2 suggested to you that if you position yourself in Mongbwalu, on one side you will have 3 Sayo, which is higher on a hill, and on the other side you will have the airport which is 4 also higher on a hill. Can you confirm this? 5 Yes, indeed. Sayo is up the hill because Mongbwalu lies in a valley. However, А. 6 the airport is at another location, which is to the left of Mongbwalu on an elevated piece 7 of land. 8 Q. Thank you, sir. That is exactly what I was trying to establish with you. 9 Now, I understand, sir, that you are, of course, very familiar with the road that goes 10 from Mongbwalu to Sayo, which is indicated on your sketch. 11 A. Well, you can see on the sketch a road going down to Mongbwalu centre. The 12 sketch, which I drew, shows you how to go to Mongbwalu by going through a number 13 of villages. 14 (Redacted) 15 (Redacted) 16 (Redacted) 17 (Redacted) 18 MS LUPING: Mr President, your Honours. 19 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Ms Luping. 20 MS LUPING: I didn't want to interrupt previously, but just a reminder, we are in 21 public session. Perhaps it was the -- it was the nature of the question. I let it go, but 22 clearly from the answer we're going to come into problems. We may need to go into 23 private session.

- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Mr Bourgon, your position?
- 25 (Redacted)

(Open Session)

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Which means that they are of what nature?
- 4 MR BOURGON: They -- they are of nature of identifying features like we did yesterday,
- 5 like the Prosecution did, some features along that road. So it's his knowledge of the
- 6 sketch that he draw -- that he drew.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: I still believe that we are remaining in a relatively general
- 8 level, so if there would be --
- 9 THE WITNESS: (Interpretation) I recognize the sketch very well. And as I explained
- 10 to you yesterday, you can crosscheck everything that I have told you. And it appears
- 11 on this sketch.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Mr Witness, hold on.
- 13 So I just would like to conclude that at the moment I don't see any urgent reason to move
- 14 into private session, but if there would be any question which could identify the witness,
- 15 please let us know Mr Bourgon; otherwise, I still believe that the knowledge of the place,
- 16 even including details, should not identify or put our witness at risk.
- 17 So you may proceed, Mr Bourgon.
- 18 MR BOURGON: Thank you, Mr President.
- 19 Q. Sir, my first question is: I see on your sketch to the left "Usine Kanga." Now,
- 20 you're very familiar with that feature are you not, sir?
- 21 A. The Kanga factory is near the road. However, it was destroyed. Only the ruins
- 22 remain. Nothing else stands there. It had been built close to the road in any event.
- 23 Q. And I take it, sir, that you are aware that this factory was destroyed long before the
- 24 events you describe in your testimony; is that correct?
- 25 A. That factory was destroyed by the attackers.

(Open Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

1 Q. By which attackers, sir?

A. By -- the FNI troops, who used to work in that factory, were driven out by the UPC
when they arrived and destroyed everything.

4 Q. And when was this, sir, so that there is no misunderstanding?

5 A. Initially, it was the FNI troops that came to Mongbwalu, but they did not touch

6 that factory. Later on other troops came and destroyed the factory. When they left,

7 the FNI soldiers then came back. And the factory was attacked and everything that

8 remained was destroyed. And the corrugated roofing sheets from the factory were

9 taken away and everything else.

10 Q. I would just like to know, sir, the destruction you are talking about, is it close in

11 time to the events you describe which happened in Sayo or long before that?

12 A. There had been clashes previously. The UPC troops clashed with the government

13 forces and the FNI. Then later on, when the UPC soldiers left, the FNI troops came

14 back and destroyed everything.

15 Q. I'll move on and maybe with some other -- maybe we can have a more -- to

16 establish when in time this took place.

17 Let me ask you, on your sketch that is before you, I want to know if there is a feature that

18 you are aware of called the appartement and whether this appears on that sketch.

19 A. I know that place.

20 Q. Is that place -- does it appear in your sketch?

21 A. No. On the sketch you can see the location where the factory was built right up to

22 Sayo going through Adidi mine and Adidi montagne.

23 Q. And, sir, the appartement, what is that? What do you know about the

24 appartement?

25 A. The location known as appartement was a large compound in which the white

(Private Session)

ICC-01/04-02/06

- 1 people who used to work for that factory lived. It was a factory that was located there
- 2 in that very place and that is what was referred to as appartement.
- 3 Q. And the appartement, sir, did they still exist when the events took place in Sayo
- 4 that you describe?
- 5 A. Yes. Appartement has always existed, before the fighting and even after the
- 6 fighting. White people used to live at that location, but at the time of the war, they left.
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: All right. To be safer, court officer, let's move into
- 16 private session.
- 17 (Private session at 2.56 p.m.)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 67 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
17 18	
18	
18 19	
18 19 20	
18 19 20 21	
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 68 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
21 22	
22	

Trial Hearin	ıg
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 69 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20 21	
21	
21 22	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 70 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 71 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 72 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
23 24	

Trial Hearin	ıg
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 73 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
18 19	
19	
19 20	
19 20 21	
19 20 21 22	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 74 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 75 reducted Private session
15	Page 75 redacted – Private session
13	1 age 75 fedacieu – I fivale session
	rage 75 redacted – i nivate session
14	rage 75 redacted – i nivate session
14 15	rage 75 redacted – I fivate session
14 15 16	rage 75 redacted – Trivate session
14 15 16 17	r age 75 redacted – T fivate session
14 15 16 17 18	rage 75 redacted – Trivate session
14 15 16 17 18 19	rage / 5 redacted – Trivate session
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	rage / 5 redacted – Trivate session
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	r age 75 redacted – T fivate session
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	r age 75 redacted – T itvate session

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 76 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
23 24	

Trial Hearin	g
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 77 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
16 17	
17	
17 18	
17 18 19	
17 18 19 20	
17 18 19 20 21	
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	

Trial Hearin	ıg
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 78 redacted – Private session
14	
14 15	
15	
15 16	
15 16 17	
15 16 17 18	
15 16 17 18 19	
15 16 17 18 19 20	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	
<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 79 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22 23	

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 80 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
19	
19 20	
19 20 21	
19 20 21 22	

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 81 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 82 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 83 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
23 24	

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 84 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Trial Hearin	ıg
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 85 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22 23	

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 86 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
21	
21 22	

Trial Hearing	
WITNESS:	DRC-OTP-P-0886

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Page 87 redacted – Private session
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

ICC-01/04-02/06

Trial Hearing WITNESS: DRC-OTP-P-0886

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Open session at 16.05 p.m.)
- 10 THE COURT OFFICER: We're in open session, Mr President.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Thank you. And before we adjourn, I would like to
- 12 make an inquiry for the sake of planning of the next witness. First of all, Court Officer,
- 13 could you provide me with time used so far by the Defence for cross-examination of this
- 14 witness?
- 15 THE COURT OFFICER: Yes, Mr President. The Defence team has used 4 hours and
- 16 30 minute in total.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: So because according to my notes, time used by
- 18 Prosecution was 6 hours 45 minutes. So in accordance with our policy you still have at
- 19 your disposal 2 hours 15 minutes. Mr Bourgon, do you think it will be sufficient for
- 20 you to finish your cross-examination?
- 21 MR BOURGON: The maximum time that you just stated will be, for sure, sufficient,
- 22 but I will endeavor to use less time.
- 23 Thank you, Mr President.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Even better.
- 25 At the last tribunal question to Mr Luping: Ms Luping, do you presume any need for

(Open Session)

- 1 direct examination of this witness?
- 2 MS LUPING: There had been some, but it will not be for a considerable amount of time,
- 3 your Honours.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE FREMR: Okay, then. Thank you very much. We adjourn, and
- 5 we will reconvene tomorrow at 9.30.
- 6 THE COURT USHER: All rise.
- 7 (The hearing ends in open session at 4.06 p.m.)