ICC-01/14-01/18-1230 04-01-2022 1/4 EC T



Pursuant to Trial Chamber V's Instruction, dated 4 January 2022, this document is reclassified as "Public"



Original: English

No.: ICC-01/14-01/18 Date: 24 December 2021

TRIAL CHAMBER V

Before:

Judge Bertram Schmitt, Presiding Judge Judge Péter Kovács Judge Chang-ho Chung

SITUATION IN THE CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC II IN THE CASE OF PROSECUTOR v. ALFRED YEKATOM AND PATRICE-EDOUARD NGAÏSSONA

Confidential

Submission regarding the Decision on the Yekatom Defence Motion for Finding of Disclosure Violation and Additional Remedies (*ICC-01/14-01/18-1202-Conf*)

Source: Office of the Prosecutor

ICC-01/14-01/18-1230 04-01-2022 2/4 EC T Pursuant to Trial Chamber V's Instruction, dated 4 January 2022, this document is reclassified as "Public"

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the Court* to:

The Office of the Prosecutor Mr Karim A. A. Khan QC Mr James Stewart Mr Kweku Vanderpuye **Counsel for Alfred Yekatom** Ms Mylène Dimitri Mr Thomas Hannis

Counsel for Patrice-Edouard Ngaïssona Mr Geert-Jan Alexander Knoops Mr Richard Landry Omissé-Namkeamaï Ms Marie-Hélène Proulx

Legal Representatives of Victims Legal Representatives of Applicants

Mr Dmytro Suprun Mr Abdou Dangabo Moussa Ms Elisabeth Rabesandratana Mr Yaré Fall Ms Marie-Edith Douzima-Lawson Ms Paolina Massidda

> Unrepresented Applicants (Participation/Reparation)

States Representatives

Unrepresented Victims

Amicus Curiae

REGISTRY

Registrar Mr Peter Lewis

Victims and Witnesses Unit Mr Nigel Verrill

Victims Participation and Reparations Section **Counsel Support Section**

Detention Section

Other

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The Prosecution hereby notifies the Parties and Participants that it has completed the targeted review of material in its possession, in accordance with Trial Chamber V's ("Chamber") 7 December 2021 Decision.¹ As elaborated below, the Prosecution confirms that it has disclosed all material in its possession assessed as falling within its statutory obligations.

II. CONFIDENTIALITY

2. Pursuant to regulation 23*bis*(2) of the Regulations of the Court, this Submission is filed as "Confidential", as it refers to filings of the same designation. The Prosecution does not object to the reclassification of this Submission as Public, should the Chamber deem it appropriate.

III. SUBMISSIONS

3. As foreshadowed in its request to vary the applicable time limits for compliance with the 7 December 2021 Decision² which was granted without objection on 13 December 2021,³ the Prosecution has conducted and completed its re-review and re-examination of items assessed as immaterial in respect of specific areas of its collection. In particular, these comprise documents relating to trial witnesses and material outside of the digitized Ringtail collection.⁴ The Prosecution has thus identified an additional 44 items falling within its disclosure obligations.

4. These items have been submitted to the Prosecution's Information and Evidence Unit for processing. Their disclosure, normally facilitated by the Registry's eCourt

¹ ICC-01/14-01/18-1202-Conf, para. 22.

² ICC-01/14-01/18-1207-Conf ("Regulation 35 Request").

³ ICC-01/14-01/18-1208.

⁴ ICC-01/14-01/18-1207-Conf, para. 11.

Pursuant to Trial Chamber V's Instruction, dated 4 January 2022, this document is reclassified as "Public"

Support team, is scheduled for 24 December 2021. A disclosure communication will be duly filed.

In conducting its targeted re-review, the Prosecution has ensured that its 5. disclosure practice has been effective as applied in this case, and that it has appropriately and reasonably assessed, identified, and disclosed all items within its possession as required under the Court's Statute and Rules. The Prosecution thus reaffirms the efficacy of its disclosure procedures to date.⁵

No disclosure process is perfect. And one involving a collection likely of 6. unprecedented size at the Court⁶ is clearly challenging. That said, the Prosecution is confident that it has, to the best of its ability, appropriately identified and disclosed all documents material to the proceedings within its possession.7

7. The Prosecution further acknowledges that its disclosure obligations are continuing, and it is fully disposed to discharge them. Likewise, the Prosecution remains amenable to reviewing its collection for specific information upon founded and properly motivated⁸ requests on an *inter partes* basis.

Cel_

Karim A. A. Khan QC, Prosecutor

Dated this 24th day of December 2021 At The Hague, The Netherlands

⁵ See ICC-01/14-01/18-1149-Conf, para. 33 (noting that the Prosecution had disclosed 32,279 items comprising around 188,384 pages as of 27 October 2021).

⁶ ICC-01/14-01/18-1207-Conf, para. 11, (recalling, ICC-01/14-01/18-474-Conf, paras. 23-24).

⁷ See e.g., ICC-01/12-01/18-768-Red, paras. 13 and ICC-01/12-01/18-859-Red, paras. 9-10 (defining 'materiality'); see also ICC-01/04-01/06-2147, 23-24 (concerning the scope of rule 77 in terms of 'material effect').

⁸ See e.g. also ICC-01/12-01/18-768-Red, paras. 13; see also ,ICC-01/12-01/18-859-Red, paras. 9, 17, 22, 23.