Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English No.: ICC-01/14-01/18

Date: 28 March 2024

TRIAL CHAMBER V

Before: Judge Bertram Schmitt, Presiding Judge

Judge Péter Kovács

Judge Chang-ho Chung

SITUATION IN THE CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC II IN THE CASE OF PROSECUTOR v. ALFRED YEKATOM AND PATRICE-EDOUARD NGAÏSSONA

Public with Confidential Annex

Prosecution's Communication of the Disclosure of Evidence on 28 March 2024

Source: Office of the Prosecutor

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the Court* to:

The Office of the Prosecutor

Mr Karim A. A. Khan KC Mr Mame Mandiaye Niang Mr Kweku Vanderpuye **Counsel for Alfred Yekatom**

Ms Mylène Dimitri Mr Thomas Hannis Ms Anta Guissé Ms Sarah Bafadhel

Counsel for Patrice-Edouard Ngaïssona

Mr Geert-Jan Alexander Knoops

Mr Richard Landry Omissé-Namkeamaï

Ms Marie-Hélène Proulx

Legal Representatives of Victims

Mr Dmytro Suprun

Mr Abdou Dangabo Moussa Ms Elisabeth Rabesandratana

Mr Yaré Fall

Ms Marie-Edith Douzima-Lawson

Ms Paolina Massidda

Legal Representatives of Applicants

Unrepresented Victims

Unrepresented Applicants (Participation/Reparation)

States Representatives

Amicus Curiae

REGISTRY

Registrar

Mr Osvaldo Zavala Giler

Counsel Support Section

Victims and Witnesses Unit

Mr Nigel Verrill

Detention Section

Victims Participation and Reparations

Section

Other

I. NOTIFICATION

1. The Office of the Prosecutor ("Prosecution") submits this Communication Report regarding the disclosure of evidence.

II. CONFIDENTIALITY

2. The Annex, appended to this filing, is classified as "Confidential" as it relates to evidence disclosed *inter partes* that should not be released to the public.

III. DISCLOSURE OF EVIDENCE

- 3. On 28 March 2024, the Prosecution disclosed to the Defence of Alfred YEKATOM and Patrice-Edouard NGAISSONA Trial Rule 77 Package 125 containing six items.
- 4. These items, listed in the Confidential Annex, include three transcripts of D30-4720's interview with the Prosecution pursuant to the Contact Protocol. While the NGAISSONA Defence requested the transcripts from CSS, assessed, redacted, and transmitted them to the Prosecution, it failed to effect disclosure to the Parties and Participants as required,¹ notwithstanding the Chamber's clarification of the Defence's obligation² to disclose the 'prior statements' of *its* witnesses.³ The Defence has refused to do so, despite the Prosecution's repeated invitations for it to abide by the Chamber's express order. Accordingly, the Prosecution formally discloses these transcripts, pursuant to its *secondary* Rule 77 disclosure obligation.

1

¹ See Rule 78 and Rule 79(4) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence.

² ICC-01/14-01/18-2242, paras. 8-10. Per the Chamber's Decision, the Prosecution considers that the Defence has the primary responsibility to disclose to the Parties and Participants audio recorded statements or their transcripts of its witnesses.

³ ICC-01/14-01/18-2410-Conf, para. 21, noting that the audio recording of the Prosecution Interview constitutes a 'prior recorded testimony' of that witness. The same reasoning applies mutatis mutandis to the transcriptions of a Prosecution Interview, especially when they are disclosed instead of the audios and redactions are applied.

Karim A. A. Khan KC, Prosecutor

Dated this 28th day of March 2024 At The Hague, The Netherlands