Cour **Pénale** Internationale



International Criminal Court

> Original: English No.: ICC-01/09-02/11 Date: 15 November 2011

PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before: Judge Ekaterina Trendafilova, Presiding Judge

> Judge Hans-Peter Kaul Judge Cuno Tarfusser

SITUATION IN THE REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE CASE OF THE PROSECUTOR V. FRANCIS KIRIMI MUTHAURA, UHURU MUIGAI KENYATTA AND MOHAMMED HUSSEIN ALI

Urgent - Confidential Ex Parte, Prosecution and Defence of Mr. Muthaura only Prosecution's Request for Leave to provide Submissions further to the Appeals Chamber Judgement on the "Appeal of the Prosecutor against the decision of Pre trial Chamber II dated 20 July 2011 entitled "Decision with Respect to the Question of Invalidating the Appointment of Counsel to the Defence"

Source: Office of the Prosecutor Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the Regulations of the

The Office of the Prosecutor

Luis Moreno-Ocampo, Prosecutor Fatou Bensouda, Deputy Prosecutor Adesola Adeboyejo, Trial Lawyer **Counsel for the Defence**

Mr Karim Khan Mr Kennedy Ogetto

Legal Representatives of Victims

Legal Representatives of Applicants

Unrepresented Victims

Unrepresented Applicants for Participation/Reparation

The Office of Public Counsel for

Victims

Court to:

The Office of Public Counsel for the

Defence

States Representatives

Amicus Curiae

REGISTRY

Registrar

Ms. Silvana Arbia

Deputy Registrar

Mr. Didier Preira

Defence Support Section

Victims and Witnesses Unit

Maria Luisa Martinod-Jacome

Detention Section

Victims Participation and Reparations

Section

Other

1. The Prosecution respectfully seeks leave pursuant to Regulation 24(5) of the Regulations of the Court to file written submissions on whether to invalidate the appointment of Mr Faal and whether it is in the interest of justice that Mr Faal should be part of the Defence team for Mr Muthaura. The Prosecution's request is triggered by the Appeals Chamber judgement of 10 November 2011, directing the

Pre Trial Chamber i) "to decide anew on the question of whether to invalidate the

appointment of Mr Faal in the light of the present judgement"2 and ii) to clarify

whether Mr Faal was privy to any confidential information and upon a finding in the

affirmative, whether it would nevertheless be in the interest of justice that Mr Faal

should still be part of the Defence.3

2. In the Prosecution's view, it would be appropriate and fitting for the Chamber to

entertain submissions in light of the Appeals Chamber's clarification and its remand

of the issue for new consideration, including on the issue whether the interests of

justice would require Mr Faal's continuation in the case after having been privy to

 $confidential\ prosecution\ information.$



Luis Moreno-Ocampo

Prosecutor

Dated this 15th day of November 2011

At The Hague, The Netherlands

¹ ICC-01/09-02/11 OA 3

² ibid, para. 72

³ ibid, para. 72