

**Public redacted version of
ICC-02/04-01/05-479-US-Exp-Anx II**

REPORT ON THE REGISTRY'S IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROPOSED PLAN OF NOTIFICATION EFFORTS OF THE DOCUMENT CONTAINING THE CHARGES TO MR JOSEPH KONY ("MR KONY")

1. As ordered by Pre-Trial Chamber II ("Chamber") on 26 January 2024,¹ the Registry hereby submits its Report on the implementation of the Proposed Plan for notification efforts ("Proposed Plan")², which was aimed at informing Mr Kony of the Document Containing the Chargers ("DCC").
2. On 9 February 2024, the Registry prepared a note verbale addressed to the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic of Uganda ("Uganda") requesting the authorities, in accordance with the Order and under procedures of Ugandan law, to identify the last known place of residence of Mr Kony, as well as his close family members, to serve the DCC in both English and Acholi at this address and to the close family members identified ("Note Verbale").³
3. Due to the [REDACTED], the Note Verbale and documentation could only be transmitted to the Ugandan authorities on 14 February 2024. The Registry asked the Ugandan authorities to provide a report of execution by 22 February 2024.
4. On 22 February 2024, the Attorney General of Uganda replied to the Registry's Note Verbale informing the Court that they were unable to render the assistance requested.⁴ On the one hand, the Ugandan authorities explained that Mr Kony's last place of residence was not known to the Government of Uganda

¹ Pre-Trial Chamber II, "Order to initiate notification efforts and related outreach activities", 26 January 2024, ICC-02/04-01/05-475.

² Registry, "Registry's Proposed Plan on Outreach Activities and Notification Efforts", 19 December 2024, ICC-02/04-01/05-473.

³ Annex III.

⁴ Annex IV.

as a consequence of Mr Kony's rebellion against the Government in the year 1987. They further clarified that a large number of people in the Acholi sub-region and in other parts of the greater Northern Uganda, including Mr Kony's home area, were displaced as the result of said rebellion. The authorities added that by the end of the rebellion, in and around the year 2006, most of the homes had been either destroyed or consumed by vegetation, allowing for few families to easily retrace the sites of their former homes.

5. On the other hand, the authorities informed that while some of Mr Kony's relatives might be known, or could be identified by the Government of Uganda, none was known or presumed to know of Mr Kony's whereabouts or to be in contact with him. Accordingly, the Ugandan authorities stated that they did not consider it useful or rational to attempt to make any communication to Mr Kony through his relatives. In addition, the authorities further explained that any relative receiving or being made to receive a communication on behalf of Mr Kony, would suggest that said relative knew Mr Kony's whereabouts and/or was in contact with him, and as such, the Government of Uganda did not consider it either just, reasonable, or necessary to place any relative of Mr Kony in that legal jeopardy.
6. In parallel, the Registry together with the Prosecution deployed significant efforts to determine [REDACTED]. As part of these efforts, a staff member of the Registry travelled to [REDACTED] to assess whether [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] also took this opportunity to [REDACTED].
7. Pending the abovementioned assessment, the Registry decided not to communicate a copy of the DCC to [REDACTED]. The Registry however organised a meeting with [REDACTED] in the context of [REDACTED].

8. The Registry staff member on mission in [REDACTED] reported on [REDACTED] 2024 that in view of recent developments regarding [REDACTED], and its impact on [REDACTED], the proposal to ask [REDACTED] should not be pursued at this stage. In addition, [REDACTED].