Annex 2 Public Redacted

From: Trial Chamber VI Communications

Sent: 14 July 2023 10:50

To: OTP CAR IIA Communications; Associate Legal Officer-Court Officer;

D33 Said Defence Team; Said LRV Team OPCV

Cc: Trial Chamber VI Communications; Court Management-Transcripts; Chamber Decisions

Communication

Subject: RE: 230713 - ICC-01/14-01/21 - Request for restriction of the public access to ICC-01/14-

01/21-T-018-Red-FRA CT WT (Witness P-0338)

Dear Parties and participants, Dear Colleagues in the Registry,

The Chamber has considered the Prosecution's requests to remove four transcripts from public access (see four emails from Prosecution dated 13 July 2023 at 16:56, 16:57, and 16:57 respectively). The Chamber is concerned about the fact that confidential information may have been revealed publicly but it reserves judgment on the actual nature of this information until it has been briefed by the parties. The Chamber further notes that the Prosecution has not yet responded to the enquiry from the Registry regarding the requests and instructs the Prosecution to do so forthwith. In the meantime, the Chamber instructs the Registry to reclassify the following transcripts as confidential pursuant to article 68(1) of the Statute and rule 87 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence.

- ICC-01/14-01/21-T-018-Red-FRA CT WT
- ICC-01/14-01/21-T-018-Red-ENG CT WT
- ICC-01/14-01/21-T-033-Red2-ENG CT WT
- ICC-01/14-01/21-T-033-Red-FRA CT WT

The Prosecution is ordered to make its applications for additional redactions no later than Tuesday 18 July 2023.

Kind regards, Trial Chamber VI

From: Sent: 13 July 2023 17:06 **Cc:** Trial Chamber VI Communications **OTP CAR IIA Communications** ; D33 Said Defence Team Said LRV Team OPCV < ; Associate Legal Officer-Court Officer ; Court Management-Transcript Subject: RE: 230713 - ICC-01/14-01/21 - Request for restriction of the public access to ICC-01/14-01/21-T-018-Red-

FRA CT WT (Witness P-0338)

Dear

Could you please specify whether this is information that should have been requested for in court or post facto redaction? I'm referring this question in concern to all four e-mails sent from you at 16:56 and 16:57.

In order to remove transcripts from the public website we would need the Chamber's instruction and order to reclassify.

Kind regards,

From: **Sent:** 13 July 2023 16:56

To: Court Management-Transcripts

Cc: Trial Chamber VI Communications **OTP CAR IIA Communications** >; D33 Said Defence Team
Said LRV
Team OPCV
>; Associate Legal Officer-Court Officer

Subject: 230713 - ICC-01/14-01/21 - Request for restriction of the public access to ICC-01/14-01/21-T-018-Red-FRA CT WT (Witness P-0338)

Dear all,

The Prosecution notes the following confidential information in ICC-01/14-01/21-T-018-Red-FRA CT WT and requests for public access to the said transcript to be restricted pending the application of additional redactions.

Page 16 line 15	un Français
Page 16 line 16	Thierry
Page 17 line 11	Thierry, ce Français
Page 18 line 10	Thierry
Page 18 line 12	Thierry
Page 52 line 22	Pasteur Guerekoyame
Page 52 line 26	Pasteur Guerekoyame
Page 53 line 1	pasteur
Page 53 line 6	pasteur
Page 53 line 9	pasteur
Page 53 line 14	pasteur
Page 53 lines 22-23	En En cette période, c'était lui qui était le le le président de
	cette association des
	confessions religieuses, là.
Page 53 lines 16-18	Il faisait
	partie des trois leaders religieux. Lui, il était du côté C'était le
	le le le président de l'association des des des églises
	protestantes.

Best regards,