The Presidency is one of the four organs of the International Criminal Court (ICC), consisting of three of its judges. The Presidency is composed of three judges of the Court, elected to the Presidency by their fellow judges, for a term of three years. The President of the Court is Judge Chile Eboe-Osuji (Nigeria). Judge Robert Fremr (Czech Republic) is First Vice-President, and Judge Marc Perrin de Brichambaut (France) is Second Vice-President.

The Presidency is entrusted with functions in three main areas of responsibility: administration, judicial/legal matters and external relations. The Presidency is responsible for the proper administration of the Court, with the exception of the Office of the Prosecutor. Nonetheless, it coordinates and seeks consensus with the Prosecutor on all matters of mutual concern. Among the Presidency’s administrative functions are the managerial oversight of the Registry and ensuring that the Registry delivers effective service to the judiciary.

As part of its oversight function, the Presidency provides input into a broad range of administrative policies and issues presidential directives on matters relevant to the Court’s overall functioning, such as staff regulations, information security and the Court’s trust fund.

The Presidency’s responsibilities also include judicial activities and external relations. In the exercise of its judicial functions, the Presidency organises the Chambers’ judicial work and carries out its functions as set out in the Statute, Rules of Procedure and Evidence, and Regulations of the Court. Among the Presidency’s responsibilities in the area of external relations are the negotiation and conclusion of agreements on behalf of the Court and the promotion of public awareness and understanding of the institution.

The judicial/legal functions of the Presidency are twofold. First, the Presidency provides support to enable the Chambers to conduct fair, effective and expeditious public proceedings such as constituting and assigning situations to Chambers, organising the plenary sessions and liaising with the Registry. Second, the Presidency has specific legal and judicial functions, including the judicial review of certain decisions of the Registrar; the conclusion of Court-wide cooperation agreements (e.g., bilateral arrangements with States on the enforcement of sentences); and the provision of general legal advice.

In the exercise of its external relations functions, the Presidency represents the Court in its relations with States Parties as well as non-States Parties to the Rome Statute, the United Nations and other international institutions, regional organisations, non-governmental organisations and other external entities. The promotion of public awareness and understanding of the Court, enhancing communication and fostering greater cooperation between the Court and various external partners are among the Presidency’s main responsibilities in this field.

Chambers

The Chambers, organised into Divisions as determined by article 39(1) of the Rome Statute, carry out the judicial functions of the Court. There are three Divisions: the Pre-Trial Division, the Trial Division, and the Appeals Division.

The assignment of judges to Divisions is based on what functions each judge will perform and the qualifications and experience of the judge. This is done in a manner ensuring that each Division
benefits from an appropriate combination of expertise in criminal law and procedure and international law. The judges assigned to the Appeals Division serve exclusively in that Division for their entire term of office.

If the Court’s workload so requires, and with a view to ensuring efficient management, the Presidency may decide to constitute more than one Pre-Trial or Trial Chamber. It may also decide to attach temporarily a judge of the Trial Division to the Pre-Trial Division and vice versa.

From among the members of each Division a President of the respective Division is elected to oversee that Division’s administration for a period of one year.

**Pre-Trial Division**

The Pre-Trial Division is composed of judges with experience primarily in criminal trials. The judges serve for a period of three years.

The Pre-Trial Chambers consist of three judges each, although many of the functions of the Chamber may be carried out by a Single Judge. The Presiding Judge of a Chamber is elected by the judges of the Chamber in question.

One of the Pre-Trial Chamber’s functions is to grant or deny the Office of the Prosecutor leave to initiate an investigation. It makes a preliminary determination as to whether the case falls within the jurisdiction of the Court without prejudice, however, to subsequent determinations in respect of jurisdiction and admissibility.

Under the Rome Statute, if the decision is based on “interests of justice”, the Pre-Trial Chamber may, on its own initiative, at the request of the State making a referral or of the United Nations Security Council, review a decision of the Prosecutor not to initiate an investigation or to prosecute.

It is the mandate of the Pre-Trial Chamber to issue warrants of arrest and summonses to appear before the Court at the request of the Prosecutor, and to guarantee the rights of all persons during the investigation phase. The Pre-Trial Chamber also issues orders to protect the rights of the participants in the proceedings and, where necessary, provides for the protection and privacy of victims and witnesses. It preserves evidence, protects persons who have been arrested or who have appeared in response to a summons, and safeguards information affecting national security.

Within a reasonable time after a suspect has been surrendered to the Court or has appeared voluntarily before the Court, the Pre-Trial Chamber holds a hearing in the presence of the Prosecutor and the suspect and/or his or her counsel with a view to determining whether the charges can be confirmed or not. A confirmation hearing can be held without the suspect being present.

**Trial Division**

Most of the Trial Division judges have extensive experience in criminal trials. They serve in this Division for three years and thereafter until the completion of any case if the trial has already begun.

If the charges are confirmed by the Pre-Trial Chamber, the Presidency constitutes a Trial Chamber to try the case.

The Trial Chamber is composed of three judges, with a Presiding Judge elected by the judges of the Chamber in question. A Single Judge may also carry out the functions of the Chamber.

The Trial Chamber’s primary function is to ensure a fair and expeditious trial, conducted with full respect for the rights of the accused and due regard for the protection of victims and witnesses.

Trials must be held in the presence of the accused and in public, unless special circumstances require that certain proceedings are held in closed session to protect confidential or sensitive information to be given in evidence, and victims and witnesses. The sentence is pronounced in public.

The Trial Chamber determines whether an accused is innocent or guilty of the charges and, if guilt has been determined, it imposes a sentence of imprisonment not exceeding a maximum of thirty years or, in cases of extreme gravity, life imprisonment. Financial penalties may also be imposed. The Trial Chamber may order reparation to victims, including restitution, compensation and rehabilitation.

As indicated above, the Presidency can decide to temporarily attach a judge assigned to the Pre-Trial Division to the Trial Division if the efficient management of the Court’s workload so requires, but a judge who has participated in the pre-trial phase of a case can not, under any circumstances, be eligible to sit on the Trial Chamber hearing that case.

**Appeals Division**

The Appeals Chamber consists of all five judges of the Appeals Division, out of which the Chamber decides on a Presiding Judge for each appeal.

The Division deals with appeals received from or filed by convicted persons, the Prosecutor, legal representatives of the victims or bona fide owners of property adversely affected by Court decisions.

The convicted person may appeal against conviction and sentence. The Prosecutor may appeal against the acquittal or conviction of an accused, or the sentence. Such appeals may be made on the grounds of procedural errors, errors of fact or law and on any other grounds affecting the fairness or reliability of the proceedings or decisions. The Appeals Chamber may reverse or amend the decision on conviction or sentence and may order a new trial before a different Trial Chamber. It may also revise the final judgement of conviction or the sentence.

The Appeals Chamber is also responsible for the review of sentence, i.e., to determine whether, after the person has served two-thirds of the sentence or 25 years in the case of life imprisonment, the sentence should be reduced. If the Appeals Chamber decides not to reduce the sentence, it thereafter reviews the question at least every three years. The Appeals Chamber is finally the body responsible for deciding questions relating to the disqualification of the Prosecutor or a Deputy Prosecutor.

Legal representatives of the victims, the convicted person or bona fide owner of property adversely affected by an order for reparation to victims may appeal against the order. Other decisions made during the course of the proceedings by the Pre-Trial Chamber may also be appealed, including decisions with respect to jurisdiction and admissibility.
Pre-Trial Division

Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua  
(Democratic Republic of the Congo), President of the Division
Judge Marc Perrin De Brichambaut (France)
Judge Péter Kovács (Hungary)
Judge Tomoko Akane (Japan)
Judge Reine Alapini-Gansou (Benin)
Judge Rosario Salvatore Aitala (Italy)

Pre-Trial Chamber I

Situations in Democratic Republic of the Congo; Libya; Mali; Georgia; Gabon; Registered Vessels of Comoros, Greece and Cambodia and Bangladesh; Myanmar
Judge Péter Kovács, Presiding Judge
Judge Marc Perrin de Brichambaut
Judge Reine Alapini-Gansou

Pre-Trial Chamber II

Situations in Central African Republic I; Central African Republic II; Uganda; Darfur, Sudan; Kenya; Côte d’Ivoire; Afghanistan; and Burundi
Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua, Presiding Judge
Judge Tomoko Akane
Judge Rosario Salvatore Aitala

Trial Division

Judge Bertram Schmitt (Germany), President of the Division
Judge Robert Fremr (Czech Republic), First Vice-President of the ICC
Judge Olga Venecia Del C. Herrera Carbuccia (Dominican Republic)
Judge Geoffrey A. Henderson (Trinidad and Tobago)
Judge Chang-ho Chung (Republic of Korea)
Judge Raul Cano Pangalangan (Philippines)
Judge Kimberly Prost (Canada)

Trial Chamber I

The Prosecutor v. Laurent Gbagbo and Charles Béï Coupé
Judge Cuno Tarfusser, Presiding Judge
Judge Olga Herrera-Carbuccia
Judge Geoffrey Henderson

Trial Chamber II

The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga
The Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo
Judge Marc Perrin de Brichambaut, Presiding Judge
Judge Olga Herrera-Carbuccia
Judge Péter Kovács

Trial Chamber III

The Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo
Judge Geoffrey Henderson, Presiding Judge
Judge Chang-ho Chung
Judge Kimberly Prost

Trial Chamber IV

The Prosecutor v. Abdiallah Banda Abukar Nourain
The Prosecutor v. William Samoei Ruto and Joshua Arap Sang
The Prosecutor v. Uhuru Muigai Kenyatta
Judge Robert Fremr, Presiding Judge
Judge Reine Alapini-Gansou
Judge Kimberly Prost

Trial Chamber V

The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga
The Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo
Judge Marc Perrin de Brichambaut, Presiding Judge
Judge Olga Herrera-Carbuccia
Judge Péter Kovács

Trial Chamber VI

The Prosecutor v. Bosco Ntaganda
Judge Robert Fremr, Presiding Judge
Judge Chang-ho Chung
Judge Kuniko Ozaki

Trial Chamber VII

The Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo, Amné Kitoko Musamba, Jean-Jacques Mangenda Kalonjo, Fidèle Babala Wandu and Narcisse Arido
Judge Bertram Schmitt, Presiding Judge
Judge Marc Perrin de Brichambaut
Judge Raul C. Pangalangan

Trial Chamber VIII

The Prosecutor v. Ahmad Al-Faqi Al Mahdi
Judge Raul C. Pangalangan, Presiding Judge
Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua
Judge Bertram Schmitt

Trial Chamber IX

The Prosecutor v. Dominic Ongwen
Judge Bertram Schmitt, Presiding Judge
Judge Péter Kovács
Judge Raul C. Pangalangan

Appeals Division

Judge Piotr Hofmanski (Poland), President of the Division
Judge Chile Eboe-Osuji (Nigeria), President of the ICC
Judge Howard Morrison (United Kingdom)
Judge Luz del Carmen Ibáñez Carranza (Peru)
Judge Solomy Balungi Bossa (Uganda)