(Open Session)

- 1 International Criminal Court
- 2 Trial Chamber X
- 3 Situation: Republic of Mali
- 4 In the case of The Prosecutor v. Al Hassan Ag Abdoul Aziz Ag Mohamed
- 5 Ag Mahmoud ICC-01/12-01/18
- 6 Presiding Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua, Judge Tomoko Akane and
- 7 Judge Kimberly Prost
- 8 Trial Hearing Courtroom 3
- 9 Wednesday, 2 November 2022
- 10 (The hearing starts in open session at 9.47 a.m.)
- 11 THE COURT USHER: [9:47:09] All rise.
- 12 The International Criminal Court is now in session.
- 13 Please be seated.
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:47:38](Interpretation) Court is in session.
- 15 Good morning to everyone in the courtroom.
- 16 Court officer, please could the call the case.
- 17 THE COURT OFFICER: [9:47:49] Good morning, Mr President, your Honours.
- 18 This is the situation in the Republic of Mali, in the case of The Prosecutor versus
- 19 Al Hassan Ag Abdoul Aziz Ag Mohamed Ag Mahmoud, case reference
- 20 ICC-01/12-01/18.
- 21 And for the record, we are in open session.
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:48:09](Interpretation) Thank you very much,
- court officer.
- 24 We are going to start with the appearances. First of all, the Office of the Prosecutor.
- 25 MR ALLAFI: [9:48:23](Interpretation) Good morning, your Honour, your Honours.

(Open Session)

- 1 The Office of the Prosecutor today is composed of Lucio Garcia, Gilles Dutertre and
- 2 myself, Mousa Allafi. Thank you very much.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:48:39](Interpretation) Thank you very much,
- 4 Prosecutor.
- 5 I turn to the Defence. *Maître*.
- 6 MS TAYLOR: [9:48:48] Good morning, Mr President. Good morning
- 7 your Honours. Good morning to everyone inside and around the courtroom. The
- 8 Defence for Mr Al Hassan is represented today by Maître Melissa Beaulieu Lussier, by
- 9 Mr Maouloud Al-Ansary and myself, Melinda Taylor. And Mr Al Hassan is
- 10 attending and is behind us. Thank you very much.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:49:12](Interpretation) Thank you very much,
- 12 Ms Taylor.
- 13 I also take this opportunity to greet Mr Al Hassan.
- 14 I now turn to the Legal Representatives for the Victims.
- 15 Counsel.
- 16 MR LUVENGIKA: [9:49:23](Interpretation) Good morning, your Honour,
- 17 your Honours. The victims are represented by Romane Tovia Vila and myself,
- 18 Maître Fidel Nsita Luvengika. Thank you.
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:49:41](Interpretation) Thank you very much,
- 20 Maître Nsita.
- 21 I now turn towards the witness. I would recall that we are now doing Witness
- 22 D-0243 of the Defence.
- 23 Good morning, Witness, can you hear me?
- 24 WITNESS: MLI-D28-P-0243
- 25 (The witness speaks Tamasheq)

(Open Session)

- 1 (The witness gives evidence via video link)
- 2 THE WITNESS: [9:50:03](Interpretation) I can hear you and I also greet you in the
- 3 courtroom.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:50:17](Interpretation) Thank you, Witness.
- 5 On behalf of the Chamber I would like to welcome you.
- 6 THE WITNESS: [9:50:31](Interpretation) God, thank you.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:50:34](Interpretation) You are going to testify
- 8 with a view to aiding the Chamber to establish the truth in the case concerning
- 9 Mr Al Hassan.
- 10 THE WITNESS: [9:50:58](Interpretation) God willing.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:51:01](Interpretation) Witness, protective
- 12 measures have been put into place such that your identity is not revealed to the
- 13 public.
- 14 THE WITNESS: [9:51:23](Interpretation) Very well.
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:51:27](Interpretation) Whenever you have to give
- 16 details which could reveal your identity, we will speak about them in private session.
- 17 As such, nobody outside the people present in this courtroom will be able to hear
- 18 you.
- 19 THE WITNESS: [9:52:05](Interpretation) Very well.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:52:10](Interpretation) Witness, I'm now going to
- 21 proceed with your solemn undertaking under Rule 66(1) of the Rules of Procedure
- 22 and Evidence.
- 23 THE WITNESS: [9:52:46](Interpretation) Very well.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:52:48](Interpretation) It is the solemn undertaking
- 25 according to which you have to swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, so I would ask

(Open Session)

- 1 you to repeat after me the formula that I'm now going to speak.
- 2 I solemnly declare that I will tell the truth.
- 3 THE WITNESS: [9:53:41](Interpretation) Very well.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:53:42](Interpretation) No, please repeat after me.
- 5 I solemnly declare that I will tell the truth.
- 6 THE WITNESS: [9:53:54](Interpretation) I solemnly declare that I will tell the truth.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:54:08](Interpretation) The whole truth and
- 8 nothing but the truth.
- 9 THE WITNESS: [9:54:27] (No interpretation)
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:54:30](Interpretation) Thank you very much,
- 11 Mr Witness. Now you are under oath.
- 12 Representatives of the VWU as well as the Defence representatives will already have
- 13 explained to you what that means.
- 14 So I therefore have certain issues of a practical nature for you.
- 15 THE WITNESS: [9:55:24](Interpretation) Very well.
- 16 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:55:25](Interpretation) You have to mind in mind
- 17 throughout your testimony that everything that is said in this courtroom is
- 18 transcribed by court reporters and it is simultaneously interpreted -- and
- 19 consecutively interpreted into different languages by interpreters.
- 20 THE WITNESS: [9:56:03](Interpretation) Very well.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:56:05](Interpretation) It's therefore important to
- 22 speak clearly and slowly. Do not start speaking until the person who has put
- 23 a question to you has finished putting that question.
- 24 So, first of all, you will be questioned by the Defence. Thereafter, you will have
- 25 cross-examination from the Office of the Prosecutor. And then there will be

(Private Session)

- 1 questions by the representative of victims and perhaps by the Chamber as well.
- 2 THE WITNESS: [9:57:31](Interpretation) Very well.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:57:33](Interpretation) So without further ado, I
- 4 pass the floor to the Defence for the start of the examination-in-chief.
- 5 Ms Taylor.
- 6 MS TAYLOR: [9:57:42] Thank you very much, Mr President.
- 7 QUESTIONED BY MS TAYLOR:
- 8 Q. [9:57:47] Good morning, Mr Witness. How are you today?
- 9 A. [9:58:01] I'm fine. Thank you.
- 10 MS TAYLOR: [9:58:02] Mr President, with your leave, may we go into private
- 11 session to ask questions of an identifying nature?
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:58:17](Interpretation) Indeed, Ms Taylor.
- 13 Court officer, please take us into private session.
- 14 (Private session at 9.58 a.m.)
- 15 THE COURT OFFICER: [9:58:33] We are in private session, Mr President.
- 16 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [9:58:38](Interpretation) Thank you very much,
- 17 Madam Court Officer.
- 18 Ms Taylor.
- 19 MS TAYLOR: [9:58:46]
- 20 Q. [9:58:46] Mr Witness, can you please give your full name for the record.
- 21 A. [9:
- 22 Q. [9:59:13] And is it correct that you were
- 23 A. [9:59:56] (No interpretation)
- 24 MS TAYLOR: [9:59:59] I believe the witness said yes.
- 25 Q. [10:00:01] And is it correct that you were born in Timbuktu?

(Private Session)

- 1 A. [10:00:13] I was born indeed in Timbuktu.
- 2 MS TAYLOR: [10:00:19] Mr President, we can now go into open session. Thank
- 3 you very much.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:00:25](Interpretation) Indeed. Court officer,
- 5 please take us into open session.
- 6 (Open session at 10.00 a.m.)
- 7 THE COURT OFFICER: [10:00:38] We are back in open session, Mr President.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:00:42](Interpretation) Thank you very much,
- 9 Madam Court Officer.
- 10 Ms Taylor.
- 11 MS TAYLOR: [10:00:50]
- 12 Q. [10:00:51] Mr Witness, do you have a binder of documents next to you? There
- 13 should be a couple of Defence documents.
- 14 I'm going to be referring to the first document, it's tab 1, MLI-D28-0006-9053, and that
- 15 can be shown on the screens but not to the public.
- 16 Now, Mr Witness, can you see a mark at the left-hand side of the bottom of the page?
- 17 A. [10:01:59] Yes.
- 18 Q. [10:02:00] Mr Witness, did you make that mark?
- 19 A. [10:02:14] Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. [10:02:18] Now, Mr Witness, could you just quickly look on each page and
- 21 confirm if you can see the mark on each page, the same mark?
- A. [10:03:05] Yes, indeed. That's my signature. I'm the person who put it onthere.
- 24 Q. [10:03:16] So thank you, Mr Witness. So do you confirm that you put your
- 25 signature on each page of this document?

Pursuant to the Trial Chamber X's directions, ICC-01/12-01/18-789-AnxA, dated 6 May 2020, the lesser-redacted version of the transcript is filed in the record of the case.

Trial Hearing
WITNESS: MLI-D28-P-0243

(Private Session)

- 1 A. [10:03:39] Exactly.
- 2 Q. [10:03:42] Now, Mr Witness, do you recall a week ago that this statement was
- 3 read out to you in Tamasheq?
- 4 A. [10:04:07] Yes, exactly.
- 5 Q. [10:04:10] I'm going to be referring to another document, it's Defence tab 4. It's
- 6 MLI-D28-0006-9090, and again, it should not be shown to the public.
- 7 And, Mr President, with your leave, I believe it will be necessary for me to read out
- 8 these corrections in private session.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:04:38](Interpretation) Court officer, please take
- 10 us into private session.
- 11 (Private session at 10.04 a.m.)
- 12 THE COURT OFFICER: [10:04:50] We are in private session, Mr President.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:04:55](Interpretation) Thank you very much.
- 14 Ms Taylor.
- 15 MS TAYLOR: [10:04:59]
- 16 Q. [10:05:00] Now, Mr Witness, I have a document which has certain
- 17 corrections -- three corrections that were made during the preparation session. I'm
- 18 going to go through them one by one with you.
- 19 A. [10:05:44] Very well.
- 20 Q. [10:05:46] I'm going to read out the first correction, which is paragraph 13 of
- 21 your statement. It's quite long, so what I'll do is I'll read it out slowly, and when I'm
- 22 finished, I will give you a thumbs up sign and that will mean that I'm finished.
- 23 A. [10:06:47] (No interpretation)
- 24 Q. [10:06:51] Paragraph 13 should now read as follows:
 - We were there in the 1990s.

25

	T-210-Red2-ENG RCL WT 02-11-2022 8/49 T ial Chamber X's directions, ICC-01/12-01/18-7	ICC-01/12-01/18 We-AnxA, dated 6 May 2020, the lesser-redacted ve	-T-210-CONF-ENG ET 02-11-2022 8/49 T ersion of the transcript is filed in the record of
	Trial Hearing WITNESS: MLI-D28-P-0243	(Private Session)	ICC-01/12-01/18
1	A lot of people left and went to	the refugee camps but we stayed.	One day, people
2	from the Malian army came t	Т	hese army people
3	came to our settlement and trie	ed to harm us, to take us away beca	use of the rebellion
4	that was going on. The people	e from the army gathered all the Tu	areg men they
5	found and told them to leave.	This included my father.	
6	"The commande	intervened. He	told the soldiers not
7	to touch us because	and knew that we were peaceful.	The commander
8			
9	PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA	: [10:09:03](Interpretation) Ms Ta	ylor, the witness has
10	disappeared.		
11	Court officer?		
12	(Pause in proceedings)		
13	PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA	: [10:09:55](Interpretation) The tee	chnician is busy
14	re-establishing the line. It cou	ld take certain minutes.	
15	(Pause in proceedings)		
16	PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA	: [10:13:34](Interpretation) Very v	vell.
17	Ms Taylor, the witness is there.	We can continue. Please go ahe	ead.
18	MS TAYLOR: [10:13:40] Than	ık you, Mr President. It's my unde	erstanding that the
19	Tamasheq interpretation hadn'	t started. So what I would sugges	t, to save time, is
20	could the Tamasheq interpreter	rs read out the paragraph 13 they c	an see on the screen
21	into Tamasheq. I think that w	rill be quicker.	
22	PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA	: [10:14:01](Interpretation) Indeed	l. Indeed,
23	Ms Taylor.		
24	Tamasheq interpreters, please,	if you could sight translate this.	
25	MS TAYLOR: [10:16:21]		
	02.11.2022		Page 8

		l Hearing 'NESS: MLI-D28-P-0243	(Private Session)	ICC-01/12-01/18
1	Q.	[10:16:21] Now, Mr Witne	ss, I understand that the int	terpreters might have used
2	the j	phrase "chief of the army" ra	ther than "commandant".	Is apart from that, is
3	wha	at you heard, does that reflee	et your evidence?	
4	A.	[10:17:22] Yes, there is a di	fference between the comm	nander of the army and the
5	com	nmander who is at th	because the person at the	ho is the chief
6	ther	re is simply a civilian. How	vever, people refer to him a	s commander.
7	Q.	[10:17:45] Now, apart from	n that aspect, was the rest o	f the paragraph consistent
8	with	h your evidence?		
9	А.	[10:18:13] Yes, that is corre	ect.	
10	Q.	[10:18:18] Now, the second	l change is that at paragrap	oh 19, the name
11		hould rea	Does that refle	ect your evidence?
12	А.	[10:18:56] Yes, that is true.		
13	Q.	[10:18:59] And the third ch	hange is that at paragraph 3	37, the term
14		should just read	Does that	reflect your evidence?
15	А.	[10:19:34] Which	are you referring to?	
16	Q.	[10:19:40] This is th		
17	А.	[10:19:59] Yes, that is corre	ect.	
18	MS	TAYLOR: [10:20:02] Mr Pr	esident, I believe we can go	o into open session.
19	PRE	ESIDING JUDGE MINDUA:	[10:20:12](Interpretation)	Court officer, open session,
20	plea	ase.		
21	(Op	en session at 10.20 a.m.)		

- 22 THE COURT OFFICER: [10:20:27] We are back in open session, Mr President.
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:20:33](Interpretation) Thank you very much.
- 24 *Maître* Taylor.
- 25 MS TAYLOR: [10:20:38]

02.11.2022

Trial Hearing
WITNESS: MLI-D28-P-0243

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 Q. [10:20:38] Now, Mr Witness, either before or during your interviews with the
- 2 Defence, did anyone ask you to give evidence to this Court that was not true?
- 3 A. [10:21:23] No.
- 4 Q. [10:21:25] Did anyone promise you anything in exchange for giving evidence to
- 5 the Court?

the case.

- 6 A. [10:21:51] No.
- 7 Q. [10:21:54] Did anyone pressure you or force you to sign the statement you saw
- 8 today?
- 9 A. [10:22:23] No.
- 10 Q. [10:22:26] And before signing your statement, did you discuss the content of
- 11 your evidence with anyone outside of the Defence?
- 12 A. [10:23:00] No.
- 13 Q. [10:23:04] Now, Mr Witness, you have the statement in front of you and we have
- 14 gone through the corrections. Do you consent for your statement with these
- 15 corrections to be submitted into evidence?
- 16 A. [10:23:58] Yes, I accept.
- 17 MS TAYLOR: [10:24:04] Mr President, I have two more documents to show. May I
- 18 do so in private session as they are identifying?
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:24:14](Interpretation) Yes, indeed.
- 20 Court officer, private session, please.
- 21 (Private session at 10.24 a.m.)
- 22 THE COURT OFFICER: [10:24:32] We are in private session, Mr President.
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:24:37](Interpretation) Thank you very much.
- 24 Ms Taylor, please.
- 25 MS TAYLOR: [10:24:41] Thank you, Mr President.

(Private Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 Q. [10:24:45] May we show tab 2, it's MLI-D28-0004-3945 and it should be in the
- 2 binder with the witness. It's the second document.
- 3 Mr Witness, do you know this individual?
- 4 A. [10:25:25] Yes, I know him.
- 5 Q. [10:25:30] And who is it?
- 6 A. [10:25:39
- 7 Q. [10:25:43] Is this the same you mention in your statement?
- 8 A. [10:26:00] Yes.
- 9 Q. [10:26:02] If we could turn to another document, it's tab 3. We will show it
- 10 from the Defence evidence 2, it'
- 11 And we are going to scroll in on -- so that you can see the Facebook account.
- 12 Mr Witness, can you see -- if we can scroll down. Can you see a Facebook account
- 13 with a photo and the name,
- 14 A. [10:27:01] You said Aliad? I do not see anybody that I recognise.
- 15 Q. [10:27:14] Mr Witness, the name of the Facebook profile is

16

- 17 A. [10:27:38] The way I see it here, I do not recognise it.
- 18 Q. [10:27:46] Do you recognise the person in the Facebook profile?
- 19 MR DUTERTRE: [10:27:55](Interpretation) Mr President.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:27:57](Interpretation) Yes, Mr Prosecutor.
- 21 MR DUTERTRE: [10:28:00](Interpretation) I have an observation. The document
- 22 was never shown to the witness before, neither during the statement nor the witness
- 23 preparation process, but the witness has said -- has just said, "I do not see anyone that
- 24 I recognise." So given the absence of any link between the witness and this
- 25 document, I don't see why questions should be continued to be put to the witness on

(Private Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

1 the document.

2 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:28:40](Interpretation) Ms Taylor.

3 MS TAYLOR: [10:28:42] Thank you, Mr President. As the Chamber is aware,

4 certain decisions were made concerning Defence witnesses recently which have

5 impacted on our ability to show evidence and demonstrate the source of certain items

6 of evidence. Given that we have very little witnesses left to testify, we are

7 endeavouring to put items to witnesses who may be in a position to identify, or not,

8 certain items.

9 Now, the fact that this has not been put to the witness before does not preclude us

10 from using this opportunity to attempt to do so, given that there is a witness who

11 could have identified this who is no longer testifying.

12 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:29:26](Interpretation) Ms Taylor, I really doubt

13 your argument. We really need to have a link between this document and this

14 witness. So the fact that you do not have further witnesses does not mean that you

15 can question him on that. Particularly since you did not discuss it in your

16 familiarisation process. And since the witness has already said he does not

17 recognise anyone, we can move on to something else, Ms Taylor.

MS TAYLOR: [10:30:11] Thank you, Mr President, but the witness actually hasn't
seen the photo above it and I don't believe he's been given an opportunity to see if he

20 recognises anyone in this photo. This is my last question for this witness. We have

21 kept our examination-in-chief very short in order to meet today's deadlines. I do

22 believe we should be given an opportunity to pursue lines of questioning with

23 witnesses where we can, given the limited opportunities we have.

24 Now, as I said, this is my last line of questioning, the last item I intend to show to this

25 witness. He can either identify people or not, but he hasn't actually seen the photo

(Private Session)

- 1 above.
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:31:00] (Interpretation) Well, Ms Taylor, I really
- 3 doubt the relevance of that question. We can move on to something else.
- 4 MS TAYLOR: [10:31:08] Mr President, I don't have any anything else to move on to.
- 5 As I've said, this was our last question, and if you prevent us from showing a photo
- 6 merely because the witness wasn't there, would that mean that the Prosecution can't
- 7 now show videos or photos if the witness wasn't there? Do we have that equality of
- 8 agreement?
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:31:36](Interpretation) It is the same rule,
- 10 Ms Taylor. I think the Prosecution will be consistent with those rules.
- 11 MS TAYLOR: [10:31:45] Well, thank you, Mr President. On that understanding,
- 12 that the Prosecution will not show photos and videos if the witness was not present,
- 13 we can conclude our examination.
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:32:01](Interpretation) Mr Prosecutor, you want
- 15 to take the floor?
- 16 MR DUTERTRE: [10:32:08](Interpretation) Yes. If we can cut the sound, that
- 17 would be good.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:32:18](Interpretation) Court officer, please, can
- 19 we cut the sound to the witness.
- 20 Court officer?
- 21 THE COURT OFFICER: [10:33:00] I can confirm that the sound has been
- 22 disconnected.
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:33:07](Interpretation) Very well.
- 24 Mr Prosecutor.
- 25 MR DUTERTRE: [10:33:12](Interpretation) Sorry about this interruption, but I think

(Private Session)

1 it is necessary. First of all, there are two different things. The Defence is mixing up

2 things. It is not the fact that the picture was taken when the witness was not there.

3 It does not prevent the Prosecutor to show such images. The Defence did not

4 establish any foundation between that witness and the image.

5 The witness answered twice that he does not recognise anyone, and I can give you the

6 references. Afterwards, the -- I can say that the Prosecution will do

7 cross-examination, so it is not the same thing. So I will have the right to show

8 images and videos if I present a foundation to begin with.

9 So this has no link with what the Defence is trying to do, Mr President.

10 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:34:27](Interpretation) Thank you very much.

11 Ms Taylor, I've already explained, the problem with this document is that there is no

12 link with the witness. You have not established that and the witness has already

13 answered that he does not recognise anyone.

14 Now, regarding the images or videos, that the Prosecutor will show, the Bench will

15 assess and determine whether there are any links between those images -- those

16 materials and the question on that basis.

17 MS TAYLOR: [10:35:08] And, Mr President, if I may, the witness wasn't shown the

18 photo above. I know that because we were presenting it and we didn't actually

19 present it to the witness. So on the basis of that ruling, we should be given an

20 opportunity to show the photograph above to see if the witness recognises any

21 individuals or the location. It's my final line of questioning. It will be much shorter

22 than this objection has taken. And then we should be given a fair opportunity for

23 ourselves to establish a foundation, if that is the approach being followed the

24 witness -- by the Chamber. We haven't been given that opportunity so far.

25 It's a document that's not easy to see in a printed format. We are attempting to show

Trial Hearing
WITNESS: MLI-D28-P-0243

- 1 the photo. I do believe we should be given this opportunity to show the photograph.
- 2 He can either say he recognises people or not. So far, we have not been given that
- 3 opportunity, for the record. He has seen the profile of the photo below. He has not
- 4 seen the photograph above.
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:36:10](Interpretation) Ms Taylor, I would like to
- 6 stand by my first decision, but since that was your last question, I think we will end it
- 7 at that, I believe.
- 8 MS TAYLOR: [10:36:24] Thank you, Mr President. So I understand from this that
- 9 we are not being allowed to show the photograph to the witness. Is that your ruling,
- 10 Mr President?
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:36:37](Interpretation) Ms Taylor, we have
- 12 already ruled on that for the reasons that I have already given.
- 13 MS TAYLOR: [10:36:45] Thank you, Mr President.
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:36:58](Interpretation) Court officer, can you
- 15 please reconnect with the witness, please.
- 16 THE COURT OFFICER: [10:37:35] The sound to the witness has been re-established,
- 17 Mr President.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:37:40](Interpretation) Thank you very much,
- 19 court officer.
- 20 Ms Taylor, that brings your direct examination to an end? That was a question,
- 21 Ms Taylor. So you have come to the end?
- 22 MS TAYLOR: [10:38:04] Thank you, Mr President.
- 23 Q. [10:38:05] Mr Witness, pursuant to the Judge's ruling that we cannot show the
- 24 photo to you, that concludes our examination-in-chief. Thank you very much for
- 25 your time.

Trial Hearing
WITNESS: MLI-D28-P-0243

- 1 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:38:23](Interpretation) Ms Taylor, I gave you the
- 2 floor to say goodbye to the witness in an appropriate manner, but I feel that your
- 3 wording is not totally appropriate. The ruling that we have handed down is based
- 4 on the rules and regulations. It is not arbitrary.
- 5 Now, on behalf of the Chamber, I note that the witness does not deny the admission
- 6 of his statement. He has confirmed the statement in addition to the corrections made
- 7 and he is present for the cross-examination. So the provisions of Rule 68(3) are
- 8 fulfilled.
- 9 So I will give the floor now to the Prosecutor.
- 10 MR ALLAFI: [10:39:39](Interpretation) Thank you, Mr President. I just need a few
- 11 moments to set up here.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:39:46](Interpretation) Very well. Please take
- 13 your time.
- 14 MR ALLAFI: [10:41:01] (Interpretation) Thank you, Mr President.
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:41:06](Interpretation) You have the floor,
- 16 Mr Prosecutor. Please proceed.
- 17 QUESTIONED BY MR ALLAFI: (Interpretation)
- 18 Q. [10:41:13] Good morning, Mr Witness.
- 19 A. [10:41:18] I'm doing very well. Thank God.
- 20 Q. [10:41:23] We already met this morning. My name is Mousa Allafi, and I'm the
- 21 one who is going to put questions to you on behalf of the Office of the Prosecutor.
- 22 A. [10:41:51] Very well.
- MR ALLAFI: [10:42:00](Interpretation) Mr President, I would like to start in private
 session.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:42:04](Interpretation) Court officer, private

	Trial H WITNI	Iearing ESS: MLI-D28-P-0243	(Private Session)	ICC-01/12-01/18
1	sessio	on, please.		
2	MR A	LLAFI: [10:42:10](Int	erpretation) I think we are already in	private session.
3	PRES	IDING JUDGE MINDU	A: [10:42:13](Interpretation) Very	well. Then proceed,
4	Mr Pr	rosecutor.		
5	MR A	LLAFI: [10:42:16](Int	erpretation) Thank you, Mr Presiden	t.
6	Q.	[10:42:21] Mr Witness,	were you already married in 2012 du	uring the occupation
7	of Tin	nbuktu by the armed g	oups?	
8	А.	[10:42:44] No.		
9	Q.	[10:42:49] And in 2012,		
10		is that correct?		
11	А.	[10:43:14] Yes, that is c	vrrect.	
12	Q.	[10:43:22] And it is	o Timbuktu, kilomet	res from Timbuktu;
13	is that	t correct?		
14	А.	[10:43:36] Yes, that is c	prrect.	
15	Q.	[10:43:39] And you, M	Witness, in 2012, you were living in	a house in
16	Timb	uktu, i	In which neighbourhood was that?	
17	А.	[10:44:07] It was in		
18	Q.	[10:44:15] And, Mr Wit	ness, as from which year did you sta	rt living with
19	or at			
20	А.	[10:44:54]	and I sta	arted living with him
21	when	I was quite small. A	d even though my family was in Tin	nbuktu, I was living
22	in his	house.		
23	Q.	[10:45:13] So we agree	hat you have lived in	ll the time; is that
24	correc	ct?		
25	А.	[10:45:29] No, not all th	e time. I did not always live with h	im. It's simply

	WITNESS: MLI-D28-P-0243
1	someone with whom I have a good relationship. And during the crisis, when he left,
2	I went and stayed in his house.
3	Q. [10:46:04] Very well. And in 2012, when you were in Timbuktu, did you live
4	anywhere else rather than esidence?
5	A. [10:46:37] Could you repeat the question.
6	Q. [10:46:39] Absolutely. So in 2012, when you were in Timbuktu, Witness, did
7	you live anywhere else other than at esidence?
8	A. [10:47:40] Yes. In 2012, we can say that, practically, I was living a
9	house, while there was also my family. In fact, the house, he left it to me to
10	look after, so I looked after it during this period. And given that I had a good
11	possibility at his house to make it a place to live, then I spent more time at his house.
12	And also my family was in the neighbourhood and there were also some
13	friends who were there who also But I preferred to spend
14	more time in han in other people's houses.
15	Q. [10:48:42] Who is What is origin? What ethnic group?
16	A. [10:49:07
17	Q. [10:49:19] And who in his family was there in 2012? I'm sorry, who else in your
18	family was living in this house, i ouse in 2012?
19	A. [10:49:58] My younger brother was there.
20	Q. [10:50:15] So apart from your younger brother, who else?
21	A. [10:50:40] No. In fact, there were a lot of people who would visit me, I received
22	a lot of people. But they wouldn't say they were coming and they would leave. So
23	when somebody was living there full time, no, it wasn't that situation.
24	Q. [10:51:01] So we are in agreement that in Timbuktu, you were not living in

25 a house. You weren't living in your family house, were you?

Trial Hearing

		Hearing NESS: MLI-D	28-P-0243	(P	rivate Session)		Ι	CC-01/12-01/18
1	A.	[10:51:54]	In 2012, the	re was the f	amily house.	We ha	d a family ho	use in
2	Tim	buktu, but	in this house	e, there was	n't much. S	o whe	left, he h	ad lots of
3	thing	gs, lots of ŀ	nis property,	lots of his g	goods in the h	nouse, so	he entrusted	me with it.
4	Give	en that we	had nothing,	I decided t	o stay in his l	house in	order to look	after his
5	prop	perty and g	oods.					
6	Q.	[10:52:26]	Very well.	And at	house, tł	nere wası	n't a landline.	You had
7	a mo	obile, did y	ou not, in 20	12?				
8	A.	[10:52:51]	Yes.					
9	Q.	[10:53:01]	A mobile pl	none, I mea	n.			
10	A.	[10:53:13]	Indeed.					
11	Q.	[10:53:18]	And you ha	d brothers	and sisters, d	id you n	ot, Witness?	
12	A.	[10:53:34]	Indeed.					
13	Q.	[10:53:36]	How many	brothers ar	nd sisters did	you have	e, Witness?	
14	A.	[10:53:46]	Could you	give me a n	ninute.			
15	Q.	[10:54:09]	Is one of the	em older th	an you, Witne	ess?		
16	А.	[10:54:19]	Yes.					
17	Q.	[10:54:23]	How many	of them are	e there who a	re older t	han you?	
18	А.	[10:54:42]	Just one.					
19	Q.	[10:54:45]	And what is	s his name,	Witness?			
20	A.	[10:55:06]						
21	PRE	SIDING JU	JDGE MIND	UA: [10:5	5:18](Interpre	etation) P	Prosecutor, do	we need all
22	thes	e details?	Is it in your	line of argu	ument?			
23	MR	ALLAFI:	[10:55:24](In	terpretation	n) I understar	nd you, c	ompletely, bu	ıt believe me

- that I need it, your Honour. And if I need to go further --
- PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:55:38](Interpretation) Please go ahead.

(Private Session)

- 1 MR ALLAFI: [10:55:42](Interpretation) I just have a few more questions and then I'll
- 2 go back into open session afterwards.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:55:53](Interpretation) Very well.
- 4 MR ALLAFI: [10:55:55](Interpretation)
- 5 Q. [10:55:55] Witness, are you all brothers and sisters from the same father and
- 6 mother?
- 7 A. [10:56:12] Yes, indeed.
- 8 Q. [10:56:16] Am I right to say that you are also known under the name r
- 9 s that not the case?
- 10 A. [10:56:41] Yes, indeed, my name I'm known with the nicknam
- 11 MR ALLAFI: [10:56:52](Interpretation) Your Honour, I think I can put some
- 12 questions now in open session.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:56:55](Interpretation) Court officer, please take
- 14 us into open session.
- 15 (Open session at 10.57 a.m.)
- 16 THE COURT OFFICER: [10:57:12] We are back in open session, Mr President.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [10:57:19](Interpretation) Thank you very much.
- 18 Prosecutor.
- 19 MR ALLAFI: [10:57:28](Interpretation) Thank you, your Honour.
- 20 Q. [10:57:31] Witness, in the region of Timbuktu, the family relationship is
- 21 important, is it not?
- 22 A. [10:57:56] Indeed it is.
- 23 Q. [10:58:00] I would be right to say, would I not, that the -- I would be right to say,
- 24 would I not, that solidarity within a tribe is important, is it not?
- 25 A. [10:58:36] With good intentions, yes.

(Private Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

1 Q. [10:58:41] And you would agree with me that in your tribe, Kel Ansar, it's

- 2 traditional to learn the Koran, isn't it?
- 3 A. [10:59:05] Indeed.

4 Q. [10:59:08] And, Witness, we are in agreement that in your tribe, it is the person

5 who knows the Koran -- that if a person knows the Koran well, they can teach that to

6 their children, when they have a certain level of education, can they not?

7 A. [10:59:55] What is common is that we take teachers for children from other

8 side -- from other tribes, teachers from other tribes to teach the children.

9 Q. [11:00:19] But in your tribe, Kel Ansar, you have members who taught the Koran,

10 didn't they?

11 A. [11:00:36] Yes, indeed.

12 MR ALLAFI: [11:00:39](Interpretation) Your Honour, I will -- I tried to make it -- I

13 tried to avoid private session but we'll have to go into private session.

14 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [11:00:53](Interpretation) Court officer, please take

15 us into private session.

- 16 (Private session at 11.01 a.m.)
- 17 THE COURT OFFICER: [11:00:58] We are in private session, Mr President.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [11:01:05](Interpretation) Thank you very much.
- 19 Prosecutor.
- 20 MR ALLAFI: [11:01:14](Interpretation) Thank you, your Honour.
- 21 Q. [11:01:16] Witness, you were in were

22 you not?

- 23 A. [11:01:32] Indeed.
- 24 Q. [11:01:36] And in 2012, you were
- 25 based in Timbuktu town, were you not?

and you were

- 1 A. [11:02:01] That's correct.
- 2 Q. [11:02:06] And in your statement that you gave to the Defence, 0006-0953,
- 3 paragraph 9 thereof, that you visited your mother at Mberra, didn't you? 0006-9053,
- 4 paragraph 9.
- 5 A. [11:02:44] Indeed, yes.
- 6 Q. [11:02:47] So this visit, Witness, was this before or after the declaration of
- 7 independence of the MNLA on 6 April 2012, was it before or after that?
- 8 A. [11:03:23] Afterwards.
- 9 Q. [11:03:35] And to be more precise, this visit, was it before or after the
- 10 MNLA-Ansar Dine agreement in Gao on 26 May 2012, was it before or after that?
- 11 A. [11:04:17] I do not remember this event at all. I couldn't say if it was before or
- 12 after.
- 13 Q. [11:04:29] I understand. So, if you -- if you remember, was it before or after the
- 14 MNLA left the airport of Timbuktu?
- 15 A. [11:05:06] No, I don't remember. I don't remember that.
- 16 Q. [11:05:11] Very well. So we agree that you weren't in Timbuktu all the time.
- 17 You also visited Mberra a in 2012, did you not?
- 18 A. [11:05:52] Indeed.
- Q. [11:06:01] And you indicate in your statement and this is in paragraph 11 that
 you left Timbuktu in 2012 f
 When did you leave Timbuktu exactly,
 Witness?
- A. [11:06:48] I don't remember exactly the period. But what I do know is that atthat time it was cold.
- 24 Q. [11:07:01] I'm going to help you. Was it before or after Ramadan 2012? And I
- remind you that it was -- it was between 20 July and 18 August 2012. Was it before

Trial Hearing
WITNESS: MLI-D28-P-0243

n

1 or after that?

6

- 2 A. [11:08:06] I'm not going to lie, but I don't remember exactly -- I don't remember
- 3 it exactly, but -- well, I don't remember having had this celebration in
- 4 2012, the celebration of Ramadan.
- 5 Q. [11:08:26] You mean, you don't remember having celebrated Ramadan in
 - do you not?
- 7 A. [11:08:51] No, I don't remember having celebrated Ramadan in
- 8 Q. [11:09:05] Perhaps I'll give you another point of reference. Was it before or
- 9 after the amputations in Timbuktu?
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [11:09:23](Interpretation) Ms Taylor?
- 11 MS TAYLOR: [11:09:26] Thank you very much, Mr President. Could this not be
- 12 interpreted to the witness.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [11:09:35](Interpretation) Court officer, please can
- 14 you cut the sound to the witness.
- 15 MS TAYLOR: [11:09:56] I believe it can just not be interpreted, rather than cutting
- 16 the sound. It might be quicker.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [11:10:10](Interpretation) We are already in the
- 18 process.
- 19 THE COURT OFFICER: [11:10:16] The sound to the witness has been disconnected.
- 20 Thank you.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [11:10:19](Interpretation) Thank you very much,
- 22 Court Officer.
- 23 Ms Taylor.
- 24 MS TAYLOR: [11:10:20] Thank you very much, Mr President. I do believe that it
- 25 would be appropriate and more efficient for the Prosecution to first establish whether

(Private Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 this witness has any factual knowledge of the particular incidents that they're trying
- 2 to use to establish dates. Because at this point in time, they've gone through a series
- 3 of very specific factual incidents, they've put to him specific dates, and they have not
- 4 established with this witness whether he has any personal knowledge of the incidents
- 5 that they're attempting to use as a frame of reference.
- 6 Now, firstly, we could be here all day if we go through things like this. And
- 7 secondly, it's not appropriate to predicate a question on an assumption that the
- 8 witness actually knows what they're speaking about.
- 9 If they're trying to date things, there's a much easier way to do this, but I do believe
- 10 we could be here all day and it's not appropriate to base questions on assumptions of
- 11 fact.
- 12 MR ALLAFI: [11:11:21](Interpretation) We agree. We agree.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [11:11:24](Interpretation) Yes, Ms Taylor. I think
- 14 you are right.
- 15 Prosecutor, please reformulate, because in the statement, that it doesn't say that the
- 16 witness is aware of the amputation that you speaking about.
- 17 MR ALLAFI: [11:11:41](Interpretation) You are indeed correct, your Honour, but the
- 18 objection was quicker than myself. But I will reformulate.
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [11:11:48](Interpretation) Very well. Let's
- 20 re-establish the sound.
- 21 Court Officer, please re-establish the sound.
- 22 THE COURT OFFICER: [11:12:04] The sound to the witness has been re-established,
- 23 Mr President.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [11:12:18](Interpretation) Thank you very much,
- 25 Court Officer.

02.11.2022

(Private Session)

- 1 Prosecutor, please continue.
- 2 MR ALLAFI: [11:12:22](Interpretation) Thank you, your Honour.
- 3 Q. [11:12:23] Witness, do you remember an amputation that took place in
- 4 Timbuktu in 2012? Does that mean anything to you?
- 5 A. [11:12:58] I'm aware of the event, but I don't remember the exact day when it
- 6 happened or the period in which it happened.
- 7 Q. [11:13:09] And you therefore left before or after this event?
- 8 A. [11:13:41] I think that it was after this event that I left.
- 9 Q. [11:13:51] Are you able to tell us approximately how much time afterwards?
- 10 How many days? How many weeks? How many months?
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [11:14:18](Interpretation) Prosecutor, the witness
- 12 has disappeared.
- 13 MR ALLAFI: [11:14:20](Interpretation) This is perhaps a time for the break.
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [11:14:24](Interpretation) You are completely right.
- 15 MR ALLAFI: [11:14:26](Interpretation) Thank you, your Honour.
- 16 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [11:14:32](Interpretation) So we are going to have
- 17 a break for half an hour and we will be back at 11:45.
- 18 Court is suspended.
- 19 THE COURT USHER: [11:14:45] All rise.
- 20 (Recess taken at 11.14 a.m.)
- 21 (Upon resuming in private session at 11.45 a.m.)
- 22 THE COURT USHER: [11:45:40] All rise.
- 23 Please be seated.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [11:46:04](Interpretation) Court has resumed.
- 25 The floor is still with the Prosecutor.

Pursuant to the Trial Chamber X's directions, ICC-01/12-01/18-789-AnxA, dated 6 May 2020, the lesser-redacted version of the transcript is filed in the record of the case.

> **Trial Hearing** WITNESS: MLI-D28-P-0243

(Private Session)

- 1 Mr Prosecutor, we are still in private session. So please accelerate so that we should
- 2 go to open session.
- 3 MR ALLAFI: [11:46:24](Interpretation) Thank you, Mr President. Just for the
- 4 record, there is Madam Caroline Leroy, a member of our team who has just joined us.
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [11:46:50](Interpretation) Very well. That is very
- 6 good for the record.
- 7 Please proceed, Mr Prosecutor.
- 8 MR ALLAFI: [11:46:57](Interpretation)
- 9 Q. [11:46:57] Good morning once again, Mr Witness.
- 10 A. [11:47:02] I'm doing well, thank God.
- 11 Q. [11:47:09] Mr Witness, in your last answer, line 21 to 23, page 26, you said that
- 12 you did not really remember when you left Timbuktu. Do we agree, Mr Witness, if I
- 13 give you a date in 2012, between February and August, September, you are not in
- 14 a position to tell me what each of your brothers and sisters were doing on that day; is
- 15 that correct?
- 16 A. [11:49:05] Yes, regarding they were living in the bushes. Ever since
- 17 they had returned from Mauritania, they had been in the bush, before I went with
- It's the same thing with 18 them to as living is someone who was travelling a lot, so he was on one of his 19 with me; whereas
- 20 trips.
- 21 [11:50:02] Very well. Now, in your statement which you gave to the Defence, Q.
- 22 paragraph 38, to your knowledge, Mr Witness, in 2012,
- 23
- 24 Isn't that correct?
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [11:50:35] *Maître* Taylor.

Trial Hearing
WITNESS: MLI-D28-P-0243

- 1 MS TAYLOR: [11:50:43] Thank you, Mr President. Firstly, the Prosecution hasn't
- 2 read out the statement out correctly. Secondly, in accordance with the Decision on
- 3 the Conduct of the Proceedings, the Prosecution should read out the entirety of the
- 4 paragraph before putting the question to the witness so the witness understands the
- 5 context of the question. That's the procedure that's been applied thus far in this trial.
- 6 Thank you.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [11:51:14](Interpretation) Yes, Mr Prosecutor,
- 8 paragraph 38 is not very long. So it can be read out to the witness so that he should
- 9 be placed in context.
- 10 MR ALLAFI: [11:51:41](Interpretation) No problem, Mr President. I don't know
- 11 whether you want me to read it or the interpreters? It should be more rapid.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [11:51:53](Interpretation) Yes, for the interpreters I
- 13 think it will be faster.

[11:53:

- 14 Please, interpreters, read it out for the witness.
- 15 Mr Prosecutor.
- 16 MR ALLAFI: [11:53:34](Interpretation)
- 17 Q.
- 18
- 19 A. [11:54:05] Yes, that is correct.
- 20 Q. [11:54:23] And in 2012, around which month did Al Hassan's family arrive in
- 21 Timbuktu, Mr Witness? As far as you can remember, of course.
- A. [11:55:05] I don't even remember dates relating to members of my family, let
- alone the families of other people.
- 24 Q. [11:55:16] And in 2003, Al Hassan was livin correct?
- 25 A. [11:55:35] Yes, that is indeed true.

Trial Hearing
WITNESS: MLI-D28-P-0243

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 Q. [11:55:38] And during that period, you frequently met with Al Hassan
- 2 correct?
- 3 A. [11:56:02] Yes, indeed.
- 4 Q. [11:56:04] And between 2003 and 2011, you had communications or
- 5 conversations with Al Hassan over the phone; is that correct?
- 6 A. [11:56:29] Yes. Yes, indeed, that is true.
- 7 Q. [11:56:34] And between 2003 and 2011, you were regularly or frequently
- 8 together with Al Hassan, right?
- 9 A. [11:57:05] 2000 and what? What did you say?
- 10 Q. [11:57:14] Between 2003 and 2011.
- 11 A. [11:57:34] Yes, we met quite often. Everybody was going about their business,
- 12 but when we had time, we would meet.
- 13 Q. [11:57:52] Very well. And so I would be correct, Mr Witness, to say that you
- 14 were friends; is that correct?
- 15 A. [11:58:08] Yes, that is true.

16 Q. [11:58:13] And subsequently, in 2012, for a certain period of tim

- 17
- 18 A. [11:58:49] Which period are you referring to?
- 19 Q. [11:58:56] Any day, any time in 2012.
- 20 A. [11:59:26] That is possible. It is possible. What I can say is that when Hassan
- 21 was living in Timbuktu, he visited me. He visited me So we had
- 22 that relationship between us, so to speak, so he visited me.
- 23 Q. [12:00:00] Mr Witness, in your statement, paragraph 45, you told the Defence
- 24 that:
- 25 (Speaks English) "At the beginning, he", (No interpretation), (Speaks English) "would

-01/18-T-210-Red2-ENG RCL WT 02-11-2022 29/49 T ICC-01/12-01/18-T-210-CONF-ENG ET 02-11-2022 29 Pursuant to the Trial Chamber X's directions, ICC-01/12-01/18-789-AnxA, dated 6 May 2020, the lesser-redacted version of the transcript is filed in the record of the case. (Private Session) ICC-01/12-01/18 **Trial Hearing** WITNESS: MLI-D28-P-0243 stay But when his family arrived, he lived somewhere else in 1 2 Timbuktu." 3 (Interpretation) This is in fact what you told the Defence, Mr Witness; is that correct? 4 A. [12:01:21] Yes, that is absolutely true. However, this was before 2012, this was 5 before the period of 2012. [12:01:39 6 O. 7 8 A. [12:02:03] I no longer remember that. 9 Q. [12:02:15] But it is possible. It is not an impossibility. Isn't that so, 10 Mr Witness? 11 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:02:29](Interpretation) Ms Taylor. 12 THE WITNESS: [12:02:35](Interpretation) Can you hear me? 13 MR ALLAFI: [12:02:46](Interpretation) Can we allow him to answer? 14 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:02:50](Interpretation) Ms Taylor, let us allow the 15 witness to answer. 16 MS TAYLOR: [12:02:57] I have an objection to the question. 17 THE WITNESS: [12:03:15](Interpretation) The clarification that I wanted to make is 18 19 It is true that even I myself, I did not spend too much time

- 20 there. I just spent enough time to take care of the house.
- 21 Can I continue?
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:03:49](Interpretation) No, wait, Mr Witness.
- 23 Counsel Taylor.
- 24 MS TAYLOR: [12:03:57] Thank you, Mr President.
- 25 As a first point, I don't believe it's appropriate to put questions to a witness as to

(Private Session)

1	what's possible. That's basically an invitation to speculate. They should be invited					
2	to give evidence on the basis of what they know or remember to be true or not.					
3	Secondly, I do believe we've been we've been going down quite a bit of a muddle					
4	because the Prosecution has not been very specific in the dates and the times that					
5	they're using. In particular, given that in the statement it has very specific periods					
6	concerning when people lived in different in this particular house. So I do believe					
7	if they're going to put questions about 2012, they need to put questions which are					
8	very precise about the period of 2012 that they're speaking about. Thank you.					
9	PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:04:43](Interpretation) Yes, Mr Prosecutor, you					
10	were not very clear with the dates, the period.					
11	MR ALLAFI: [12:04:56](Interpretation) Yes. It is an observation to the witness who					
12	gave an answer that was not quite clear, but he understands the possibilities. And I					
13	will move on to something else.					
14	PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:05:25](Interpretation) Very well. Move on to					
15	something else.					
16	MR ALLAFI: [12:05:31](Interpretation)					
17	Q. [12:05:31] Mr Witnes don't you?					
18	A. [12:05:46] Yes.					
19	Q. [12:05:51] And correct?					
20	A. [12:06:00] Yes, that is true.					
21	Q. [12:06:45] And so you know what Mr Hassan was doing. Isn't that so,					
22	Mr Witness?					
23	A. [12:07:01] In relation to what?					
24	Q. [12:07:17] Mr Witness, you stated that Mr Al Hassan used to come and visit you					
25	That is page 34 pages 8 to 11. So you talked with					

(Private Session)

- 1 him, you knew what he was doing and what he was saying; isn't that correct?
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:07:55](Interpretation) Ms Taylor.
- 3 MS TAYLOR: [12:07:58] Thank you, Mr President. Earlier I mentioned that there
- 4 was an issue with the Prosecution's questioning, that it was vague due to lack of dates.
- 5 I believe that that vagueness has now been compounded by a follow-up question
- 6 which again is not specific about dates. If the Prosecution wishes to put a question
- 7 about 2012, given that there were various periods in 2012, it's very important for the
- 8 question to be specific. Thank you.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:08:30](Interpretation) Yes, Mr Prosecutor, 2012 is
- 10 a very long period. Maybe you should be more specific, especially since the events
- 11 in 2012 were not the same, in the different periods of the year.
- 12 MR ALLAFI: [12:08:58](Interpretation) I used the term that the witness used. He
- 13 said 2012. But I will be specific.
- 14 Q. [12:09:09] Mr Witness, during the occupation of Timbuktu by the armed groups,
- 15 Mr Al Hassan visited you isn't that correct?
- 16 A. [12:10:04] In 2012, during the occupation, Al Hassan was not coming
- However, before 2012, before the
 occupation, he used to visit me. This means that during the occupation, the only
 way I met him was by chance. I would see him pass by coincidently, and that is how
 we met.
- Q. [12:10:52] You were friends and when you met, you would speak with eachother, correct?
- A. [12:11:35] Yes, we were friends. We were good friends who had even been
 inseparable before 2012, when there was peace and stability. However, after 2012,
 there was no longer any time for friendship. We did not have time for each other.

ICC-01/12-01/18-T-210-Red2-ENG RCL WT 02-11-2022 32/49 T Pursuant to the Trial Chamber X's directions, ICC-01/12-01/18-789-AnxA, dated 6 May 2020, the lesser-redacted version of the transcript is filed in the record of the case.

Trial Hearing
WITNESS: MLI-D28-P-0243

(Private Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

1 And since l

I no longer had any time because of my occupation.

- 2 And so, because of the crisis, no one had any time for the other.
- 3 Q. [12:12:17] Mr Witness, despite the fact that, as you have said, you were
- 4 inseparable friends up to 2011, when you met each other in 2012, it was simply by
- 5 coincidence, by chance. Is that your testimony, Mr Witness?
- 6 A. [12:13:16] No, that was not precisely what I said. What I said was that after
- 7 2012, we used to meet. We used to meet well and when we met, we would converse.
- 8 And furthermore, we would call each other from time to time.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:13:44](Interpretation) Are we still continuing in
- 10 private session, Mr Prosecutor?
- 11 MR ALLAFI: [12:13:52](Interpretation) Your Honour, I want to go to open session,
- 12 but I don't know what the Defence thinks.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:14:00](Interpretation) Ms Taylor, please.
- 14 MS TAYLOR: [12:14:02] Thank you, Mr President. It's just to note a correction to
- 15 the transcript. I believe it should have said before 2012. That was what was said in
- 16 Tamasheq, but neither the French nor the English have "before", they have "after".
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:14:25](Interpretation) Very well, Ms Taylor.
- 18 Mr Prosecutor, are you going to adapt your question?
- 19 MR ALLAFI: [12:14:45](Interpretation) I think it is not that ...
- 20 Q. [12:14:53] Mr Witness --
- We can put the question to the witness. It's clear in the transcript, it is clear in the
 transcript, but we can put the question to the witness, Mr President.
- 23 I have the excerpt there, he said page 34, line 24, he said: "No, that is not exactly
- 24 what I said. He said -- I said after 2012, we would meet and we would meet very
- 25 well. We also -- we discussed also and we even were in the habit of calling each

(Private Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

1 other from time to time."

2 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:15:40](Interpretation) In French, I heard "après",

3 that is "after". So in order to solve that, we should ask the question to the witness.

4 Ms Taylor thinks it was "before". But the witness has disappeared, so we can ask

5 him the question when he reappears. And, Mr Prosecutor, please see whether it is

6 not possible to go back to open session.

7 Regardin we can give it a pseudonym, a letter, r something else.

8 MR ALLAFI: [12:16:23](Interpretation) You are quite correct, but I thought we could

9 go faster by being in private, but I can see with you, and if you want, I can do that

10 also.

11 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:16:37](Interpretation) We will try that,

12 Mr Prosecutor.

13 Ms Taylor.

14 MS TAYLOR: [12:16:47] Thank you, Mr President. If the Prosecution had no

15 further follow-up questions on that, we can also address this issue "before" and "after"

16 by simply doing a transcript request. We can ask that the interpreters review the

17 accuracy of the transcripts afterwards so we don't lose time. If it doesn't impact on

18 their line of questioning, if they have no follow-up questions, it can be addressed that

19 way to save time, because the interpreters can check the original audios afterwards.

20 Thank you.

21 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:17:20](Interpretation) Prosecutor, you've heard
22 the proposal from the Defence?

23 MR ALLAFI: [12:17:29](Interpretation) Yes, the witness was there and the witness is 24 going to come back. We will put the question to the witness and I will reread the 25 passage once again and the witness will confirm it once again. That will be fairer to

(Private Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 the witness, such that is clear for the Chamber, without any doubt.
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:17:49](Interpretation) Very well, Prosecutor.
- 3 Prosecutor, the witness has returned. He had disappeared but now he is back. So
- 4 we are going to continue. Please put your question, Prosecutor.
- 5 MR ALLAFI: [12:18:40](Interpretation)
- 6 Q. [12:18:56] Witness, I'm just looking for the exact passage in French.
- 7 Witness, on page -- in the line 24, page 34, what you stated was:
- 8 "No. It's not absolutely what I said. What I said was that after -- what I said is that
- 9 after 2012, we would meet and we would discuss as well -- discuss matters as well.
- 10 And we would also call each other from time to time."
- 11 That's what you said, is it not, Witness?
- 12 A. [12:21:15] So it's possible that it's the interpreter who misinterpreted my remarks.
- 13 However, what I wanted to say and -- that was that Al Hassan, during 2012 and even
- 14 prior thereto, when possible, we would call. He would call me and I would call him
- 15 often when it was possible. And even -- if it was possible to call him, then I would
- 16 call him. So to sum up, in 2012, we were not in the same place.
- 17 Q. [12:22:00] Thank you very much for this position. It's very useful.
- 18 So you would speak to each other about what was happening in Timbuktu in 2012,
- 19 would you not?
- 20 A. [12:22:48] Why did we only have to discuss points about Timbuktu in 2012?
- 21

There's no subject

- 22 that we wouldn't discuss.
- 23 Q. [12:23:24] (No interpretation)
- A. [12:23:26] With regards to what we would do how? What do you mean?
- 25 Q. [12:23:32] So you spoke about what you did, didn't you?

	Trial Hearing WITNESS: MLI-D28-P-0243			rivate Session)	ICC-01/12-01/18			
	4 540	0 000			1 1 10			
1	A. [12:	:23:36	With regard to what w	e did, what do you mear	h by that?			
2	Q. [12:	[12:23:44] Such as the activities in 2012, indeed is it not the case?						
3	A. [12:	[12:24:12] Well, what I do know is we would call each other. He would call me						
4	often. F	en. However, what we said during these calls, I cannot remember.						
5	Q. [12:	:24:31]] You don't remember.	I understand, Witness.	It was a long time			
6	ago.							
7	MR ALL	MR ALLAFI: [12:24:55](Interpretation) Your Honour, I'll just take a moment to						
8	discuss n	discuss matters with the team.						
9	PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:25:00](Interpretation) Please go ahead.							
10	(Counsel confers)							
11	MR ALLAFI: [12:25:40](Interpretation)							
12	Q. [12:	Q. [12:25:41] Witness, Al Hassan has been your frien has he not?						
13	And you spent a lot of time with him.							
14								
15	A. [12:	:26:27	Yes, I heard that.					
16	Q. [12:	:26:32] And how did you hear	this news, Witness?				
17	A. [12:	:26:52	Because it was everyw	here. It was on the radi	o, it was on television.			
18	PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:27:02](Interpretation) Prosecutor, we are still in							
19	private session, I would remind you. It's time to go into open session.							
20	MR ALLAFI: [12:27:12](Interpretation) Indeed, your Honour.							
21	PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:27:16](Interpretation) Court officer, please take							
22	us into open session.							

- 23 (Open session at 12.27 p.m.)
- 24 THE COURT OFFICER: [12:27:31] We are in open session, Mr President.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:27:35](Interpretation) Thank you very much,

(Open Session)

- 1 court officer.
- 2 Prosecutor.
- 3 MR ALLAFI: [12:27:42](Interpretation) Your Honour, I think that there was
- 4 a misunderstanding with regards to my question, so I'm going to repeat it.
- 5 Q. [12:27:52] Witness, I'm not speaking about the news that Al Hassan had been
- 6 sent to the Court. My question was that once Al Hassan, your friend with whom
- 7 you had spent time, when he had been sent to the International Criminal Court,
- 8 did -- you had news from him, did you not? Or news of him?
- 9 THE INTERPRETER: [12:28:40] Corrects the interpreter.
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:28:51] Maître Taylor.
- 11 MS TAYLOR: [12:28:53] Thank you, Mr President. I do believe it's the same
- 12 question.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:29:04](No interpretation)
- 14 MS TAYLOR: [12:29:04] I do believe the Prosecutor has put the same question to the
- 15 witness. It might be that they didn't intend to, but as it comes across, it is the same
- 16 question, and it will be interpreted as such.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:29:25](Interpretation) Very well. Perhaps the
- 18 witness hasn't understood.
- 19 Let's listen to the answer.
- 20 Interpreter, I think the witness already replied. Could you translate, please.
- 21 Very well. Prosecutor restart.
- 22 THE WITNESS: [12:29:43](Interpretation) I didn't understand the question.
- 23 MR ALLAFI: [12:29:49](Interpretation)
- 24 Q. [12:29:49] Witness, once Mr Al Hassan was here in The Hague at the
- 25 International Criminal Court, did you have news from him or about him?

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

1 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:30:21](Interpretation) Please wait for the answer,

2 Ms Taylor.

3 THE WITNESS: [12:30:28](Interpretation) What type -- I don't understand the

4 question. I don't understand the question.

5 MS TAYLOR: [12:30:37] Mr President? If I may?

6 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:30:40](No interpretation)

7 MS TAYLOR: [12:30:41] The Prosecutor has put a question with two compound

8 possibilities in the question. If they are going to put this to the witness, they should

9 put it very clearly. If the question is whether they heard news -- whether this person

10 received information directly from Mr Al Hassan, that is the question that should be

11 put to the witness. If they are going to ask a very general question as to whether he

12 had heard news about Mr Al Hassan, that's already been answered.

13 I also suggest this should be in private session, given that if they are going to drill

14 down on this, this will give details that will identify the witness. Thank you.

15 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:31:24](Interpretation) Prosecutor, have you

16 heard? The Defence says that there are two possibilities for your question. So

17 which do you choose? Because it also depends on whether we should stay in open18 session or in private session.

19 MR ALLAFI: [12:31:41](Interpretation) I think we can stay in open session firstly.

20 Secondly, I will choose my question such that it's very clear.

21 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:31:52](Interpretation) Thank you very much.

22 Please go ahead.

- 23 MR ALLAFI: [12:31:56](Interpretation)
- 24 Q. [12:31:56] Witness, when Mr Al Hassan was sent to the ICC -- to the
- 25 International Criminal Court and there was a case for which you are testifying today,

(Open Session)

- 1 did you ask him about his news or did you ask about his news, from his family, for
- 2 example?
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:32:29](Interpretation) Ms Taylor.
- 4 MS TAYLOR: [12:32:32] Thank you, Mr President. Again it's a compound question.
- 5 The Prosecutor should put each possibility separately to the witness so we don't get
- 6 confusion. They should go through it in very clear stages because we repeatedly
- 7 have the witness say he doesn't understand the question, and again the Prosecutor
- 8 has put a compound question with two separate possibilities in it.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:33:00](Interpretation) Prosecutor, I admit that
- 10 the witness could understand your question. But let's apply the rule and let's not
- 11 have compound questions. Just ask a question and then a second one.
- 12 MR ALLAFI: [12:33:18](Interpretation)
- 13 Q. [12:33:20] Witness, is it correct that you asked news about Al Hassan
- 14
 That you asked for news from -- you asked for news
- 15 about Al Hassan
- 16 A. [12:34:00] No.
- 17 Q. [12:34:09] You say no. So it's the friend with whom you spent a lot of time, he
- 18 has an international case in a foreign country a19 about him. Is that your testimony, Witness?
- A. [12:35:13] In fact, the information is very clear, the information that I received is very clear. It was on the news. It was on the radio, on television. And these news were international, we got this news without needing a third party -- without the agreement of a third party.
- 24 MR ALLAFI: [12:35:44](Interpretation) Your Honour, I have two private session
- 25 questions. It's very important.

Trial Hearing
WITNESS: MLI-D28-P-0243

(Private Session)

- 1 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:35:50](Interpretation) Court officer, please take
- 2 us into private session.
- 3 MR ALLAFI: [12:35:54](Interpretation) I would state that the Office of the
- 4 Prosecutor has made an effort and I will finish very soon.
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:36:01](Interpretation) That's very good news.
- 6 (Private session at 12.36 p.m.)
- 7 THE COURT OFFICER: [12:36:21] We are in private session, Mr President.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:36:25](Interpretation) Thank you very much,
- 9 Madam Court Officer.
- 10 Prosecutor, please continue.
- 11 MR ALLAFI: [12:36:31] (Interpretation)
- 12 Q. [12:36:34] Witness, in 2018, 201 13 А did you not, in Timbuktu? 14 A. [12:37:29] I don't remember that. 15 Q. [12:37:42] Well, this visit was such 16 Do you not remember that? 17 A. [12:38:13] I don't remember that. do you not, Witness? 18 Q. [12:38:17] But you know 19 A. [12:38:35] It's difficult for there to be a person in Timbuktu that I wouldn't know. 20 It would also be difficult for the person in Timbuktu not to know me. 21 Q. [12:38:50] So you know that person, Witness? 22 A. [12:39:04] Yes, I know him. 23 [12:39:07] And you call each other, you're in contact with each other? Q. 24 [12:39:23] You mean wit A.
- 25 Q. [12:39:29] Indeed.

	-T-210-Red2-ENG RCL WT 02-11-2022 40/49 T rial Chamber X's directions, ICC-01/12-01/18-789-AnxA, dated 6 May 2020, the lesser-red	-01/18-T-210-CONF-ENG ET 02-11-2022 40/49 T dacted version of the transcript is filed in the record of
	Trial Hearing(Private Session)WITNESS: MLI-D28-P-0243	ICC-01/12-01/18
1	A. [12:39:55] I've known him even before 2012.	and he would do
2	that with me. And I am somebody	
4	Q. [12:40:22] An id he contact y	ou to get news from
5	Al Hassan? He did contact you, didn't he, to get news about	ut Al Hassan?
6	A. [12:40:51] Well, I don't remember this event.	
7	Q. [12:41:00] This was it wasn't a long time ago.	Is that your testimony,
8	Witness, today?	
9	A. [12:41:22] I don't remember.	
10	MR ALLAFI: [12:41:27](Interpretation) Your Honour, we c	an go into open session.
11	PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:41:31](Interpretation) I	ndeed.
12	Court officer, please take us into open session.	
13	(Open session at 12.41 p.m.)	
14	THE COURT OFFICER: [12:41:46] We are back in open ses	sion, Mr President.
15	PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:41:51](Interpretation) 7	Thank you very much,
16	court officer.	
17	Prosecutor.	
18	MR ALLAFI: [12:41:59](Interpretation)	
19	Q. [12:42:02] Yes, thank you, your Honour.	
20	Witness, was it you who contacted the Defence or was it the	Defence who contacted
21	you the first time?	
22	A. [12:42:41] They called me the first time.	
23	Q. [12:42:49] Who called you?	
24	A. [12:43:03] It was the person who acts as the intermedia	ry between them and
25	myself.	

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 Q. [12:43:13] And who is this person?
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:43:18](Interpretation) Please wait, Prosecutor.
- 3 Perhaps it's a sensitive matter.
- 4 Ms Taylor.

5 MS TAYLOR: [12:43:22] Yes, Mr President. As the Prosecution is well aware, this is 6 information that is covered by redactions which they are now attempting to elicit in 7 a way that is entirely inappropriate, particularly that they're doing so in open session.

8 This is information that has been withheld from the Prosecution through redactions.

9 It's not appropriate for them to try and evade those redactions through questioning

10 the witness, particularly given that it could impact upon the security of this

11 individual. Thank you.

12 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:43:54](Interpretation) Indeed, Ms Taylor. You

13 say that the name was redacted. Now the Prosecutor would like to know. I think14 we can say it in private session. Would you agree with that?

15 MS TAYLOR: [12:44:09] Mr President, no we would not agree with it, because that

16 would defeat the purpose of the redactions, in that the purpose of the redactions is to

17 withhold the information. So this information has consistently been withheld for

18 security reasons. But this issue has come up earlier and the Judges upheld the fact

19 that it should not be disclosed and that questioning should not be a way to evade

20 redactions, in the same way that the Defence cannot seek to question a witness about

21 information that's covered by redactions. Otherwise, it defeats the whole purpose of

22 redactions. Thank you.

23 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:44:54](Interpretation) Ms Taylor, I'm astonished,

24 because the Chamber hasn't ruled on the redaction. So how did you arrange that it

25 be redacted for the Chamber and for the Office of the Prosecutor?

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

MS TAYLOR: [12:45:12] Thank you, Mr President. This has been the subject of
 prior exchanges with the Prosecution. It did go to the Chamber and the Chamber
 did uphold the redactions on that instance. I also recall it arising in an earlier
 witness and, again, the Chamber overruled the Prosecution's attempt to elicit this
 information.
 Now, the same information has been redacted from Prosecution statements.
 We were not allowed to have access to it. The question is, do Defence redactions

8 have the same legal force as Prosecution redactions, and I would hope that they

9 would. Now, this is an individual who is -- the Defence depends on him, we will

10 continue to depend on him till the end of this case. We do not believe that he should

11 be put at risk by his name being put into the record and by questions being put about

12 him. The Prosecution has the information, they have the information that it was

13 a Defence intermediary. They don't need the name of the individual unless they

14 provide a specific foundation for that.

15 Mr President, I do recall that in line with the past practice that was adopted by the

16 Chamber, the Prosecution can put questions about the type of information the

17 intermediary elicited. They can put questions about whether any inappropriate

18 questioning was put to the witness. All of that can be done without actually eliciting

19 the name of the individual. Thank you.

20 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:46:37](Interpretation) I can see the Prosecutor on
21 his feet.

22 Mr Prosecutor.

23 MR ALLAFI: [12:46:43](Interpretation) Yes, Mr President, your Honours, that is

24 strange. I didn't understand the argument of the Defence. It is very, very

25 important and pertinent. And when it comes to private sessions, security is no

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

1 longer an issue, Mr President. There is no reason for the OTP not to ask that

2 question. If that is linked to security, you ask the questions to the Prosecution

3 witnesses that were more important than that, and the Chamber allowed those

4 questions to be put to the witnesses. So I really do not understand.

5 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:47:46](Interpretation) Ms Taylor, I think there is

6 a little confusion. There is the issue of the redaction itself and then there is the issue

7 of the relevance of the information itself.

8 Now, regarding the relevance, the Office of the Prosecutor believes that there is

9 a reason for that and we will get to know about that shortly.

10 Now, regarding the principle of redaction itself, we have the rules, as I was saying

11 this morning. In certain cases, the provider of information can request that such

12 information should not be disclosed to one party or the other, and the Chamber

13 accepts that, because information can come from an individual or even from a state.

14 That happens.

15 Secondly, when it relates to the problem of the security of the witness, for example,

16 the Chamber itself can order redaction or authorise redaction up to a deadline before

17 we go into open session. But here we are in private session and you said that this

18 was subject to a redaction order. My colleagues are not aware, me neither.

19 We were actually in open session, so we will go into private session. The

20 Prosecution will put the question and there is no problem of security.

21 Do you agree, Ms Taylor?

22 MS TAYLOR: [12:49:42] Thank you, Mr President. Respectfully, no, we do not

23 agree. The Prosecution intermediaries were consistently redacted from statements

24 from the Defence. If the Prosecution wished to obtain this information in advance of

25 the witness's testimony, they could have filed an application to the Chamber to obtain

(Open Session)

- 1 the information covered by the redaction. They did not do so. They're now
- 2 attempting to do so through questioning rather than litigation.
- 3 Now, in the past, the Prosecution has attempted to have access to this information,
- 4 and the Chamber has rejected it as being unfounded, as being a fishing expedition,
- 5 a phrase that I think the Chamber has used quite often in response to Defence
- 6 requests for the equivalent information. If the Prosecution wishes to have this
- 7 information, there needs to be much more of a foundation rather than simply, "We
- 8 want it", which appears to be the case. So if they substantiate a very concrete reason
- 9 to have access to the information, they could have done so through a written
- 10 application. Otherwise, this is simply a fishing expedition. Thank you.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:50:44](Interpretation) Very well.
- 12 So, Ms Taylor, according to you, there was a protocol agreement between you and the
- 13 OTP regarding this redaction?
- 14 MS TAYLOR: [12:51:10] If I can refer the Chamber to -- I believe it's T-181, 7 June
- 15 2022. There was an oral ruling to this effect by the Chamber on this very same
- 16 question. This falls within the fourth corner of that same oral ruling.
- PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:51:40](Interpretation) I can see the Prosecutor onhis feet.
- 19 MR ALLAFI: [12:51:43](Interpretation) Yes, Mr President. With witness
- 20 MLI-D28-0006-9053, first page, there is a redaction, 1, location, and 2, something else.
- 21 There is nowhere that there are redactions on intermediaries, so we cannot ask the
- 22 Defence to lift the redaction because there were no redactions on intermediaries. So
- 23 the line of questioning is relevant and can be very useful for the witnesses that will
- 24 come up later. So there is no security issue also in private session.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:52:43](Interpretation) Very well.

(Private Session)

- 1 Ms Taylor, you still want to take the floor? The Chamber needs to be clarified.
- 2 MS TAYLOR: [12:52:51] Mr President, the redactions on the cover page concern
- 3 court interpreters only and locations. We could lift it and provide it to the Chamber,
- 4 but the redactions on this page exclusively concern court interpreters.
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:53:13](Interpretation) Very well. Thank you
- 6 very much.
- 7 (Trial Chamber confer)
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:54:00](Interpretation) Ms Taylor, for the reasons
- 9 that I have already explained, the Chamber finds that there are no grounds for
- 10 redaction at this particular time when we are in session. We are going to order
- 11 a private session and the Prosecutor will put his question.
- 12 Court officer, private session, please.
- 13 (Private session at 12.54 p.m.)
- 14 THE COURT OFFICER: [12:54:34] We are in private session, Mr President.
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:54:41](Interpretation) Thank you very much.
- 16 Mr Witness, we are in private session. The Prosecutor will ask you a question and
- 17 you should be able to answer.
- 18 Prosecutor.
- 19 MR ALLAFI: [12:54:59](Interpretation) Thank you very much, Mr President.
- 20 Q. [12:55:00] Mr Witness, you said that you were contacted by a Defence
- 21 intermediary. Who is that person, Mr Witness? And I'm asking you for his name.
- 22 A. [12:55:22] The person is
- 23 Q. [12:55:30

- Is that the first name? What is the
- 24 full name of this person, Mr Witness?
- 25 A. [12:55:53] That is all I know. I know only that name He said

the T	rial Chamber X's directions, ICC-01/12-0 Trial Hearing	(Private Session)	ICC-01/12-01/18	
	WITNESS: MLI-D28-P-0243			
1	that he was the one who was the intermediary between myself and Defence counsel.			
2	Q. [12:56:08]	Q. [12:56:08] ore, Mr Witness, didn't you?		
3	A. [12:56:2			
4				
5	Q. [12:56:36] And how d	id he come about your phone nu	mber, to your knowledge?	
6	A. [12:57:00] The fact is t	hat the Defence lawyers called m	e well before that and	
7	they had used a very long	number.		
8	Q. [12:57:21] But how di	d the Defence bta	ain your telephone	
9	number? How did this ha	appen?		
10	A. [12:57:58] I do not kno	ow. My number is a not a hidde	en number. It's not secre	
11	I was born and I grew up here in Timbuktu. People can have easy access to my			
12	number.			
13	Q. [12:58:21] So there wa	as someone who called you for ar	n international case. Wel	
14	to be fair with you, did you ask how they got your number?			
15	A. [12:59:01] They called	l me and they told me that they "l	had heard that you were	
16	present in Timbuktu in 2012, and we are calling you so that you can tell us what you			
17	saw with your own eyes." And they introduced themselves as coming from the			
18	International Criminal Court.			
19	MR ALLAFI: [12:59:32](Ir	nterpretation) Mr President, I will	l put my last question to	
20	the witness in open session, please.			
21	PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [12:59:43](Interpretation) Very well.			
22	Open session, Madam Court Officer, please.			
23	(Open session at 12.59 p.m.)			
24	THE COURT OFFICER: [12:59:56] We are back in open ses	ssion, Mr President.	
25	PRESIDING JUDGE MIND	DUA: [13:00:03](Interpretation)	Thank vou verv much.	

Page 46

02.11.2022

(Open Session)

- 1 court officer.
- 2 Mr Prosecutor.
- 3 MR ALLAFI: [13:00:10] (Interpretation) Thank you, Mr President.
- 4 Q. [13:00:14] Mr Witness, after reading through your statement, and listening
- 5 keenly to your answer, the reality, Mr Witness, is that you came here today to testify
- 6 before this Court simply in favour of your inseparable friend; isn't that correct,
- 7 Mr Witness? And that your inseparable friend is the accused.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [13:00:55](Interpretation) Ms Taylor.
- 9 MS TAYLOR: [13:00:56] One, we are in open session.
- 10 Two, again there was a compound question there. I'm not sure if the question -- if
- 11 the witness is being asked about his relationship to the individual or why he's
- 12 testifying, but there's two separate possibilities in one question.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [13:01:20](Interpretation) No, Ms Taylor, I'm not
- 14 with you there. I think I shouldn't even give the floor to the Prosecutor to react.
- 15 The question is sufficiently clear.
- 16 Mr Witness, we are in open session. If you feel that there is a need to go to private
- 17 session, please let us know, but I think that that question can be answered in open
- 18 session.
- 19 THE WITNESS: [13:02:45](Interpretation) I took an oath to tell the truth and I came
- 20 to the court of justice today, I did not come here for Al Hassan.
- 21 MR ALLAFI: [13:03:03](Interpretation)
- 22 Q. [13:03:04] Thank you very much, Mr Witness.
- 23 Mr President, I have no further questions.
- 24 Thank you for your time.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [13:03:14](Interpretation) Thank you very much,

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 Mr Prosecutor.
- 2 I would like to congratulate you for your efficiency and your conciseness.
- 3 Now, I turn to the Legal Representatives of the Victims.
- 4 We still have about 13 minutes. Do you have any questions to put to the witness or

5 not?

- 6 *Maître* Nsita, you have the floor.
- 7 MR LUVENGIKA: [13:03:46](Interpretation) Yes, I thank you, Mr President, for
- 8 giving me the floor, but having listened attentively to the testimony of this witness,
- 9 the Legal Representatives of Victims do not have any questions for him. Thank you.
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [13:04:04](Interpretation) Thank you very much,
- 11 Maître Nsita.
- 12 We still have 11 minutes. Now I turn towards the Defence to find out whether you
- 13 have any redirect, Ms Taylor.
- 14 MS TAYLOR: [13:04:21] Thank you, Mr President. We don't have any further
- 15 questions. I believe when I thanked the witness earlier for testifying, it wasn't
- 16 interpreted, so I just ask that that be interpreted now.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [13:04:41](Interpretation) Thank you, Ms Taylor.
- 18 I'm waiting for the interpretation to go to the witness.
- 19 THE WITNESS: [13:04:58](Interpretation) Thank you very much.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [13:05:03](Interpretation) Thank you once again,
- 21 Ms Taylor.
- 22 Mr Witness, once more I would like to address you. On behalf of the Chamber, I
- 23 would want to express our gratitude to you once again for assisting us by answering
- 24 very generously and very kindly all the questions that were put to you.
- 25 Your testimony has now come to an end.

(Open Session)

- 1 I wish you great success for your future and a lot of luck. And, once again, thank
- 2 you very much for your cooperation.
- 3 THE WITNESS: [13:06:38](Interpretation) For my part, I would like to thank
- 4 everyone. I would like to thank all those who are present there, all those who put
- 5 questions to me, and also all those who answered my questions.
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [13:06:54](Interpretation) You're welcome,
- 7 Mr Witness. Thank you very much.
- 8 (The witness is excused)
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [13:06:55] Now, for the parties and the participants,
- 10 we will resume tomorrow at 09.45 and we will hear the testimony of Witness D-0093.
- 11 Is that correct, Ms Taylor?
- 12 MS TAYLOR: [13:07:21] Yes, Mr President.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [13:07:27](Interpretation) Thank you very much,
- 14 Ms Taylor.
- 15 Before we adjourn, as usual, I would like to very sincerely thank all the parties and
- 16 participants, the court reporters and the interpreters, the security officers, as well as
- 17 the members of the public in the gallery and outside of the court premises. I wish
- 18 everyone a very good day, and see you tomorrow.
- 19 Court is adjourned.
- 20 THE COURT USHER: All rise.
- 21 (The hearing ends in open session at 1.08 p.m.)