Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English No.: ICC-02/04-01/15

Date: 27 January 2022

APPEALS CHAMBER

Before: Judge Luz del Carmen Ibáñez Carranza, Presiding

Judge Piotr Hofmański Judge Solomy Balungi Bossa Judge Reine Alapini-Gansou Judge Gocha Lordkipanidze

SITUATION IN UGANDA

IN THE CASE OF PROSECUTOR v. DOMINIC ONGWEN

Public with Public Annex A and Confidential Annex B

Filing of authorities requested by the Defence

Source: Office of the Prosecutor

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the Court* to:

The Office of the ProsecutorCounsel for the DefenceMr Karim Khan Q.C.Mr Krispus Ayena Odongo

Mr James Stewart Mr Charles Taku
Ms Helen Brady Ms Beth Lyons

Legal Representatives of Victims

Legal Representatives of Applicants

Mr Joseph Akwenyu Manoba

Mr Francisco Cox Ms Paolina Massidda

Unrepresented Victims Unrepresented Applicants

(Participation/Reparation)

The Office of Public Counsel for Victims
The Office of Public Counsel for the

Defence

States Representatives Amicus Curiae

REGISTRY

Registrar Counsel Support Section

Mr Peter Lewis

Victims and Witnesses Unit Detention Section

Victims Participation and Reparations Other

Section

- 1. As indicated by e-mail of 26 January 2022,¹ the Prosecution hereby files the authorities requested by the Defence,² together with additional authorities which they indicate have not yet been supplied to them by the ICC library.³ For the Italian authorities, the Prosecution provides an informal translation by counsel of the relevant passages. It notes that its observations contain very limited reference to these passages.⁴
- 2. The Prosecution notes that the annexed material from the fourth edition of Ambos' commentary on the Rome Statute (informally known as the 'Triffterer' commentary, in memory of its original editor) need not be treated as confidential,⁵ since this work (in hard copy) is already commercially available.⁶
- 3. The Prosecution always remains open to addressing matters similar to those in the Request on an *inter partes* basis, without the necessity of recourse to the Appeals Chamber, or allegations of bad faith.⁷ At all times, the Prosecution has acted professionally and seeks to maintain a collegial relationship between the Parties and participants.

Del____

Karim Khan Q.C., Prosecutor

Dated this 27th day of January 2022 At The Hague, The Netherlands

_

¹ See E-Mail to the Appeals Chamber of 26 January 2022 at 18:57.

² ICC-02/04-01/15-1962 ("Request"), paras. 21, 27. See Annex A.

³ Request, para. 19. See Annex A.

⁴ See ICC-02/04-01/15-1952, fns. 92 (citing Amati), 119 (citing Amati), 123 (citing Amati and Aitala), 126 (citing Amati). No Prosecution submission depends solely on these authorities.

⁵ Cf. Request, paras. 4, 24.

⁶ Contra Request, paras. 4, 21, 23-24. See e.g. Confidential Annex B (proof of staff member's purchase of the work, ordered on 19 October 2021 and shipped on 12 December 2021). The Prosecution did not rely on a prepublication version of this work: contra Request, fn. 24.

 $^{^{7}}$ Cf. Request, paras. 22-23, 25.