Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English

No: ICC-01/05-01/13 Date: 15 July 2016

TRIAL CHAMBER VII

Before:

Judge Bertram Schmitt, Presiding Judge Judge Marc Perrin de Brichambaut Judge Raul Pangalangan

SITUATION IN THE CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC

IN THE CASE OF THE PROSECUTOR v. JEAN-PIERRE BEMBA GOMBO, AIMÉ KILOLO MUSAMBA, JEAN-JACQUES MANGENDA KABONGO, FIDÈLE BABALA WANDU AND NARCISSE ARIDO

Public Redacted Version

Defence Observations on Filing ICC-01/05-01/13-1868-Conf

Source: Defence for Jean-Jacques Kabongo Mangenda

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the Court* to:

The Office of the Prosecutor	Counsel for Jean-Jacques Kabongo Mangenda Mr Christopher Gosnell
	Counsel for Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo Ms Melinda Taylor
	Counsel for Aimé Kilolo Musamba Mr Paul Djunga Mudimbi
	Counsel for Fidèle Babala Wandu
	Counsel for Narcisse Arido
Legal Representatives of the Victims	Legal Representatives of the Applicants
Unrepresented Victims	Unrepresented Applicants (Participation/Reparation)
The Office of Public Counsel for Victims	The Office of Public Counsel for the Defence
States' Representatives	Amicus Curiae
REGISTRY	
Registrar Mr Herman von Hebel	Defence Support Section
Victims and Witnesses Unit	Detention Section
Victims Participation and Reparations Section	Other Independent Counsel

- Jean-Jacques Mangenda opposes all redactions proposed by the Independent Counsel to the documents appearing in Annex 6 to filing 1868.¹ The names of the exact addressees on Emails #8, #11 and #12 is vital to appreciating that the information therein was shared with other members of the Defence and the Registry. For the same reason, the exact information about place names in those and all other emails should not be redacted. As long as this information is kept confidential, the redactions are unjustified.
- [REDACTED] complaints in Email #5 should not be redacted. Regardless of whether those claims are truthful, they illustrate the nature of his complaints to the Defence about money and his pleas for assistance. A reader should be in a position to assess, in particular, how these complaints compare to those raised by P-169 and P-178.
- 3. The Defence notes that the Independent Counsel, as in previous reports, has failed to offer any justification for any of his proposed redactions.
- 4. Any attorney-client or work-product privilege that may apply to the material is not for Mr. Mangenda to assert, and no position is taken in respect of that issue.

the Jul

Christopher Gosnell Counsel for Mr. Jean-Jacques Kabongo Mangenda

Dated this 15 July 2016,

At The Hague, The Netherlands

¹ *Bemba et al.*, Rapport du Conseil indépendant relatif aux matériels ICC-01/05-01/13-RPM-0022-0037; ICC-01/05-01/13-RPM-0022-0004; ICC-01/05-01/13-RPM-0022-0062; ICC-01/05-01/13-RPM-0023-0011; ICC-01/05-01/13-RPM-0023-0022; ICC-01/05-01/13-RPM-0023-0033 et ICC-01/05-01/13-RPM-0023-0044, ICC-01/05-01/13-1868-Conf, 4 May 2016. This submission is a public redacted version prepared pursuant to the Chamber's direction in its Decision Closing the Submission of Evidence and Further Directions, ICC-01/05-01/13-1859, 29 April 2016.