Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English

No.: ICC-01/05-01/08

Date: 10 February 2016

TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before: Judge Sylvia Steiner, Presiding Judge

Judge Joyce Aluoch Judge Kuniko Ozaki

SITUATION IN THE CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC IN THE CASE OFTHE PROSECUTOR v. JEAN-PIERRE BEMBA GOMBO

PUBLIC

Victims and Witnesses Unit's observations pursuant to the "Decision on requests for transmission of documents" (ICC-01/05-01/08-3331-Corr)

Source: The Registry

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the Regulations of the Court to:

The Office of the Prosecutor Counsel for the Defence

Ms Fatou Bensouda Mr Peter Haynes Mr Jean-Jacques Badibanga Kate Gibson

Legal Representatives of Victims

Ms Marie-Edith Douzima-Lawson

Legal Representatives of Applicants

Unrepresented Victims Unrepresented Applicants for

Participation/Reparation

The Office of Public Counsel for The Office of Public Counsel for the

Victims Defence

States Representatives Amicus Curiae

REGISTRY

Registrar Defence Support Section

Mr Herman von Hebel

Victims and Witnesses Unit Detention Section

Mr Nigel Verrill

Victims Participation and Reparations Other

Section Trial Chamber VII

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The present filing is submitted according to an instruction from Trial Chamber III ("the Chamber").1

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

2. On 5 February 2016 the Chamber issued its "Corrigendum to Decision on requests for transmission of documents" and, among others, ordered "the VWU to review Documents ICC-01/05-01/08-2436Conf, ICC-01/05-01/08-2663-Conf, ICC-01/05-01/08-2694-Conf, ICC-01/05-01/08-2701-Conf, ICC-01/05-01/08-2746-Conf,ICC-01/05-01/08-2852-Conf, ICC-01/05-01/08-2873-Conf, ICC-01/0501/08-2466-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/05-01/08-2479-Conf, and ICC-01/05-01/08-2485-Conf-Exp-Anx3 with a view to advising the Chamber on any necessary redactions and to report back to the Chamber by 10 February 2016".

III.SUBMISSION

3. The VWU reviewed the above mentioned documents and is of the view that some information should be redacted. Furthermore, the VWU is not in a position to assess the impact that further dissemination of identifying information of former witnesses in the Bemba case would have on the security of the individuals concerned. In that regard it must be emphasized that the consent of the said individuals to this additional disclosure was not sought. Therefore, in the VWU's opinion, this information may need to be redacted. In addition to this, the VWU proposes the following redactions:

_

¹ICC-01/05-01/08-3331-Corr.

- a) Documents that could be transmitted without redactions
 - ICC-01/05-01/08-2436-Conf
 - ICC-01/05-01/08-2479-Conf
- b) Documents that could be transmitted with redactions
- 4. The VWU recommends redacting the Registry's comments in relation to cooperation issues for document
 - ICC-01/05-01/08-2466-Conf-Exp
- 5. The VWU recommends redacting the Registry's comments in relation to cooperation issues and the mentions relating to the hierarchic positions of witnesses as this could potentially lead to their identification, for document:
 - ICC-01/05-01/08-2485-Conf-Exp-Anx3
- 6. The VWU recommends redacting the location of witnesses or the information that could lead to their identification, as well as the VWU's confidential assessment regarding the security situation of witnesses and/or the VWU's psychological assessment for documents:
 - ICC-01/05-01/08-2663-Conf
 - ICC-01/05-01/08-2694-Conf
 - ICC-01/05-01/08-2701-Conf

- 7. The VWU recommends redacting information that could lead to the identification of witnesses and the VWU's confidential assessment regarding the security situation of witnesses for:
 - ICC-01/05-01/08-2746-Conf
 - ICC-01/05-01/08-2873-Conf

Marc Dubuisson, Director, Division of Judicial Services per delegation of Herman von Hebel, Registrar

Dated this 10 February 2016

At The Hague, The Netherlands