

ANNEX 4

LIST OF AUTHORITIES AND DESIGNATIONS

Abbreviation	Full Name
AFRC	Armed Forces Revolutionary Council
Court	International Criminal Court
DRC	Democratic Republic of the Congo
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
FPLC	Force Patriotique pour la Libération du Congo
ICRC	International Committee of the Red Cross
ICTR	International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
ICTY	International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia
PUSIC	Parti pour l'Unité et la Sauvegarde de l'Intégrité du Congo
RUF	Revolutionary United Front
SCSL	Special Court for Sierra Leone
UPC	Union des Patriotes Congolais
UPC/RP	Union des Patriotes Congolais/Réconciliation et Paix
UPDF	Ugandan People's Defence Force

Documents from Lubanga Pre-Trial/Trial Proceedings

Designation	Full Name	Document Number and Date
Document Containing the Charges http://www.legal-tools.org/en/doc/e2fa01/	Annex 1 to "Submission of the Document Containing the Charges pursuant to Article 61(3)(a) and of the List of Evidence pursuant to Rule 121(3)"	ICC-01/04-01/06-356-Conf-Anx1 28 August 2006 With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-356-Anx2
Defence Request regarding the Conduct of the Confirmation of Charges Hearing https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/66b785/	"Request for further information regarding the confirmation hearing and for appropriate relief to safeguard the rights of the Defence and Thomas Lubanga Dyilo"	ICC-01/04-01/06-452 20 September 2006
Defence Request for Information https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/717e7e/	"Defence Request for an Order of the Pre-Trial Chamber to the Prosecution to provide legal argument to the Defence in advance of the confirmation hearing and for a variation of the time limit"	ICC-01/04-01/06-573 16 October 2006

Prosecutor's Submissions after the Confirmation Hearing https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/7ffb43/	"Prosecution's Document Addressing Matters that were Discussed at the Confirmation Hearing"	ICC-01/04-01/06-749 4 December 2006
Decision on the Confirmation of Charges https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/b7ac4f/	Pre-Trial Chamber I, "Decision on the confirmation of charges"	ICC-01/04-01/06-796-Conf-tEN, Dated 29 January 2007, translation registered on 27 March 2007 With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-803-tEN, registered on 14 May 2007
Disclosure Decision http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/95f02c/	Trial Chamber I, "Decision Regarding the Timing and Manner of Disclosure and the Date of Trial"	ICC-01/04-01/06-1019 9 November 2007
Summary of Evidence	Annex A to "Prosecution's Updated Summary of Presentation of Evidence and Annexes"	ICC-01/04-01/06-1354-Conf-AnxA 23 May 2008
Order to File an Amended DCC http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/ad7ae4/	Trial Chamber I, "Order for the prosecution to file an amended document containing the charges"	ICC-01/04-01/06-1548 9 December 2008
Amended Document Containing the Charges	Annex to "Prosecution's Provision of the Amended Document Containing the Charges, Article 61(3)(a)"	ICC-01/04-01/06-1571-Conf-Anx 22 December 2008
Specification of Video Sequences http://www.legal-tools.org/en/doc/d7213c/	"Prosecution's Submission on the presentation of Video Evidence and Requests for Admission of Evidence and in relation to the Translations of the Videos"	ICC-01/04-01/06-1730-Conf 25 February 2009 With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-1748, registered on 3 March 2009
Annex 1 to Specification of Video Sequences http://www.legal-tools.org/en/doc/bc807e/	Annex 1 to "Prosecution's Submission on the presentation of Video Evidence and Requests for Admission of Evidence and in relation to the Translations of the Videos"	ICC-01/04-01/06-1730-Conf-Anx1 25 February 2009 With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-1748-Anx1, registered on 3 March 2009

Annex 2 to Specification of Video Sequences http://www.legal-tools.org/en/doc/565063/	Annex 2 to “Prosecution’s Submission on the presentation of Video Evidence and Requests for Admission of Evidence and in relation to the Translations of the Videos”	ICC-01/04-01/06-1730-Conf-Anx2 25 February 2009 With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-1748-Anx2, registered on 3 March 2009
Defence Response to the Specification of Video Sequences http://www.legal-tools.org/en/doc/bcb02e/	“Réponse de la Défense aux « <i>Prosecution’s Submission on the presentation of Video Evidence and Requests for Admission of Evidence and in relation to the Translations of the Videos</i> », déposée le 25 février 2009”	ICC-01/04-01/06-1791 19 March 2009 (available in French only)
Application for a Stay of Proceedings http://www.legal-tools.org/en/doc/1e58a1/	“Defence Application Seeking a Permanent Stay of the Proceedings”	ICC-01/04-01/06-2657-Conf-tENG Dated 10 December 2010, translation registered on 4 February 2011 With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-2657-tENG-Red, registered on 12 August 2011
Stay of Proceedings Decision http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/7984df/	Trial Chamber I, “Decision on the “Defence Application Seeking a Permanent Stay of the Proceedings””	ICC-01/04-01/06-2690-Conf 23 February 2011 With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-2690-Red2, dated 7 March 2011 and registered on 8 March 2011
Prosecutor’s Closing Submissions https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/92ecf9/	“Prosecution’s Closing Brief”	ICC-01/04-01/06-2748-Conf 1 June 2011 With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-2748-Red, registered on 21 July 2011
Defence Closing Submissions http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/ca1fcd/	“Closing submissions of the Defence”	ICC-01/04-01/06-2773-Conf-tENG Dated 15 July 2011, translation registered on 5 December 2011 With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-2773-Red-tENG, registered on 2 February 2012

Defence Response to Prosecution's Reply https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/12e337/	"Defence Response to "Prosecution's Reply to the ' <i>Conclusions finales de la Défense</i> '" of 1 August 2011"	ICC-01/04-01/06-2786-Conf-tENG Dated 15 August 2011, translation registered on 24 January 2012 With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-2786-Red-tENG, registered on 25 January 2012
Conviction Decision http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/677866/	Trial Chamber I, "Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute"	ICC-01/04-01/06-2842 14 March 2012
Separate Opinion of Judge Fulford http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/677866/	Trial Chamber I, "Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute"	ICC-01/04-01/06-2842, pages 594-607 14 March 2012
Separate and Dissenting Opinion of Judge Odio Benito http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/677866/	Trial Chamber I, "Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute"	ICC-01/04-01/06-2842, pages 608-624 14 March 2012
Sentencing Decision http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/8d0df9/	Trial Chamber I, "Decision on Sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute"	ICC-01/04-01/06-2901 10 July 2012

Documents from the Appeal Lubanga A 5

<u>Designation</u>	<u>Full Name</u>	<u>Document Number and Date</u>
Notice of Appeal http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/1f2f55/	"Notice of Appeal lodged by the Defence for Mr Thomas Lubanga against Trial Chamber I's <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> of 14 March 2012"	ICC-01/04-01/06-2934-tENG Dated 3 October 2012, translation registered on 4 December 2012
First Additional Evidence Request http://www.legal-tools.org/en/doc/92f10b/	"Defence application to present additional evidence in the appeals against the <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> and the <i>Decision on sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute</i> "	ICC-01/04-01/06-2942-Conf-tENG Dated 26 November 2012, translation registered on 14

		December 2012 With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-2942-Red-tENG, registered on 13 October 2014
Annex 1 to the First Additional Evidence Request	Annex 1 to “Defence application to present additional evidence in the appeals against the <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> and the <i>Decision on sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute</i> ”	ICC-01/04-01/06-2942-Conf-Anx1 26 November 2012
Annex 2 to the First Additional Evidence Request	Annex 2 to “Defence application to present additional evidence in the appeals against the <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> and the <i>Decision on sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute</i> ”	ICC-01/04-01/06-2942-Conf-Anx2 26 November 2012
Annex 3 to the First Additional Evidence Request	Annex 3 to “Defence application to present additional evidence in the appeals against the <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> and the <i>Decision on sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute</i> ”	ICC-01/04-01/06-2942-Conf-Anx3-tENG Translation registered on 3 December 2012
Annex 4 to the First Additional Evidence Request	Annex 4 to “Defence application to present additional evidence in the appeals against the <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> and the <i>Decision on sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute</i> ”	ICC-01/04-01/06-2942-Conf-Anx4 26 November 2012
Annex 5 to the First Additional Evidence Request	Annex 5 to “Defence application to present additional evidence in the appeals against the <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> and the <i>Decision on sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute</i> ”	ICC-01/04-01/06-2942-Conf-Anx5-tENG Translation registered on 10 December 2012
Annex 6 to the First Additional Evidence Request	Annex 6 to “Defence application to present additional evidence in the appeals against the <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> and the <i>Decision on</i>	ICC-01/04-01/06-2942-Conf-Anx6-tENG Translation registered on 10

	<i>sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute”</i>	December 2012
Annex 7 to the First Additional Evidence Request http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/693e76/	Annex 7 to “Defence application to present additional evidence in the appeals against the <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> and the <i>Decision on sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute”</i>	ICC-01/04-01/06-2942-Anx7 26 November 2012 (available in French only)
Annex 8 to the First Additional Evidence Request	Annex 8 to “Defence application to present additional evidence in the appeals against the <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> and the <i>Decision on sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute”</i>	ICC-01/04-01/06-2942-Conf-Anx8-tENG Translation registered on 3 December 2012
Document in Support of the Appeal https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/23428f/	“Mr Thomas Lubanga’s appellate brief against the 14 March 2012 <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute”</i>	ICC-01/04-01/06-2948-Conf-tENG Dated 3 December 2012, translation registered on 1 February 2013 With public redacted version, ICC 01/04 01/06-2948-Red-tENG, registered on 17 October 2014
Victim Participation Decision http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/9551a4/	Appeals Chamber, “Decision on the participation of victims in the appeals against Trial Chamber I’s conviction and sentencing decisions”	ICC-01/04-01/06-2951 13 December 2012
Additional Evidence Directions http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/f04503/	Appeals Chamber, “Directions under regulation 62 of the Regulations of the Court”	ICC-01/04-01/06-2958 21 December 2012
Observations of Legal Representatives of Victims V01 http://www.legal-tools.org/cn/doc/0fe007/	“Corrigendum of Observations on the appeals against the decisions pursuant to articles 74 and 76 of the Rome Statute”	ICC-01/04-01/06-2966-Corr-tENG Dated 4 February 2013, corrigendum registered on 11 February 2013, translation

		registered on 30 May 2013
<p>Response to the Document in Support of the Appeal</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/8d6b9e/</p>	<p>“Prosecution’s Response to Thomas Lubanga’s Appeal against Trial Chamber I’s Judgment pursuant to Article 74”</p>	<p>ICC-01/04-01/06-2969-Conf 4 February 2013</p> <p>With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-2969-Red, dated 18 February 2013 and registered on 19 February 2013</p>
<p>Observations of Legal Representatives of Victims V02</p> <p>https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/0a0ced/</p>	<p>Corrigendum to “Consolidated observations of the V02 team of Legal Representatives of Victims on the Defence and Prosecution appeals against the judgment and sentence pursuant to articles 74 and 76 of the Rome Statute”</p>	<p>ICC-01/04-01/06-2976-Conf-Corr-tENG</p> <p>Dated 7 February 2013, corrigendum registered on 8 February 2013, translation registered on 30 May 2013</p> <p>With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-2976-Corr-Red-tENG, registered on 31 October 2014</p>
<p>Reply to the Response to the Document in Support of the Appeal</p> <p>https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/86119a/</p>	<p>“Defence reply to the “Prosecution’s Response to Thomas Lubanga’s Appeal against Trial Chamber I’s Judgment pursuant to Article 74” and the “Prosecution’s Response to the ‘<i>Mémoire de la Défense de M. Thomas Lubanga relative à l’appel à l’encontre de la “Décision relative à la peine, rendue en application de l’article 76 du Statut” rendu par la Chambre de première instance I le 10 juillet 2012</i>’”, both filed on 4 February 2013”</p>	<p>ICC-01/04-01/06-2989-Conf-tENG</p> <p>Dated 28 February 2013, translation registered on 15 April 2013</p> <p>With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-2989-Red-tENG, registered on 14 October 2014</p>
<p>Mr Lubanga’s Response to the Legal Representatives of Victims’ Observations</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/16e8be/</p>	<p>“Defence reply to the observations of the Legal Representatives of the V01 and V02 groups of victims on the Defence appeals against the Judgment and the Decision on Sentence as well as on the Prosecution appeal against the Decision on Sentence, filed on 4 and 7 February 2013”</p>	<p>ICC-01/04-01/06-3005-Conf-tENG</p> <p>Dated 4 April 2013, translation registered on 16 May 2013</p> <p>With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-3005-Red, registered on 4 April 2013</p>

		(available in French only)
<p>Second Additional Evidence Request</p> <p>https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/7bad62/</p>	<p>“Defence application for the admission of additional evidence within the context of the appeals against the <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> and the <i>Decision on Sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute</i> and for the submission of a new ground supporting these appeals”</p>	<p>ICC-01/04-01/06-3056-Conf-tENG</p> <p>Dated 23 December 2013, translation registered on 20 October 2014</p> <p>With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-3056-Red-tENG, registered on 20 October 2014</p>
<p>Annex 1 to the Second Additional Evidence Request</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/b38226/</p>	<p>Annex 1 to the “Defence application for the admission of additional evidence within the context of the appeals against the <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> and the <i>Decision on Sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute</i> and for the submission of a new ground supporting these appeals”</p>	<p>ICC-01/04-01/06-3056-Conf-Anx1</p> <p>23 December 2013</p> <p>With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-3056-Anx1-Red, registered on 7 January 2014</p> <p>(available in French only)</p>
<p>Annex 2 to the Second Additional Evidence Request</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/6788d9/</p>	<p>Annex 2 to the “Defence application for the admission of additional evidence within the context of the appeals against the <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> and the <i>Decision on Sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute</i> and for the submission of a new ground supporting these appeals”</p>	<p>ICC-01/04-01/06-3056-Conf-Anx2</p> <p>23 December 2013</p> <p>With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-3056-Anx2-Red, registered on 7 January 2014</p> <p>(available in French only)</p>
<p>Annex 3 to the Second Additional Evidence Request</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/d5b6d3/</p>	<p>Annex 3 to the “Defence application for the admission of additional evidence within the context of the appeals against the <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> and the <i>Decision on Sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute</i> and for the submission of a new ground supporting these appeals”</p>	<p>ICC-01/04-01/06-3056-Conf-Anx3</p> <p>23 December 2013</p> <p>With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-3056-Anx3-Red, registered on 7 January 2014</p> <p>(available in original language)</p>

		only)
Annex 4 to the Second Additional Evidence Request http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/be3874/	Annex 4 to the “Defence application for the admission of additional evidence within the context of the appeals against the <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> and the <i>Decision on Sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute</i> and for the submission of a new ground supporting these appeals”	ICC-01/04-01/06-3056-Conf-Anx4 23 December 2013 With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-3056-Anx4-Red, registered on 7 January 2014 (available in French only)
Annex 5 to the Second Additional Evidence Request	Annex 5 to the “Defence application for the admission of additional evidence within the context of the appeals against the <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> and the <i>Decision on Sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute</i> and for the submission of a new ground supporting these appeals”	ICC-01/04-01/06-3056-Conf-Anx5 23 December 2013
Annex 6 to the Second Additional Evidence Request	Annex 6 to the “Defence application for the admission of additional evidence within the context of the appeals against the <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> and the <i>Decision on Sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute</i> and for the submission of a new ground supporting these appeals”	ICC-01/04-01/06-3056-Conf-Anx6 23 December 2013
Decision on Adding a New Ground of Appeal and Order Regarding the Second Additional Evidence Request http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/49fd51/	Appeals Chamber, “Decision and order in relation to the request of 23 December 2013 filed by Mr Thomas Lubanga Dyilo”	ICC-01/04-01/06-3057-Corr Dated 13 January 2014, corrigendum registered on 14 January 2014
Response to the Second Additional Evidence Request http://www.legal-	“Prosecution’s Response to the “Requête de la Défense aux fins d’admission d’éléments de preuve supplémentaires dans le cadre des appels à l’encontre du ‘ <i>Jugement rendu en application de l’Article 74 du Statut</i> ’ et de la ‘ <i>Décision</i>	ICC-01/04-01/06-3058-Conf 17 January 2014 With public redacted version, ICC-01/04-01/06-3058-Red,

tools.org/doc/28f769/	<i>relative à la peine, rendue en application de l'article 76 du Statut' et aux fins de présentation d'un moyen nouveau au soutien de ces appels'»</i> , 17 January 2014, ICC-01/04-01/06-3058-Conf'	dated and registered on 31 January 2014
Response to Victims Observations on the Second Additional Evidence Request http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/4368b0/	“Réplique de la Défense conformément à la « <i>Decision and order in relation to the request of 23 December 2013 filed by Mr Thomas Lubanga Dyilo</i> », datée du 13 janvier 2014”	ICC-01/04-01/06-3063 3 February 2014 (available in French only)
Motion to Strike Sections of Mr Lubanga's Response to Victims Observations on the Second Additional Evidence Request http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/da1bd0/	“Prosecution's motion to strike sections of the Defence's reply and request, in the alternative, to file additional submissions”	ICC-01/04-01/06-3064 Dated 6 February 2014 and registered on 7 February 2014
Scheduling Order for a Hearing http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/ba87df/	Appeals Chamber, “Scheduling order for a hearing before the Appeals Chamber”	ICC-01/04-01/06-3067 21 March 2014
Further Order Regarding the Hearing http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/6fc49d/	Appeals Chamber, “Further order regarding the conduct of the hearing of the Appeals Chamber”	ICC-01/04-01/06-3068 25 March 2014
Third Additional Evidence Request https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/d16db2/	“Defence application to present additional evidence in the appeals against the <i>Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute</i> and the <i>Decision on sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute</i> , in accordance with regulation 62 of the Regulations of the Court”	ICC-01/04-01/06-3105-tENG Dated 23 May 2014, translation registered on 10 November 2014

Other Jurisprudence from the Court

<u>Designation</u>	<u>Full Name</u>	<u>Case Number and Date</u>
<i>Al-Bashir</i> Dissenting Opinion https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/e26cf4/	Pre-Trial Chamber I, <i>Prosecutor v. Omar Hassan Ahmad Al-Bashir</i> , “Decision on the Prosecution’s Application for a Warrant of Arrest against Omar Hassan Ahmad Al Bashir”	ICC-02/05-01/09-3, pages 96-146 4 March 2009
<i>Al-Senussi</i> OA 6 Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/ef20c7/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Saif Al-Islam Gaddafi and Abdullah Al-Senussi</i> , “Judgment on the appeal of Mr Abdullah Al-Senussi against the decision of Pre-Trial Chamber I of 11 October 2013 entitled “Decision on the admissibility of the case against Abdullah Al-Senussi””	ICC-01/11-01/11-565 (OA 6) 24 July 2014
<i>Banda and Jerbo</i> OA 2 Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/fafb22/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Abdallah Banda Abakaer Nourain and Saleh Mohammed Jerbo Jamus</i> , “Judgment on the appeal of the Prosecutor against the decision of Trial Chamber IV of 12 September 2011 entitled “Reasons for the Order on translation of witness statements (ICC-02/05-03/09-199) and additional instructions on translation””	ICC-02/05-03/09-295 (OA 2) 17 February 2012
<i>Bemba</i> Decision on the Confirmation of Charges http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/07965c/	Pre-Trial Chamber II, <i>Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo</i> , “Decision Pursuant to Article 61(7)(a) and (b) of the Rome Statute on the Charges of the Prosecutor Against Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo”	ICC-01/05-01/08-424 15 June 2009
<i>Bemba</i> OA Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/5a1931/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo</i> , “Judgment on the appeal of Mr. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo against the decision of Pre-Trial Chamber III entitled “Decision on application for interim release””	ICC-01/05-01/08-323 (OA) 16 December 2008
<i>Bemba</i> OA 2 Judgment http://www.legal-	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo</i> , “Judgment on the appeal of the Prosecutor against Pre-Trial	ICC-01/05-01/08-631-Conf (OA 2)

tools.org/doc/5bc6b2/	Chamber II's "Decision on the Interim Release of Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo and Convening Hearings with the Kingdom of Belgium, the Republic of Portugal, the Republic of France, the Federal Republic of Germany, the Italian Republic, and the Republic of South Africa"	2 December 2009 With public redacted version, ICC-01/05-01/08-631-Red (OA 2)
<i>Bemba</i> OA 3 Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/645115/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo</i> , "Judgment on the appeal of Mr Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo against the decision of Trial Chamber III of 24 June 2010 entitled "Decision on the Admissibility and Abuse of Process Challenges"	ICC-01/05-01/08-962 (OA 3) 19 October 2010 With corrigendum, ICC-01/05-01/08-962-Corr (OA 3), registered on 26 October 2010
<i>Bemba</i> OA 4 Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/f5c41c/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo</i> , "Judgment on the appeal of Mr Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo against the decision of Trial Chamber III of 28 July 2010 entitled "Decision on the review of the detention of Mr Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo pursuant to Rule 118(2) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence"	ICC-01/05-01/08-1019 (OA 4) 19 November 2010
<i>Bemba</i> OA 10 Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/ca5ff9/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo</i> , "Judgment on the appeal of Mr Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo against the decision of Trial Chamber III of 6 January 2012 entitled "Decision on the defence's 28 December 2011 'Requête de Mise en liberté provisoire de M. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo'"	ICC-01/05-01/08-2151-Conf (OA 10) 5 March 2012 With public redacted version, ICC-01/05-01/08-2151-Red (OA 10)
<i>Bemba et al</i> OA 4 Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/174fc3/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo et al.</i> , "Judgment on the appeal of Mr Jean-Jacques Mangenda Kabongo against the decision of Pre-Trial Chamber II of 17 March 2014 entitled "Decision on the 'Requête de mise en liberté' submitted by the Defence for Jean-Jacques Mangenda"	ICC-01/05-01/13-560 (OA 4) 11 July 2014

<p>DRC OA Judgment</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/8c20eb/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Situation in the Democratic Republic of the Congo</i>, “Judgment on the Prosecutor’s appeal against the decision of Pre-Trial Chamber I entitled “Decision on the Prosecutor’s Application for Warrants of Arrest, Article 58””</p>	<p>ICC-01/04-169 (OA)</p> <p>Dated 13 July 2006, reclassified as public on 23 September 2008 pursuant to Decision ICC-01/04-538-PUB-Exp</p>
<p>Gbagbo Decision on the Confirmation of Charges</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/5b41bc/</p>	<p>Pre-Trial Chamber I, <i>Prosecutor v. Laurent Gbagbo</i>, “Decision on the confirmation of charges against Laurent Gbagbo”</p>	<p>ICC-02/11-01/11-656-Conf</p> <p>12 June 2014</p> <p>With public redacted version, ICC-02/11-01/11-656-Red</p>
<p>Gbagbo OA 2 Judgment</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/c933b0/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Laurent Koudou Gbagbo</i>, “Judgment on the appeal of Mr Laurent Koudou Gbagbo against the decision of Pre-Trial Chamber I on jurisdiction and stay of the proceedings”</p>	<p>ICC-02/11-01/11-321 (OA 2)</p> <p>12 December 2012</p>
<p>Katanga and Ngudjolo OA 4 Judgment</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/69bee9/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui</i>, “Judgment In the Appeal by Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui of 27 March 2008 against the Decision of Pre-Trial Chamber I on the Application of the Appellant for Interim Release”</p>	<p>ICC-01/04-01/07-572 (OA 4)</p> <p>9 June 2008</p>
<p>Katanga and Ngudjolo Defence Motion on the Document Containing the Charges</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/4dad03/</p>	<p><i>Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui</i>, “Defence Motion seeking the Amendment of the Document containing the Charges”</p>	<p>ICC-01/04-01/07-574</p> <p>9 June 2008</p>
<p>Katanga and Ngudjolo Amended Document Containing the Charges</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/3773ef/</p>	<p>Pre-Trial Chamber I, <i>Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui</i>, Annex 1A to the “Prosecution’s Submission of Amended Document Containing the Charges and Additional List of Evidence”</p>	<p>ICC-01/04-01/07-584-Anx1A</p> <p>12 June 2008</p>

<i>Katanga and Ngudjolo</i> Additional List of Evidence	<i>Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui</i> , Annex 1B to the “Prosecution’s Submission of Amended Document Containing the Charges and Additional List of Evidence”	ICC-01/04-01/07-584-Conf-Anx1B 12 June 2008
<i>Katanga and Ngudjolo</i> Decision on the Three Defences’ Requests http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/a1d5d8/	Pre-Trial Chamber I, <i>Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui</i> , “Decision on the Three Defences’ Requests Regarding the Prosecution’s Amended Charging Document”	ICC-01/04-01/07-648 25 June 2008
<i>Katanga and Ngudjolo</i> Decision on the Confirmation of Charges http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/67a9ec/	Pre-Trial Chamber I, <i>Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga and Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui</i> , “Decision on the confirmation of charges”	ICC-01/04-01/07-717 Dated 30 September 2008 and registered on 1 October 2008
<i>Katanga</i> Trial Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/9813bb/	Trial Chamber II, <i>Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga</i> , “Jugement rendu en application de l’article 74 du Statut”	ICC-01/04-01/07-3436 7 March 2014 (available in French only)
<i>Kenya</i> Authorisation of Investigation Decision https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/338a6f/	Pre-Trial Chamber II, <i>Situation in the Republic of Kenya</i> , “Decision Pursuant to Article 15 of the Rome Statute on the Authorization of an Investigation into the Situation in the Republic of Kenya”	ICC-01/09-19 31 March 2010
<i>Kenyatta et al.</i> OA Decision http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/b33bab/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Francis Kirimi Muthaura, Uhuru Muigai Kenyatta and Mohammed Hussein Ali</i> , “Decision on the “Filing of Updated Investigation Report by the Government of Kenya in the Appeal against the Pre-Trial Chamber’s Decision on Admissibility””	ICC-01/09-02/11-202 (OA) 28 July 2011
<i>Kenyatta et al.</i> OA Judgment http://www.legal-	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Francis Kirimi Muthaura, Uhuru Muigai Kenyatta and Mohammed Hussein Ali</i> , “Judgment on the appeal of the Republic	ICC-01/09-02/11-274 (OA) 30 August 2011

tools.org/doc/c21f06/	of Kenya against the decision of Pre-Trial Chamber II of 30 May 2011 entitled “Decision on the Application by the Government of Kenya Challenging the Admissibility of the Case Pursuant to Article 19(2)(b) of the Statute””	
<i>Kenyatta and Muthaura</i> . Order to File an Updated DCC http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/bc2380/	Trial Chamber V, <i>Prosecutor v. Francis Kirimi Muthaura and Uhuru Muigai Kenyatta</i> , “Order for the prosecution to file an updated document containing the charges”	ICC-01/09-02/11-450 5 July 2012
<i>Kony et al.</i> OA 3 Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/c40d73/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Joseph Kony, Vincent Otti, Okot Odhiambo and Dominic Ongwen</i> , “Judgment on the appeal of the Defence against the “Decision on the admissibility of the case under article 19 (1) of the Statute” of 10 March 2009”	ICC-02/04-01/05-408 (OA 3) 16 September 2009
<i>Lubanga</i> OA 3 Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/7813d4/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo</i> , “Judgment on the Prosecutor’s appeal against the decision of Pre-Trial Chamber I entitled “Decision Establishing General Principles Governing Applications to Restrict Disclosure pursuant to Rule 81 (2) and (4) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence””	ICC-01/04-01/06-568 (OA 3) 13 October 2006
<i>Lubanga</i> OA 4 Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/1505f7/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo</i> , “Judgment on the Appeal of Mr. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo against the Decision on the Defence Challenge to the Jurisdiction of the Court pursuant to article 19 (2) (a) of the Statute of 3 October 2006”	ICC-01/04-01/06-772 (OA 4) 14 December 2006
<i>Lubanga</i> OA 13 Judgment http://www.legal-	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo</i> , “Judgment on the appeal of the Prosecutor against the decision of Trial Chamber I entitled “Decision on the	ICC-01/04-01/06-1486 (OA 13) 21 October 2008

tools.org/doc/485c2d/	consequences of non-disclosure of exculpatory materials covered by Article 54(3)(e) agreements and the application to stay the prosecution of the accused, together with certain other issues raised at the Status Conference on 10 June 2008””	
<i>Lubanga</i> OA 15/OA 16 Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/40d015/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo</i> , “Judgment on the appeals of Mr Lubanga Dyilo and the Prosecutor against the Decision of Trial Chamber I of 14 July 2009 entitled “Decision giving notice to the parties and participants that the legal characterisation of the facts may be subject to change in accordance with Regulation 55(2) of the Regulations of the Court””	ICC-01/04-01/06-2205 (OA 15 OA 16) 8 December 2009
<i>Lubanga</i> OA 18 Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/8f3b61/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo</i> , “Judgment on the appeal of the Prosecutor against the decision of Trial Chamber I of 8 July 2010 entitled “Decision on the Prosecution’s Urgent Request for Variation of the Time-Limit to Disclose the Identity of Intermediary 143 or Alternatively to Stay Proceedings Pending Further Consultations with the VWU””	ICC-01/04-01/06-2582 (OA 18) 8 October 2010
<i>Mbarushimana</i> OA Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/64a283/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Callixte Mbarushimana</i> , “Judgment on the appeal of Mr Callixte Mbarushimana against the decision of Pre-Trial Chamber I of 19 May 2011 entitled “Decision on the ‘Defence Request for Interim Release’””	ICC-01/04-01/10-283 (OA) 14 July 2011
<i>Ngudjolo</i> Concurring Opinion of Judge Van den Wyngaert http://www.legal-tools.org/en/doc/7d5200/	“Concurring Opinion of Judge Christine Van den Wyngaert” to Trial Chamber II, <i>Prosecutor v. Mathieu Ngudjolo Chui</i> , “Jugement rendu en application de l’article 74 du Statut” (ICC-01/04-02/12-3, available only in French)	ICC-01/04-02/12-4 18 December 2012
<i>Ntaganda</i> Dissenting	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Bosco</i>	ICC-01/04-02/06-271-Anx1

<p>Opinion</p> <p>https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/b7a3d5/</p>	<p><i>Ntaganda</i>, “Dissenting Opinion of Judge Anita Ušacka” annexed to “Judgment on the appeal of Mr Bosco Ntaganda against the decision of Pre-Trial Chamber II of 18 November 2013 entitled “Decision on the Defence’s Application for Interim Release””</p>	<p>(OA)</p> <p>5 March 2014</p>
<p><i>Ruto et al.</i> OA Judgment</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/ac5d46/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. William Samoei Ruto, Henry Kiprono Kosgey and Joshua Arap Sang</i>, “Judgment on the appeal of the Republic of Kenya against the decision of Pre-Trial Chamber II of 30 May 2011 entitled “Decision on the Application by the Government of Kenya Challenging the Admissibility of the Case Pursuant to Article 19(2)(b) of the Statute””</p>	<p>ICC-01/09-01/11-307 (OA)</p> <p>30 August 2011</p>
<p><i>Ruto et al.</i> Decision on the Confirmation of Charges</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/96c3c2/</p>	<p>Pre-Trial Chamber II, <i>Prosecutor v. William Samoei Ruto, Henry Kiprono Kosgey and Joshua Arap Sang</i>, “Decision on the Confirmation of Charges Pursuant to Article 61(7)(a) and (b) of the Rome Statute”</p>	<p>ICC-01/09-01/11-373</p> <p>23 January 2012</p>
<p><i>Ruto and Sang</i> OA 6 Decision</p> <p>https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/bb20f5/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. William Samoei Ruto and Joshua Arap Sang</i>, “Decision on the Prosecutor’s appeal against the “Decision on the Prosecution’s Request to Amend the Updated Document Containing the Charges Pursuant to Article 61(9) of the Statute””</p>	<p>ICC-01/09-01/11-1123 (OA 6)</p> <p>13 December 2013</p>

Drafting History from the Court

<u>Designation</u>	<u>Full Name</u>	<u>Case Number and Date</u>
1998 Report of the Preparatory Committee on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/816405/	United Nations Diplomatic Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court, <i>Report of the Preparatory Committee on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court</i>	A/CONF.183/2/Add.1 14 April 1998
Summary Record of the 2 nd Meeting http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/193393/	United Nations Diplomatic Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court, <i>Committee of the Whole Summary Record of the 2nd Meeting</i>	A/CONF.183/C.1/SR.2 20 November 1998
Summary Record of the 2 nd Plenary Meeting http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/ea121e/	United Nations Diplomatic Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court, <i>Summary Record of the 2nd Plenary Meeting</i>	A/CONF.183/SR.2 20 November 1998
Summary Record of the 4 th Plenary Meeting http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/7e13da/	United Nations Diplomatic Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court, <i>Summary Record of the 4th Plenary Meeting</i>	A/CONF.183/SR.4 20 November 1998
Summary Record of the 6 th Plenary Meeting http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/542549/	United Nations Diplomatic Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court, <i>Summary Record of the 6th Plenary Meeting</i>	A/CONF.183/SR.6 20 November 1998
Summary Record of the 8 th Plenary Meeting http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/1c3fe1/	United Nations Diplomatic Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Establishment of an International Criminal Court, <i>Summary Record of the 8th Plenary Meeting</i>	A/CONF-1.83/SR.8 25 January 1999

Jurisprudence of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda

<u>Designation</u>	<u>Full Name</u>	<u>Case Number and Date</u>
<i>Akayesu</i> Trial Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/b8d7bd/	Trial Chamber I, <i>The Prosecutor v. Jean-Paul Akayesu</i> , “Judgement”	ICTR-96-4-T 2 September 1998
<i>Bagosora and Nsengiyumva</i> Appeal Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/52d501/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Théoneste Bagosora and Anatole Nsengiyumva v. The Prosecutor</i> , “Judgement”	ICTR-98-41-A 14 December 2011
<i>Bikindi</i> Appeal Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/e112dd/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Simon Bikindi v. The Prosecutor</i> , “Judgement”	ICTR-01-72-A 18 March 2010
<i>Kanyarukiga</i> Additional Evidence Decision http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/5b9429/	Appeals Chamber, <i>The Prosecutor v. Gaspard Kanyarukiga</i> , “Decision on Request to Admit Additional Evidence of 1 August 2008”	ICTR-2002-78-R11bis 1 September 2008
<i>Kanyarukiga</i> Trial Judgment and Sentence http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/415384/	Trial Chamber II, <i>The Prosecutor v. Gaspard Kanyarukiga</i> , “Judgement and Sentence”	ICTR-2002-78-T 1 November 2010
<i>Karemera et al.</i> Decision on Prosecutor’s Disclosure Obligations https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/27b6b9/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Édouard Karemera, Mat[t]hieu Nzirorera and Joseph Nzirorera</i> , “Decision on Interlocutory Appeal Regarding the Role of the Prosecutor’s Electronic Disclosure Suite in Discharging Disclosure Obligations”	ICTR-98-44-AR73.7 30 June 2006
<i>Karemera et al.</i> Decision on Admission of Evidence Rebutting Adjudicated Facts http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/570fc4/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Édouard Karemera, Matthieu Nzirorera and Joseph Nzirorera v. The Prosecutor</i> , “Decision on Joseph Nzirorera’s Appeal of Decision on Admission of Evidence Rebutting Adjudicated Facts”	ICTR-98-44-AR73.17 29 May 2009

<p><i>Karera</i> Appeal Judgment</p> <p>https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/5bf368/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>François Karera v. The Prosecutor</i>, “Judgement”</p>	<p>ICTR-01-74-A</p> <p>2 February 2009</p>
<p><i>Kayishema and Ruzindana</i> Appeal Judgment</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/9ea5f4/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>The Prosecutor v. Clément Kayishema and Obed Ruzindana</i>, “Judgment (Reasons)”</p>	<p>ICTR-95-1-A</p> <p>1 June 2001</p>
<p><i>Muhimana</i> Appeal Judgment</p> <p>https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/8b044b/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Mikaeli Muhimana v. The Prosecutor</i>, “Judgement”</p>	<p>ICTR-95-1B-A</p> <p>21 May 2007</p>
<p><i>Musema</i> Appeal Judgment</p> <p>https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/6a3fce/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Alfred Musema v. The Prosecutor</i>, “Judgement”</p>	<p>ICTR-96-13-A</p> <p>16 November 2001</p>
<p><i>Muvunyi</i> Appeal Judgment</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/2e6b3a/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Tharcisse Muvunyi v. The Prosecutor</i>, “Judgement”</p>	<p>ICTR-2000-55A-A</p> <p>29 August 2008</p>
<p><i>Nahimana et al.</i> First Additional Evidence Decision</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/f076c8/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Ferdinand Nahimana, Jean-Bosco Barayagwiza and Hassan Ngeze v. The Prosecutor</i>, “Decision on Motions Relating to the Appellant Hassan Ngeze’s and the Prosecution’s Requests for Leave to Present Additional Evidence of Witnesses ABC1 and EB”</p>	<p>ICTR-99-52-A</p> <p>27 November 2006</p>
<p><i>Nahimana et al.</i> Second Additional Evidence Decision</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/cdd843/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Ferdinand Nahimana, Jean-Bosco Barayagwiza and Hassan Ngeze v. The Prosecutor</i>, “Decision on Appellant Jean-Bosco Barayagwiza’s Motions for Leave to Present Additional Evidence pursuant to Rule 115 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence”</p>	<p>ICTR-99-52-A</p> <p>8 December 2006</p>

<p><i>Nahimana et al.</i> Rebuttal Material Decision</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/1a5743/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Ferdinand Nahimana, Jean-Bosco Barayagwiza and Hassan Ngeze v. The Prosecutor</i>, “Decision on Prosecution’s Motion for Leave to Call Rebuttal Material”</p>	<p>ICTR-99-52-A</p> <p>13 December 2006</p>
<p><i>Nahimana et al.</i> Appeal Judgment</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/4ad5eb/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Ferdinand Nahimana, Jean-Bosco Barayagwiza and Hassan Ngeze v. The Prosecutor</i>, “Judgement”</p>	<p>ICTR-99-52-A</p> <p>28 November 2007</p>
<p><i>Nchamihigo</i> Appeal Judgment</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/431179/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Siméon Nchamihigo v. The Prosecutor</i>, “Judgement”</p>	<p>ICTR-2001-63-A</p> <p>18 March 2010</p>
<p><i>Ndindabahizi</i> Appeal Judgment</p> <p>https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/0f3219/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Emmanuel Ndindabahizi v. The Prosecutor</i>, “Judgement”</p>	<p>ICTR-01-71-A</p> <p>16 January 2007</p>
<p><i>Ntagerura et al.</i> Appeal Judgment</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/816b44/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>The Prosecutor v. André Ntagerura, Emmanuel Bagambiki and Samuel Imanishimwe</i>, “Judgement”</p>	<p>ICTR-99-46-A</p> <p>7 July 2006</p>
<p><i>Rutaganda</i> Trial Judgment</p> <p>https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/f0dbbb/</p>	<p>Trial Chamber I, <i>The Prosecutor v. Georges Anderson Nderubumwe Rutaganda</i>, “Judgement and Sentence”</p>	<p>ICTR-96-3-T</p> <p>6 December 1999</p>
<p><i>Seromba</i> Appeal Judgment</p> <p>https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/b4df9d/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>The Prosecutor v. Athanase Seromba</i>, “Judgement”</p>	<p>ICTR-2001-66-A</p> <p>12 March 2008</p>

Jurisprudence of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia

<u>Designation</u>	<u>Full Name</u>	<u>Case Number and Date</u>
<i>Aleksovski</i> Appeal Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/176f05/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Zlatko Aleksovski</i> , “Judgement”	IT-95-14/1-A 24 March 2000
<i>Blagojević and Jokić</i> Appeal Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/c32768/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Vidoje Blagojević and Dragan Jokić</i> , “Judgement”	IT-02-60-A 9 May 2007
<i>Blaškić</i> Appeal Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/88d8e6/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Tihomir Blaškić</i> , “Judgement”	IT-95-14-A 29 July 2004
<i>Brđanin and Talić</i> Amended Indictment Decision https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/fa1457/	Trial Chamber II, <i>Prosecutor v. Radoslav Brđanin and Momir Talić</i> , “Decision on form of further Amended Indictment and Prosecution Application to Amend”	IT-99-36-PT 26 June 2001
<i>Brđanin</i> Trial Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/4c3228/	Trial Chamber II, <i>Prosecutor v. Radoslav Brđanin</i> , “Judgement”	IT-99-36-T 1 September 2004
<i>Brđanin</i> Appeal Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/782cef/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Radoslav Brđanin</i> , “Judgement”	IT-99-36-A 3 April 2007
<i>Galić</i> Trial Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/eb6006/	Trial Chamber I, <i>Prosecutor v. Stanislav Galić</i> , “Judgement and Opinion”	IT-98-29-T 5 December 2003
<i>Gotovina et al.</i> Trial Judgment Volume I: http://www.legal-	Trial Chamber I, <i>Prosecutor v. Ante Gotovina, Ivan Čermak and Mladen Markač</i> , “Judgement”, Volumes I and II	IT-06-90-T 15 April 2011

tools.org/doc/7c85bd/ Volume II: http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/86922c/		
<i>Gotovina and Markač</i> Appeal Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/03b685/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Ante Gotovina and Mladen Markač</i> , “Judgement”	IT-06-90-A 16 November 2012
<i>Halilović Appeal Judgment</i> https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/d97ef6/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Sefer Halilović</i> , “Judgement”	IT-01-48-A 16 October 2007
<i>Karadžić Admission of Evidence Decision</i> https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/132afe/	Trial Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v Radovan Karadžić</i> , “Decision on Prosecution’s Bar Table Motion for the Admission of Documents Related to the Sarajevo Component”	IT-95-5/18-T 11 May 2012
<i>Krajišnik Additional Evidence Decision</i> https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/f89b31/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Momčilo Krajišnik</i> , “Decision on Appellant Momčilo Krajišnik’s Motion to Present Additional Evidence” annexed to “Order Issuing A Public Redacted Version of “Decision on Appellant Momčilo Krajišnik’s Motion to Present Additional Evidence” and Lifting Confidentiality of Certain Documents”	IT-00-39-A 20 August 2008 With public redacted version registered on 4 November 2008
<i>Krajišnik Rule 115 Decision</i> http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/08974b/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Momčilo Krajišnik</i> , “Decision on Appellant Momčilo Krajišnik’s Motion to Call Radovan Karadžić pursuant to Rule 115”	IT-00-39-A 16 October 2008
<i>Krajišnik Additional Witnesses Decision</i> https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/5da6c4/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Momčilo Krajišnik</i> , “Decision on Momčilo Krajišnik’s Motion to Present Additional Evidence and to call Additional Witnesses pursuant to Rule 115, and to Reconsider Decision not to	IT-00-39-A 6 November 2008

	Call Former Counsel”	
<i>Krajišnik</i> Appeal Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/770028/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Momčilo Krajišnik</i> , “Judgement”	IT-00-39-A 17 March 2009
<i>Krstić</i> Trial Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/440d3a/	Trial Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Radislav Krstić</i> , “Judgement”	IT-98-33-T 2 August 2001
<i>Kunarac et al.</i> Acquittal Decision http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/3e07a6/	Trial Chamber II, <i>Prosecutor v. Dragoljub Kunarac, Radomir Kovač and Zoran Vuković</i> , “Decision on Motion for Acquittal”	IT-96-23-T and IT-96-23/1-T 3 July 2000
<i>Kunarac et al.</i> Appeal Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/029a09/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Dragoljub Kunarac, Radomir Kovač and Zoran Vuković</i> , “Judgement”	IT-96-23 and IT-96-23/1-A 12 June 2002
<i>Kupreškić et al.</i> Appeal Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/c6a5d1	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Zoran Kupreškić, Mirjan Kupreškić, Vlatko Kupreškić, Drago Josipović and Vladimir Šantić</i> , “Appeal Judgement”	IT-95-16-A 23 October 2001
<i>Kvočka et al.</i> Appeal Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/006011/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Miroslav Kvočka, Mlado Radić, Zoran Žigić and Dragoljub Prcać</i> , “Judgement”	IT-98-30/1-A 28 February 2005
<i>Martić</i> Trial Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/06634c/	Trial Chamber I, <i>Prosecutor v. Milan Martić</i> , “Judgement”	IT-95-11-T 12 June 2007
<i>Martić</i> Appeal Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/ca5eff/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Milan Martić</i> , “Judgement”	IT-95-11-A 8 October 2008

<p><i>Milošević</i> Appeal Judgment</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/44327f/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Dragomir Milošević</i>, “Judgement”</p>	<p>IT-98-29/1-A</p> <p>12 November 2009</p>
<p><i>Mrkšić and Šljivančanin</i> Appeal Judgment</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/40bc41/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Mile Mrkšić and Veselin Šljivančanin</i>, “Judgement”</p>	<p>IT-95-13/1-A</p> <p>5 May 2009</p>
<p><i>Popović et al.</i> Additional Evidence Decision</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/ee1184/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Vujadin Popović, Ljubiša Beara, Drago Nikolić, Radivoje Miletić, Milan Gvero, Vinko Pandurević</i>, “Decision on Vujadin Popović’s Motion for Admission of Additional Evidence on Appeal pursuant to Rule 115”</p>	<p>IT-05-88-A</p> <p>20 October 2011</p>
<p><i>Stakić</i> Trial Judgment</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/32ecfb/</p>	<p>Trial Chamber II, <i>Prosecutor v. Milomir Stakić</i>, “Judgement”</p>	<p>IT-97-24-T</p> <p>31 July 2003</p>
<p><i>Stakić</i> Appeal Judgment</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/09f75f/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Milomir Stakić</i>, “Judgement”</p>	<p>IT-97-24-A</p> <p>22 March 2006</p>
<p><i>Tadić</i> Jurisdiction Decision</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/866e17/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Duško Tadić</i>, “Decision on the Defence Motion for Interlocutory Appeal on Jurisdiction”</p>	<p>IT-94-1-AR72</p> <p>2 October 1995</p>
<p><i>Tadić</i> Additional Evidence Decision</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/834222/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Duško Tadić</i>, “Decision on Appellant’s Motion for the Extension of the Time-Limit and Admission of Additional Evidence”</p>	<p>IT-94-1-A</p> <p>15 October 1998</p>
<p><i>Tadić</i> Appeal Judgment</p> <p>http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/8efc3a/</p>	<p>Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Duško Tadić</i>, “Judgement”</p>	<p>IT-94-1-A</p> <p>15 July 1999</p>

Jurisprudence of the Special Court for Sierra Leone

<u>Designation</u>	<u>Full Name</u>	<u>Case Number and Date</u>
AFRC Trial Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/87ef08/	Trial Chamber II, <i>Prosecutor v. Alex Tamba Brima, Brima Bazzy Kamara and Santigie Borbor Kanu</i> , “Judgement”	SCSL-04-16-T 20 June 2007
AFRC Appeal Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/4420ef/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Alex Tamba Brima, Brima Bazzy Kamara and Santigie Borbor Kanu</i> , “Judgment”	SCSL-2004-16-A 22 February 2008
CDF Trial Judgment Part II: https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/bfbd46/	Trial Chamber I, <i>Prosecutor v. Moinina Fofana and Allieu Kondewa</i> , “Judgement”	SCSL-04-14-T 2 August 2007
CDF Appeal Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/b31512/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Moinina Fofana and Allieu Kondewa</i> , “Judgment”	SCSL-04-14-A 28 May 2008
Dissenting Opinion of Justice Robertson https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/098fbb/	Appeals Chamber, <i>The Prosecutor v. Sam Hinga Norman</i> , “Dissenting Opinion of Justice Robertson” on “Decision on preliminary motion based on lack of jurisdiction (Child Recruitment)”	SCSL-2004-14-AR72(E) 31 May 2004
RUF Trial Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/7f05b7/	Trial Chamber I, <i>Prosecutor v. Issa Hassan Sesay, Morris Kallon and Augustine Gbao</i> , “Judgement”	SCSL-04-15-T 2 March 2009
RUF Appeal Judgment http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/133b48/	Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Issa Hassan Sesay, Morris Kallon and Augustine Gbao</i> , “Judgment”	SCSL-04-15-A 26 October 2009
Taylor Trial Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/8075e7/	Trial Chamber II, <i>Prosecutor v. Charles Ghankay Taylor</i> , “Judgement”	SCSL-03-01-T 18 May 2012

Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights

<u>Designation</u>	<u>Full Name</u>	<u>Case Number and Date</u>
<i>Ayçoban and others v. Turkey</i> https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/50f71e/	Chamber, <i>Ayçoban and others v. Turkey</i> , “Judgment”	Applications nos. 42208/02, 43491/02 and 43495/02 22 December 2005
<i>Block v. Hungary</i> https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/20768f/	Chamber, <i>Block v. Hungary</i> , “Judgment”	Application no. 56282/09 25 January 2011
<i>Dallos v. Hungary</i> https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/8e811c/	Chamber, <i>Dallos v. Hungary</i> , “Judgment”	Application no. 29082/95 1 March 2001
<i>Hadjianastassiou v. Greece</i> https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/0c4d17/	Chamber, <i>Hadjianastassiou v. Greece</i> , “Judgment”	Application No. 12945/87 16 December 1992
<i>I.H. and others v. Austria</i> https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/c2357c/	Chamber, <i>I.H. and others v. Austria</i> , “Judgment”	Application no. 42780/98 20 April 2006
<i>Juha Nuutinen v. Finland</i> https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/810173/	Chamber, <i>Juha Nuutinen v. Finland</i> , “Judgment”	Application no. 45830/99 24 April 2007
<i>Mattoccia v. Italy</i> https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/5e07d3/	Chamber, <i>Mattoccia v. Italy</i> , “Judgment”	Application no. 23969/94 25 July 2000
<i>Penev v. Bulgaria</i> https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/989a14/	Chamber, <i>Penev v. Bulgaria</i> , “Judgment”	Application no. 20494/04 7 January 2010
<i>Pélissier v. France</i> https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/e092c3/	Grand Chamber, <i>Pélissier and Sassi v. France</i> , “Judgment”	Application no. 25444/94 25 March 1999

<i>Sadak and others v. Turkey (No. 1)</i> http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/fb0ec9/	Chamber, <i>Sadak and others v. Turkey</i> (No. 1), “Judgment”	Applications nos. 29900/96, 29901/96, 29902/96 and 29903/96 17 July 2001
<i>Sipavičius v. Lithuania</i> http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/7da16c/	Chamber, <i>Sipavičius v. Lithuania</i> , “Judgment”	Application no. 49093/99 21 February 2002
<i>Zhupnik v. Ukraine</i> https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/49e7b0/	Chamber, <i>Zhupnik v. Ukraine</i> , “Judgment”	Application no. 20792/05 9 December 2010

Domestic Jurisprudence

<u>Designation</u>	<u>Full Name</u>	<u>Case Number and Date</u>
<i>Anderton</i> Appeal Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/cde38c/	United States of America, Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, <i>United States v. Anderton</i>	136 F.3d 747 4 March 1998
<i>Broyles</i> Appeal Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/f040d1/	United States of America, Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, <i>United States v. Broyles</i>	37 F.3d 1314 13 October 1994
<i>Fox</i> Appeal Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/08e143/	United States of America, Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, <i>United States v. Fox</i>	248 F.3d 394 13 April 2001
<i>Garbett</i> Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/765fd2/	Canada, Ontario Court of Justice, <i>R. v. Garbett</i>	ONCJ 97 4 March 2008
<i>Hilton</i> Appeal Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/e60c3e/	United States of America, Court of Appeals for the First Circuit, <i>United States v. Hilton</i>	167 F.3d 61 1 March 1999
Inadmissibility Judgment	France, Cour de Cassation, Chambre	Bulletin criminal 2004 no. 32,

https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/563468/	criminelle, No. 03-81.984	page 129 4 February 2004
<i>Katz</i> Appeal Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/900984/	United States of America, Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, <i>United States v. Katz</i>	178 F.3d 368 14 June 1999
<i>Kennedy</i> Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/1ba636/	Australia, Supreme Court of South Australia, <i>Police v. Kennedy</i>	SASC 7122 28 April 1998
<i>Keough</i> Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/3dbe30/	Canada, Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta, <i>R. v. Keough</i>	ABQB 312 6 May 2011
<i>Kimler</i> Appeal Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/3d7995/	United States of America, Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, <i>United States v. Kimler</i>	335 F.3d 1132 7 July 2003
<i>Lanning</i> Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/6d1ff2/	Canada, Provincial Court of Alberta, <i>R. v. Lanning</i>	ABPC 171 19 June 2012
<i>Loring</i> Ruling https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/d277c2/	Canada, Supreme Court of British Columbia, <i>R. v. Loring</i>	BCSC 200 5 February 2001
<i>Moloney</i> Appeal Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/9a27f6/	United Kingdom, House of Lords, <i>R. v. Moloney</i>	[1985] AC 905 21 March 1985
<i>Nedrick</i> Appeal Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/47c3d5/	United Kingdom, Court of Appeal, <i>R. v. Nedrick</i>	[1986] EWCA Crim 2 10 July 1986
<i>O'Malley</i> Appeal Judgment https://www.legal-	United States of America, Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, <i>United States v. O'Malley</i>	854 F.2d 1085 1 August 1988

tools.org/doc/64cbd9/		
<i>Rayl</i> Appeal Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/b6d152/	United States of America, Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, <i>United States v. Rayl</i>	270 F.3d 709 29 October 2001
<i>Rearden</i> Appeal Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/a3f4c1/	United States of America, Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, <i>United States v. Rearden</i>	349 F.3d 608 6 November 2003
<i>Riccardi</i> Appeal Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/69f114/	United States of America, United States District Court, D. Kansas, <i>United States v. Riccardi</i>	02-20060-01-JWL 11 April 2003
<i>Silva</i> Order https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/a13447/	Australia, Supreme Court of the Australian Capital Territory, <i>R. v. Silva</i> , “Order”	ACTSC 108 4 September 2009
<i>Snow</i> Appeal Judgment unpublished	United States of America, Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, <i>United States v. Snow</i>	WL 171572 7 November 1990
<i>Woollin</i> Appeal Judgment https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/c3e74a/	United Kingdom, House of Lords, <i>R v. Woollin</i>	[1998] 3 W.L.R. 25 June 1998

International Legal Texts

<u>Abbreviation/Designation</u>	<u>Full Name</u>	<u>Document Number and Date of Adoption</u>
ACHR http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/1152cf/	<i>American Convention on Human Rights</i> “ <i>Pact of San Jose, Costa Rica</i> ”	1144 United Nations Treaty Series 17955 22 November 1969
Additional Protocol I http://www.legal-	<i>Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of</i>	1125 United Nations Treaty Series 17512

tools.org/doc/d9328a/	<i>International Armed Conflicts (Protocol I)</i>	8 June 1977
Additional Protocol II https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/fd14c4/	<i>Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts (Protocol II)</i>	1125 United Nations Treaty Series 17513 8 June 1977
Basic Principles for the Protection of Civilian Population https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/99cd6c/	<i>Basic principles for the protection of civilian populations in armed conflicts</i>	United Nations A/RES/2675 9 December 1970
Convention on the Rights of the Child https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/bac6e9/	<i>Convention on the Rights of the Child</i>	1577 United Nations Treaty Series 27531 20 November 1989
ECHR https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/8267cb/	<i>Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, as amended by Protocols No. 11 and No. 14</i>	213 United Nations Treaty Series 2889 4 November 1950
Elements of Crimes http://www.legal-tools.org/en/doc/3c0e2d/	<i>Elements of Crimes</i>	ICC-ASP/1/3 Adopted by the Assembly of States Parties, 9 September 2002, last amended in June 2010
Geneva Convention I https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/baf8e7/	<i>Geneva Convention for the Amelioration of the Condition of the Wounded and Sick in Armed Forces in the Field</i>	75 United Nations Treaty Series 970 12 August 1949
Geneva Convention II http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/0d0216/	<i>Geneva Convention for the Amelioration of the Condition of Wounded, Sick and Shipwrecked Members of Armed Forces at Sea</i>	75 United Nations Treaty Series 971 12 August 1949
Geneva Convention III http://www.legal-	<i>Geneva Convention relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War</i>	75 United Nations Treaty Series 972

tools.org/doc/365095/		12 August 1949
Geneva Convention IV http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/d5e260/	<i>Geneva Convention relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War</i>	75 United Nations Treaty Series 973 12 August 1949
ICCPR https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/2838f3/	<i>International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights</i>	999 United Nations Treaty Series 14668 16 December 1966
ICTR Rules of Procedure and Evidence https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/93961e/	<i>Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda</i>	29 June 1995, last amended on 10 April 2013
ICTY Rules of Procedure and Evidence https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/950cb6/	<i>Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia</i>	IT/32/Rev. 49 11 February 1994, last amended on 22 May 2013
Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child http://www.legal-tools.org/doc/669fb1/	<i>Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on the involvement of children in armed conflicts</i>	General Assembly resolution A/RES/54/263 of 25 May 2000
Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/6bfcd4/	<i>Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties</i>	1155 United Nations Treaty Series 18232 23 May 1969

Books, Journals and Reports

<u>Full Citation</u>
K. Ambos, <i>Treatise on International Criminal Law</i> , Vol. I (Oxford University Press, 1 st ed., 2013).
C. L. Blakesley, “Commentary on Parts 5 and 6 of the Zupthen Inter-Sessional Draft: Investigation, Prosecution, & Trial”, in L. S. Wexler and M. C. Bassiouni (eds.), <i>Observations on the consolidated ICC text before the final session of the preparatory committee</i> (Érès, Nouvelles Etudes Pénales 13bis, 1998), p. 69.
M. Bothe, “War Crimes” in A. Cassese et al. (eds.), <i>The Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: A Commentary</i> , Vol. 1 (Oxford University Press, 2002), p. 379.
M. Bothe et al., <i>New Rules for Victims of Armed Conflicts-Commentary on the Two 1977 Protocols Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 1949</i> (Nijhoff, 2 nd edition, 2013).
C. Cattaneo et al., “Can facial proportions taken from images be of use for ageing in cases of suspected child pornography? A pilot study”, 126, <i>International Journal of Legal Medicine</i> (2012), p. 139.
C. Cattaneo et al., “The difficult issue of age assessment on pedo-pornographic material”, 183 <i>Forensic Science International</i> (2009), p. e21.
N.A. Combs, <i>Fact Finding Without Facts, The Uncertain Evidentiary Foundations of International Criminal Convictions</i> , (Cambridge University Press, 2010).
M. Cottier et al., “Article 8” in O. Triffterer (ed.), <i>Commentary on the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: Observers’ Notes, Article by Article</i> (Beck et al., 2 nd ed., 2008), p. 275.
H. Crawley, <i>Research report, When is a child not a child? Asylum, age disputes and the process of age assessment</i> (Immigration Law Practitioners’ Association, 2007).
M. Cummaudo et al., “Pitfalls at the root of facial assessment on photographs: a quantitative study of accuracy in positioning facial landmarks” 127 <i>International Journal of Legal Medicine</i> (2013), p. 699.
M. Cupido, “Pluralism in Theories of Liability – Joint Criminal Enterprise versus Joint Perpetration”, in E. van Sliedregt, S. Vasiliev (eds.), <i>Pluralism in International Criminal Law</i> (Oxford University Press, 1 st ed., 2014), p. 128.
R. Dixon and K. Khan, <i>Archbold International Criminal Courts: Practice, Procedure & Evidence</i> (Sweet & Maxwell, 4 th ed., 2013).

K. Dörmann, <i>Elements of War Crimes under the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, Sources and Commentary</i> (ICRC and Cambridge University Press, 2003).
M. D. Dubber, “Criminalizing Complicity. A Comparative Analysis”, 5 <i>Journal of International Criminal Justice</i> (2007), p. 977.
M-T. Dulti and A. Bouvier, “Protection of children in armed conflict: the rules of international law and the role of the International Committee of the Red Cross”, 4 <i>International Journal of Children’s Rights</i> (1996), p. 181.
A. Eser “Individual Criminal Responsibility” in A. Cassese <i>et al.</i> (eds.), <i>The Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: A Commentary</i> , Vol. I (Oxford University Press, 2002), p. 790.
A. Eser, “Mental Element-Mistake of Fact and Mistake of Law” in A. Cassese <i>et al.</i> (eds.), <i>The Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: A Commentary</i> , Vol. I (Oxford University Press, 2002), p. 914.
C. Farrell, <i>Children’s Rights</i> (ABDO Publishing Company, 2010).
B. A. Garner, <i>Black’s Law Dictionary</i> (West, 9 th ed., 2009).
C. Garraway, “Art. 8(2)(b)(xxvi)-Using, Conscripting or Enlisting Children”, in R. S. Lee (ed.), <i>The International Criminal Court: Elements of Crimes and Rules of Procedure and Evidence</i> (Transnational Publishers, 2001), p. 183.
M. Happold, <i>Child soldiers in international law</i> (Manchester University Press, 2005).
J-M. Henckaerts and L. Doswald-Beck, <i>Customary International Humanitarian Law</i> , Vol. I (ICRC and Cambridge University Press, 2009).
F. Jessberger and J. Geneuss, “On the Application of a Theory of Indirect Perpetration in <i>Al Bashir</i> . German Doctrine at The Hague?”, 6 <i>Journal of International Criminal Justice</i> (2008), p. 853.
F. Kalshoven and L. Zegveld , <i>Constraints on the Waging of War: An Introduction to International Humanitarian Law</i> (ICRC and Cambridge University Press, 4th ed., 2012).
M. J. Keegan, “Preparation of Cases for the ICTY”, 7 <i>Transnational Law and Contemporary Problems</i> (1999), p. 119.
T. Keshelava and B. Zehnder, “Art. 264f” in M. A. Niggli and H. Wipraechtger (eds.), <i>Basler Kommentar, Strafrecht II, Besondere Bestimmungen</i> , (Helbing Lichtenhahn Verlag, 3 rd ed., 2013), p. 2202.

M. Klamberg, <i>Evidence in International Criminal Trials, Confronting Legal Gaps and the Reconstruction of disputed Events</i> (Nijhoff, International Criminal Law Series 2, 2013).
I. Kugler, “The Definition of Oblique Intention”, 68 <i>The Journal of Criminal Law</i> (2004), p. 79.
André Kölln, <i>DDR in the Democratic Republic of Congo: an overview</i> (Peace Direct, November 2011).
S. Manacorda and C. Meloni, “Indirect Perpetration Versus Joint Criminal Enterprise”, 9(1) <i>Journal of International Criminal Justice</i> (2011), p. 159.
P. D. Marshall, “A Comparative Analysis of the Right To Appeal”, 22 <i>Duke Journal of Comparative & International Law</i> (2011), p. 1.
R. Maurach <i>et al.</i> , <i>Strafrecht Allgemeiner Teil, Teilband 2</i> (C.F. Müller, 8 th ed., 2014).
N. Melzer, <i>Interpretative guidance on the notion of direct participation in hostilities under international humanitarian law</i> (ICRC, 2009). Available at https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/0b4479/
J. D. Ohlin <i>et al.</i> , “Assessing the Control-Theory”, 26 <i>Leiden Journal of International Law</i> (2013), p. 725.
J. D. Ohlin, “Organizational Criminality”, in E. van Sliedregt, S. Vasiliev (eds.), <i>Pluralism in International Criminal Law</i> (Oxford University Press, 1 st ed., 2014), p. 107.
H. Olásolo, <i>The Criminal Responsibility of Senior Political and Military Leaders as Principals to International Crimes</i> , (Hart Publishing, 2009).
Oxford English Dictionary, accessed at http://www.oed.com/ .
L. Reydamas <i>et al.</i> (eds), <i>International Prosecutors</i> (Oxford University Press, 2012).
C. M. Rohan, “Reasonable Doubt Standard of Proof in International Criminal Trials”, in K. Khan <i>et al.</i> (eds.), <i>Principles of Evidence in International Criminal Justice</i> (Oxford University Press, 2010), p. 650.
A. L. Rosenbloom, “Inaccuracy of age assessment from images of post pubescent subjects in cases of alleged child pornography”, 127 <i>International Journal of Legal Medicine</i> (2013), p. 467.
C. Roxin, <i>Taeterschaft und Tatherrschaft</i> (De Gruyter Rechtswissenschaften Verlags-GmbH, 8 th ed., 2006).

S. SáCouto and K. Cleary, “The Adjudication Process and Reasoning at the International Criminal Court: The Lubanga Trial Chamber Judgment, Sentencing and Reparations”, 30 <i>IUS Gentium</i> (2014), p. 131.
L. N. Sadat and J. M. Jolly, “Seven Canons of ICC Treaty Interpretation: Making Sense of Article 25’s Rorschach Blot”, 27 <i>Leiden Journal of International Law</i> (2014), p. 755.
Y. Sandoz <i>et al.</i> , <i>Commentary on the Additional Protocols of June 1977 to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949</i> (ICRC, 1987). Available at https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/0b4479/
W. A. Schabas, <i>The International Criminal Court: A Commentary on the Rome Statute</i> (Oxford University Press, 2010).
W. Schabas, “Article 66 Presumption of innocence”, in O. Triffterer (ed.), <i>Commentary on the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: Observers’ Notes, Article by Article</i> (Beck <i>et al.</i> , 2 nd ed., 2008), p. 1233.
G. Sluiter <i>et al.</i> (eds.), <i>International Criminal Procedure: Principles and Rules</i> (Oxford University Press, 2013).
J. G. Stewart, “Ten Reasons for Adopting a Universal Concept of Participation in Atrocity” in E. van Sliedregt, S. Vasiliev (eds.), <i>Pluralism in International Criminal Law</i> (Oxford University Press, 1 st ed., 2014), p. 320.
J. Stewart, “The End of ‘Modes of Liability’ for International Crimes” 25 <i>Leiden Journal of International Law</i> (2012), p. 165.
T. Smith and L. Brownless, <i>Discussion Paper, Age Assessment Practices: a literature review & annotated bibliography</i> (United Nations Children’s Fund, 2011).
G. P. Suárez, <i>Kindersoldaten im Völkerstrafrecht</i> (BVW, 2009).
O. Triffterer, “Article 74 Requirements for the decision”, in O. Triffterer (ed.), <i>Commentary on the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: Observers’ Notes, Article by Article</i> (Beck <i>et al.</i> , 2 nd ed., 2008), p. 1387.
United Kingdom Law Commission, Criminal Law “Legislating the Criminal Code Offences Against The Person and General Principles”, 218 <i>LAW COM.</i> (2003). Available at https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/b8fbf4/
N. J. Udombana, “War is not a child’s play! International law and the prohibition of children’s involvement in armed conflicts”, 20 <i>Temple International and Comparative Law Journal</i> (2006), p. 57.
J. D. Van der Vyver, “The International Criminal Court and the Concept of Mens Rea in International Criminal Law”, 12 <i>University of Miami International & Comparative Law Review</i> (2004), p. 57.

E. Van Sliedregt, *Individual criminal responsibility in international law* (Oxford University Press, 2012).

B. Verhey, *Going home: Demobilising and reintegrating child soldiers in the democratic Republic of Congo* (Save the Children, 2003).

H. Von Hebel, "Crimes Within the Jurisdiction of the Court" in R. S. K. Lee (ed.), *The International Criminal Court: The Making of the Rome Statute: Issues, Negotiations, Results* (Kluwer Law International, 1999), p. 79.

T. Weigend, "Perpetration through an Organization: The Unexpected Career of a German Legal Concept", 9 *Journal of International Criminal Justice* (2011), p. 91.

G. Werle, "Individual Criminal Responsibility in Article 25 ICC Statute", 5 *Journal of International Criminal Justice* (2007), p. 953.

G. Werle and B. Burghardt, "Establishing Degree of Responsibility. Modes of Participation in Article 25 of the ICC Statute" in E. van Sliedregt, S. Vasiliev (eds.), *Pluralism in International Criminal Law* (Oxford University Press, 1st ed., 2014), p. 301.

G. Werle and F. Jessberger, *Principles of International Criminal Law* (Oxford University Press, 3rd ed., 2014).

G. Williams, "Oblique Intention", 46 *Cambridge Law Journal* (1987), p. 417.