Annex 3 Public Redacted

From: Trial Chamber VI Communications

Sent: 14 July 2023 10:56

To: Trial Chamber VI Communications Associate Legal Officer-Court

Officer; OTP CAR IIA Communications; D33 Said Defence Team; Said LRV Team OPCV

Cc: Court Management-Transcripts; Chamber Decisions

Communication

Subject: RE: Said transcript T-026 of 27 October 2022 - first session conducted in closed session

[ICC] RESTRICTED

Dear all,

The Chamber clarifies that the deadline should read 27 October 2023, not 22 October 2023.

Kind regards, Trial Chamber VI

From: Trial Chamber VI Communication

Sent: 14 July 2023 10:50

To: Associate Legal Officer-Court Officer

; OTP CAR IIA Communication D33 Said Defence

Team Said LRV Team OPCV

Cc: Court Management-Transcripts < >;
Trial Chamber VI Communications

Chamber Decisions Communication

Subject: RE: Said transcript T-026 of 27 October 2022 - first session conducted in closed session

[ICC] RESTRICTED

Dear _____,

Thank you for your email and bringing this matter to our attention.

The Chamber agrees with the proposed approach and instructs the Registry, the Parties and participants to proceed accordingly. The Parties are instructed to submit their proposed redactions to the Chamber no later than 22 October 2023.

Kind regards, Trial Chamber VI

From:

Sent: 27 June 2023 14:54

To: Trial Chamber VI Communications

Associate Legal Officer-Court Officer

>; Court Management-Transcripts

Subject: Said transcript T-026 of 27 October 2022 - first session conducted in closed session

[ICC] RESTRICTED

Dear Trial Chamber VI,

I hope this e-mail finds you well.

On 26 October 2022 the first session of the trial hearing was conducted in closed session due to a technical issue in the courtroom. The second and third session on that day were conducted in open session, with private sessions where necessary. The witness appearing before the Chamber on that hearing day was P-2105 who was granted full incourt protective measures and had Mr. Raimondo as their Rule 74 Counsel.

Due to the first session having taken place in closed session, we are reaching out to you to offer our assistance with the re-classification of the transcript and the AV recording. Therefore, we would like to propose the following process to pursue this task. Registry/Court Management (CMS) proposes to provide the most up to date CT version of the transcript of that day, in English and French, to the parties and participants for them to review and indicate by highlighting the relevant portions what they would request to be redacted from the transcript, before that portion of the transcript could be reclassified as public. In order to assist the parties and participants with the review of this session, CMS can temporarily provide them with a copy of the AV material (LIVE version including protective measures) to review, as well.

Once the Chamber has reviewed the proposed redactions and ruled on them, CMS would then undertake the implementation of those redactions in the transcripts, as well as on the AV material for the hearing. For the implementation of the redactions on the AV material, the Court Officer would prepare a Post-Facto redaction request that would also be filed on the case record.

The Registry minutes of the hearing on that day would also be updated to reflect the agreed-upon process and reclassification of the transcript and AV material.

Please do not hesitate to reach out to us if you have further questions. We hope you find the outlined process favourable.

Kind regards,