- 1 International Criminal Court
- 2 Trial Chamber II Courtroom 1
- 3 Situation: Democratic Republic of the Congo
- 4 In the case of The Prosecutor v. Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui ICC-01/04-02/12
- 5 Presiding Judge Bruno Cotte, Judge Fatoumata Dembele Diarra
- 6 and Judge Christine Van den Wyngaert
- 7 Status Conference
- 8 Tuesday, 18 December 2012
- 9 (The hearing starts in open session at 1.32 p.m.)
- 10 THE COURT USHER: All rise.
- 11 The International Criminal Court is now in session.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE COTTE: (Interpretation) Please be seated.
- Good afternoon and hello again to everybody. We meet again for a hearing of a
- limited duration, which should not exceed 45 minutes in duration, in order to enable
- 15 first and foremost the public -- the Prosecutor or one of her colleagues to expose to us
- the arguments that they would like to bring to the Court in favour of the referral on
- 17 the basis of Article 81(3)(c)(i) of the Statute. Maître Gilissen and Maître Luvengika
- will then address the Court if they so wish briefly and then Maître Kilenda and
- 19 Maître Fofé will also address the Court.
- 20 Mr MacDonald, or Madam Bensouda, do you wish to address the Court?
- 21 MS BENSOUDA: Mr President, my colleague Eric MacDonald will address the
- 22 Court.
- 23 Thank you.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE COTTE: (Interpretation) Yes, thank you, Madam Prosecutor.
- 25 Mr MacDonald, please address the Court.

1 MR MACDONALD: (Interpretation) Yes, I thank you, Mr President, your

- 2 Honours.
- 3 You are referring indeed to Article 83(1)(c) and it places the Chamber I think in an
- 4 immediate situation in view of the acquittal of -- release of the individual. Of course
- 5 we do understand the situation that the Chamber is up against currently, but the Trial
- 6 Chamber should also note that my presentation is on the basis of a very rapid reading
- 7 of approximately 200 pages of the decision and it has a lot of information that I need
- 8 to assimilate. So I shall be brief in my exposé and in view of the time constraints and
- 9 the time that we have allocated for us this afternoon.
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE COTTE: (Interpretation) Mr Prosecutor, of course we are
- aware of the fact that the time that you have to familiarise yourself with the decision
- in full was very brief and of course you are also mindful and alive to the fact that your
- 13 application for a possible -- for maintaining Mr Ngudjolo in custody should be in
- keeping with what is outlined in Article 81(3)(c)(i).
- 15 MR MACDONALD: (Interpretation) Yes, I was going to refer to that article and I
- 16 will start by addressing the issue of the probability or the -- or the chance that the
- appeal would be successful and then I shall move on to the other issues.
- 18 This also places us in a situation where immediately we have to say to you of course
- 19 that you were wrong, and the despite all the goodwill you have made errors or you
- 20 have erred in fact and in law, and I'm saying this to you just after the acquittal. Of
- 21 course you have to reassess the situation, work that you have conducted over a
- 22 period of a number of months that you need to reassess within a brief time frame.
- We are of course all professionals. I am doing my job. I am presenting to you in a
- 24 succinct fashion according to the brief and rapid reading of the decision the subject
- 25 which is at the crux of your decision, notably the probative value of the testimony. I

shall concentrate notably on P-250 and P-317 and also some of the exhibits, notably

- 2 the infamous letter and the issue that we are both aware of.
- Firstly, the Chamber, and I can't give you the details here because these are ex parte
- 4 submissions, I am here referring to your decision of 3120-Conf-Exp, there is a certain
- 5 amount of evidence that the Prosecution wanted to obtain a full copy of and they
- 6 were excluded from this.
- 7 The Chamber decided not to grant leave or to allow the admissibility of this evidence
- 8 and this evidence contained information that has immediate consequences with
- 9 regard to the possibility for the Prosecution to bring further evidence with a view to
- 10 possibly demonstrating guilty -- a guilty conscience and also very relevant evidence
- in evaluating the credibility of the Prosecution witness, notably P-250.
- 12 Also, in assessing the credibility of the Defence witnesses for Mr Ngudjolo, those
- individuals who came to testify, the Chamber in its decision, notably with regard to
- 14 the letter, this infamous letter, made mention of the fact that there seemed to have
- been collusion despite the fact that all the evidence that we did not have access to
- showed quite the opposite.
- 17 So we contend that this in itself is a very important detail, because it really touches
- 18 upon the credibility of the witnesses who were both exculpatory and inculpatory,
- 19 incriminating and excriminating and also guilty conscience.
- 20 As regards P-250, I am here referring to your decision or assessment of the threats
- 21 against T -- D03-100. I am referring here to the light shed on the matter by 250 and
- 22 the manner in which he testified. We contend that in addition to this additional
- 23 information that we did not have access to there are -- mistakes have been made in
- 24 the weight to be given to the situation to -- of these witnesses, these witnesses who
- 25 have come from the Ituri region to come and testify before this very Court and all the

- 1 baggage that they carry with them.
- 2 So D03-100 clearly said that he had been threatened and we contend that this has a
- 3 very important impact on the testimony of P-250.
- 4 P-317, when the Chamber was assessing the alleged admissions that he made,
- 5 Mr Ngudjolo made, to P-317, or confessions that he might have done in a written
- 6 statement or in the report of an interview, or that Mr Katanga might have made with
- 7 regard to his own participation and that of Mr Ngudjolo with regard to P-12 and
- 8 P-260, the Chamber has drawn a certain number of conclusions and saying -- in
- 9 saying that it was too general.
- Now, I'm going to limit my discussions to P-317 in order to demonstrate this general
- or generality, or general character, of their testimony. I think I am not wrong when I
- say that this was paragraph 434. Despite the fact that you say that this testimony
- 13 was entirely credible, what he said was quite general and the Chamber went on to
- speculate on the fact that Mr Ngudjolo even confessed to 371 with a view to giving
- 15 himself further importance and a higher rank. Despite the fact that Mr Ngudjolo
- came to testify and denied having met P-317 at all, the Chamber should not have
- speculated on the subject and if the Appeals Chamber finds that this is a mistake then
- it will go to the authority of Mr Ngudjolo himself who says that he did organise and
- 19 confesses to have organised in the attack on Bogoro.
- 20 So if this item of evidence was proven beyond any reasonable doubt, then and if other
- 21 things do not have the same burden of proof, then of course they will be analysed in
- 22 the new light. This is a question of interpretation of evidence of course and were the
- 23 Prosecution to be able to prove that the Chamber had erred, then the Appeals
- 24 Chamber would be in a position to reverse or overturn your verdict with regard to the
- 25 authority or the organisation in Zumbe and concentrate on other factors of mode of

- 1 responsibility or mode of liability under Article 85(3) -- 25 (3)(a).
- 2 Now, of course there is a probability. The Prosecution has not demonstrated that
- 3 there will be any sure success in appeal, but there is a probability.
- 4 Now, as for the risk of flight of the acquitted, I am going to now concentrate on the
- 5 gravity of the offence. Of course I think there is no doubt about this.
- 6 There is one thing, the gravity of the crime. I think there is one thing, notably the
- 7 risk of flight. I would refer to your last decision which we think is still very relevant.
- 8 This is decision 1593 dated 4 November 2009, and at that moment in time you
- 9 retained what the Pre-Trial Chamber had already determined, notably that
- 10 Mr Ngudjolo had previously already escaped from Makala prison, and that in view of
- 11 his position in Zumbe and subsequently as recognised in your decision from the
- month of March in your decision of 2003, Mr Ngudjolo made a number of contacts on
- an international and national level which would allow him to indeed escape.
- 14 I would like to say that since this decision, since November 2009, what is new with
- 15 regard to this ex parte evidence that the Defence has had access to and the Trial
- 16 Chamber has also had access to and which are relevant with a view -- with the
- 17 reference to the impediment that the -- that Ngudjolo might, and any contacts that he
- might have and any threats that he might make to witnesses who might need to be
- recalled to testify, and that also D03-100, who was -- and this was the only reason
- 20 why he admitted --
- 21 THE INTERPRETER: Message from the English interpreter: Can counsel please be
- 22 requested to slow down? They cannot keep up.
- 23 MR MACDONALD: (Interpretation) Now -- so, were you to release Mr Ngudjolo,
- 24 those risks are still very much flavour of the day.
- Now, what would be the guarantees that would be offered for Mr Ngudjolo to

- 1 present himself before the Court again? What would be the conditions of his release
- 2 that would mean that these threats might not occur, even though those might occur,
- 3 were he not to be released?
- 4 Finally, Mr President, your Honours, were the Chamber to decide to release
- 5 Mr Ngudjolo, we would request that you delay the execution of your decision, or stay
- 6 the execution of your decision, because tomorrow we will of course be filing a motion
- 7 for appeal to the Appeals Chamber in addition to the request to stay the effect or
- 8 execution of your decision to release Mr Ngudjolo.
- 9 We will also be appealing your decision, of course, but in view of the circumstances,
- 10 filing a motion of appeal and also requesting a stay of proceedings would be the way
- 11 forward.
- 12 Now, Mr President, I do know that there are certain administrative controls that need
- 13 to be conducted before Mr Ngudjolo be released. I also am mindful of the fact that,
- were he to be released, he needs to remain in Holland because he cannot travel
- according to a certain travel ban in place, put in place by the United Nations. I
- believe that this travel ban is still in place. I do not believe that this request is
- 17 unreasonable.
- Of course it goes without saying, lastly, in terms of the intimidation and threats, they
- 19 might also occur when one is outside of the detention facility, when one has access to
- 20 a telephone in The Hague. This goes without saying, of course.
- 21 I'd just like to turn to my colleagues before wrapping up, but you here have before
- 22 you the essential parts of our argument.
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE COTTE: (Interpretation) Of course, the time given to you or
- 24 allocated to you was very brief, but if you have anything else you'd like to submit to
- us, then please do, and take a minute or two to think about it.

1 MR MACDONALD: (Interpretation) Now, finally, Mr President, I have focused on

- 2 two issues. There are others, but essentially speaking we're talking about there
- 3 being a probable happy outcome at appeals, and were we to be able to demonstrate or
- 4 convince the Chamber of Appeal that you have indeed erred, then the Chamber of
- 5 Appeals would be in a position to reassess all of the evidence and either acquit or
- 6 overturn this acquittal and order a new trial.
- 7 So your decision would limit itself to the question of responsibility. Of course, he
- 8 was not the commander of all the forces present in Ezekere, saying that we did not
- 9 show this beyond all reasonable doubt, and that the structure, and I think this
- structure was not a military structure; the other Chamber of Appeals might reach a
- 11 different decision.
- 12 So, in view of the urgency of the situation, we would request that you maintain
- 13 Mr Ngudjolo in detention and that, were you to release him, you stay the execution of
- 14 your decision until tomorrow, to enable the Prosecution to present before -- its
- arguments before the Chamber of Appeal and continue with the rest of the procedure,
- 16 and I thank you.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE COTTE: (Interpretation) Thank you, Mr Prosecutor.
- 18 JUDGE VAN DEN WYNGAERT: (Interpretation) Mr Prosecutor, is this better like
- 19 this? Is this better?
- 20 Could you please explain to us why your arguments are exceptional in nature,
- 21 because with view to the three points that you have raised in support of the
- 22 appeal of course, these are arguments that you shall develop before the Appeals
- 23 Chamber can you tell us precisely what is exceptional about these arguments?
- 24 You did not develop, notably, the gravity of the crime and, once again, what is special
- 25 about this? In view of the fact that, for each individual accused before our Court, the

1 facts or events mean that this -- that there is a serious offence at hand. So what

- 2 should motivate us to accept your request?
- 3 And lastly, the risk of flight, here you refer to a decision rendered by this Chamber in
- 4 2009, but we have now gone through the entire trial which reached -- and a verdict of
- 5 acquittal was reached unanimously. I do not see how the conditions of that decision
- 6 can be invoked again in support of your arguments. So I would request that once
- 7 again you tell us precisely what is exceptional about your explanation and tell us
- 8 precisely how this could motivate us in our decision.
- 9 MR MACDONALD: (Interpretation) So, in answer to the three questions raised,
- with regard to the seriousness of the offence, in the decision, the last decision
- 11 rendered by this Chamber, this seriousness was noted, so the seriousness has not
- 12 changed today despite the acquittal. There was another attack in Bogoro where
- more than 200 civilians, women, children and elderly, died, and there was another
- situation where women were raped and children under the age of 15 were used to
- 15 commit these crimes, and this against their own will, and sometimes of course they
- cannot consent and this is against their consent, so the seriousness is the same.
- 17 The Chamber has already taken note of that, firstly.
- Now, secondly, the Chamber will note or will have noted that the FRPI was called the
- 19 FRPJ, is still active in Ituri, and in the month of August this summer was still
- 20 committing crimes and it was still active as such. Mr Ngudjolo has links with the
- 21 actors of this group.
- Lastly, with regard to the exceptional nature, or the exceptional circumstance, we are
- 23 here talking about an acquittal by this Trial Chamber, and of course this is an
- 24 exceptional matter previously, but even more so exceptionally in view of the fact that
- 25 there has been an acquittal, but as it has been noted on a number of occasions, either

orally or in writing, an alleged fact that has not been proven beyond reasonable doubt

- 2 is not an indication that this fact or event does not occur, meaning that the
- 3 Prosecution has not met its burden of proof, but if it is in a position or able to prove to
- 4 the Appeals Chamber that you did in fact err and that with regard to significant
- 5 alleged facts the Prosecution showed beyond all reasonable doubt, then this can have
- 6 an impact on other alleged facts which have not been proven, according to the
- 7 Chamber, and can lead to a conviction, and were Mr Ngudjolo to be released, the risk
- 8 that he would not appear again, in view of the difficulties that this institution is up
- 9 against with -- in regards to co-operation, it might mean that we would never see him
- 10 again.
- And, secondly, that since 2009, since your last decision that I referred to, and I would
- 12 repeat once again, decision 3120-Conf-Exp, which refers to evidence that prove
- collusion, intimidation and threats, and you have a witness, D03-100, who came here
- 14 to testify under oath, having been threatened when he was due to testify, and not by
- all and sundry, but by the family of the accused.
- Now, these are new circumstances and I would submit to you that they are
- 17 exceptional in nature.
- 18 JUDGE VAN DEN WYNGAERT: (Interpretation) Thank you.
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE COTTE: (Interpretation) I thank you, Mr Prosecutor.
- 20 Mr Gilissen, you have a few minutes to develop your observations and we are all
- 21 ears.
- 22 MR GILISSEN: (Interpretation) Thank you, your Honour.
- 23 Your Honours, we respectfully submit to the Chamber that according to us there are
- 24 exceptional circumstances which would exceptionally require that the principle of
- 25 release be revisited.

- 1 The first exceptional circumstance which we want to mention for your attention
- 2 pertains to the criteria of the -- to the criterion of the seriousness of the offence. I do
- 3 not want to talk about the importance or the number of charges. This material is
- 4 already available to the Chamber and need not be revisited as such at this stage.
- 5 What I would like to underscore is that the new fact today arising from your decision
- 6 is that these serious offences of an extreme nature were indeed committed and that
- 7 they existed. I am not going to insist before this Court because I am certain, and if
- 8 need be I can guarantee this, that the Judges of this Court are people of a high level of
- 9 conscience. You have recognised that these crimes did take place, but beyond the
- 10 crimes, they are people, they are men, they are women and they are children and this,
- 11 to our mind, amounts to an exceptional circumstance. You have yourself
- 12 acknowledged the real existence of these crimes, and I want to thank the Chamber for
- 13 having carefully pointed this out.
- 14 In any event, this would be an item which victims and people with whom we have
- 15 contact will be happy and satisfied that you did recognise this.
- 16 Secondly, in your decision, you stated that Mr Mathieu Ngudjolo at this stage of the
- 17 proceedings has been found innocent, and at this juncture it would be inappropriate
- 18 for me to question that. However, you pointed out also that Mr Ngudjolo clearly
- 19 had some military responsibility and that it cannot be excluded that he also had
- 20 responsibility that may have been implemented during the attacks in Bogoro, but
- 21 within the context of a different mode of liability, different from that for which he was
- 22 charged.
- 23 Your Honour, I think I am going to slow down, because I can tell that I am speeding
- 24 up already.
- Now, the -- those are the comments we want to make in relation to the seriousness of

- 1 the offence.
- 2 Secondly, Mr President, your Honours, I want to address the issue of the probability
- 3 of success on appeal. It would appear to us that the release of the accused should
- 4 not be such as to jeopardise the possibility of success on appeal, and I want to really
- 5 just point this out to the Chamber. You see, we must agree that we all need
- 6 guarantees, both as an institution and as a justice system; guarantees as the
- 7 Prosecutor has mentioned, guarantees for witness safety and security, as well as
- 8 safety and security for our victims.
- 9 We know what is happening in the Ituri. We know what is happening in Kivu. We
- 10 know what the risks are, and the facts are that even today there is still a pending trial
- 11 regarding a former co-accused, with evidence with joined witnesses and with
- 12 common evidence, so you see that this points to the exceptional character of this
- 13 situation which needs then to be taken into consideration when assessing the
- exceptional circumstances under Article 81(3)(c)(i).
- 15 Your Honours, Mr President, I would stop by saying that by your decision of
- 16 21 November 2012, you determined that there will be a possibility for new hearings
- 17 regarding new evidence, or that there would be hearings regarding any new facts and
- 18 evidence. This we believe is material that needs to be considered, mindful of the
- 19 witnesses involved and of the exceptional nature of these circumstances. You also
- 20 understand that this is a matter that speaks to the very substance of the evidence.
- Now, what we want to say is that the evidence in itself will determine the outcome of
- 22 the appeal. It is not for me to say to the Chamber or to the Judges that they erred or
- 23 not. I would be very uncomfortable making such an assertion. I don't think that it
- 24 is for the legal representative of victims to make any such assertion. In any event, a
- 25 diligent and serene judicial process is one that calls for us to work together, to ensure

- 1 that the Appeals Chamber has the full evidence in its fullness.
- 2 Now, Mr President, maybe just one last comment, one last comment relating to
- 3 Article 81(3)(c)(i), which includes the word "particularly" and that calls us to talk a
- 4 little bit about reasonable time frames.
- 5 If you were to look at this criterion, you would note that the Chamber did not allow
- 6 room for delays or unjustified delays in a trial which has been quite complex and
- 7 which we all recall has covered a lot of ground. You see, the trial involved two
- 8 co-accused and we must acknowledge that the Chamber worked diligently to meet all
- 9 its duties regarding the accused persons.
- 10 Now, Maître Luvengika will be talking about the risk of flight and any other
- guarantees that surround this point, because we need those guarantees, Mr President,
- 12 your Honours, so that those with whom we are working and with whom we are
- 13 communicating on these issues can follow these proceedings in a congenial manner.
- 14 Thank you, your Honour.
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE COTTE: (Interpretation) Thank you.
- 16 Maître Luvengika, you have the floor.
- 17 MR NSITA: (Interpretation) Mr President, your Honours, thank you for giving me
- 18 the floor.
- 19 Maître Gilissen has talked about the need to protect victims and witnesses in the
- 20 course of these proceedings against Germain Katanga. The procedure in itself is one
- 21 that has been of concern to us mindful of the nature of the prosecuting circumstances
- 22 and that is why we must note that the possible release of Mr Mathieu Ngudjolo is an
- 23 exceptional circumstance.
- 24 Let me add that there is fear there is fear and the Chamber must be aware of this,
- 25 particularly in relation to the prevailing situation in the Eastern DRC.

1 I have just returned from the Ituri. I was there in the month of November. The

- 2 victims in Bogoro and surrounding areas are not reassured with the resurgence of
- 3 new rebel movements and the proliferation of new rebel movements in the region.
- 4 Now, speaking to the issue of the risk of flight and the attendant guarantees which
- 5 Maître Gilissen addressed a short while ago, let me submit, your Honours, that the
- 6 Prosecutor has indicated that he will be lodging an appeal. As at now, we do not
- 7 have any guarantees from the accused that he will voluntarily appear either before
- 8 the Appeals Chamber or, as the case may be, when proceedings start at that level.
- 9 We further do not have any information as to where he will be resident, his home
- 10 address and his country of residence.
- In those circumstances, therefore, we can only but assert that there is a possibility of a
- 12 risk of flight by Mr Ngudjolo in the absence of guarantees from the accused.
- 13 For that reason, and alternatively, we believe that if the Chamber were to decide to
- immediately release Mr Ngudjolo, then we would request that the Chamber apply
- Rule 119, because we believe that the rule spells out a number of conditions which the
- 16 Trial Chamber can resort to along -- along with Article 61 of the Statute.
- 17 Regarding the seriousness of the offence, the Prosecutor a short while ago mentioned,
- and my learned colleague Maître Gilissen echoed the point, that the fact that the Trial
- 19 Chamber came to an acquittal judgment does not in any way diminish the seriousness
- 20 of the crimes for which the Court was initially seized -- the crimes of which the Court
- 21 was initially seized, particularly as these matters will again be considered on appeal,
- as the Prosecutor has pointed out.
- Otherwise, your Honours, I agree with what the Prosecutor and my learned colleague,
- 24 Maître Gilissen, have already stated.
- 25 I thank you.

- 1 PRESIDING JUDGE COTTE: (Interpretation) We thank you too, Maître
- 2 Luvengika.
- 3 Maître Kilenda, you have the floor to respond to the points that have been raised by
- 4 the Prosecutor, Maître Gilissen and Maître Luvengika.
- 5 MR KILENDA: (Interpretation) Thank you, Mr President. Thank you, your
- 6 Honours.
- 7 I have listened attentively to the Prosecutor and to the legal representatives of victims.
- 8 I am clearly under the impression that the two groups have forgotten our track record.
- 9 They have forgotten where we are coming from.
- 10 Mr President, the Prosecutor told you that Mathieu Ngudjolo and Germain Katanga
- 11 had agreed in a criminal pact to wipe out Bogoro village. Now, your acquittal of this
- morning is not the first decision that challenges that theory. The Prosecutor was
- unable to establish beyond a reasonable doubt that Mathieu Ngudjolo and
- 14 Germain Katanga had designed a common plan to wipe out Bogoro village.
- 15 On 21 November 2012, your Chamber, by decision 3219, decided to sever the charges
- and in that connection this was the first judicial act that seriously challenged the
- theory of a common plan hitherto upheld by the Prosecutor and the legal
- 18 representatives of victims.
- 19 This severance decision was not appealed, neither by the Prosecutor, nor the legal
- 20 representative of victims. This amounts to acquiescence in law and this means that
- 21 they agreed with the decision to sever the trial.
- Now we have listened to them, and we do not see any serious reason for which they
- 23 would believe that there is a possibility of success for them on appeal. The
- 24 Prosecutor, in any event, is free to appeal, but if he were to lodge such an appeal, in
- 25 Belgian law this will amount to what is referred to as a crazy appeal, "fol appel" so to

1 speak, because there is no ground on which the Prosecution can today uphold the

- 2 theory of a common plan.
- 3 The Prosecutor has alleged that there are some elements that your Chamber did not
- 4 take into consideration, the testimony of Witness D03-100, who allegedly was
- 5 threatened by Ngudjolo's family. He also talks about P-250 and P-317.
- 6 Mr President, your Honours, why did the Prosecutor not talk about EVD-203136, the
- 7 Samba letter which the accused person, Mathieu Ngudjolo, talked about here in this
- 8 Court, pointing out the involvement of the Congolese government in the Bogoro
- 9 attacks? The Prosecution never challenged the authenticity, nor the validity of that
- 10 document.
- 11 I also hear the legal representatives of victims say that there are exceptional
- 12 circumstances and the seriousness of offences -- of the offence which should not allow
- 13 Mathieu Ngudjolo to be released, but they don't mention the common plan, not at all,
- and for that reason, Mr President, we believe that they will not succeed on appeal.
- 15 The exceptional circumstances that they referred to, your Honour, have not been
- developed to meet the standard of the facts. I hear Maître Luvengika talk about the
- 17 mushrooming of rebel movements in the Ituri. How is Mathieu Ngudjolo involved
- in that? He has been here for more than five -- for five years. What does he know
- 19 about what's happening in the DRC? He is not connected to those events.
- 20 Mr President, the Prosecutor and the legal representatives agreed with your decision
- 21 3319, which put an end to the theory of the common plan and are therefore in a very
- 22 bad position today to attempt to appeal for Mr Mathieu Ngudjolo to continue to be
- 23 held in detention. He should be released immediately, and on that score I would
- 24 like to ask Professor Fofé to make submissions as to why this position should not be

25 upheld.

- 1 Thank you, your Honour.
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE COTTE: (Interpretation) Thank you, Maître Kilenda.
- 3 Professor Fofé, you have the floor.
- 4 MR FOFÉ: (Interpretation) Thank you, Mr President. Good afternoon, your
- 5 Honours.
- 6 Before addressing the Prosecutor, I would like quite quickly to say a word or two on
- 7 the arguments put forth by our learned colleague, Maître -- our learned colleagues,
- 8 Maître Gilissen and Maître Luvengika.
- 9 I will be brief and then move on subsequently to deal with what our main
- 10 contradictor has asserted.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE COTTE: (Interpretation) Professor Fofé, we intend to adjourn
- at 4.30, so you have -- at 2.30, rather, and you have 15 minutes to do what you intend
- 13 to do. I think it is possible, so please proceed.
- 14 MR FOFÉ: (Interpretation) Thank you, Mr President. I will attempt to do so.
- 15 Maître Gilissen established a link between Mathieu Ngudjolo's current situation and
- 16 the situation of Germain Katanga. Mr President, your Honours, to proceed rapidly,
- 17 Mathieu Ngudjolo should not suffer because Katanga's trial will still continue.
- 18 Maître Gilissen would not, I believe, want to keep Mathieu Ngudjolo in detention
- 19 until the Katanga judgment is handed down, because that would amount to
- subverting decision 3319 of 21 November 2012, which was neither challenged by the
- 21 Prosecution nor the legal representatives of victims. They did not appeal against
- that decision.
- 23 Our learned colleague, Maître Luvengika, talking about the exceptional circumstances,
- 24 alluded to the rebel movements that are ongoing in their activities in the DRC, in the
- 25 east of the DRC. Maître Luvengika, I believe would not want to see

1 Mathieu Ngudjolo remain in detention until the cycles of rebellions in the DRC come

- 2 to an end, would he? These rebellions have nothing to do with Mathieu Ngudjolo
- and, by the way, this cycle of rebellions started in 1996, 16 years ago. This argument,
- 4 therefore, does not hold sway.
- 5 Turning to our colleague opposite, the Prosecutor, let me say, Mr President, your
- 6 Honours, that in his submissions the Prosecutor revisited the assessment of his own
- 7 evidence, rather than provide the evidence to the Court, the evidence that points to
- 8 the existence of all the criteria in Article 81(3)(c)(i) of the Statute. That is what the
- 9 Prosecutor should have done. Rather than do so, he decided to revisit your
- 10 assessment of his evidence, but this is what was already done during the submissions.
- 11 Mr President, your Honours, Article 81(3)(c)(i) of the Statute provides as follows, "In
- 12 the case of acquittal, the accused shall be released immediately, subject to the
- 13 following: (i) Under exceptional circumstances and having regard, inter alia, to the
- 14 concrete risk of flight, the seriousness of the offence charged and the probability of
- success on appeal, the Trial Chamber, at the request of the Prosecutor, may maintain
- the detention of the person pending appeal."
- 17 If one were to analyse this provision of this Statute, it would emerge that the onus is
- on the Prosecution to demonstrate in support of his motion that exceptional
- 19 circumstances do exist. What exceptional circumstances has the Prosecution now
- 20 put forth in support of his motion? None.
- 21 Second, and cumulatively because the drafters of Article 81(3)(c)(i) have used the
- 22 conjunction "and" to mean therefore that these criteria must be met cumulatively; that
- 23 is in addition to the exceptional circumstances, the Prosecutor must establish that
- 24 there is a risk of flight as well as the seriousness of the offence charged and the
- 25 probability of success on appeal.

- 1 Let us take the risk of flight. Mr President, your Honours, you have been witnesses
- 2 to Mr Mathieu Ngudjolo's conduct in this Court for more than four years.
- 3 Mathieu Ngudjolo is not one who would extricate himself from justice. There is no
- 4 risk of flight, and it is with the Court which has the power to determine the conditions
- 5 and apply them to Mathieu Ngudjolo, and he will respect those conditions.
- 6 If the Prosecutor intends to appeal, Mathieu Ngudjolo will stay maybe in The Hague,
- 7 or in any event within the Schengen area, and would therefore be in a position to
- 8 quickly appear before this Court.
- 9 I want to point out, your Honours, that at the ICC there are suspects who appear
- 10 freely before the Court.
- 11 Mathieu Ngudjolo has been available to this Court since the month of February 2008.
- 12 He has never attempted to flee. He has never attempted to flee or to escape, and this
- can be confirmed by reports from the Registry.
- 14 He has never organised any demonstration seeking his release. What he simply did
- 15 was to defend himself against the charges brought against him. His team on several
- occasions asked for provisional release and that was it.
- 17 The Prosecution has no evidence to substantiate the fear of a risk of flight. The
- 18 threats that the Prosecution have referred to are not established. Throughout these
- 19 proceedings, the Prosecutor often talked about threats against witnesses, but never
- 20 adduced any evidence as to the existence of such threats.
- 21 Mathieu Ngudjolo has no links with the rebel movements currently operating in the
- 22 Ituri.
- 23 Let us now look at the two other criteria mentioned in this article: Seriousness of the
- offence and the probability of success on appeal.
- 25 Mathieu Ngudjolo has been charged by the Prosecutor with serious crimes, and we all

1 agree on that point. The crucial problem, however, is that the Prosecutor failed to

- 2 prove beyond a reasonable doubt that those crimes were committed by
- 3 Mathieu Ngudjolo according to the mode of liability under 25(3)(a) of the Statute.
- 4 The Prosecutor is at liberty to appeal the acquittal judgment, which also ordered an
- 5 immediate release of the accused person today by your Chamber.
- 6 What are the probabilities of success on appeal? I believe that you have heard the
- 7 Prosecutor and he has talked about the possibility or probability of success. It is the
- 8 position of the Defence that while waiting for that probability, Mathieu Ngudjolo
- 9 should be released. Our analysis is that the -- there is no probability of success on
- 10 appeal for the Prosecutor.
- 11 Your Honours, the absence of evidence beyond a reasonable doubt as to the
- 12 involvement of Mathieu Ngudjolo in the crimes committed in Bogoro on
- 13 24 February 2003 is an established fact, because the Prosecutor himself during the oral
- submissions stated that evidence was difficult to establish in this matter. The
- 15 Prosecution had difficulties answering the very specific questions that were put to the
- 16 Prosecution by the Bench, pointing thereto to its failure to establish evidence beyond
- 17 a reasonable doubt.
- In his own words the Prosecution said that the events on the ground were blurry, and
- 19 I refer to transcript 337, pages 31 -- page 31, page 18, line 23. In his own words, the
- 20 Prosecution confessed that it was difficult to establish evidence. It was difficult to
- 21 come up with evidence. The same transcript, 337, page 32, lines 13 to 15, and on the
- same page 32, lines 16 to 21, he confessed to these difficulties and talked about these
- 23 possibilities and difficulties, and yet we all know that someone cannot be convicted
- 24 simply because of possibilities and probabilities.
- 25 Mr President, your Honours, as you know, evidence is only valid to the extent that it

- 1 excludes any other options or possibilities. I refer to the Delalic judgment of
- 2 20 February 20 -- or 2001, at paragraph 458, which states, "Such findings of conviction
- 3 must be established beyond a reasonable doubt. It does not suffice that evidence
- 4 would lead reasonably to such a conclusion. The conclusion must be the one and
- 5 only possible reasonable conclusion to which to come. If it is possible to reach
- 6 another reasonable conclusion from the available evidence and that such a conclusion
- 7 does not exclude the innocence of the accused person, then the accused person must
- 8 be acquitted," end of quote.
- 9 Mr President, your Honours, justice, equity and legality guided your august Chamber
- in acquitting Mathieu Ngudjolo of all charges against him. Prior to your decision of
- today, you had decided in 3319 to trigger Rule 55 and sever the trial involving
- 12 Mathieu Ngudjolo. This was indeed a challenge to the Prosecutor's case, but the
- 13 Prosecutor failed to challenge that decision legally.
- 14 The Chamber provided figures as to the unfolding of these proceedings, 265 days of
- 15 hearing, 25 status conferences, 201 written and oral decisions, 54 witnesses, 271 -- and
- 61 pieces of evidence, and in all that the Prosecution failed to establish between a
- 17 reasonable doubt that Mathieu Ngudjolo was guilty.
- 18 A decision to maintain an acquitted accused person in detention is one that is as
- 19 serious as the decision to refer an accused person to the Court. It therefore cannot be
- 20 a simple formality, but must be one that is grounded on evidence; cumulative
- 21 evidence of exceptional circumstances, of the risk of flight and of the probability of
- 22 success on appeal. The Prosecutor has not provided that evidence.
- 23 For that reason, Mr President, your Honours, your judgment of this day must
- 24 therefore be given its full implementation by ordering the immediate release of
- 25 Mathieu Ngudjolo, most respectfully submitted.

- 1 I thank you, Mr President.
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE COTTE: (Interpretation) Thank you, Professor Fofé.
- 3 The Defence was allowed to speak last. Mr Prosecutor, you did recall during your
- 4 submissions that the decision as to maintaining the -- Mr Ngudjolo in custody was
- 5 also to be appealed on the basis of the decision rendered this morning.
- 6 So the Chamber will withdraw in order to deliberate. We requested this morning
- 7 that you remain in the area and we will certainly give this decision this afternoon,
- 8 probably after 1700 hours. The Registry, Madam Toumaj, will contact you to tell you
- 9 precisely when we should meet again in the courtroom.
- 10 Court is adjourned.
- 11 (The hearing ends in open session at 2.35 p.m.)