

**Cour  
Pénale  
Internationale**



**International  
Criminal  
Court**

## **Annex II**

**Public**

**Registry's Report on the implementation of "Decision on the Procedure for  
Appointing Counsel" dated 2 May 2024 (ICC-02/04-01/05-499)**

***Results of the Selection Process and Panel's Recommendations***

1. The purpose of the present Annex is to provide further details on the selection process and set out the recommendations of the Registry for the position of counsel for Mr Joseph Kony in *in absentia* confirmation proceedings in the case *The Prosecutor v. Joseph Kony*.
2. The questionnaire contained five questions, which were scored with a maximum of ten points each.
3. The Panel members assessed the questionnaires of the candidates resulting in the following results:

Candidate's Name	Assessment Scores (maximum 50 points)				Total Score
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
[REDACTED]	29	41	35	44	149
[REDACTED]	33	30	43	36.5	142.5
[REDACTED]	24	42	39	30.5	135.5
[REDACTED]	17	16	30	18	81
[REDACTED]	31	31	38	28.5	128.5
[REDACTED]	34	45	42	44.5	165.5
[REDACTED]	27	33	36	27	123
[REDACTED]	29	17	29	21	96
[REDACTED]	36	42	32	39	149
HAYNES, Peter (United Kingdom, male)	39	46	44	43	172

4. Based on the assessment of the questionnaires, the following candidates with the highest scores were invited to participate in the interviews: [REDACTED] and Mr Peter Haynes.
5. The Panel conducted interviews using four standardised questions, which were scored with a maximum of ten points each. The results of the Panel's assessment of the candidates' performance during the interview is the following:

Candidate's Name	Assessment Scores (maximum 40 points)				Total Score
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
[REDACTED]	26.5	30	24	29	109.5
[REDACTED]	26	34	33	29	122
[REDACTED]	<b>Withdrawn</b>				
[REDACTED]	17	31	28	19	95
[REDACTED]	21.5	27	16	23	87.5
HAYNES, Peter (United Kingdom, male)	31.5	38	35	33	137.5

6. In the context of the selection process, the Registry considered the weight of the questionnaires and interviews as 30% and 70% respectively. The outcome of the selection process is the following:

Candidate's Name	Weighted Scores		Total Score
	Questionnaire (30%)	Interview (70%)	
[REDACTED]	44.70	76.65	121.35
[REDACTED]	42.75	85.40	128.15
[REDACTED]	49.65	66.50	116.15
[REDACTED]	44.70	61.25	105.95
HAYNES, Peter (United Kingdom, male)	51.6	96.25	147.85

7. The Panel agreed that the suitable candidates to represent the interests of Mr Kony during *in absentia* confirmation proceedings would be the candidates with the highest scores, namely Mr Peter Haynes, [REDACTED].
8. The Panel made further observations on the performance of these candidates during the selection process.
  - a. [REDACTED].
  - b. [REDACTED].
  - c. [REDACTED].
9. Based on the foregoing, the Registry recommends to appoint Mr Peter Haynes as Counsel for Mr Kony in the present proceedings.