

*PUBLIC*  
**ANNEX A**

## Glossary and List of Authorities

### A. FILINGS IN SITUATION ICC-02/17

<b>Short-title</b>	<b>Reference</b>
<i>Amicus Curiae</i> Certification Submissions	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-57</a>
<i>Amicus Curiae</i> Declaration (Confidential) 1	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-57-Conf-AnxB</a>
<i>Amicus Curiae</i> Declaration (Confidential) 2	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-57-Conf-AnxC</a>
<i>Amicus Curiae</i> Declaration (Confidential) 3	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-57-Conf-AnxD</a>
<i>Amicus Curiae</i> Declaration (Confidential) 4	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-57-Conf-AnxE</a>
Certification Decision	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-62</a>
Certification Request	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-34</a>
Decision	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-33</a>
First Rule 50(4) Order	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-8</a>
OPCV Certification Submissions	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-59</a>
Prosecution Observations	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-41</a>
Prosecution Response to <i>Amici Curiae</i>	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-60</a>
Request	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-7-Red</a>
Request Annex 2A ( <i>Ex Parte</i> )	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-7-Conf-Exp-Anx2A</a>
Request Annex 2B ( <i>Ex Parte</i> )	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-7-Conf-Exp-Anx2B</a>

Request Annex 2C ( <i>Ex Parte</i> )	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-7-Conf-Exp-Anx2C</a>
Request Annex 3A ( <i>Ex Parte</i> )	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-7-Conf-Exp-Anx3A</a>
Request Annex 3B ( <i>Ex Parte</i> )	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-7-Conf-Exp-Anx3B</a>
Request Annex 3C ( <i>Ex Parte</i> )	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-7-Conf-Exp-Anx3C</a>
Second Rule 50(4) Order ( <i>Ex Parte</i> )	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-9</a>
Separate Opinion	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-33-Anx-Corr</a>
Third Rule 50(4) Order	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-23</a>
Third Rule 50(4) Response	<a href="#">ICC-02/17-26</a>

## B. OTHER JURISPRUDENCE OF THIS COURT

<b>Short-title</b>	<b>Reference</b>
<i>Bangladesh</i> Article 19(3) Decision	<a href="#">ICC-RoC46(3)-01/18-37</a>
<i>Bashir</i> Appeal Decision	<a href="#">ICC-02/05-01/09-397 OA2</a>
<i>Bashir</i> Appeal Decision, Joint Dissenting Opinion of Judges Ibáñez Carranza and Bossa	<a href="#">ICC-02/05-01/09-397-Anx2 OA2</a>
<i>Bemba</i> Appeal Judgment, Separate Opinion of Judge Eboe-Osuji	<a href="#">ICC-01/05-01/08-3636-Anx3 A</a>
<i>Bemba</i> Confirmation Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/05-01/08-424</a>

<i>Bemba et al.</i> Appeal Judgment	<a href="#">ICC-01/05-01/13-2275-Red A A2 A3 A4 A5</a>
<i>Burundi</i> Article 15(3) Request	<a href="#">ICC-01/17-5-Red</a>
<i>Burundi</i> Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/17-9-Red</a>
<i>Comoros</i> First Appeal Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/13-51 OA</a>
<i>Comoros</i> First Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/13-34</a>
<i>Comoros</i> Second Appeal Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/13-98 OA2</a>
<i>Comoros</i> Second Appeal Decision, Opinion of Judge Eboe-Osuji	<a href="#">ICC-01/13-98-Anx OA2</a>
<i>Comoros</i> Second Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/13-68</a>
<i>Comoros</i> Second Decision, Dissenting Opinion of Judge Kovács	<a href="#">ICC-01/13-68-Anx</a>
<i>Côte d'Ivoire</i> Article 15(3) Request	<a href="#">ICC-02/11-3</a>
<i>Côte d'Ivoire</i> Decision	<a href="#">ICC-02/11-14-Corr</a>
<i>Côte d'Ivoire</i> Decision, Opinion of Judge Fernández	<a href="#">ICC-02/11-15-Corr</a>
<i>DRC</i> Extraordinary Review Appeal Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/04-168 OA3</a>
<i>DRC</i> Victims Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/04-101-tEN-Corr</a>

DRC Warrants Appeal Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/04-169 OA</a>
Gbagbo Regulation 55 Appeal Decision	<a href="#">ICC-02/11-01/15-369 OA7</a>
Gbagbo Rule 68 Appeal Decision	<a href="#">ICC-02/11-01/15-744 OA8</a>
Georgia Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/15-12</a>
Georgia Decision, Opinion of Judge Kovács	<a href="#">ICC-01/15-12-Anx-Corr</a>
Katanga Redactions Appeal Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/04-01/07-521 OA5</a>
Katanga Reparations Appeal Judgment	<a href="#">ICC-01/04-01/07-3778-Red A3 A4 A5</a>
Kenya Article 15(3) Request	<a href="#">ICC-01/09-3</a>
Kenya Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/09-19-Corr</a>
Kenya Investigation Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/09-159</a>
Kenyatta Appeal Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/09-02/11-1032 OA5</a>
Lubanga Appeal Judgment	<a href="#">ICC-01/04-01/06-3121-Red A5</a>
Lubanga Article 54(3)(e) Appeal Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/04-01/06-1486 OA13</a>
Lubanga Reparations Appeal Judgment	<a href="#">ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red A7 A8</a>

<i>Mbarushimana</i> Jurisdiction Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/04-01/10-451</a>
<i>Mbarushimana</i> Warrant Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/04-01/10-1</a>
<i>Mudacumura</i> Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/04-01/12-1-Red</a>
<i>Ntaganda</i> Appeal Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/04-02/06-1962 OA5</a>
<i>Ongwen</i> Appeal Decision	<a href="#">ICC-02/04-01/15-1562 OA4</a>
[Redacted] Appeal Decision	<a href="#">ICC-ACRed-01/16</a>
<i>Ruto</i> Summonses Appeal Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/09-01/11-1598 OA7 OA8</a>
<i>Ruto</i> Termination Decision	<a href="#">ICC-01/09-01/11-2027-Red-Corr</a>
<i>Sudan</i> Decision	<a href="#">ICC-02/05-185</a>
<i>Uganda</i> Decision	<a href="#">ICC-02/04-1</a>

## C. JURISPRUDENCE OF OTHER JURISDICTIONS

### (a) Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia

Short-title	Reference
<i>Case 001</i> Appeal Judgment	<a href="#">Case 001 (KAING Guek Eav, alias 'DUCH'), 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/SC, Appeal Judgment, 3 February 2012</a>
<i>Case 002/01</i> Appeal Judgment	<a href="#">Case 002/01 (KHIEU Samphân and NUON Chea), 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/SC, Appeal Judgment, 23 November 2016</a>

**(b) International Court of Justice**

<b>Short-title</b>	<b>Reference</b>
<i>Nicaragua v. United States of America</i>	<a href="#"><u>Case concerning Military and Paramilitary Activities In and Against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. United States of America), Merits, Judgment of 27 June 1986, ICI Reports 1986, p. 14</u></a>

**(c) International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia**

<b>Short-title</b>	<b>Reference</b>
<i>Jelišić</i> Appeal Judgment, Partly Dissenting Opinion of Judge Wald	<a href="#"><u>Prosecutor v. Jelišić, IT-95-10-A, Judgment, 5 July 2001, Partial Dissenting Opinion of Judge Wald</u></a>
<i>Kunarac</i> Appeal Judgment	<a href="#"><u>Prosecutor v. Kunarac, IT-96-23 &amp; IT-96-23/1-A, Judgment, 12 June 2002</u></a>
<i>Mrkšić</i> Appeal Judgment	<a href="#"><u>Prosecutor v. Mrkšić and Šljivančanin, IT-95-13/1-A, Judgment, 5 May 2009</u></a>
<i>Tadić</i> Jurisdiction Appeal Decision	<a href="#"><u>Prosecutor v. Tadić, IT-94-1, Decision on the Defence Motion for Interlocutory Appeal on Jurisdiction, 2 October 1995</u></a>

**(d) International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda**

<b>Short-title</b>	<b>Reference</b>
<i>Musema</i> Trial Judgment	<a href="#"><u>Prosecutor v. Musema, ICTR-96-13-T, Judgment, 27 January 2000</u></a>

## (e) United States of America

Short-title	Reference
<i>Hamdan v. Rumsfeld</i>	US Supreme Court, <i>Hamdan v. Rumsfeld</i> , 548 U.S. 557 (2006), 126 S.Ct. 2749

## D. ACADEMIC WORKS

Short-title	Reference
Akande	D. Akande, 'Classification of armed conflicts: relevant legal concepts,' in E. Wilmshurst (ed.), <i>International Law and the Classification of Conflicts</i> (Oxford: OUP, 2012)
Akande and De Souza Dias	<a href="#">D. Akande and T. De Souza Dias, 'The ICC Pre-Trial Chamber Decision on the Situation in Afghanistan: a few thoughts on the interests of justice,' <i>EJIL: Talk!</i>, 18 April 2019</a>
Ambos (2014)	K. Ambos, <i>Treatise on International Criminal Law, Volume II: the Crimes and Sentencing</i> (Oxford: OUP, 2014)
Ambos (2016)	K. Ambos, <i>Treatise on International Criminal Law, Volume III: International Criminal Procedure</i> (Oxford: OUP, 2016)
Ambos (2019)	<a href="#">K. Ambos, 'Interests of justice? The ICC urgently needs reforms,' <i>EJIL: Talk!</i>, 11 June 2019</a>
Bartels (2009)	<a href="#">R. Bartels, 'Timelines, borderlines and conflicts: the historical evolution of the legal divide between international and non-international armed conflicts,' [2009] Vol. 91 No. 873 <i>International Review of the Red Cross</i> 35</a>



Bartels (2019)	R. Bartels, 'A fine line between protection and humanisation: the interplay between the scope of application of international humanitarian law and jurisdiction over alleged war crimes under international criminal law,' [2017] 20 <i>Yearbook of International Humanitarian Law</i> 37 (published 2019)
Bergsmo <i>et al</i> (2016a)	M. Bergsmo <i>et al</i> , 'Article 42: the Office of the Prosecutor,' in O. Triffterer and K. Ambos (eds.), <i>The Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: a Commentary</i> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> Ed. (München/Oxford/Baden Baden: C.H. Beck/Hart/Nomos, 2016)
Bergsmo <i>et al</i> (2016b)	M. Bergsmo <i>et al</i> , 'Article 53: initiation of an investigation,' in O. Triffterer and K. Ambos (eds.), <i>The Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: a Commentary</i> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> Ed. (München/Oxford/Baden Baden: C.H. Beck/Hart/Nomos, 2016)
Bitti (2012)	G. Bitti, 'Article 53: ouverture d'une enquête,' in J. Fernandez and X. Pacreau, <i>Statut de Rome de la Cour pénale internationale</i> , 1 <sup>st</sup> Ed. (Paris: Editions A. Pedone, 2012)
Bitti (2019a)	<a href="#">G. Bitti, 'The interests of justice—where does that come from? Part I,' <i>EJIL: Talk!</i>, 13 August 2019</a>
Bitti (2019b)	<a href="#">G. Bitti, 'The interests of justice—where does that come from? Part II,' <i>EJIL: Talk!</i>, 14 August 2019</a>
Brubacher	M. Brubacher, 'Prosecutorial discretion within the International Criminal Court,' [2004] 2(1) <i>Journal of International Criminal Justice</i> 71
Cameron	L. Cameron, 'Transnational armed conflicts,' in D. Djukić and N. Pons (eds.), <i>The Companion to International Humanitarian Law</i> (Leiden: Brill/Nijhoff, 2018)

Corn	G. Corn, 'Legal classification of military operations,' in G. Corn <i>et al</i> (eds.), <i>U.S. Military Operations: Law, Policy and Practice</i> (Oxford: OUP, 2016)
Cross	<a href="#">M. Cross, 'The standard of proof in preliminary examinations,' in M. Bergsmo and C. Stahn (eds.), <i>Quality Control in Preliminary Examination: Volume 2</i> (Brussels: TOAEP, 2018)</a>
D'Cunha	S. D'Cunha, 'The notion of external NIACs: reconsidering the intensity threshold in light of contemporary armed conflicts,' [2017] 20 <i>Yearbook of International Humanitarian Law</i> 37
Davis	C. Davis, 'Political considerations in prosecutorial discretion at the International Criminal Court,' [2015] 15(1) <i>International Criminal Law Review</i> 170
De Souza Dias	T. De Souza Dias, "'Interests of justice": defining the scope of prosecutorial discretion in Article 53(1)(c) and (2)(c) of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court,' [2017] 30(3) <i>Leiden Journal of International Law</i> 731
Dinstein	Y. Dinstein, <i>Non-International Armed Conflicts in International Law</i> (Cambridge: CUP, 2014)
Đukić	<a href="#">D. Đukić, 'Transitional justice and the International Criminal Court—in "the interests of justice"?' [2007] Vol. 89 No. 867 <i>International Review of the Red Cross</i> 691</a>
Friman	H. Friman, 'Investigation and prosecution,' in R. Lee <i>et al</i> (eds.), <i>The International Criminal Court: Elements of Crimes and Rules of Procedure and Evidence</i> (Ardley: Transnational, 2001)
Gallavin	C. Gallavin, 'Article 53 of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: in the interests of justice,' [2003] 14(2) <i>King's College Law Journal</i> 179

Geiß	<a href="#">R. Geiß, 'Armed violence in fragile states: low-intensity conflicts, spillover conflicts, and sporadic law enforcement operations by third parties,' [2009] Vol. 91 No. 873 <i>International Review of the Red Cross</i> 127</a>
Guariglia	F. Guariglia, 'Investigation and prosecution,' in R. Lee (ed.), <i>The International Criminal Court—the Making of the Rome Statute: Issues, Negotiations, Results</i> (The Hague: Kluwer, 1999)
Hafner <i>et al</i>	G. Hafner <i>et al.</i> , 'A response to the American View as presented by Ruth Wedgwood,' [1999] 10(1) <i>European Journal of International Law</i> 108
Heller	<a href="#">K. Heller, 'Can the PTC review the interests of justice?' <i>Opinio Juris</i>, 12 April 2019</a>
Holland	E. Holland, 'The qualification framework of international humanitarian law: too rigid to accommodate contemporary conflicts,' [2011] 34 <i>Suffolk Transnational Law Review</i> 145
Jallow	H. Jallow, 'Prosecutorial discretion and international criminal justice,' [2005] 3(1) <i>Journal of International Criminal Justice</i> 145
Khojasteh	<a href="#">A. Khojasteh, 'The pre-preliminary examination stage: theory and practice of the OTP's Phase 1 activities,' in M. Bergsmo and C. Stahn (eds.), <i>Quality Control in Preliminary Examination: Volume 1</i> (Brussels: TOAEP, 2018)</a>
Knoops and Zwart	G. Knoops and T. Zwart, 'The flotilla case before the ICC: the need to do justice while keeping heaven intact,' [2015] 15(6) <i>International Criminal Law Review</i> 1069

Kreß	C. Kreß, 'Some reflections on the international legal framework governing transnational armed conflicts,' [2010] 15(2) <i>Journal of Conflict and Security Law</i> 245
Lubell (2010)	N. Lubell, <i>Extraterritorial Use of Force against Non-State Actors</i> (Oxford: OUP, 2010)
Lubell (2012)	N. Lubell, 'The war (?) against Al Qaeda,' in E. Wilmshurst (ed.), <i>International Law and the Classification of Conflicts</i> (Oxford: OUP, 2012)
Lubell and Derejko	N. Lubell and N. Derejko, 'A global battlefield? Drones and the geographical scope of armed conflict,' [2013] 11(1) <i>Journal of International Criminal Justice</i> 65
Marchesi and Chaitidou	A. Marchesi and E. Chaitidou, 'Article 14: referral of a situation by a State Party,' in O. Triffterer and K. Ambos (eds.), <i>The Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: a Commentary</i> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> Ed. (München/Oxford/Baden Baden: C.H. Beck/Hart/Nomos, 2016)
Melzer (2008)	N. Melzer, <i>Targeted Killing in International Law</i> (Oxford: OUP, 2008)
Melzer (2016)	N. Melzer, <i>International Humanitarian Law: a Comprehensive Introduction</i> (Geneva: ICRC, 2016)
Milanović	M. Milanović, 'The applicability of the Conventions to 'transnational' and 'mixed' conflicts,' in A. Clapham et al (eds.), <i>The 1949 Geneva Conventions: a Commentary</i> (Oxford: OUP, 2015)
Moir	L. Moir, 'The concept of non-international armed conflict,' in A. Clapham et al (eds.), <i>The 1949 Geneva Conventions: a Commentary</i> (Oxford: OUP, 2015)

Ohlin	J. Ohlin, 'Peace, security, and prosecutorial discretion,' in C. Stahn and G. Sluiter (eds.), <i>The Emerging Practice of the International Criminal Court</i> (Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff, 2009)
Pejić	<a href="#">J. Pejić, 'The protective scope of Common Article 3: more than meets the eye,' [2011] Vol. 93 No. 881 <i>International Review of the Red Cross</i> 189</a>
Pinzauti (2018a)	G. Pinzauti, 'Common article 3,' in D. Djukić and N. Pons (eds.), <i>The Companion to International Humanitarian Law</i> (Leiden: Brill/Nijhoff, 2018)
Pinzauti (2018b)	G. Pinzauti, 'Principle 23: restrictions on prescription,' in F. Haldemann and T. Unger (eds.), <i>The United Nations Principles to Combat Impunity: a Commentary</i> (Oxford: OUP, 2018)
Radin	<a href="#">S. Radin, 'Global armed conflict? The threshold of extraterritorial non-international armed conflicts,' [2013] 89 <i>International Law Studies</i> 696</a>
Rastan (2009)	R. Rastan, 'The responsibility to enforce—connecting justice with unity,' in C. Stahn and G. Sluiter (eds.), <i>The Emerging Practice of the International Criminal Court</i> (Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff, 2009)
Rastan (2012)	<a href="#">R. Rastan, 'The jurisdictional scope of situations before the International Criminal Court,' [2012] 23 <i>Criminal Law Forum</i> 1</a>
Robinson	D. Robinson, 'Serving the interests of justice: amnesties, truth commissions and the International Criminal Court,' [2003] 14(3) <i>European Journal of International Law</i> 481
Rodman	K. Rodman, 'Is peace in the interests of justice? The case for broad prosecutorial discretion at the International Criminal Court,' [2009] 22(1) <i>Leiden Journal of International Law</i> 99

Sassòli	<a href="#">M. Sassòli, 'Transnational armed groups and international humanitarian law,' <i>HPCR Occasional Paper Series</i>, Winter 2006, No. 6, Program on Humanitarian Policy and Conflict Research, Harvard University</a>
Schabas	W. Schabas, <i>The International Criminal Court: a Commentary on the Rome Statute</i> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> Ed. (Oxford: OUP, 2016)
Schmitt	<a href="#">M. Schmitt, 'Charting the legal geography of non-international armed conflict,' [2014] 90 <i>International Law Studies</i> 1</a>
Schöberl	K. Schöberl, 'The geographical scope of application of the Conventions,' in A. Clapham et al (eds.), <i>The 1949 Geneva Conventions: a Commentary</i> (Oxford: OUP, 2015)
Sehmi	A. Sehmi, "'Now that we have no voice, what will happen to us?'" Experiences of victim participation in the <i>Kenyatta</i> case,' [2018] 16(3) <i>Journal of International Criminal Justice</i> 571
Shaw	M. Shaw, <i>International Law</i> , 7 <sup>th</sup> Ed. (Cambridge: CUP, 2014)
Sivakumaran	S. Sivakumaran, <i>The Law of Non-International Armed Conflict</i> (Oxford: OUP, 2012)
Solis	G. Solis, <i>The Law of Armed Conflict: International Humanitarian Law in War</i> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> Ed. (Cambridge: CUP, 2016)
Van den Wyngaert and Dugard	C. Van den Wyngaert and J. Dugard, 'Non-applicability of statute of limitations,' in A. Cassese et al (eds.), <i>The Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: a Commentary</i> , Volume 1 (Oxford: OUP, 2002)

Vité	<a href="#"><u>S. Vité, 'Typology of armed conflicts in international humanitarian law: legal concepts and actual situations,' [2009] Vol. 91 No. 873 <i>International Review of the Red Cross</i> 69</u></a>
Webb	P. Webb, 'The ICC Prosecutor's discretion not to proceed in the interests of justice,' [2005] 50(3) <i>Criminal Law Quarterly</i> 305
Zegveld	L. Zegveld, <i>Accountability of Armed Opposition Groups in International Law</i> (Cambridge: CUP, 2002)

## E. OTHER DOCUMENTS

Short-title	Reference
1968 Convention	<a href="#"><u>Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, 1968 (entered into force 11 November 1970) 754 UNTS 73</u></a>
1974 European Convention	<a href="#"><u>European Convention on the Non-Applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, 1974 (entered into force 27 June 2003) ETS No. 82</u></a>
1994 Inter-American Convention	<a href="#"><u>Inter-American Convention on Forced Disappearance of Persons, 1994 (entered into force 28 March 1996) OAS Treaty Series No. 68</u></a>
AI Comments	<a href="#"><u>Amnesty International, Open letter to the Chief Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court: comments on the concept of the interests of justice, 17 June 2005</u></a>
AP Commentary	Y. Sandoz <i>et al</i> (eds.), <i>Commentary on the Additional Protocols of 8 June 1977 to the Geneva Convention of 12 August 1949</i> (Geneva: ICRC, 1987)

CARII Article 53(1) Report	<a href="#">ICC, Office of the Prosecutor, Situation in the Central African Republic II: Article 53(1) Report, 24 September 2014</a>
DPH Interpretive Guidance	N. Melzer, <i>Interpretive Guidance on the Notion of Direct Participation in Hostilities under International Humanitarian Law</i> (Geneva: ICRC, 2009)
ECCC Law	Kingdom of Cambodia, <a href="#">Law on the Establishment of the Extraordinary Chambers, with inclusion of amendments as promulgated on 27 October 2004 (NS/RKM/1004/006)</a>
GCI Commentary (2016)	J. Henckaerts <i>et al</i> (eds.), <i>Commentary on the First Geneva Convention</i> (Cambridge/Geneva: CUP/ICRC, 2016)
GCII Commentary (2017)	J. Henckaerts <i>et al</i> (eds.), <i>Commentary on the Second Geneva Convention</i> (Cambridge/Geneva: CUP/ICRC, 2017)
GCIII Commentary (1960)	J. Pictet (ed.), <i>Commentary on the Third Geneva Convention relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War</i> (Geneva: ICRC, 1960)
HRW Policy Paper	<a href="#">Human Rights Watch, Policy Paper: the meaning of the "interests of justice" in article 53 of the Rome Statute, June 2005</a>
ICRC Conference Report (2015)	<a href="#">ICRC, International Humanitarian Law and the Challenges of Contemporary Armed Conflicts, 32<sup>nd</sup> International Conference of the Red Cross and Red Crescent, 32IC/15/11, Geneva (October 2015)</a>
'J. Demjanjuk,' <i>International Crimes Database</i>	<a href="#">International Crimes Database, 'State of Israel v. Ivan (John) Demjanjuk'</a>
KSC Law	Republic of Kosovo, <a href="#">Law No. 05/L-053 on Specialist Chambers and Specialist Prosecutor's Office</a>



'O. Gröning,' <i>Trial International</i>	<a href="#"><u><i>Trial International, 'Oskar Gröning,' last modified 18 April 2018</i></u></a>
OTP Case Selection and Prioritisation Policy	<a href="#"><u><i>ICC, Office of the Prosecutor, Policy Paper on Case Selection and Prioritisation, 15 September 2016</i></u></a>
OTP Interests of Justice Policy	<a href="#"><u><i>ICC Office of the Prosecutor, Policy Paper on the Interests of Justice, September 2007</i></u></a>
OTP Preliminary Examination Policy	<a href="#"><u><i>ICC Office of the Prosecutor, Policy Paper on Preliminary Examinations, November 2013</i></u></a>
OTP Strategic Plan (2019-2021)	<a href="#"><u><i>ICC Office of the Prosecutor, Strategic Plan 2019-2021, 17 July 2019</i></u></a>
Regulations of the TFV	<a href="#"><u><i>Regulations of the Trust Fund for Victims, ICC-ASP/4/Res.3, 3 December 2005</i></u></a>
TFV Report (2017)	<a href="#"><u><i>Trust Fund for Victims, Report of the Board of Directors of the Trust Fund for Victims, Sixteenth Session of the Assembly of States Parties, 4 December 2017</i></u></a>
UK Paper on Complementarity (1996)	<a href="#"><u><i>United Kingdom, 'Complementarity', 26 March 1996</i></u></a>
UNAMA 2019 Press Statement	<a href="#"><u><i>UNAMA, 'Civilian deaths from Afghan conflict in 2018 at highest recorded level—UN report,' 24 February 2019</i></u></a>
UN Principles to Combat Impunity	<a href="#"><u><i>UN Economic and Social Council, Commission on Human Rights, Updated Set of Principles for the Protection and Promotion of Human Rights through Action to Combat Impunity, UN Doc. E/CN.4/2005/102/Add.1, 8 February 2005</i></u></a>

WCRO Report	<a href="#"><u>SáCouto et al, <i>The relevance of 'a situation' to the Admissibility and Selection of Cases before the International Criminal Court</i>, War Crimes Research Office, American University: Washington College of Law, October 2009</u></a>
Working Paper on Article 54	<a href="#"><u>UN Diplomatic Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court, Committee of the Whole, Working Group on Procedural Matters, Working Paper on Article 54, UN Doc. A/Conf.183/C.1/WGPM/L.1, 18 June 1998</u></a>