

ANNEX D

Table of Contents

ICC filings.....	1
ICC-01-/05-01/13 filings.....	1
Non [ICC-01/05-01/13] filings.....	1
ICC Drafting History.....	2
ICTY/MICT filings and documents.....	3
ICTR filings	3
SCSL filings and documents	4
STL filings.....	4
Regional Courts.....	4
UN and other International Organisations	4
Domestic Legislations, Cases and Guidelines	4
Books, Articles, Reports, and other Open Source materials	5

ICC filings

ICC-01-/05-01/13 filings

Appeals Judgment	ICC-01/05-01/13-2275-Red
Trial Judgment	ICC-01/05-01/13-1989-Conf
Sentencing Judgment	ICC-01/05-01/13-2276
Sentencing Decision	ICC-01/05-01/13-2123
Prosecution Submissions	ICC-01/05-01/13-2279
ICC-01/05-01/13-1046-Red	
ICC-01/05-01/13-1092	
ICC-01/05-01/13-1094	
ICC-01/05-01/13-1902-Conf-Corr2	
ICC-01/05-01/13-1989-Conf	
ICC-01/05-01/13-2085-Conf	
ICC-01/05-01/13-2120-Conf-Exp	
ICC-01/05-01/13-2123-Corr	
ICC-01/05-01/13-2168-Conf	
ICC-01/05-01/13-2168-Red	
ICC-01/05-01/13-2203-Conf	
ICC-01/05-01/13-2203-Red	
ICC-01/05-01/13-2276-Red	
ICC-01/05-01/13-526-Conf-AnxB1	
ICC-01/05-01/13-749	
ICC-01/05-01/13-922	
ICC-RoC85-01/13-15-Conf-Exp	

Non [ICC-01/05-01/13] filings

ICC-01/04-01/06-2842	ICC-01/04-01/06-2842, 14 March 2012, <i>Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo</i> , “Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute”
ICC-01/04-01/06-2901	ICC-01/04-01/06-2901, 10 July 2012, <i>Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo</i> , “Decision on Sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute”
ICC-01/04-01/06-3121-Red	ICC-01/04-01/06-3121-Red, 01 December 2014, <i>Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo</i> , “Judgment on the appeal of Mr Thomas Lubanga Dyilo against his

	conviction”
ICC-01/04-01/06-3122	ICC-01/04-01/06-3122, 01 December 2014, <i>Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo</i> , “Judgment on the appeals of the Prosecutor and Mr Thomas Lubanga Dyilo against the “Decision on Sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute””
ICC-01/04-01/07-3484-tENG	ICC-01/04-01/07-3484-tENG, 23 May 2014, <i>Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga</i> , “Decision on Sentence pursuant to article 76 of the Statute”
ICC-01/04-01/07-3778-Red	ICC-01/04-01/07-3778-Red, 08 March 2018, <i>Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga</i> , “Public redacted Judgment on the appeals against the order of Trial Chamber II of 24 March 2017 entitled “Order for Reparations pursuant to Article 75 of the Statute””
ICC-01/04-01/07-717	ICC-01/04-01/07-717, 30 September 2008, <i>Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga</i> , “Decision on the confirmation of charges”
ICC-01/04-02/06-1677	ICC-01/04-02/06-1677, 12 December 2016, <i>Prosecutor v. Bosco Ntaganda</i> , “Decision on request for leave to appeal the Chamber's decision rejecting the Defence request for a stay of proceedings”
ICC-01/05-01/08-2548	ICC-01/05-01/08-2548, 07 July 2014, <i>Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba</i> , “Public Redacted Version of “Notice to the Trial Chamber of Article 70 Investigation and Request for Judicial Assistance to Obtain Evidence”, 20 March 2013, ICC-01/05-01/08-2548-Conf-Exp”
ICC-01/05-01/08-3167	ICC-01/05-01/08-3167, 21 October 2014, <i>Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo</i> , “Decision on “Defence Urgent Motion for disclosure of materials relating to P-169 and remedies for non-disclosure” (ICC-01/05-01/08-3159-Conf)”
ICC-01/05-01/08-3255	ICC-01/05-01/08-3255, 17 June 2015, <i>Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo</i> , “Decision on “Defence Request for Relief for Abuse of Process””
ICC-01/05-01/08-3624	ICC-01/05-01/08-3624, 19 April 2018, <i>Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo</i> , “Prosecution's response to Bemba's article 70 Defence's request for access to filings in ICC-01/05-01/08”
ICC-01/05-01/08-3625	ICC-01/05-01/08-3625, 19 April 2018, <i>Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo</i> , “Registry’s Observations on Defence Request ICC-01/05-01/08-3622”
ICC-01/12-01/15-171	ICC-01/12-01/15-171, 27 September 2016, <i>Prosecutor v. Ahmad Al Faqi Al Mahdi</i> , “Judgment and Sentence”

ICC Drafting History

<i>Proposals Submitted concerning Part VII of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, on Penalties</i>	Australia, Canada and Germany: <i>Proposals concerning Part VII of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, on Penalties</i>, PCNICC/1999/WGRPE(7)/DP.5, 1 December 1999, p. 1.
	France: <i>Proposals concerning Part VII of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, on Penalties</i>, PCNICC/1999/WGRPE(7)/DP.1, 19 November 1999, p.1.
	Spain: <i>Proposals concerning Part VII of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, on Penalties</i>, PCNICC/1999/WGRPE(7)/DP.2, 23 November 1999, p. 2.
	Brazil: <i>Proposal concerning Part VII of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, on Penalties</i>, PCNICC/1999/WGRPE(7)/DP.3, 30 November 1999, p.1.

<i>Report of the Preparatory Committee on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court</i>	<i>Report of the Preparatory Committee on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court: Addendum, A/Conf.183/2/Add.1, 14 April 1998.</i>
--	--

ICTY/MICT filings

<i>Aleksovski</i> AJ	<i>Prosecutor v. Zlatko Aleksovski</i> , “Judgement”, 24 March 2000, IT-95-14/1-A
<i>Blaškić</i> AJ	<i>Prosecutor v. Tihomir Blaškić</i> , “Judgement”, 29 July 2004, IT-95-14-A
<i>Brđanin</i> AJ	<i>Prosecutor v. Radoslav Brđanin</i> , “Judgment”, 03 April 2007, IT-99-36-A
<i>Delalić</i> AJ	<i>Prosecutor v. Zejnil Delalić et al.</i> , “Judgement”, 20 February 2001, IT-96-21-A
<i>Delalić</i> TJ	<i>Prosecutor v. Zejnil Delalić et al.</i> , “Judgement”, 16 November 1998, IT-96-21-T
<i>Haradinaj</i> AC Decision, 31/05/2011	<i>Prosecutor v. Ramush Haradinaj et al.</i> , “Decision on Haradinaj’s Appeal on Scope of Partial Retrial”, 31 May 2011, IT-04-84bis-AR73.1
<i>Haradinaj</i> Re-trial Judgment	<i>Prosecutor v. Ramush Haradinaj et al.</i> , “Public Judgment with Confidential Annex”, 29 November 2012, IT-04-84bis-T
<i>Karadžić</i> TC Decision 17/06/2010	<i>Prosecutor v. Karadžić</i> , “Decision On Accused’s Second Motion For Finding Disclosure Violation And For Remedial Measures”, 17 June 2010, IT-95-5/18-T
<i>Karadžić</i> TC Decision 19/08/2011	<i>Prosecutor v. Karadžić</i> , “Decision On Accused’s Fifty-Fifth Disclosure Violation Motion”, 19 August 2011, IT-95-5/18-T
<i>Karadžić</i> TC Decision 31/03/2010	<i>Prosecutor v. Radovan Karadžić</i> , “Decision on Accused’s Motion to Strike Scheduled Shelling Incident on Grounds of Collateral Estoppel”, 31 March 2010, IT-9S-S/18-T
<i>Krstić</i> AJ	<i>Prosecutor v. Krstić</i> , “Judgement”, 19 April 2004, IT-98-33-A
<i>Krstić</i> TJ	<i>Prosecutor v. Radislav Krstić</i> , “Judgement”, 02 August 2001, IT-98-33-T
<i>Sikirica</i> SJ	<i>Prosecutor v. Duško Sikirica et al.</i> , “Sentencing Judgment”, 13 November 2001, IT-95-8-S
<i>Simić</i> TC Decision 28/02/2000	<i>Prosecutor v. Blagoje Simić et al.</i> , “Decision on (1) Application by Stevan Todorovic to Re-Open the Decision of 27 July 1999, (2) Motion by ICRC to Re-Open Scheduling Order of 18 November 1999, and (3) Conditions for Access to Material”, 28 February 2000, Case No. IT-9S-9-PT
<i>Tadić</i> AJ on Sentence	<i>Prosecutor v. Duško Tadić</i> , “Judgement in Sentencing Appeals”, 26 January 2000, IT-94-1-A and IT-94-1-Abis

ICTR filings

<i>Bizimungu</i> TC Decision 29/05/2007	<i>Prosecutor v. Casimir Bizimungu et al.</i> , “Decision on Prosper Mugiraneza’s Second Motion to Dismiss for Deprivation of his Right to Trial without Undue Delay”, 29 May 2007, ICTR-99-S0-T
<i>Gatete</i> AJ	<i>Prosecutor v. Jean-Baptiste Gatete</i> , “Judgement”, 09 October 2012, ICTR-00-61-A
<i>Karemera</i> AC Decision 16/07/2008	<i>Prosecutor v. Edouard Karemera et al.</i> , “Decision on Joseph Nziirorera’s Motion to Strike Allegation of Conspiracy with Juvenal Kajelijeli on the Basis of Collateral Estoppel”, 16 July 2008, Case No. ICTR-9S-44-T
<i>Karemera</i> TC Decision 11/05/2007	<i>Prosecutor v. Édouard Karemera et al.</i> , “Decision on Interlocutory Appeal Regarding Witness Proofing”, 11 May 2007, ICTR-98-44-AR73.8
<i>Karemera</i> TC Decision 19/10/2006	<i>Prosecutor v. Édouard Karemera et al.</i> , “Decision on Defence Oral Motions for Exclusion of XBM’s Testimony, Sanctions Against the Prosecution and Exclusion of Evidence”, 19 October 2006, ICTR-98-44-T
<i>Muvunyi</i> AJ	<i>Tharcisse Muvunyi v. Prosecutor</i> , “Judgement”, 29 August 2008, ICTR-2000-55A-A
<i>Nyiramasuhuko</i> AJ	<i>Prosecutor v. Nyiramasuhuko et al.</i> , “Judgement”, 14 December 2015, ICTR-98-42-A
<i>Rukundo</i> AJ	<i>Prosecutor v. Rukundo</i> , “Judgment”, 20 October 2010, ICTR-2001-70-A

SCSL filings and documents

<i>Taylor</i> TC Decision 11/11/2010	<i>Prosecutor v. Taylor</i> , “Decision on Public with Confidential Annexes A-J and Public Annexes K-O Defence Motion Requesting an Investigation into Contempt of Court by the Office of the Prosecutor and its Investigators”, 11 November 2010, Case No. SCSL-03-1-T.
--------------------------------------	--

STL filings

Ayyash Interlocutory Decision 16/02/2011	<i>Prosecutor v. Salim Jamil Ayyash et al.</i> , Interlocutory Decision on the Applicable Law: Terrorism, Conspiracy, Homicide, Perpetration, Cumulative Charging, STL-11-01/I, 16 February 2011.
--	---

Regional and International Courts and mechanisms

ICC Statute and Military Tribunal IV, <i>United States of America v Friedrich Flick et al.</i> Case No. 5, 19 April 1947 - 22 December 1947, Trials of War Criminals before the Nuernberg Military Tribunals under Control Council Law No. 10, Vol. VI, p. 1189.	
<i>A.P., M.P. and T.P. v. Switzerland</i> (App. No. 19958/92)	<i>A.P., M.P. and T.P. v. Switzerland</i> (App. No. 19958/92), “Judgment”, 29 August 1997
<i>Benedoun v. France</i> (App. No. 12547/86)	<i>Benedoun v. France</i> (App. No. 12547/89), “Judgment”, 24 February 1994
<i>Denisova and Moiseyeva v. Russia</i> , (App. No. 16903/03)	<i>Denisova and Moiseyeva v Russia</i> , “Judgment”, App. No. 16903/03, 01 April 2010
<i>Džinić v. Croatia</i> (App. No. 38359/13)	<i>Džinić v. Croatia</i> , “Judgment”, App. No. 38359/13, 17 May 2016
<i>Frizen v. Russia</i> , (Ap. No. 58254/00)	<i>Frizen v. Russia</i> , “Judgment”, App. No. 58254/00, 24 March 2005
<i>Yakovenko v. Ukraine</i> (App. No. 5425/11)	<i>Yakovenko v. Ukraine</i> , “Judgment”, App No. 5425/11, 04 June 2015

UN and other International Organisations

UNSC Committee on the DRC	Security Council Committee Established Pursuant to Resolution 1533 (2004) Concerning the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Assets Freeze.
---------------------------	---

Domestic Legislations, Cases and Guidelines

United States of America	North Carolina v. Pearce, 395 U.S. 711 (1969)	
	<i>United States v. Richard J. Lester, and Sheila Lester</i> , Petitioner-Claimant-Appellant, 85 F.3d 1409 (9th Cir. 1996)	
	<i>Alabama v. Smith</i> , 490 U.S. 794 (1989)	
Australia	<i>R v Gilmore</i> (1979) 1 A Crim R 416	
	<i>R H McL v The Queen</i> (2000) 203 CLR 452	
United Kingdom	Action against assets in criminal cases – confiscation orders, Part 3, July 2013, https://www.insolvencydirect.bis.gov.uk/technicalmanual/Ch1-12/Chapter%209A/Part%203/Part%203.htm	
	<i>Ahmed and Other v. Her Majesty’s Treasury</i> (Justice Intervening) (Nos 1 and 2); <i>Al-Gharba v. Her Majesty’s Treasury</i> (Justice Intervening); <i>Regina (Youssef) v. Her Majesty’s Treasury</i> (Justice Intervening) ([2010] UKSC 2 and [2010] UKSC 5), United Kingdom, Supreme Court, 27 January 2010, United Kingdom, Supreme Court, 4 February 2010.	
	<i>HM v Ahmed & ors.</i> [2010] UKSC 2, [2010] 4 All ER 829, [2010] 2 WLR 378, [2010] UKHRR 204, para. 39	
Democratic Republic of	Family Code	Article 516: Le régime de la communauté réduite aux acquêts est composé d'une part des

Congo Family Code		biens propres de chacun des époux et d'autre part des biens communs. Sont propres, les biens que chacun des époux possède au moment de la célébration ou de l'enregistrement du mariage ou qu'il acquiert postérieurement au mariage par donations, successions ou testaments. Sont communs et comme tels qualifiés acquêts, les biens que les époux acquièrent pendant le mariage par leur activité commune ou séparée ainsi que les biens conjointement acquis par les deux époux par donations, successions ou testaments. Article 517: Restent propres à chacun des époux, les biens acquis à titre onéreux pendant le mariage, en échange d'un bien propre, sous réserve des dispositions spéciales relatives aux concessions foncières, aux cessions et concessions immobilières enregistrées. Article 518: Au moment de la célébration ou de l'enregistrement du mariage, si les époux optent pour la communauté réduite aux acquêts, ou à défaut de déclaration d'option, ils peuvent établir et remettre à l'officier de l'état civil qui célèbre ou enregistre leur mariage, un inventaire signé par eux et précisant les biens meubles et immeubles dont ils ont la propriété ou la possession légale antérieurement au mariage. Ce document est mentionné dans l'acte de mariage et fait pleine foi de l'appartenance de biens sauf preuve légale contraire, en matière de biens fonciers et immobiliers enregistrés. Article 519: Tout bien non inventorié comme bien propre est présumé commun. Toutefois, chacun des époux peut prouver qu'il en a la propriété exclusive par tous moyens, sous réserve des dispositions spéciales relatives aux concessions foncières et aux cessions et concessions immobilières enregistrées. Les dispositions des alinéas 2 à 4 de l'article 507 sont applicables. Toutefois, la qualité de bien propre ne peut être opposée par les époux à un tiers que si celui-ci connaissait ou devait connaître cette qualité.
Belgium Civil Code	Code Belge Civil	Art. 203 § 1er. Les père et mère sont tenus d'assumer, à proportion de leurs facultés, l'hébergement, l'entretien, la santé, la surveillance, l'éducation, la formation et l'épanouissement de leurs enfants. Si la formation n'est pas achevée, l'obligation se poursuit après la majorité de l'enfant. Art. 203bis § 1er. Chacun des père et mère contribue aux frais résultant de l'obligation définie à l'article 203, § 1er, à concurrence de sa part dans les facultés cumulées. § 2. Sans préjudice des droits de l'enfant, chacun des père et mère peut réclamer à l'autre sa contribution aux frais résultant de l'article 203, § 1er. § 3. Les frais comprennent les frais ordinaires et les frais extraordinaires. Les frais ordinaires sont les frais habituels relatifs à l'entretien quotidien de l'enfant. Par frais extraordinaires, on entend les dépenses exceptionnelles, nécessaires ou imprévisibles qui résultent de circonstances accidentelles ou inhabituelles et qui dépassent le budget habituel affecté à l'entretien quotidien de l'enfant qui a servi de base, le cas échéant, à la fixation des contributions alimentaires.

Books, Articles, Reports, Press and other Open Source materials

B. Hola, A. Smeulers and C. Bijleveld, "International Sentencing Facts and Figures. Sentencing Practice at the ICTY and ICTR", 9 <i>Journal of International Criminal Justice</i> 411 (2011)	
B. Goy, "Individual Criminal Responsibility before the International Criminal Court, A Comparison with the <i>Ad Hoc</i> Tribunals"	B. Goy, "Individual Criminal Responsibility before the International Criminal Court, A Comparison with the <i>Ad Hoc</i> Tribunals", <i>International Criminal Law Review</i> 12 (2012) 1-70.

<i>Discussion paper submitted by the Coordinator concerning Part 7 of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, on penalties, PCNICC/1999/WGRPE(7)/RT.2, 9 December 1999</i>	
ECBA, “ECBA Touchstones – Minimum Standards for the right to Legal Aid”, 25 June 2013.	European Criminal Bar Association, “ECBA Touchstones – Minimum Standards for the right to Legal Aid (Measure C part 2), 25 June 2013, http://www.ecba.org/extdocserv/projects/legalaid/20130625-ECBATouchstonesLegalAid.pdf
Michael P. Doss, “Resentencing Defendants and the Protection Against Multiple Punishment”	Michael P. Doss, “Resentencing Defendants and the Protection Against Multiple Punishment” at University of Pennsylvania Law Review, Vol. 133, No. 6, July 1985, 1409
Rolf Einar Fife, “Penalties” in R. S. Lee (ed.), The International Criminal Court: Elements of Crimes and Rules of Procedure and Evidence (Transnational Publishers, 2001)	
Radio Okapi, “Salaires des fonctionnaires en RDC: le sénateur Djoli dénonce “l’escroquerie de l’Etat”, 12 June 2017, https://www.radiookapi.net/2017/06/12/actualite/societe/salaires-des-fonctionnaires-en-rdc-le-senateur-djoli-denonce	
Publication de la Direction générale Statistique – Statistics Belgium, “Dernière mise à jour le 19/09/2017”, http://statbel.fgov.be/sites/default/files/2017-12/EBM_0113_FR_19SEP17.XLS	