

**Cour
Pénale
Internationale**



**International
Criminal
Court**

Original: **English**

No.: **ICC-02/05-03/09**
Date: **29 October 2026**

TRIAL CHAMBER IV

Before: Judge Kimberly Prost, Presiding Judge
Judge Keebong Paek
Judge Nicolas Guillou

SITUATION IN DARFUR, SUDAN

***IN THE CASE OF THE PROSECUTOR
v. ABDALLAH BANDA ABAKAER NOURAIN***

Public

Public redacted version of “Amendment to “Prosecution’s Application for Redactions Pursuant to rules 81(2) and 81(4) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence”, Filed on 20 July 2010”, ICC-02/05-03/09-57-Conf-Exp, 29 July 2010

Source: Office of the Prosecutor

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the Court* to:

☒ The Office of the Prosecutor

☒ Counsel for the Defence

☒ Legal Representatives of the Victims

☐ Legal Representatives of the Applicants

☐ Unrepresented Victims

☐ Unrepresented Applicants
(Participation/Reparation)

☐ The Office of Public Counsel for Victims

☐ The Office of Public Counsel for the Defence

☐ States' Representatives

☐ Amicus Curiae

REGISTRY

Registrar

Osvaldo Zavala Giler

☐ Counsel Support Section

☐ Victims and Witnesses Unit

☐ Detention Section

☐ Victims Participation and
Reparations Section

☐ Other

Introduction

1. On 20 July 2010, the Prosecution filed its “Prosecution’s Application for Redactions Pursuant to rules 81(2) and 81(4) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence”¹.
2. In Annex E to the filing, the Prosecution attached the interview transcript of [REDACTED] (DAR-OTP-WWWW-0442) (ERN: DAR-OTP-0172-0165 through 0614), together with a chart setting out the relevant justifications for the redactions sought in the transcript. In footnote 4 of the justification chart, the Prosecution stated as follows: [REDACTED].

Amended Assessment

3. On reflection, the Prosecution considers that this [REDACTED].

Amended Redaction Request

4. Accordingly, the Prosecution now seeks redaction to the name of [REDACTED] wherever it occurs in the interview transcript of Witness DAR-OTP-WWWW-0442, pursuant to Rule 81(2) of the Rules.²
5. The amendment being made to the Prosecution application will not give rise to any prejudice to either the defence or the person whose name is being sought to be redacted.

Request for Confidentiality

¹ ICC-02/05-03/09-54-Conf-Exp+Conf-Exp-Anxs.

² This individual is referred to variously as [REDACTED] at: DAR-OTP-0172-0165 at 0165 & 0167; DAR-OTP-0172-0206 at 0206; DAR-OTP-0172-0250 at 0250; DAR-OTP-0172-0265 at 0265 & 0266; DAR-OTP-0172-0304 at 0304; DAR-OTP-0172-0345 at 0345; DAR-OTP-0172-0382 at 0382; DAR-OTP-0172-0429 at 0429; DAR-OTP-0172-0479 at 0479; DAR-OTP-0172-0524 at 0524; DAR-OTP-0172-0570 at 0570; DAR-OTP-0172-0614 at 0614 & 0615.

6. The Prosecution submits this Amended Request as “Confidential, *Ex Parte*, available to the Prosecutor and the Victims and Witnesses Unit Only” because it relates to a filing that was made with the same classification.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Mandiaye Niang', with a large, stylized flourish on the left side.

Mame Mandiaye Niang, Acting Prosecutor

Dated this 29th day of October 2026

At The Hague, The Netherlands