Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English No.: ICC-01/12-01/15

Date: 11 August 2016

Date of submission: 16 December 2016

TRIAL CHAMBER VIII

Before: Judge Raul C. Pangalangan, Single Judge

SITUATION IN THE REPUBLIC OF MALI

IN THE CASE OF THE PROSECUTOR v. AHMAD AL FAQI AL MAHDI

PUBLIC

Public redacted version of "Prosecution's Observations on the "Supplementary Observations on Four Applications for Victims' Participation in the Proceedings", 11 August 2016, ICC-01/12-01/15-155-Conf-Exp

Source: Office of the Prosecutor

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the Court* to:

The Office of the Prosecutor

Ms Fatou Bensouda

Mr James Stewart

Counsel for the Defence

Legal Representatives of the Victims

Legal Representatives of the Applicants

Unrepresented Victims

Unrepresented Applicants (Participation/Reparation)

The Office of Public Counsel for

Victims

Ms Paolina Massidda

The Office of Public Counsel for the

Defence

States' Representatives

Amicus Curiae

REGISTRY

Registrar

Mr Herman von Hebel

Counsel Support Section

Victims and Witnesses Section

Detention Section

Victims Participation and Reparations

Section

Ms Isabelle Guibal

ICC-01/12-01/15 2/8 11 August 2016

Other

Introduction

1. On 25 July 2016, the Registry transmitted to the Chamber and the Office of the Prosecutor ("Prosecution") six applications to participate in the proceedings in the case *The Prosecutor v. Ahmad Al Faqi Al Mahdi* pursuant to article 68(3) of the Rome Statute ("the Statute").¹

2. In its filing, the Registry provided the Prosecution with the unredacted versions of these six applications in accordance with rule 89(1) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("the Rules").

3. On 2 August 2016, the Prosecution filed its observations on these six applications for participation in the proceedings.²

4. On 9 August 2016, the Registry transmitted to the Chamber and the Prosecution, in unredacted form, supplementary information pertaining to four applications for participation in the proceedings.³

5. Pursuant to rule 89(1) of the Rules, the Prosecution submits the following observations on these applications, with a specific focus on the additional information provided.

6. For the reasons detailed below, the Prosecution does not object to the participation of the four applicants – a/35003/16, a/35004/16, a/35005/16 and a/35006/16 – as victims in the above-mentioned proceedings.

¹ See ICC-01/12-01/15-142

² ICC-01/12-01/15-149-Conf-Exp

- 7. The Prosecution submits that should these four applications be considered as applications for individuals, the requirements to be granted participatory rights at trial under article 68(3) of the Statute are met.
- 8. Should the applications be considered as being submitted on behalf of an organisation, the Prosecution reiterates its observations related to the status of each organisation applying for participation that might potentially qualify as an "association de fait" or de facto organisation.4

Classification

9. Pursuant to regulation 23bis (2) of the Regulations of the Court, the present observations are filed confidentially ex parte due to the sensitivity of the information contained herein regarding the persons submitting the four applications, which could affect their safety and security. A confidential redacted version will be filed as soon as practicable.

Observations

a/35003/16⁵

10. The Prosecution notes that the supplemental information provided by the applicant from pages 11 to 13 now pertains to an application as an individual, as opposed to the initial application6 where the applicant was only using a form for participation as an organisation and was acting on behalf of an organisation

⁴ *See* ICC-01/12-01/15-149-Conf-Exp. ⁵ ICC-01/12-01/15-153-Conf-Exp-Anx1.

⁶ See ICC-01/12-01/15-142-Conf-Exp-Anx1.

11. The Prosecution further submits that the supplemental information provided by the applicant makes it unclear as to whether he is applying on behalf of an organisation or as an individual. Both forms are used⁷ and the additional information suggests that the applicant is now claiming personal psychological harm as a result of the destruction of the mausoleum.⁸

a/35004/169

12.	The Prosecution notes that the supplemental information provided by the										
	applicant from pages 10 to 12 now pertains to an application as an individual an										
	relates to, i.e.										
	,10 as opposed to the initial application11 when										
	the applicant was only using a form for participation as an organisation and wa										
	acting on behalf of an organisation related to										

13. It further submits that the supplemental information provided by the applicant makes it unclear as to whether he is applying on behalf of an organisation or as an individual. Both forms are used¹² and the additional information suggests that the applicant is now claiming an ongoing personal psychological harm as a result of the destruction of the mausoleum.¹³

ICC-01/12-01/15-153-Conf-Exp-Anx2

-

⁷ The form related to participation as an organisation is used from pages 1 to 10 and the form for participation as an individual is used from pages 11 to 13.

⁸ See page 13, section 30

¹⁰ See Section H.

¹¹ See ICC-01/12-01/15-142-Conf-Exp-Anx2.

¹² The form related to participation as an organisation is used from pages 1 to 9 and the form for participation as an individual is used from pages 10 to 12.

¹³ See page 12, section 30

a/35005/16¹⁴

- 16. As opposed to the two previous applications, the Prosecution notes that the additional information provided by the applicant clarifies the applicant's standing to act on behalf of the organisation he seeks to represent, as well as the personal harm suffered as a consequence of the destruction of the
- 17. For these reasons, the Prosecution submits that this application might be considered as having been made on behalf of an organisation.

¹⁶ See ICC-01/12-01/15-142-Conf-Exp-Anx3.

¹⁸ See page 13, section 30,

¹⁴ ICC-01/12-01/15-153-Conf-Exp-Anx3.

¹⁵ See page 11, section H.

a/35006/16¹⁹

18.	. The	Prose	ecution	notes	that	the	suppler	nental	information	on pro	ovided	by	the
	appl	icant	from pa	ages 11	to 13	app	ears on a	a form	for applica	ation as	s an inc	divid	lual
	and	seem	s to r	elate to	о 📗			, i.e.					
							, ²⁰	as opp	osed to th	ne initia	al appl	icati	on ²¹
	whe	re the	applic	ant wa	s only	usi	ng a for	m for p	oarticipatio	on as a	n orga	nisa	tion
	and	was	acting	solely	on 1	oeha]	lf of an	orgar	nisation re	elated	to the		
						.							

- 19. The Prosecution further notes that the additional information provided by the applicant clarifies the applicant's standing to act on behalf of the organisation he seeks to represent, as well as the harm suffered as a consequence of the destruction of the ²² The description of events given appears to relate only to the , and not to mausoleum.23
- 20. For these reasons, the Prosecution submits that this application might be considered as having been made on behalf of an organisation.

Conclusion

21. The Prosecution does not object to the participation in the current proceedings of the abovementioned applicants.

¹⁹ ICC-01/12-01/15-153-Conf-Exp-Anx4

²⁰ See page 11, section H.

²¹ See ICC-01/12-01/15-142-Conf-Exp-Anx3.

²² See page 13, Section 30.

22. The Prosecution leaves it to the Chamber to determine firstly whether the applicants are acting as individuals or on behalf of an organisation, and secondly whether the identified organisations would qualify as victims under article 68(3) of the Statute.

Burnda

Fatou Bensouda, Prosecutor

Dated this 11^{th} day of August 2016

At The Hague, The Netherlands