- 1 International Criminal Court
- 2 Trial Chamber VI
- 3 Situation: Central African Republic II
- 4 In the case of The Prosecutor v. Mahamat Said Abdel Kani ICC-01/14-01/21
- 5 Presiding Judge Miatta Maria Samba, Judge María del Socorro Flores Liera and Judge
- 6 Sergio Gerardo Ugalde Godínez
- 7 Trial Hearing Courtroom 2
- 8 Monday, 5 February 2024
- 9 (The hearing starts in open session at 9.34 a.m.)
- 10 THE COURT USHER: [9:34:04] All rise.
- 11 The International Criminal Court is now in session.
- 12 Please be seated.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:34:38] Good morning, everyone.
- 14 Madam Court Officer, can you please introduce the case.
- 15 THE COURT OFFICER: [9:34:45] Good morning, Madam President, your Honours.
- 16 The situation in the Central African Republic II, in the case of the Prosecutor versus
- 17 Mahamat Said Abdel Kani, case reference ICC-01/14-01/21.
- And we're in open session. And the witness doesn't hear us at the moment, your
- 19 Honours.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:35:06] Thank you very much, Madam Court
- 21 Officer.
- 22 Can I ask the parties to introduce themselves, beginning with
- 23 the Prosecution please.
- 24 MS MAKWAIA: [9:35:18] Morning, Madam President, your Honours. For the
- 25 Prosecution myself, Holo Makwaia, senior trial lawyer; and Ms Le Bailly, Brunhild,

- 1 associate trial lawyer, this morning.
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:35:29] Thank you very much.
- 3 Ms Pellet for the victims, please.
- 4 MS PELLET: [9:35:37](Interpretation) Thank you, your Honour.
- 5 The represent -- Caroline Walter and myself, Sarah Pellet, from the OPCV are
- 6 representing the victims today.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:35:52] For the Defence, Ms Naouri, please.
- 8 MS NAOURI: [9:35:58](Interpretation) Thank you, your Honour. Good morning.
- 9 Beside me, Mr Jacobs; Elina Legat, beside him; and Allix, Léa behind -- seated behind
- 10 me. And myself, I am Jennifer Naouri, lead counsel.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:36:18] Thank you very much, Ms Naouri.
- 12 And for the record, I take note that Mr Said is in court.
- 13 A very good morning to you, Mr Said. And you don't need to get up, please. Good
- 14 morning.
- 15 MR SAID: [9:36:34](Interpretation) Good morning, your Honour.
- 16 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:36:42] The Prosecution is calling P-0662 as its 19th
- 17 witness.
- 18 The Chamber previously rejected an application by the Prosecution to introduce
- 19 P-0662's prior recorded testimony pursuant to Rule 62(b), but granted authorisation
- 20 pursuant to Rule 68(3) in decision number 507.
- 21 It is the Chamber's understanding that this witness will be testifying in Sango via
- 22 video link, as we see. I will therefore remind everyone of the importance of the need
- 23 to speak slowly and to observe the five-second rule between questions and answers.
- 24 First, the Chamber notes that the Prosecution has submitted a request to introduce the
- 25 annex of P-0662's witness preparation log pursuant to Rule 68(3) of the Rules of

- 1 Procedure and Evidence. And for the record, the request is filing 675.
- 2 The Defence submitted a written response to the Prosecution's request. For the
- 3 record, the response is filing 684.
- 4 I have the ruling here, which I will read out.
- 5 The annex to P-0662's witness preparation log contains one correction of P-0662's
- 6 prior recorded testimony, whose introduction via Rule 68(3) the Chamber already
- 7 granted. The annex also contains additional information in that the witness was
- 8 asked to comment on a document and photographs shown to him during the witness
- 9 preparation session.
- 10 The Prosecution submits that the annex bears sufficient indicia of reliability, is
- 11 relevant and probative, and that its introduction would expedite the proceedings.
- 12 In its response to the Prosecution's request, the Defence objects to the introduction of
- the annex pursuant to Rule 68(3) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence. The
- 14 Defence submits that the existence of corrections and additions casts doubt on the
- 15 reliability of the prior recorded testimony and means that the only way of proceeding
- is to discuss these with the witness in the hearing. In this regard, the Defence notes
- 17 that there is no control over the manner in which the annex is prepared and there is
- 18 no verbatim transcript, so there's no way one would know what questions were asked
- 19 and the manner of such questioning.
- 20 Furthermore, the Defence notes that the use of Rule 68(3) reduces the level of judicial
- 21 control over the process and that it is for the Prosecution to clarify contradictions
- between a previous statement and the preparation log so that the Defence can
- 23 respond in full knowledge of the facts presented. In this regard, the Defence notes
- 24 that the Chamber has given supplementary directions on the conduct of witness
- 25 preparation and that the calling party should not explore new topics with the witness

or present new evidence and, rather, this should be done in the courtroom. The

- 2 Defence notes that it does not object to the submission of P-0662's prior recorded
- 3 testimony, but considers that it should be tested in court.
- 4 Last, the Defence avers that the fact that the witness will be available for
- 5 cross-examination cannot be used to justify the introduction of the annex because it
- 6 would transform Rule 68(3) into the automatic introduction of all witness preparation
- 7 logs, which is not the intention of the drafters of Rule 68(3).
- 8 At the outset the Chamber notes that the annex contains only one correction, a
- 9 number of comments in respect of names of alleged prisoners at the CEDAD and
- 10 comments on five photographs, including an email that the Chamber received last
- 11 night from the Prosecution. Bearing in mind the content of the annex, the Chamber
- 12 finds that the Defence does not suffer prejudice if the annex, save the one referred to
- 13 the Chamber and the Defence last night, if the annex were to be introduced pursuant
- 14 to Rule 68(3).
- 15 Furthermore, the Chamber notes that the Defence would be afforded reasonable time
- 16 to raise the relevant issues during its questioning of P-0662. Accordingly, the
- 17 Chamber authorises the introduction of the annexes, the annex to P-0662's witness
- preparation log under Rule 68(3) of the Rules, save for the one sent in last evening.
- 19 The Chamber notes the Prosecution's request from yesterday evening to add
- 20 CAR-OTP-2013-005[8], which was shown to the witness during the witness
- 21 preparation, to its list of evidence. According to the Prosecution, the omission was
- due to "an unfortunate oversight".
- 23 The Defence responded this morning and objects to the addition of the item to the
- 24 Prosecution's list of evidence. The Defence points out that the item had been
- 25 included in the Prosecution's list of materials for the confirmation decision but was

WITNESS: CAR-OTP-P-0662

- 1 withdrawn from the list of evidence for trial.
- 2 Accordingly, the Prosecution should never have shown the item to P-0662. The fact
- 3 that the Defence included the item in its list of materials is irrelevant in this regard.
- 4 Finally, the Defence points out that the witness stated during the witness preparation
- 5 session that he had never seen the document.
- 6 The Chamber agrees with the Defence that the Prosecution has not shown good cause
- 7 for the late addition of this item to its list of evidence, especially at the very last
- 8 moment. Accordingly, the Chamber rejects the Prosecution's request to add
- 9 CAR-OTP-2013-0058 to its list of evidence.
- 10 Now, this concludes the Chamber's oral decision.
- Before commencing, the Chamber notes briefly that protective measures are
- 12 confirmed for this witness by virtue of decision number 605 and that the VWU
- 13 recommends certain special measures.
- 14 Can I ask, Madam Court Officer, for you to connect the witness.
- 15 (The witness enters the video-link room)
- 16 THE COURT OFFICER: [9:45:01] I'm just informed the witness can now hear us,
- 17 Madam President.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:45:08] Okay, thank you very much.
- 19 Good morning, Mr Witness. Can you see me? Can you hear me?
- 20 WITNESS: CAR-OTP-P-0662
- 21 (The witness speaks Sango)
- 22 (The witness gives evidence via video link)
- 23 THE WITNESS: [9:45:21](Interpretation) Yes, can I hear you just fine.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:45:25] Good. Now, you're going to testify before
- 25 the International Criminal Court. On behalf of the Chamber, I'd like to welcome you

- 1 to the courtroom.
- 2 THE WITNESS: [9:45:45](Interpretation) Thank you.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:45:47] So, Mr Witness, you should have in front of
- 4 you the solemn undertaking to tell the truth that every witness who appears before
- 5 this Court must agree to.
- 6 THE WITNESS: [9:46:13](Interpretation) I will read the document.
- 7 I solemnly declare that I shall tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:46:24] Thank you very much, Mr Witness.
- 9 Now, do you understand and agree to what you just read out?
- 10 THE WITNESS: [9:46:40](Interpretation) Yes, I agree.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:46:47] Good. We will continue.
- 12 Now, Mr Witness, let me explain to you the protective measures that the Chamber
- 13 has put in place for your testimony.
- 14 We have in place for your protection, voice and face distortion during the duration of
- 15 your testimony. This means that no one outside the courtroom can see your face or
- 16 hear your real voice during your testimony.
- 17 There will also be the use of pseudonym. In accordance with that, we all will refer to
- 18 you only as "Mr Witness" to make sure that the public does not know your name.
- 19 When you answer questions that will not give away who you are, we will do so in
- 20 open session, which means that the public can hear what is being said in the
- 21 courtroom.
- When you're asked to describe anything that relates specifically to you, or you are
- 23 asked to mention facts that might reveal your identity, we will do this in private
- 24 session.
- 25 Lastly, Mr Witness, I have a few practical matters that you should have in mind when

WITNESS: CAR-OTP-P-0662

- 1 giving your testimony. Everything we say here in this courtroom is written down
- 2 and interpreted. It is, therefore, important to speak clearly and at a slow pace.
- 3 Speak into the microphone and, please, only start speaking when the person asking
- 4 you the question has finished. To allow for the interpretation, everyone has to wait a
- 5 few seconds before starting to speak.
- 6 If you have any questions yourself, or if you need a break, raise your hand so that we
- 7 know that you wish to say something.
- 8 Furthermore, we hope that there are no issues with the video link. However, if there
- 9 are any issues with the technology, we will try our best to make sure that things are
- 10 sorted out as quickly as possible.
- 11 Do you understand all that I've said, Mr Witness?
- 12 THE WITNESS: [9:50:09](Interpretation) Yes, I've understood everything.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:50:17] Thank you very much.
- 14 We'll start with your testimony.
- 15 Madam Court Officer, we're in open session, are we?
- 16 THE COURT OFFICER: [9:50:29] Exactly, Madam President.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:50:32] Yes, just for the Prosecution to know.
- 18 Madam Prosecutor, your witness, please.
- 19 MS LE BAILLY: [9:50:34](Interpretation) Thank you very much, your Honour.
- 20 QUESTIONED BY MS LE BAILLY: (Interpretation)
- 21 Q. [9:50:49] Good morning, Mr Witness. My name is Brunhild Le Bailly and I'll be
- 22 asking you some questions on behalf of the Prosecution. If one of the questions isn't
- clear, don't hesitate to tell me so and I will rephrase my question. Is that all right
- 24 with you?
- 25 A. [9:51:07] Yes, I've understood.

- 1 MS LE BAILLY: [9:51:10](Interpretation) If we could go -- if we could go into private
- 2 session please for identification of the witness.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:51:18] Madam Court Officer, can we go into private
- 4 session, please.
- 5 (Private session at 9.51 a.m.)
- 6 THE COURT OFFICER: [9:51:35] We're in private session, your Honours.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:51:38] Thank you very much.
- 8 Madam Prosecutor, carry on please.
- 9 MS LE BAILLY: [9:51:45](Interpretation)
- 10 Q. [9:51:45] Mr Witness, we are now in private session, so if you could please give
- 11 us your name in full?
- 12 A. [9:51:57] My name is (Redacted).
- 13 Q. [9:52:06] And where were you born, Mr Witness?
- 14 A. [9:52:14] I was born in (Redacted).
- 15 Q. [9:52:20] And what is your date of birth?
- 16 A. [9:52:27] I was born on (Redacted).
- 17 Q. [9:52:34] Thank you.
- 18 MS LE BAILLY: [9:52:38](Interpretation) If we could go back into open session for
- 19 the continuation of my questioning.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:52:44] Could we go back into open session, please,
- 21 Madam Court Officer.
- 22 (Open session at 9.53 a.m.)
- 23 THE COURT OFFICER: [9:53:00] We are back to open session, Madam President.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [9:53:03] Thank you.
- 25 Madam Prosecutor, carry on please.

- 1 MS LE BAILLY: [9:53:10](Interpretation) Thank you.
- 2 Q. [9:53:14] Mr Witness, do you remember being questioned by members of the
- 3 OTP?
- 4 A. [9:53:28] Yes, they asked me some questions.
- 5 Q. [9:53:35] Do you remember when you were questioned?
- 6 A. [9:53:46] I haven't understood your question. Who was asking me questions?
- 7 Could you clarify your question?
- 8 Q. [9:53:56] Of course. Do you remember when, on which date, you were
- 9 questioned by staff members of the OTP?
- 10 A. [9:54:13] No, I don't remember anymore. They didn't ask me any questions.
- 11 Q. [9:54:24] Do you remember if at the end of this interview with the OTP members
- 12 you read over and signed a statement? Do you remember that?
- 13 A. [9:54:41] Yes, yes, I remember. Now I remember. I remember.
- 14 Q. [9:54:51] Mr Witness, I'd now like to show you a document and then I'll ask you
- 15 if you recognise it.
- 16 Could tab 1 be put up on the screen for the witness and others. The ERN number,
- tab 1, confidential, CAR-OTP-2099-0336.
- 18 And if we could have a look at the covering page of this document, so that would be
- 19 the page with the number ending in 0336.
- 20 I'm sorry, I was just waiting for the document to come up on the screen.
- 21 Now, Mr Witness, can you see the document on your screen?
- 22 A. [9:56:32] Yes, can I see it just fine.
- 23 Q. [9:56:37] Do you recognise this document?
- 24 A. [9:57:04] Yes, I recognise this document.
- 25 Q. [9:57:06] Thank you.

2 If we could zoom in and look at the bottom of the page, specifically at the names and

3 signatures.

1

- 4 And, Mr Witness, I would like to ask you if you recognise a name and a signature at
- 5 the bottom of this document. Please don't actually mention any names because we
- 6 are in open session, but do you recognise these names and signatures?
- 7 A. [9:57:43] I see -- I recognise three names and I recognise my signature. The
- 8 three names and my signature on this document.
- 9 Q. [9:57:57] And your name, is your name and your signature on the first page?
- 10 A. [9:58:07] {ICR: (Redacted)}
- 11 Q. [9:58:15] Please, we're in open session, please don't give your name. And we
- will ask for the transcript to be redacted, don't worry about that, but be careful.
- 13 Please don't actually utter any of these names.
- 14 A. [9:58:36] I understand.
- 15 Q. [9:58:51] Thank you. I'd like to show you another page from the same
- 16 document.
- 17 Court officer, if we could display 030 -- 0337, page.
- 18 THE INTERPRETER: [9:59:11] Correction: 0573.
- 19 MS LE BAILLY: [09:59:33](Interpretation)
- 20 Q. [9:59:33] Now, if you look at the top of this document, do you recognise the
- 21 signature?
- 22 A. [9:59:47] Yes, that's my signature.
- 23 THE INTERPRETER: [9:59:52] Interpreter correction: the reference number was
- 24 0357.
- 25 MS LE BAILLY: [9:59:58](Interpretation)

- 1 Q. [10:00:00] Do you recognise the date and the --
- 2 A. [10:00:02] Yes, I recognise the date.
- 3 Q. [10:00:08] So, Mr Witness, is this document indeed the statement that you gave
- 4 to the OTP in December 2018?
- 5 A. [10:00:23] Yes, exactly.
- 6 Q. [10:00:26] Thank you.
- 7 Your Honour, given your oral decision of this morning following article -- for the
- 8 witness, I'm going to continue with the *additional requirements under Rule 67(3).
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:00:48] Rule 68(3).
- 10 Yes, please carry on with the procedure.
- 11 MS LE BAILLY: [10:00:56](Interpretation) Yes, 68(3).
- 12 Q. [10:01:00] Witness, before appearing before the Court to testify, did you have the
- opportunity to reread and review your statement in a preparatory session with the
- 14 Office of the Prosecutor?
- 15 A. [10:01:19] Exactly. I had the possibility to review my statement.
- 16 Q. [10:01:27] During the preparation session, did you have the opportunity to make
- 17 corrections and to make clarifications to your previous statement?
- 18 A. [10:01:46] No. I didn't make any corrections. *I just withdrew part of the
- 19 declaration.
- 20 Q. [10:01:59] Very well. I'm now going to show you a document and ask you if
- 21 you recognise it.
- 22 MS LE BAILLY: [10:02:06] Court Officer, could you please show the document at
- 23 tab 7, the list of notifications for the witness, ERN, which is confidential,
- 24 *CAR-OTP-0002-5624, ERN 0001.
- 25 Do you see the document in front of you, witness?

- 1 A. [10:02:53] Yes, I see it.
- 2 Q. [10:02:57] So I'm going to read the title of this document, which is right at the
- 3 top. It says: "Annex A. *To the Register for preparation of witnesses for the
- 4 preparation of P-0662".
- 5 Do you recognise this document?
- 6 A. [10:03:21] Yes, I recognise this document.
- 7 MR JACOBS: [10:03:29](Interpretation) Court officer, if we could see page ERN 0002
- 8 of the same document.
- 9 Q. [10:03:54] Witness, we're going to have a look at the signature and a name, so
- 10 I would remind you that we are in open session so do not say your name -- or do not
- say the name *that you will see aloud, but tell us if you recognise it and if it is your
- 12 signature.
- 13 THE INTERPRETER: [10:04:18] *Inaudible: The page?
- 14 A. [10:04:27] (No interpretation)
- 15 Q. [10:04:40] I'm sorry, witness, I didn't get the translation of what you said.
- 16 Please, could you repeat?
- 17 A. [10:04:51] Yes, that's my signature that I can see.
- 18 Q. [10:04:57] Thank you.
- 19 A. [10:04:59] It's my second signature.
- 20 THE INTERPRETER: [10:05:01] Said the witness.
- 21 MS LE BAILLY: [10:05:03](Interpretation) Thank you.
- Q. [10:05:04] So, witness, are these the corrections and clarifications that you have
- 23 made for the Office of the Prosecutor on 22 January 2024 following rereading your
- 24 previous statement?
- 25 A. [10:05:16] Yes, that's correct.

- 1 Q. [10:05:22] Thank you very much.
- 2 So, witness, we have noted your corrections and clarifications, in addition to your
- 3 previous statement.
- 4 And I would now like to know if you've said the whole truth to the best of your
- 5 knowledge and according to your memory when you provided all this information?
- 6 A. [10:05:41] I made my statement a long time ago.
- 7 Q. [10:06:00] Yes, indeed, in 2018, and you had the opportunity to reread it recently
- 8 during the preparatory session, so on 22 January 2024.
- 9 A. [10:06:21] Yes, that is correct.
- 10 Q. [10:06:24] So, witness, I just have a few questions to put to you to finish with,
- 11 with my examination -- with my questioning, *so with these questions and
- 12 clarifications I would like you to *ask you: Do you agree with the judges using your
- previous statement *which we've all seen together as evidence in this case?
- 14 A. [10:06:59] Yes, I give my consent to that. I agree.
- 15 Q. [10:07:05] Very well.
- 16 And my last question: Do you have any objection to using your statement?
- 17 A. [10:07:25] I agree.
- 18 Q. [10:07:26] Thank you very much, witness. I have finished with my questions,
- 19 so that concludes my examination-in-chief for the Office of the Prosecutor.
- 20 MS LE BAILLY: [10:07:34](Interpretation) Your Honour, I think that now satisfies
- 21 the requirements under Rule 68(3) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, unless
- 22 there is a different instruction on your part.
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:07:48] Thank you very much, Madam Prosecutor,
- 24 for your questions in-chief.
- 25 I'll ask the Defence, Ms Naouri, for cross-examination, if any. Thank you.

WITNESS: CAR-OTP-P-0662

- 1 MS NAOURI: [10:08:06](Interpretation) Thank you very much, your Honour. The
- 2 cross-examination will be carried out by *Maître* Jacobs.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:08:16] Thank you very much.
- 4 Mr Jacobs, your witness please.
- 5 MR JACOBS: [10:08:50](Interpretation) Your Honour, thank you.
- 6 QUESTIONED BY MR JACOBS: (Interpretation)
- 7 Q. [10:08:55] Good morning, witness.
- 8 A. [10:08:57] Good morning.
- 9 Q. [10:08:58] So my name is Maître Jacobs and I'm going to put some questions to
- 10 you on behalf of the Defence of Mr Said.
- 11 As the Presiding Judge has explained to you, we are interpreted and transcribed, so
- 12 I will make an effort to speak slowly and to wait after your answers such that it can be
- transcribed correctly in the record.
- Now, your previous statement is admitted to the case record, so I'm just going to put
- 15 certain questions by way of clarification and ask you for precise answers to my
- 16 questions.
- 17 A. [10:09:40] Very well. Understood.
- 18 Q. [10:09:41] Very well.
- 19 I think that for my first questions you would have to go into private session, your
- 20 Honour.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:09:51] Yes.
- 22 Madam Court Officer, can you get us into private session, please.
- 23 (Private session at 10.10 a.m.) THE COURT OFFICER: [10:10:15] We're in private
- 24 session, your Honours.PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:10:17] Thank you very

25 much. Mr Jacobs, carry on please.

Trial Hearing (Private Session) ICC-01/14-01/21

WITNESS: CAR-OTP-P-0662

- 1 MR JACOBS: [10:10:23](Interpretation) Thank you.
- 2 Q. [10:10:24] Now, Witness, I would briefly like to go back to your activities in 2013.
- 3 So we're in private session, so you can give complete and full answers to the
- 4 questions. There's no risk of you being heard outside of the courtroom.
- 5 (Redacted)

transcript is filed in the case.

- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 Q. [10:27:22] Thank you, witness.
- 11 I'm going on to another question, but I --
- 12 MR JACOBS: [10:27:24](Interpretation) Unfortunately, I think we're going to have to
- 13 stay in private session because I think these questions could lead to the -- lead the
- 14 witness to saying identifying matters. So we're going to stay in private session and
- see later if we can go into open session.
- 16 Q. [10:27:54] So, witness, in your statement, in your previous statement, you
- 17 mentioned in paragraph 17, and perhaps we can show it. That's CAR-OTP-21...
- 18 I'm sorry, it's tab 1 on -- in our list and tab 2 for the English version. And it's
- 19 paragraph 17 on the list, and it's witness statement * CAR OTP 2130-5398. Very well.
- 20 Perfect. Thank you very much.
- 21 So, you mentioned in this previous statement a certain -- well, the person who spoke
- 22 was known under the name of (Redacted) and "I knew him. *People called him
- 23 Commandant. He was a 'Liberateur' under Bozize and before the Seleka he used to
- 24 come drinking in Boy Rabe."
- Now, witness, do you remember having said that to the investigators of the Office of

- 1 the Prosecutor?
- 2 A. [10:29:16] Yes. I was the one who said that.
- 3 Q. [10:29:24] Very well. Could you tell us a bit more about what you understand
- 4 by the expression "Liberateur under Bozizé"?
- 5 A. [10:29:49] Because when they arrived, they drove out Bozizé and they said they
- 6 were going to free us from slavery, and they called themselves liberators.
- 7 Q. [10:30:12] Very well, Mr Witness.
- 8 Now, in the same paragraph a little bit higher up, you said that this person said:
- 9 "They are making a lot of noise for nothing, we brought Bozizé to power and we came
- 10 back to get rid of him."
- 11 If I understand this passage correctly, this person (Redacted) was with Bozizé to put
- 12 him into power; is this what you are telling us?
- 13 A. [10:30:51] Yes, that's right. That's -- that's right.
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:31:03] Mr Jacobs, I don't know what you have in
- 15 the French version of the statement that you are reading in paragraph 17, but it seems
- to me that that statement was in fact made by another person, (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 MR JACOBS: [10:32:18] (Interpretation) Thank you.
- Now, just after that particular quote is this:
- 25 "The man who said this man is known as (Redacted) and I knew him."

- 1 Does that clarify your question?
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:32:34] It does, but (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted) I would like to know from
- 4 the witness. Thank you.
- 5 MR JACOBS: [10:32:57](Interpretation) Thank you, your Honour. Indeed.
- 6 Q. [10:32:59] Mr Witness, in this particular paragraph, the person who said: "we
- 7 brought BOZIZE to power and we came back to get rid of him", (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 A. [10:33:23] When they got there, (Redacted) It
- 10 was (Redacted) who got out of the vehicle and said that. (Redacted). It was
- 11 (Redacted) who said all of that.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:33:40] Thank you very much, Mr Witness.
- 13 Thank you, Mr Jacobs.
- 14 (Counsel confers)
- 15 MR JACOBS: [10:34:14](Interpretation)
- 16 Q. [10:34:16] Thank you, Mr Witness.
- 17 If we go to the previous page paragraph 16, page number ending in 0338 in the
- 18 English version, page 5401 in the French version you mention Lamtague, who was
- 19 Muslim. And you said that: "I knew him as Muslim FACA in the Presidential Guard
- 20 of Bozizé. The FACA are the Central African Army. He used to live in Boy Rabe,
- 21 close to Ngaikosset's house. We realised he must have joined the Seleka."
- 22 Mr Witness, can you confirm that you said that to OTP investigators.
- 23 A. [10:35:23] Yes, I said that, because (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted). I was the one who said that.
- 25 Q. [10:35:47] Thank you. Now, if you know, could you tell us more about

- 1 Lieutenant Lamtague and what he did when he was working under Bozizé. What
- 2 were his activities?
- 3 A. [10:36:04] I know that he was part of the Presidential Guard, but I don't know
- 4 what other things he did.
- 5 Q. [10:36:28] Thank you, Mr Witness.
- 6 Now, once again, in the same paragraph, you mentioned Ngaikosset. Could you tell
- 7 us who this person is?
- 8 A. [10:36:43] Ngaikosset was also part of the Presidential Guard of Bozizé. (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 (Redacted)
- 11 (Redacted)
- 12 (Redacted)
- 13 (Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 (Redacted)
- 17 Q. [10:38:19] Thank you, Mr Witness.
- 18 And in 2013, what was Ngaikosset doing?
- 19 * A. [10:38:31] I don't know. In 2013, I think he was still a member of the Presidential
- 20 Guard when those people came. After that, I don't know.
- 21 Q. [10:39:05] Thank you, Mr Witness.
- 22 Mr Witness, at paragraph 25 of your statement -5502 in the French version, 0342 in
- 23 the English you mentioned a CEMAC convoy.
- 24 And I had a more general question for you: To you knowledge did CEMAC convoys

25 go around Bangui quite often in the year 2013?

- 1 A. [10:40:01] During that period of time they would travel about to bring peace
- 2 back to the country. They would monitor the neighbourhoods and ensure peace.
- 3 That's what I know about those convoys.
- 4 THE COURT OFFICER: [10:40:17] Page 5405, for the record.
- 5 MR JACOBS: [10:40:34](Interpretation) Thank you very much. My apologies for
- 6 misquoting the page number.
- 7 Q. [10:40:45] Now, amongst the people who were present in Bangui in 2013, did
- 8 you see any French convoys or UN convoys?
- 9 A. [10:40:58] In 2013, yes, I did see French vehicles that -- who were patrolling in
- 10 the neighbourhoods. Often the French would patrol on foot.
- 11 Q. [10:41:36] So they would patrol in all neighbourhoods of Bangui, including
- 12 Boy-Rabe?
- 13 A. [10:41:52] I don't know whether they were patrolling in other neighbourhoods,
- but I'm talking particularly about my own area, my own neighbourhood.
- 15 Q. [10:42:26] Thank you, Mr Witness. I did have one question, one specific
- 16 question about the time after you were released.
- 17 Oh, I beg your pardon. Just before I move to that, now at paragraph 30 of your
- statement -5407 is the page number. Oh, I'm sorry, my apologies. The passage
- 19 begins at paragraph 29, just a little bit further up. Page 5407 in the French version.
- 20 (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 you remember talking about this incident to the OTP?
- 23 The quote was: (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 A. [10:44:14] If I remember, I was the one who mentioned those points.

Pursuant to the Trial Chamber VI's Conduct of proceedings, ICC-01/14-01/21-251, dated 9 March 2022, the public reclassified and lesser redacted version of this transcript is filed in the case.

Trial Hearing (Private Session) ICC-01/14-01/21 WITNESS: CAR-OTP-P-0662

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 A. [10:44:39] Yes, that's right.
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 (Redacted)
- 10 Q. [10:45:37] Very well. Thank you for that additional information, Mr Witness.
- Now, page 5419, if we could move to that page, paragraph 75 of your statement,
- 12 paragraph 75. Page 0355 in the English version.
- 13 You said: "(Redacted)
- 14 (Redacted)
- 15 (Redacted)
- 16 A. [10:46:46] Yes, that's right.
- 17 Q. [10:46:47] I was just waiting for the end of the interpretation.
- 18 Can you confirm that you said that to the OTP investigators?
- 19 A. [10:47:01] Yes, yes. That's what I saw and that's what I told them. That's
- 20 right.
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 Q. [10:48:28] Thank you. What is that organisation or business?
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:48:33] Yes, Mr Witness. Yes, Mr Witness.
- 4 THE WITNESS: [10:48:45](Interpretation) If -- if I could relieve myself, please.
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:48:52] Certainly, Mr Witness. You are excused,
- 6 please. We'll wait for you.
- 7 (Witness exits the video-link room)
- 8 (Witness enters the video-link room)
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [10:52:33] Welcome back to the courtroom,
- 10 Mr Witness.
- 11 Mr Jacobs, please continue with your cross-examination of this witness.
- 12 MR JACOBS: [10:52:47](Interpretation) Thank you, your Honour.
- 13 Q. [10:52:52] Are you all right, Mr Witness?
- 14 A. [10:52:59] Yes, I'm fine. I'm fine.
- 15 Q. [10:53:03] Great. Now, I was asking you just before the short break, I was
- asking you whether you could tell us about this place (Redacted)
- 17 (Redacted)
- 18 (Redacted)
- 19 (Redacted)
- 20 THE INTERPRETER: [10:53:43] Interpreter correction: (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 MR JACOBS: [10:53:50](Interpretation)
- 23 Q. [10:53:51] (Redacted). Is it a public
- 24 enterprise or private, to your knowledge?
- 25 A. [10:54:07] It's a state-owned company. It belongs to the state.

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 A. [10:55:31] I'll have to think about that. He started when he was very, very
- 10 young. I need some time. Let me think about that and I'll try to remember.
- Q. [10:55:48] That's not a problem, Mr Witness. But that was before 2013, that's
- what I'm trying to find out from you -- it was before 2013?
- 13 A. [10:56:02] Yes, yes, yes. (Redacted) quite a long time ago,
- 14 before 2013.
- 15 Q. [10:56:20] Thank you, Mr Witness.
- 16 Mr Witness, do you remember when you were contacted by the OTP for the first
- 17 time?
- 18 A. [10:56:59] Yes, it was awhile. I don't remember the actual year, though. I was
- 19 still living in (Redacted).
- 20 Q. [10:57:35] Very well, Mr Witness.
- 21 Now, we do have something from one of the investigators, 00025 -- tab 18 actually.
- 22 And at CAR-OTP-00025631, tab 18. It's not necessary to put it up on the screen, but
- 23 the date given in that document is (Redacted) 2017.
- 24 A. [10:58:11] Yes, 2017, that's right.
- 25 Q. [10:58:25] And the investigators contacted you over the phone; is that correct?

- 1 A. [10:58:36] Yes, that's right.
- 2 Q. [10:58:47] Did the investigators tell you how they got your telephone number?
- 3 A. [10:59:00] They didn't give me the source. They just gave me a call. They
- 4 introduced themselves and they said that they were Prosecutors from such-and-such
- 5 an organisation. They didn't tell me how they got my phone number.
- 6 Q. [10:59:23] One last question about this particular point. You didn't ask them?
- 7 You weren't curious to find out how they had actually gotten your telephone
- 8 number?
- 9 A. [10:59:39] No. I should have asked the question. They asked me to tell me
- what I knew, and I said that I couldn't speak on the telephone, that it wasn't safe for
- 11 me.
- 12 Q. [11:00:08] Thank you.
- 13 MR JACOBS: [11:00:10](Interpretation) I can see the time, your Honour. This
- might be a good moment to have a break.
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [11:00:17] Yes, certainly.
- 16 Mr Witness, we are going to break off here for a bit. We'll take a 30-minute break
- and come back at 11:30 so counsel will continue his questions to you.
- 18 So I rise the Court and ask that you come back at 11:30, please.
- 19 THE COURT USHER: [11:00:39] All rise.
- 20 (Recess taken at 11.00 a.m.)
- 21 (Upon resuming in open session at 11.30 a.m.)
- 22 THE COURT USHER: [11:30:51] All rise.
- 23 Please be seated.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [11:31:18] Good morning, again, everyone.
- 25 Mr Witness, we are going to continue with your cross-examination by Defence

- 1 counsel, and just for you to know that we are in open session.
- 2 Mr Jacobs, please carry on with your cross-examination of this witness. Thank you.
- 3 MR JACOBS: [11:31:43](Interpretation) Thank you very much, your Honour.
- 4 Q. [11:31:57] Good morning once again, Witness.
- 5 A. [11:32:00] Thank you.
- 6 Q. [11:32:05] So, as the Presiding Judge has said, we're in public session. I'm
- 7 going to try and stay in public session, so if you have any clarifications, personal
- 8 clarifications to make to my questions, as we said, we will go into private session, but
- 9 I will do my best to stay in open session.
- 10 Can you agree with that, Witness?
- 11 A. [11:32:28] Very well. It is well understood.
- 12 Q. [11:32:32] Very well. So we were talking about the first interaction with the
- 13 Office of the Prosecutor in 2017.
- 14 Now, when the officers of the Prosecutor -- Office of the Prosecutor, did they say
- anything about what had happened to you? Did they know anything about what
- 16 had happened to you?
- 17 A. [11:33:01] When I left, I was able to meet them.
- 18 Q. [11:33:12] Very well. But my question was, by telephone, when the
- investigators spoke to you on the phone, the first time, did they tell you that they
- 20 knew that this or that had happened to you? Did they say anything about what they
- 21 knew about what had happened to you?
- 22 A. [11:33:39] No, they quite simply asked me to explain to them the information
- 23 that was in the dossier. And I told them that I couldn't do so because, until I went to
- 24 {ICR: (Redacted)}, I wasn't safe. And they asked me to tell them what happened to
- 25 me by phone and that's the reason why I told them that I couldn't do so.

- 1 Q. [11:34:09] Thank you, Witness. Please don't give any information with regards
- 2 to the place in open session.
- 3 So it's well understood, so you weren't given information the first time on the phone.
- 4 So do you remember how many -- or how long afterwards you met in person, i.e.
- 5 physically, the investigators of the Office of the Prosecutor?
- 6 A. [11:34:41] I met them -- well, it was the (Redacted), after I returned from {ICR:
- 7 (Redacted)}. If I remember, it was {ICR: (Redacted).}
- 8 MR JACOBS: [11:35:05](Interpretation) Your Honour, so to avoid any difficulties, I
- 9 think that it would be wiser to go into private session.
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [11:35:10] Yes.
- 11 Madam Court Officer, can we go into private session, please.
- 12 (Private session at 11.35 a.m.)
- 13 THE COURT OFFICER: [11:35:27] We're in private session, your Honours.
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [11:35:30] Thank you very much.
- 15 Mr Jacobs, please.
- 16 MR JACOBS: [11:35:42](Interpretation) Thank you.
- 17 Q. [11:35:43] So I'll put my question again, Witness.
- 18 So you spoke to the investigators of the Office of the Prosecutor on (Redacted) and
- 19 you refused to do any more by phone. It was (Redacted), but when did you meet
- 20 them physically, in person?
- 21 A. [11:36:04] It was in the month of (Redacted).
- Q. [11:36:23] So when you say it was in the month of (Redacted), you're talking
- 23 about (Redacted) 2018, at the time you gave your previous statement; is that correct?
- A. [11:36:41] Obviously it was in (Redacted) 2018, to be precise, the month of

25 (Redacted).

- 1 Q. [11:36:53] Perfect, Witness.
- 2 And so, between (Redacted) 2017 and (Redacted) 2018, so that would be a year and
- 3 10 months, you didn't meet the Office of the Prosecutor. Do you know why it took
- 4 so much time to organise your meeting with the Office of the Prosecutor?
- 5 A. [11:37:27] I know nothing about that.
- 6 Q. [11:37:38] Thank you, Witness.
- 7 So, in (Redacted) 2018, you told us that you met the investigators of the Office of the
- 8 Prosecutor at (Redacted); is that correct?
- 9 A. [11:38:05] Yes, that is correct.
- 10 Q. [11:38:09] On that day were you asked to present an ID card?
- 11 A. [11:38:23] Yes, they asked me to do that, but I told them that I didn't have one.
- 12 Q. [11:38:33] Very well. At any time since then were you asked to present an
- identity document, or an ID? Has anybody asked you, from the Court, for your ID?
- 14 A. [11:39:02] I always told them that I didn't have an identity document. It is now
- 15 that I do have one.
- 16 Q. [11:39:21] Very well. So you now have an ID; is that correct?
- 17 A. [11:39:37] Yes, that's correct.
- 18 Q. [11:39:42] Would you be able to give a copy of it to the Court? Would you be
- 19 prepared to give a copy of it to the Court?
- 20 A. [11:40:00] Yes. I have with me a copy of it and the original as well.
- 21 Q. [11:40:06] Perfect. Thank you, Witness.
- 22 So, if I understood, in 2016, when you went to the (Redacted),
- 23 how were you travelling, if you didn't have an identity document on you?
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 (Redacted)
- 6 (Redacted)
- 7 (Redacted)
- 8 (Redacted)
- 9 Q. [11:42:11] So just to be sure that I understand well, so they made you a
- 10 laissez-passer without you needing an identity document; is that correct?
- 11 A. [11:42:35] Yes, that is correct.
- 12 Q. [11:42:38] Very well. I imagine that for your return to Bangui thereafter you
- were also able to travel without an ID, if I understand you well?
- 14 A. [11:43:04] Going to (Redacted), I didn't have an ID, other than the
- laissez-passer which had been made for me in the Central African Republic.
- 16 Q. [11:43:21] Very well. But now -- now you've returned to Bangui; is that
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. [11:43:37] Yes, that's correct.
- 19 Q. [11:43:40] And so, to go back to Bangui from (Redacted), you didn't have
- an ID; is that correct?
- 21 A. [11:43:48] To go back to Bangui, I didn't have an ID card. They just issued a
- document from (Redacted) which made it possible for me to travel, and it was with
- 23 that document that I returned to Bangui. And it was in Bangui that I had made the
- ID that I told you that I have now.
- 25 Q. [11:44:16] Thank you, Witness.

- 1 Now, you spoke to us about a document from (Redacted). So this document from
- 2 (Redacted), you had that in the -- or you had it made in (Redacted); is that correct?
- 3 A. [11:44:46] Yes, that's correct.
- 4 Q. [11:44:50] Did the investigators of the Office of the Prosecutor help you with
- 5 your administrative procedures in order to obtain this document from (Redacted)?
- 6 A. [11:45:07] Yes, they helped me. They gave me money to pay for the cost of my
- 7 travel, with a view to having this document made.
- 8 Q. [11:45:22] So when you said that they gave you money to pay for the travel costs,
- 9 could you be a bit more precise? Do you know how much? Do you remember how
- 10 much they gave you, and in order to go where?
- 11 A. [11:45:47] You know, you can make an appointment. You are asked to come.
- 12 And if they don't receive you, they ask you to go back again, and that's how things
- are done. So they could give me an amount of money that they thought would help
- me for transport for a week, and they gave me a sum of 30,000, but it could vary.
- 15 And so they would give me money in order to pay for my transport costs. It could
- be 20,000 francs, 12,000 francs. So I can tell you that the amount that they would
- 17 give me would vary.
- 18 Q. [11:46:41] Very well. So I understand from what you say is that they could
- 19 give you a sum which would vary, in order to ensure your transport -- or to pay for
- 20 your transport for a week. I'm trying to understand, they gave you this money per
- 21 week, or how exactly did it work?
- 22 A. [11:47:09] They would contact me by phone and they told me that, for this week,
- 23 they're going to provide me with X amount, because the document was still -- or had
- 24 still not been made. They gave me another amount for the next week.
- 25 Q. [11:47:47] Thank you, witness. It's very clear. And did they also give money

- 1 to pay for the administrative expenses with (Redacted), if there were any?
- 2 A. [11:48:03] Yes, indeed, they gave me money for the transport because, from the
- 3 place where I was living to the place where the document had to be made, there was a
- 4 distance. So they gave me money to cover my travel, and also for accommodation
- 5 while the document was being made so that I could go home.
- 6 Q. [11:48:45] Thank you, Witness. So at any time did the investigator of the Office
- 7 of the Prosecutor reimburse health costs, for example, or medical costs?
- 8 A. [11:49:13] Yes. When I was in hospital.
- 9 Q. [11:49:23] Could you tell us a bit more about that, Witness?
- 10 A. [11:49:44] If I give some more details concerning which question?
- Q. [11:49:59] Well, it's just to have an idea of the help from the Office of the
- 12 Prosecutor. You were saying that when you were in hospital there were -- when you
- were staying in the hospital, was it for medicine, was it for the stay in hospital? Just
- 14 to get some idea of the situation.
- 15 A. [11:50:22] Well, I explained the situation to them and they asked me to go to
- 16 hospital. The hospital did everything and they asked me -- or the representatives of
- 17 the OTP asked me to send them the documents, which is what I did. And I think
- that the expenses were 2,400, if my memory serves me well.
- 19 THE INTERPRETER: [11:51:06] 240,000, corrects the interpreter.
- 20 MR JACOBS: [11:51:18](Interpretation)
- 21 Q. [11:51:20] Thank you, Witness. During the procedure, did you try and obtain
- 22 the status of participating victim during the proceedings?
- 23 A. [11:51:49] I was in (Redacted), and it was afterwards that I went down to
- 24 (Redacted).
- 25 Q. [11:52:00] I'm going to reformulate my question, witness, just so it's clear. At

- 1 any time did you try to participate in proceedings to obtain money during the
- 2 proceedings?
- 3 A. [11:52:21] You know, I didn't ask them for reparations, but they did speak to me
- 4 about that, and so I didn't expressly ask for reparations.
- 5 Q. [11:52:49] Did they put you in contact with a lawyer to discuss that?
- 6 A. [11:52:58] When they went to (Redacted), they explained the procedure to me.
- 7 And, if I remember correctly, the first time when they contacted me by phone, I told
- 8 them that I couldn't give any information concerning the case and they told me that
- 9 they would send one of their colleagues to come and meet me with regards to the
- 10 proceedings.
- 11 Q. [11:54:01] So, in an investigator's report, which is * CAR-OTP-0002-5631, which
- is tab 18, this is an investigator's report in English. I think it's best not to show it to
- the witness, because there's other information in this report which could be
- 14 confidential.
- 15 So, on page 0002, it's written -- and there I would like to have a clarification from you,
- 16 if you'd be so kind. And this is a personal translation that I'm making here of the
- 17 English version: On (Redacted) 2022 an investigator contacted the witness and it
- 18 was spoken with him about how victims participate in the proceedings takes place.
- 19 And there was an independent unit, independent of the ICC, the OPCV, representing
- 20 victims in proceedings. (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted)
- 22 (Redacted)
- 23 (Redacted)
- 24 (Redacted)
- 25 (Redacted)

- 1 (Redacted)
- 2 (Redacted)
- 3 (Redacted)
- 4 (Redacted)
- 5 Q. [11:57:12] Very well. Thank you.
- 6 So, in your previous statement, you mention certain people and you give the
- 7 telephone numbers of these people to the Office of the Prosecutor. To the best of
- 8 your knowledge, did the investigators of the OTP try to contact these persons?
- 9 A. [11:57:39] I don't think I provided numbers to the investigators of the Office of
- 10 the Prosecutor. I don't really remember having done that. Are you speaking about
- 11 the time when I was in Bangui or in (Redacted)?
- 12 Q. [11:58:12] I'm speaking about the time that you made your previous statement,
- so I think it's (Redacted) in (Redacted) 2018.
- 14 A. [11:58:29] No. I don't think I provided numbers to the Office of the
- 15 Prosecutor -- to the investigators of the Office of the Prosecutor.
- 16 Q. [11:58:46] Very well. Thank you for this clarification, Witness.
- 17 Now, very quickly to go back to this previous statement, so the previous statement
- that the Office of the Prosecutor showed you this morning was in English. Do you
- 19 read English, Witness?
- 20 A. [11:59:08] No, absolutely not.
- 21 Q. [11:59:21] So, at the time that you made this previous statement, were you given
- a copy in French or in Sango thereof?
- 23 A. [11:59:39] In Sango. There was a version -- a French version and an English
- version, and it was in Sango, the statement that they provided me with.
- 25 Q. [12:00:13] Well, I'd like to follow up on that point, just to make sure everything

- 1 is clear. Did they give you a hard copy of your statement in the Sango language?
- 2 A. [12:00:33] I don't know. Are you talking about the solemn statement? I don't
- 3 think they gave me a document.
- 4 Q. [12:00:52] Thank you. Now, when the investigators were speaking to you in
- 5 (Redacted) in (Redacted) 2018, were they asking you the kind of questions that you
- 6 could answer with a "yes" or a "no"?
- 7 A. [12:01:24] Well, it's possible. They might have asked me some questions that I
- 8 didn't have answers for, so I just answered the questions for which I had something to
- 9 say, for which I had information.
- 10 Q. [12:01:53] Thank you, Mr Witness.
- Now, just before the break and I'm looking for the reference here, we'll find the
- 12 exact reference in the transcript but it seems to me that you said that the first time
- 13 they called you on the telephone, they said to you -- well, they asked you to confirm
- some things that were on the dossier, the record, and you refused to do so on the
- 15 telephone; is that correct?
- 16 A. [12:02:41] Yes, that's right. They asked me to give them information about the
- information relating to my situation, and I said to them that I didn't know the person
- 18 with whom I was dealing over the telephone and I couldn't provide information.
- 19 The person then said that she worked for the OTP, but I continued to insist that I
- 20 could not give information about the case over the telephone.
- 21 Q. [12:03:21] Very well. Now, for the record, I was referring to page 30, line 24.
- Now, in (Redacted) 2018, did these people have some documents with you -- did they
- 23 show you some documents or some photographs relating to --
- 24 MS LE BAILLY: [12:03:48] Madam President, which people? Can it be clear for the

25 record, please.

- 1 MR JACOBS: [12:03:56](Interpretation)
- 2 Q. [12:04:04] I was talking about the investigators from the OTP. So when these
- 3 investigators met with you in (Redacted) 2018, did they bring some documents with
- 4 you -- with them, rather, to show you?
- 5 A. [12:04:24] They asked me some questions and I gave them some answers, and
- 6 after they showed me up on the screen a number of documents.
- 7 Q. [12:04:41] Very well. Could you tell us more about these documents. What
- 8 documents did they put up on the screen?
- 9 A. [12:04:53] Before the investigators showed me the documents, they asked me
- 10 some questions. They said to me that I had been detained and I said I didn't know
- 11 the place. And then they asked the question again, and they showed me some
- images on the screen and they asked me if that was the place where I had been
- 13 detained.
- 14 And those were the kind of documents that were shown to me.
- 15 Q. [12:05:52] Thank you, Mr Witness.
- 16 So after you gave your statement in (Redacted) 2018, when did the investigators -- or
- 17 the staff members of the OTP contact you asking whether you wanted to take part in
- 18 the proceedings, or whether you were in agreement -- agreed to take part in the
- 19 proceedings, in the trial?
- 20 A. [12:06:25] They called me and they talked about (Redacted)
- 21 (Redacted), and so they called me back and they
- 22 insisted that I should not forget the date, and they said that they would come and
- 23 meet me in the evening.
- 24 And I said to them that I was not in (Redacted), and they said that I
- could take transportation and that they would cover the cost of the transportation.

- 1 When I got to (Redacted), they said that (Redacted). I went
- 2 back. They went away, then they came back and they met me (Redacted) and that is
- 3 when we had these discussions.
- 4 Q. [12:07:34] Very well. Just to make sure we've understood the temporal time
- 5 frame, we are still talking about (Redacted) 2018, when you gave your previous
- 6 statement, this account about (Redacted)?
- 7 A. [12:07:59] Yes, that's right.
- 8 Q. [12:08:02] Very well, Mr Witness.
- 9 Do you remember now, when you went back to Bangui -- when you came back from
- 10 (Redacted), do you remember going back to Bangui?
- 11 A. [12:08:30] No, I don't remember. I no longer recall.
- 12 Q. [12:08:59] Thank you, Mr Witness. I'm just double-checking that I've
- 13 thoroughly -- conducted a thorough cross-examination and then I will get back to
- 14 you.
- 15 A. [12:09:34] Very well.
- 16 Q. [12:09:44] Thank you very much, Mr Witness. That was my last question.
- 17 MR JACOBS: [12:09:52](Interpretation) Your Honour, I have concluded.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [12:09:55] Thank you very much, Mr Jacobs.
- 19 Madam Prosecutor, do you have any questions in re-examination, please?
- 20 MS LE BAILLY: [12:10:03](Interpretation) Yes, certainly, your Honour. One
- 21 question, please.
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [12:10:14] Just a moment, please.
- 23 (Trial Chambers confers)
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [12:10:25] Yes, just to see we are still in private session.

25 Madam Prosecutor, let's hear your question, please, in re-examination.

- 1 MS LE BAILLY: [12:10:34](Interpretation) We can go into open session for this
- 2 particular question.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [12:10:43] Thank you very much.
- 4 Madam Court Officer, can we go into open session, please.
- 5 (Open session at 12.11 p.m.)
- 6 THE COURT OFFICER: [12:11:00] We are back to open session, Madam President.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [12:11:03] Thank you very much.
- 8 Madam Prosecutor, please, your witness.
- 9 MS LE BAILLY: [12:11:15](Interpretation) Thank you.
- 10 QUESTIONED BY MS LE BAILLY: (Interpretation)
- 11 Q. [12:11:16] Now, just for reference, Mr Witness, the Defence counsel asked you
- today and this is today's transcript, English, real-time, page 40 of today's transcript,
- 13 T-52 he asked you why you filled out a form to participate as a victim in the
- 14 proceedings, and this had to do with money. This was a request to participate with
- 15 a view to obtaining money.
- 16 So my question is, why did you decide to give testimony?
- 17 A. [12:11:55] I haven't understood your question. Could you please rephrase it?
- 18 Q. [12:11:58] Of course. The Defence counsel asked you earlier today why you
- 19 had filled out an application form to take part in the proceedings as a victim, and he
- asked you if you had done that for money.
- 21 MR JACOBS: [12:12:18](Interpretation) I beg your pardon?
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [12:12:20] I'm sorry, Mr Jacobs.
- 23 Madam Prosecutor, I think the answer was quite clear that the witness gave in respect
- 24 of that question. His answer, if I recall well, was that he participated -- or he chose
- 25 to participate not because of money. He didn't come here or he didn't fill out the

- 1 form because he wanted any money, so to speak. That was his answer.
- 2 Is there anything wrong with his answer? Was it ambiguous in any way?
- 3 MS LE BAILLY: [12:13:00](Interpretation) I had found it to be ambiguous, but if the
- 4 Presiding Judge is content with the response, I will leave it at that.
- 5 Q. [12:13:13] Thank you, Mr Witness.
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [12:13:15] Thank you very much. I think his answer
- 7 was quite clear.
- 8 Do you have any other question, Madam Prosecutor?
- 9 MS LE BAILLY: [12:13:21](Interpretation) No, no further question, your Honour.
- 10 Thank you, Mr Witness.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [12:13:31] Thank you very much, Madam Prosecutor.
- 12 I will ask my colleagues.
- 13 Judge Flores, do you have any question for this witness?
- 14 JUDGE FLORES LIERA: [12:13:38] No, no questions, thank you very much.
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [12:13:41] Thank you.
- 16 Judge Ugalde, any question for this witness?
- 17 JUDGE UGALDE: [12:13:47] No question, thank you.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [12:13:50] Okay. So, Mr Witness, on behalf of the
- 19 Chamber, I wish to thank you very much for cooperating with us and with the Court
- 20 in us finding justice for this particular case. We wish you the very best in your
- 21 future endeavours and continue protecting yourself. You do not need to discuss
- anything that has happened here to anybody.
- 23 Thank you very much.
- 24 (The witness is excused)
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE SAMBA: [12:14:20] On that note, I have to ask that we come

- 1 tomorrow. I think we are going to have the 20th witness for the Prosecution
- 2 tomorrow. So I'm going to adjourn. If one of the Prosecutors can be -- you know,
- 3 be on your legs, please. Yes.
- 4 I'm going to adjourn this matter and ask that we come tomorrow at 9.30 in the
- 5 morning. Thank you very much. Thank you, ladies, thank you.
- 6 THE COURT USHER: [12:14:44] All rise.
- 7 (The hearing ends in open session at 12.15 p.m.)