(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

- 1 International Criminal Court
- 2 Trial Chamber I
- 3 Situation: Darfur, Sudan
- 4 In the case of The Prosecutor v. Ali Muhammad Ali Abd-Al-Rahman
- 5 ("Ali Kushayb") ICC-02/05-01/20
- 6 Presiding Judge Joanna Korner, Judge Reine Alapini-Gansou and
- 7 Judge Althea Violet Alexis-Windsor
- 8 Status Conference Courtroom 1
- 9 Wednesday, 8 September 2021
- 10 (The hearing starts in open session at 9.31 a.m.)
- 11 THE COURT USHER: [9:31:48] All rise.
- 12 The International Criminal Court is now in session.
- 13 Please be seated.
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [9:32:48] (Microphone not activated)
- 15 THE COURT OFFICER: [9:32:50] Good morning, Madam President, your Honours.
- 16 situation in Darfur, Sudan, in the case of The Prosecutor versus Ali Muhammad Ali
- 17 Abd-Al-Rahman, case reference ICC-02/05-01/20.
- 18 And for the record, we are in open session.
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [9:33:09] (Microphone not activated) Thank you.
- 20 Sorry.
- 21 Yes, I'm assuming that the accused is in court. Though nobody, I suppose nobody
- 22 identifies him here.
- 23 Can I have the appearances, please, first of all for the Prosecution.
- 24 MR NICHOLLS: [9:33:39] Good morning, your Honours. Good morning,
- 25 colleagues.

08.09.2021

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1	My name is Julian Nicholls, I'm the senior trial lawyer on the case. I am joined today
2	by Mr Hesham Mourad, trial lawyer; Edward Jeremy, trial lawyer; Rachel Mazzarella,
3	associate trial lawyer; and our case manager Claire Sabatini. Thank you.
4	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [9:34:03] Thank you, Mr Nicholls.
5	Yes, and for the accused.
6	MR LAUCCI: [9:34:09](Interpretation) Good morning, your Honour. Good
7	morning, your Honours. From the Defence and for Mr Abd-Al-Rahman himself,
8	you see Mr Iain Edwards, associate counsel; Ms Vanessa Grée, legal assistant;
9	Mr Ahmad Issa, our case manager; and Ms Camille Divet, who is evidence reviewer;
10	and myself, lead counsel Cyril Laucci.
11	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [9:34:45] Thank you, Mr Laucci.
12	Yes, and for the victims legal representatives.
13	MS MASSIDDA: [9:34:53] Good morning, Ms President, your Honours. My name
14	is Paolina Massidda. As you know, in this case victims are represented by two
15	teams of lawyers. I am the lead counsel from the Office of Public Counsel for
16	Victims, and with me today in courtroom Ms Ana Peña, case manager. And
17	the second team is led by Ms Amal Clooney, who I think will take the floor.
18	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [9:35:22] Yes. Thank you very much indeed.
19	Thank you very much indeed, Ms Massidda.
20	MS CLOONEY: [9:35:31] Good morning (Overlapping speakers)
21	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [9:35:36] Before we turn to the agenda for this status
22	conference.
23	MS CLOONEY: [9:35:42] Apologies, your Honours. Apologies. I just wanted to
24	say good morning, your Honours, and it's such a privilege to be addressing you all
25	for the first time today. I hope you can hear me clearly.

Page 2

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [9:35:54] Through the earphones, yes, but not
 otherwise.

3 MS CLOONEY: [9:35:59] Okay. Apologies for that inconvenience. I am

4 Amal Clooney. As Ms Massidda just said, I am the Legal Representative for Victims.

5 With me in court today is assistant to counsel Vera Padberg, and also joining remotely

6 from my team I have Katharina Lewis and Samarth Patel.

7 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [9:36:21] Yes, thank you very much Ms Clooney.

8 Yes, before we turn to the agenda - which I trust all parties have received - for

9 the status conference, there are just a few preliminary things that we wish to say at

10 this stage.

11 Two of us have recently been elected to this Court. All of us, and I emphasise all of

12 us, appreciate what a privilege and responsibility accompanies the positions which

13 we occupy.

14 The preamble to the Rome Statute clearly states that "the most serious crimes of

15 concern to the international community as a whole must not go unpunished ..." and

16 therefore there must be "an end to impunity for the perpetrators of these crimes and

17 thus to contribute to the prevention of such crimes."

18 Our task as judges in this forthcoming trial - as in every criminal trial whether at this

19 Court or others - will be to decide at the end of the proceedings whether

20 the Prosecution has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that Abd-Al-Rahman is such

21 a perpetrator.

Our task also, of course, is to deal with the proceedings and the mechanics of these
trials -- of this trial.

24 The election of Judge Alexis-Windsor and myself took place very shortly after

25 the publication of the Independent Expert Review which took place of proceedings at

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1	this Court. And the task given to the experts was, and I quote, to enhance - by
2	the making of recommendations - "the performance, efficiency and effectiveness of
3	the Court and the Rome Statute as a whole."
4	This trial is the first to commence since its publication. Those who have an interest
5	in the Court will be waiting to see whether those obvious goals are capable of
6	achievement.
7	Such achievement depends on all parties to a trial, the Prosecution, the Defence,
8	the Victims' Representatives, the Registry, and last but not least, the Judges. It
9	depends on them all cooperating procedurally. Such cooperation, and I emphasise
10	this too, does not in any way affect the fairness of a trial, or the onus on
11	the Prosecution to prove its case, and nor indeed on the rights of an accused.
12	It is a truism, but one which bears repetition, to state that the overriding objectives of
13	a criminal trial for judges in particular are:
14	First, the acquittal of the innocent and the conviction of the guilty.
15	Dealing with the Prosecution and the Defence fairly.
16	Recognising the rights of an accused, particularly those under Article 67, and any
17	other rights which are germane to a trial.
18	And, of course, respecting the interests of witnesses and victims.
19	In order to achieve efficiency and expedition of a trial there must be, <i>inter alia</i> , an early
20	identification of the real issues which are in the case and a greater use of agreed facts
21	between the parties.
22	Presentation of evidence, whether disputed or not, must take place in the shortest and
23	clearest way.
24	And there should be avoidance of lengthy and unnecessary legal arguments.
25	We encourage the participants to cooperate in these goals and generally between
	08.09.2021 Page 4

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 themselves. To give but one example, any requests for disclosure should be 2 discussed between the parties before rulings are sought from the Judges. It is 3 incumbent upon the Prosecution to give proper reasons if they are going to refuse 4 a request for disclosure. 5 All parties have sufficient to do in trials of this nature without raising potential future 6 issues before the Chamber. Only actual requests for rulings by the Trial Chamber 7 should be made. 8 The principle of open justice is an important one for all trials, but particularly trials 9 before this Court. Accordingly, if confidential submissions are filed, public redacted 10 versions should be filed wherever possible. Contents of such filings may be referred 11 to in public submissions so long as the references do not reveal the confidential 12 information. 13 Finally let me say this, we are delighted to see that all sides are represented by highly 14 experienced counsel and we are heartened by the responses which we have received 15 to the scheduling order for this status conference. Those responses show already 16 that cooperation exists and we are optimistic that it will be unnecessary for us to force 17 compliance with directions made. Such compliance being in the exercise of our 18 considerable powers of case management, which are all to be used to achieve 19 efficiency and a fair trial. 20 So with those opening remarks, can we now turn to the agenda for this status 21 conference. 22 And I think that the first item on that agenda is probably the one that everybody is 23 most anxious to know about, which is the date of the commencement of the actual 24 trial. 25 We noted that, effectively, the Prosecution have asked for commencement to take

Page 5

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 place in June, and the Defence are talking about February, and the Representatives for 2 the Victims say as soon as possible, for obvious reasons, the events took place a very 3 long time ago. 4 Before I hear any further submissions from the party on the starting date, can we say 5 that we have obviously discussed this and discussed this with the Registry. And we 6 take the view, subject to submissions that may be made particularly by 7 the Office of the Prosecutor, that this trial should start as soon as is reasonably 8 practicable, given the other trials at this Court, and also taking into account 9 the difficulties which both the Office of the Prosecutor and the other parties have in 10 carrying out any investigations in Sudan or elsewhere because of the still existing 11 pandemic. 12 But, as I say, we believe that even with those difficulties six months or so should be an 13 adequate time. And just so that everybody understands it, we have in mind that this 14 trial would start at the beginning of April, 5 April, shortly before the Court break. 15 So, Mr Nicholls, I appreciate what you have said in your filings. Do you want to add 16 to that? 17 MR NICHOLLS: [9:47:27] Yes, just briefly, your Honours. And I will try not to 18 repeat what is in our filings. In general today we stand on our filings and we'll try to 19 answer any questions and not repeat what we have written. 20 And I should like to say at the beginning, I omitted to say when I stood up, that 21 the Prosecutor sends his regrets to all in the courtroom, your Honours, and all 22 the parties, that he was unable to be here today. He is travelling today on official 23 business, but otherwise he would have been eager to attend. 24 Your Honours, we've taken on board what you have just said about the need for

25 efficiency towards a fair and just trial and I can say that is exactly our goal in this case

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 on the side of the Prosecution.

2 We're requested a trial date of 13 June for several reasons, which I'll try to go through 3 very quickly. The first, which will be discussed, I think, in due course as we go 4 through the items of the agenda, primarily by Mr Mourad and Ms Mazzarella, is 5 the amount of disclosure, translation and other preparatory work that needs to be 6 done for a smooth and efficient trial. And I will just say that, having the adequate 7 time now to go through all of those necessary processes which are necessary for a fair 8 trial and for the Defence and the participants, giving us that adequate time now will 9 help the trial be smoother, more efficient and avoid delays if we make mistakes if we 10 don't have enough time. 11 In terms of the ongoing investigations I'll be brief, but we are conducting ongoing

12 investigations, they're ongoing in Sudan as I stand and speak to you today. We've

13 had extreme difficulties in the investigation in the cases in this situation, including

14 this case, and those are at paragraphs 20 to 21 of our submissions. And now, very

15 recently for the first time, we also have new opportunities and those new

16 opportunities are to get important evidence, that was not available before, that we

17 believe will be useful to the Trial Chamber in reaching a just and accurate verdict.

18 And will be useful to the Defence, as we follow Article 54(1)(a) and look for

19 incriminating and exonerating evidence equally.

20 But I'll just go through these very quickly.

21 At the time of his appearance, his initial appearance on 15 June last year, the accused

22 was the longest running fugitive to appear before the Court; 13 years since

23 the warrant was issued.

24 Until very recently, zero cooperation whatsoever from the Government of Sudan in

25 these cases. In fact, active opposition and our inability to even visit the country from

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 2007 until - for investigative purposes - this year.

2 The transfer of Mr Abd-Al-Rahman to the Court last year unfortunately happened 3 during the pandemic, which I won't go into a lot of detail, but it made investigations 4 even outside of Sudan, which was impossible at that time, extremely difficult because 5 there were many places that the investigators could not travel or that the witnesses 6 could not travel to, or when we were able to travel it was extremely slowed down by 7 having to quarantine for sometimes two weeks before beginning our work. 8 Now, it's public that the memorandum of understanding, which took a lot of work on 9 the part of the Prosecution Cooperation Division, that was signed between 10 the Government of Sudan and the Office of the Prosecutor on 14 February of this year, 11 that was when we were able to begin investigations in Sudan, in principle. 12 However, it was not until March, towards the end March, that we were able to 13 investigate on the ground in Sudan. And I'll just say as a reminder, that was 14 the same month that the DCC, the Document Containing the Charges had to be filed. 15 And once we were able to set foot on the ground, doors opened and accesses to 16 witnesses opened that had never been there before. And some of this evidence is 17 significant and some of it we managed at the last minute to transmit in time to be 18 processed, translated, disclosed in order to be used at the confirmation hearing. But much is available now since March of this year and ongoing that was not before. 19 20 So my plea would be that these additional months to June 13 will allow us to continue to pursue this evidence, which we were not able or permitted to do before in Sudan, 21 22 and that will be useful for the Court and for the Defence, I believe, and for 23 the participants. 24 I won't go through any of the law on -- or the jurisprudence on continuing

25 investigations, other than to say it's not novel and it's clear that investigations can

Page 8

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 continue after confirmation.

2 And I would just finish, your Honours, by saying that if you look at -- and I won't 3 repeat our submissions, they're in footnote 3 to our submissions, but the overall time 4 that we're requesting is in line with many of the other trials that have taken place in 5 this Court. If we start on June 13, we'll be almost two years to the day from 6 the initial appearance. Which is not an excessive amount of time between first 7 appearance in the courtroom and opening statements. 8 I'd also say that, if I understood the Defence's submissions correctly, what they are 9 suggesting is that opening statements begin on 15 February but the trial witnesses 10 come on 15 March, that we start hearing the evidence. Unless I understood 11 incorrectly, that's what I heard. So we're really not that far apart, because that's three 12 months, March to June, that we're talking about. 13 The additional prejudice, if any, of those three months between hearing of the first 14 witness is minimal, but again will allow us that extra time we believe we need to 15 search for additional material, based on the charges that have been confirmed, in 16 order to move the trial along.

17 Thank you.

18 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [9:54:59] (Microphone not activated) Thank you,

19 Mr Nicholls.

20 Yes, Mr Laucci.

21 MR LAUCCI: [9:55:11](Interpretation) Thank you, your Honour.

22 If I may, before replying in detail to the question raised of the start of the trial and

23 the comments from my learned colleague Julian Nicholls, I would like to make a few

24 general remarks, three to five minutes of general remarks, if I may?

25 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [9:55:45] Are those general remarks related to

08.09.2021

Page 9

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 the start of the trial?

2 MR LAUCCI: [9:55:50](Interpretation) They lead up to it. It's general context that I
3 would like to present.

4 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [9:55:57] Yes, all right. We'll hear what you say.

5 MR LAUCCI: [9:56:12](Interpretation) Thank you, your Honour.

6 You started this hearing referring to your election. I would first like to congratulate

7 you on this election. No matter how shortly or long ago your elections were,

8 congratulations to all three of you. You have been elected on the basis of your high

9 moral consideration, your impartiality and the integrity that you have in your country

10 from -- of the highest judiciary functions, this is in Article 36(3) of the Court Statute,

11 and it is these qualifications and your respect for the law which is characterised by

12 this that I would like to just mention in my introduction to ask you to maintain

13 the greatest vigilance which is based on your extreme -- on your experience.

14 The Court is an unusual jurisdiction, it's both a judicial institution and an

15 international organisation. For its operation it's based on text which are of very high

16 quality, but are nevertheless very succinct and much less developed than national

17 law.

18 Whatever law system you come from, common law or civil law or a different system,

19 they are all based on older rules, more detailed rules, and more technical rules, on

20 the basis of which the Court operates. So the rarity, the newness and the succinct or

21 general character of law applicable to this Court is based also on the work of its

22 Chambers, that's the case law of the Court, which is increasing every year and

23 clarifies things as we move along and make things clearer.

24 So you have the challenge of working on the corpus of rules which is much more

25 concise, more -- much less detailed than that which is available to national judges.

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

And this is a work that nevertheless requires the same degree of skill and vigilance as
 in national systems. The imprecise or sometimes -- the imprecise nature or the gaps
 in the Rules means that you have to apply other characteristics. So you have to work
 on things other than the written rule alone.

5 The Defence deplores that this is too often the reply given to deviations and violations 6 of the Rules. Under the point 1-H of the order, the Defence listed the questions 7 which have not had a reply during the preliminary phase and where the reply was it's 8 not important that the respect to the rights of the Defence, given the conditions 9 of the Statute, fairly and impartially, that this should be observed to a lesser extent 10 than during other phases of the proceedings and that the trial would look into this 11 later.

12 I'd like to offer one example, which I've chosen for its simplicity. We have noted that 13 the OTP has systematically deviated from the simple written rule with regard to 14 the protection of confidential information, with documents that are identified as 15 confidential, with regard to all the witness statements disclosed thus far. Not one of 16 these statements is it marked confidential, whereas this is part of the protection policy 17 of the Court in 2007/001 of June 2007. This is not an anodyne date. This week after 18 the first arrest warrant was issued for Mr Abd-Al-Rahman. So since the origin of 19 this case, since the start of proceedings, the information protection policy - the ink 20 was not yet dry on this policy - has been circumvented and ignored. This rule in all 21 minds was fresh, it was new, and so one would have assumed it was fit for 22 the Court's operational requirements as having been identified heretofore the drafting 23 of this text.

Yet from the first day it was not respected and indeed never has been. Even afterthe Court's attention was drawn publicly to this problem from the ICCBA in

Page 11

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 February 2018 --

PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:03:04] Mr Laucci, Mr Laucci, I'm sorry, I really
am sorry to interrupt you, but we have a large number of matters to deal with. We
have people appearing on video link and I really think I am going to ask you to turn
your attention to the start date of the trial. Complaints about breaches of the Rules
are not really for today. We're here to try and get this matter moving.
MR LAUCCI: [10:03:34](Interpretation) In that case, I will move immediately to my
conclusion, Madam President, which is to say that the Defence would call today, and

9 will throughout the trial phase, call for the most scrupulous respect of the Rules in

10 the Court text or from case law.

11 Then, on the basis of this conclusion, I move to the question that was asked and12 the discussion.

13 Firstly, I note that the date of April you proposed took into account an element of

14 which the Defence was unaware, which was the requirements of the Registry,

15 particularly with regard to the organisation of other cases. We are aware that we are

16 not the only case and that is of course something that can quite legitimately be taken

17 into consideration.

18 We expressed the most important aspect, which is that the trial should start as soon as

19 possible, and if the Registry cannot start before April, then the Defence's wish would

20 be met, assuming there is no other solution possible.

21 But I come now to what my colleague Mr Nicholls said in his observations. He is

still pleading for June, explaining to us that this is necessary in order to be able to

23 continue and complete investigations which could not have been done beforehand

24 and for which the OTP, for the first time, has the opportunity to carry out such

25 investigations.

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 The OTP also told us that investigations are ongoing. Congratulations to 2 the Prosecution on this. And I would very much have liked to be able to say 3 the same on behalf of the Defence. However, our current requests for journeys to 4 Sudan have been unsuccessful and we have a request ongoing and we don't know 5 whether it will be more successful. 6 So I come back to the first time, first opportunity, as mentioned. This is something 7 we've heard before in this case during the preliminary phase, in the pretrial phase. 8 We heard it with regard to the discussion relating to the detention of 9 Mr Abd-Al-Rahman, and the memorandum of understanding of February 2021 was 10 announced by the Prosecution as the first opportunity to do what had not been 11 possible in Sudan thus far. 12 Unfortunately, the Prosecutor had to revise its presentation and say that this MOU 13 actually changed nothing at all with regard to the delicate question of the protection 14 of victims, also the victims and the witnesses, anyone else who may be in danger 15 because of court proceedings in Sudan. And this first opportunity was in fact not an 16 opportunity. It is remarkable that this memorandum of understanding dated 17 February was completed, put aside, replaced by another agreement signed in May of 18 this year with the Court in its first article, paragraph 4. 19 So I would say that speaking of the first time, the first opportunity, I think we can 20 only hear that once. You can't keep repeated this wording again and again. 21 The Court jurisprudence on the question of investigations after the confirmation is 22 clear. We've mentioned that in paragraph 8 of our comments. Investigations can 23 continue, but on an exceptional basis and provided there is justification provided as 24 to why the investigations could not be completed earlier. 25 The Office of the Prosecutor mentioned the pandemic, the problems of travel

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1	restrictions, quarantine and so on, problems for their operations in Sudan. We feel
2	that this argument is not admissible because, because of the Court privileges and
3	immunities. If this had been respected by Sudan there would have been no
4	possibility of restrictions on the Court's travel, and the Office of the Prosecutor has
5	used this argument in the pretrial phase, where it was dismissed.
6	So I'm really not sure about this first time about the material difficulties. They may
7	perhaps mean that the legal framework for the Court's operations in Sudan don't exist,
8	and we will come back to this.
9	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:10:09] (Overlapping speakers)
10	MR LAUCCI: [10:10:07] (Interpretation) But I would like to finish with one last word.
11	Given this inconsistency, and the inconsistency with the Prosecution position every
12	time the question of continuing detention of Mr Abd-Al-Rahman, if the trial cannot
13	start in February as we had requested, either because of the legitimate concerns of the
14	Registry or the Prosecution, Mr Abd-Al-Rahman can do nothing to change this and
15	therefore he would be in detention to an uncertain date expecting a date for the trial.
16	I'm sorry to have been so lengthy in my statement.
17	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:11:04] Thank you, Mr Laucci.
18	Yes, Ms Massidda.
19	MS MASSIDDA: [10:11:09] Thank you, Madam President. I will go straight to
20	the point.
21	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: That would be
22	MS MASSIDDA: [10:11:09] As the Presiding Judge has indicated, victims have
23	a clear interest for this trial proceedings as much as possible, as soon as possible, and
24	with the date of commencement of the trial very early.
25	In recent consultations that we had, both myself - and I think also my learned

```
Status Conference
```

- 1 colleague Ms Clooney victims indicated that they would hope this trial to start
- 2 beginning 2022.
- 3 Now the date suggested by the Chamber, 5 April, sounds to us at this moment very
- 4 reasonable, also considering the difficulties indicated and reiterated this morning by
- 5 the Prosecution.
- 6 Thank you.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:11:52] Thank you, Ms Massidda.
- 8 Yes, Ms Clooney.
- 9 MS CLOONEY: [10:11:56] Thank you, your Honour.
- 10 Can I just ask for a short pause just because I see that my colleague, the Legal
- 11 representative for Victims Nasser Amin is visible on your screens but hasn't had
- 12 a chance to introduce himself as yet. May I just ask that he be given the opportunity
- 13 to do so?
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:12:23] Yes, of course.
- 15 MS CLOONEY: Thank you.
- 16 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:12:21] Where do you see him, as a matter of
- 17 interest?
- 18 MS CLOONEY: [10:12:27] When there was a zoomed out view of the courtroom I
- 19 saw him on the other screen next to where I appear. And I know he's -- he's in Cairo,
- 20 I believe.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:12:38] Oh, I see. Well, he doesn't appear to be.
- I can see him. I can't -- I can see him, but I'm not sure he's able to -- it looks to me as
- 23 though he's frozen.
- 24 MS CLOONEY: [10:12:46] Perhaps we could sort out the technical difficulties and he
- 25 could introduce himself just after the break, if that's all right.

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 Thank you for that.

In terms of the date, your Honours, as we said in our written submissions, of course
after waiting 17 years to see justice being done, the victims are anxious for the trial to
start as soon as possible. At the same time, you know, of course it's in everybody's
interest for the best possible evidence to be before this Court in order to establish
the truth.

7 We are also cognisant, as we say in the written submissions, of the difficulties that 8 the Prosecution has faced, including in relation to the ongoing pandemic, in relation 9 to the security situation in many of the camps, the relatively recent deterioration in 10 the security situation in Chad, where many victims and witnesses are located. 11 So I think ultimately of course it's for the Chamber to determine whether as soon as

possible means April or June, but those are the interests that we believe are at stake inrelation to the victims.

14 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:13:54] Yes. Thank you very much, Ms Clooney.

15 Right, there are no other further submissions to be made. We've heard from all

16 the parties. We'll consider the submissions that we've heard and we intend to give

17 oral ruling at the end of this status conference, or that part of it which will be in

18 public.

19 The knock-on aspect to this will be in relation to the Prosecution

20 disclosure -- I'm sorry, I'm just looking at the moment for my list of -- here we are,

21 yes.

22 The disclosure by the Prosecution, Mr Nicholls, of material would be three months in

23 advance of the start date of the trial. So, looking at, let us say for the sake of

24 argument at this moment - as I say, we will make a final decision - the start date is

25 5 April, the disclosure obligations of the Prosecution, what we would be strongly

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 urging the Prosecution to do, and I think you are actually undertaking the exercise at 2 the moment, is to comply with the disclosure obligations as soon as possible without 3 further delay, but final disclosure taking place effectively by 17 December. That 4 would be, give or take, three months, including a Christmas break, obviously. 5 And then there would be the trial brief with your list of witnesses. That would have 6 to be really no later than the beginning of January, 5 January, to allow the three 7 months. 8 And also -- well, I'll come on to that later, there's the question of expert Yes, right. 9 witnesses as well which is referred to in your brief. But all right, so that's item 1 on 10 the agenda, or A. 11 That brings us next to --12 MR NICHOLLS: [10:17:04] May I just say one thing, your Honour? I apologise. 13 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:17:09] Yes. 14 MR NICHOLLS: [10:17:09] The three months for the disclosure, yes, we would have 15 proposed six weeks for the pretrial brief before the start of trial, which would be 16 a little bit more time than our pre-confirmation brief for the DCC. I'm not arguing 17 with your Honour, I'm just saying that that is our proposal, not the three months for the PTB. 18 19 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:17:39] Six weeks before the start of trial? 20 MR NICHOLLS: [10:17:42] That's what we would ask, your Honour. 21 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:17:58] We'll come back to that, because we want 22 to discuss the question of a defence pretrial brief as well. 23 Yes. Yes, Mr Laucci. 24 MR LAUCCI: [10:18:15] (Interpretation) Thank you, Madam President. 25 I am not going to repeat our defence submissions on this point. Four months prior

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 to commencement of trial in matters of disclosure is what we submitted in our filing, 2 including a full list of witnesses, as well as an order of appearance of at least the first 3 10 witnesses to be disclosed at least three months before, and the pretrial brief to be 4 disclosed at least three months before trial. 5 So I just want to recall these points and point out that these are important pieces of 6 information for the Defence in this trial and we need time to be able to use those 7 materials. 8 Thank you. 9 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:19:16] Yes, I have that one in mind, Mr Laucci, 10 you can rest assured. 11 All right. Then the witnesses and the anticipated evidence. 12 As I understand it, Mr Nicholls, you've got 124 witnesses, 54 of them to be called live, 13 and the remainder under Rule 68. And you're asking for 400 hours. 14 MR NICHOLLS: [10:19:53] Your Honour, if I may at this -- excuse me -- at this point 15 I will turn over to Mr Jeremy, who is prepared for agenda item B, the anticipated 16 evidence. 17 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:20:07] Yes, Mr Jeremy. 18 MR JEREMY: [10:20:09] Yes, good morning, Madam President, your Honours. 19 Madam President, that's correct, your summary of our submissions in relation to 20 the anticipated evidence is -- is accurate. I would simply add that this is a tentative 21 number, it's our best guess at this point. It is subject to our ongoing focused 22 The number that we have provided of 400 hours is based on investigations. 23 the -- the number and type, the nature of the witnesses that we would intend to call in 24 And that number, as you will have seen from our submissions, is based or this case. 25 is informed by approaches that Trial Chambers have taken in relation to similar cases

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 at this Court.

If your Honours feel you would be assisted by greater detail, greater granularity in
terms of how that number of 400 hours breaks down in relation to the witnesses that
we intend to call, then -- then that's -- we can provide that additional information to
you in writing, if that is something that you think would be helpful. But otherwise
we -- we stand on our written submissions.

PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:21:38] Yes. Mr Jeremy, at the moment, of
course certainly for us, we come fresh to this case and so we haven't had sufficient
time to go through each and every one of the witnesses if we've got the statements
from them already. It may be at a later stage that we hold another status conference
to go through each of the witnesses and discuss really whether they are necessary,
how long they're actually going to take within a global figure.

What we have in mind is that, rather than allocating -- we'll certainly give you an overall figure, but rather than allocating specific times to each witness, each side will be given a finite - and I underline the word finite - number of hours in which to call its evidence and to cross-examine. And how that time is used will be a matter for the parties. It seems to me that's the most sensible way of dealing with it. But I don't -- at this stage I simply want to hear a little bit of why you ask for what appears to be quite a lot of time for not an overwhelming number of witnesses, but

20 you say it's based on earlier experience.

21 MR JEREMY: [10:23:18] Yes, that's -- that's right, your Honour. So that's based on 22 comparisons for the Ongwen case, for example, the Ntaganda case, and it's based on 23 an assessment of the types of witnesses that we intend to call in this case. So of the 24 54 live witnesses that we're intending to lead, 40 of them are crime-base witnesses and 25 we tentatively allocate to them approximately a full day of court session, four and

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1	a half hours; two overview witnesses who we again allocate around four and a half
2	hours, a full day; and then 12 insiders currently. And for those it's difficult to predict,
3	but our estimations range from one to one to three days, essentially.
4	So the way that we have arrived at that number of 400 hours is by assessing
5	the the types of witnesses, the categories of witnesses rather than an allocation of
6	hours in relation to a specific witness at this point, but rather an allocation of the
7	witnesses and how long we think would be necessary for the parties to adduce or for
8	the Prosecution to adduce the relevant evidence to assist this Chamber.
9	And we will, we will come to it, but we you'll note that we are also proposing to
10	make heavy use of the Rule 68 procedure, whereby some witness statements would
11	be tendered in writing without the witness being required. And then others would
12	testify in in person pursuant to Rule 68(3), but that would require only a very
13	focused, short examination by the Prosecution of, you know, an hour or so, as an
14	estimate.
15	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:25:25] Yes. All right. I think we've got
16	the general idea, Mr Jeremy.
17	Yes, Mr Laucci, really I'm not at this stage asking you what evidence you propose to
18	call, if any.
19	I see, sorry, it's Mr Edwards.
20	MR EDWARDS: [10:25:36] Yes.
21	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:25:38] Yes, Mr Edwards. I just simply want to
22	know if you've got any observations to make at this stage. Obviously we'll give you,
23	however it's worked out, an equal amount of time as to the Prosecution.
24	MR EDWARDS: [10:25:57] Thank you, your Honour.
25	It just seems to me relevant to remind the Court that, in the submissions of the

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 Registrar dated 1 September, reference is made to the not inconsiderable matter of 2 interpretation. The Registrar has indicated that they're experiencing difficulties in 3 terms of finding adequately trained Fur interpreters, and if we are going to be forced 4 into a position of having to rely on consecutive interpretation rather than 5 simultaneous interpretation - I see your Honour is nodding - that could well 6 significantly increase the time that's going to be needed. 7 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:26:48] Yes, thank you. I noted that and I was 8 going to deal with it under the question of languages and translation, yes. 9 Ms Massidda, anything you want to say as far as the Victims' Representatives. 10 MS MASSIDDA: [10:27:06] Only one small observation. We take note of intention 11 of the Chamber to indicate a finite number of hours per witness, it's retained. Just 12 before the Chamber --13 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:27:22] Sorry. No, no. No, no. You're going 14 to get a finite number of hours not per witness, we're not going to go through each 15 individual witness for the whole of the presentation of the questions you want to ask. 16 MS MASSIDDA: [10:27:31] Apologies. Just inform the Chamber that on occasion 17 legal representatives may not question witnesses. It depends, of course, on the type 18 of evidence that the witness will present in court. 19 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:27:47] You raised the possibility of wanting to 20 call victims. I take it at this stage no decision has been made? 21 MS MASSIDDA: [10:27:59] No, your Honour, it's a little bit too early for us to 22 provide this information, because our intention is of course not to duplicate anything 23 which will already be presented by the Prosecution. So we have the intention of 24 focusing on specific matters that eventually are not dealt with by the Prosecution in 25 the presentation of its evidence, or which may be of specific interest for the victims in

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 this case.

2 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:28:34] But are you saying at this stage - and I'll

3 come on to Ms Clooney and her colleague in a moment - are you saying at this stage

4 that you haven't got any idea at all about how many you would like to call?

5 MS MASSIDDA: [10:28:48] As far of the group of victims I am representing, I have

6 an idea. I am thinking of one or two expert witnesses.

7 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:28:59] Expert?

8 MS MASSIDDA: [10:29:04] Expert, yes. Depending also of course on what

9 the Prosecution will present.

10 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:29:07] I want to deal with experts next, but ... so,11 yeah.

12 MS MASSIDDA: [10:29:12] In -- just for information of the Chamber, in other cases

13 we only presented expert witnesses if the Prosecution has not presented them and on

14 specific matters. I can give an example for the information of the Chamber to

15 understand our intention.

16 In the Ongwen case we were authorised to present an expert on Acholi traditions,

17 which was particularly important also later on for reparation purposes. So we will

18 focus on these kind of issues, mainly dealing, essentially, with the extent of the

19 victimisations of our clients.

20 As far as other type of witnesses are concerned, again for the victims that I represent,

21 we do not have at the moment taken any decision in relation to the possibility for

22 victims to present their story as opposite to be in court as witnesses. We cannot do

that at the moment because we want to see which type of crime-base witnesses

24 the Prosecution will present.

25 And if we decide to have victims to come before the Chamber to tell their story, we

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 will probably confine these possibilities to up to two or three maximum persons.

2 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:30:39] Yes, that's very helpful. Thank you,

3 Ms Massidda.

4 Ms Clooney, anything you want to add?

5 MS CLOONEY: [10:30:46] Thank you, your Honour.

6 I would just refer the Chamber to paragraph 12 of our written submissions, where we

7 just make clear we are not in a position to say yet exactly who we would wish to call

8 until we know more about the Prosecution case. Because the idea, of course, is to

9 bring forward evidence that can lead to the determination of the truth but also not be

10 duplicative with what the Prosecution is going to present.

11 So while we foreshadowed to the Chamber that we may well wish to call victims to

12 present their views and concerns, and also separately potentially to appear as

13 witnesses, we're not in a position today to say exactly what that will look like, and I

14 think the Chamber can properly appreciate why that would be.

15 But other than that I have nothing to add to our written submissions at this stage.

16 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:31:42] Yes, thank you.

17 All right. Again, we'll come back to that in our ruling.

18 As I say, I think it's unlikely today that we're going to specify the exact timings that

19 we're going to allow the parties in this case.

20 So can I come to expert witnesses.

21 We note, as I said -- as we said in our introduction, that there appears to be good

22 cooperation going on between the Defence and the Prosecution on the selection of

23 expert witnesses.

24 We would emphasise that the rules generally suggest that it is better to have agreed

25 experts. If they cannot agree, then the -- the issues between them need to be sorted

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1	out in advance by the experts getting together in what is sometimes known as,
2	I believe, hot-tubbing. But they what we don't want is experts being called who
3	really don't disagree and without them having in advance, as I say, defined the issues.
4	Can I say this, it seemed to us - and obviously we're not in any way seeking to dictate
5	to the Prosecution how it presents its case - but if the starting date is to be 5 April, and
6	the recess is I've forgotten what date it is now, but it allows for a witness to be
7	called, it would be helpful to the Chamber if that witness were to be someone who
8	was going to deal with the background to the conflict.
9	Mr Nicholls, is there anything you want to say? You said it it's all set out in your
10	written submissions.
11	MR NICHOLLS: [10:34:20] Thank you, your Honour. Only that we have been
12	cooperating well on many aspects. We will seek to continue to do so and we will
13	seek to try to find agreement where we can on experts, possibly joint experts, and we
14	will follow the path you have set out.
15	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:34:39] Mr Edwards, anything to add?
16	MR EDWARDS: [10:34:41] No. We'll approach with a very open mind any
17	propositions made by the Prosecution.
18	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:34:46] Thank you.
19	Ms Massidda.
20	MS MASSIDDA: [10:34:50] Just to notify the Prosecution that the legal
21	representative will also be inclined to cooperate in relation to experts. You are
22	indicating certainly point B, sexual and gender-based violence and trauma, this is
23	clearly a topic which is of interest for us so we will be more than willing to also
24	cooperate in joint expert and maybe joint instructions to expert. Thank you very
25	much.

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:35:20] Yes. Can I also just say this: I mean 2 obviously we don't want experts in every conceivable subject that anybody can think 3 of, let's try and keep them to a limited range. 4 Yes, Ms Clooney. 5 MS CLOONEY: [10:35:35] Thank you, your Honour. Just really briefly I would 6 point the Chamber to paragraph 14 of our written submissions in relation to experts 7 and just state for the record my agreement with what my colleague Ms Massidda just 8 said. We of course will seek to cooperate as much as possible on this issue. 9 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:35:55] Yes, thank you. 10 Yes, well thank you. That's very helpful. 11 Then can we come to the use of audio or video link. It doesn't seem to me there's 12 much to be said on that. Everybody, throughout the last 18 months or so, has 13 become used to everything happening on audio or video link. So unless anybody 14 wants to say anything about it. 15 Well, Mr Laucci, I notice that you say you had reservations, but in reality -- in your 16 written brief. But in reality, as I say, everybody now knows this is a way forward 17 when witnesses cannot appear in person for one reason or another. So is there 18 anything you want to add? 19 MR LAUCCI: [10:36:50] Just to emphasise that it's reservation and -- very reasonable 20 reservation with video link whenever necessary. Yes, of course less do so. And 21 very strong reservation and opposition to any audio link without image. 22 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:37:06] I don't think that's going to happen at all 23 unless things go really badly wrong. Thank you. 24 Yes, right, the next items. I'm not sure -- well, other evidence and Rule 68. I don't 25 know that there's anything that needs to be said about it, is there, at this stage,

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

- 1 Mr Nicholls? Or Mr Jeremy.
- 2 MR JEREMY: [10:37:41] Yes, Madam President, I agree. Our position is set out

3 clearly in our -- in our submissions. We don't need to add to those.

4 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:37:51] Right.

5 Mr Edwards, no? Mr Laucci, no? Ms Massidda, no? Ms Clooney, no? Thank6 you very much.

7 Right, agreed facts.

8 Now that, as we mentioned in our preliminary remarks, is really important. And it

9 really goes along with identification of the real issues as between the parties.

10 Mr Nicholls, as I understand it, again discussion is going on between the parties.

11 MR NICHOLLS: [10:38:39] Sorry, I keep forgetting to take off the mask before I

12 stand up and then I can't speak.

13 Yes, we do not have an overwhelming number of agreed facts so far in this case.

14 We have seven -- well, 26, so that that is not a huge number, I concede. However,

15 Mr Laucci and I have been discussing this. We were going to meet this week but

16 were not able to, but we will sit down, the message is received, and we will try to

17 come to agreements where we can on issues not really in dispute.

18 And the only other point I would add is that, as -- it's in paragraph 18 of the Legal

19 Representatives of the Victims have asked that these agreed facts be notified to them.

20 I believe we did that before in the pre-confirmation phase and we have no objection to

21 continuing that practice.

22 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:39:41] Yes. Thank you, Mr Nicholls.

23 Mr Laucci or Mr Edwards, anything to add?

24 MR LAUCCI: [10:39:48](Interpretation) I can just confirm and I can say that the ball

25 is in our court. I apologise for this. We approached the Prosecution at the end of

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1	August saying that we wanted to pick up again certain subjects, but it was up to us to
2	formulate the proposals and this is still ongoing.
3	There's one other point I'd like to mention. Depending on the agreed facts, this is not
4	necessarily a fixed item linked to this phase, it is an ongoing phase, including when
5	the trial itself will have started. That's the way we see it. And the door will remain
6	open as far as we are concerned.
7	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:40:44] Well, sorry, Mr Laucci, I accept, of course,
8	that matters do get agreed during the course of the trial. The difficulty with leaving
9	it until then is that an awful lot of time can be wasted because witnesses are organised
10	to come and then the Defence say: Well, actually, we don't dispute anything
11	the witness is about to say.
12	And I think from all our own domestic jurisdictions we know that it is unfortunate if
13	agreement cannot be reached before the trial begins, but I appreciate that it's not
14	always possible.
15	Yes, Ms Massidda, anything you want to say.
16	MS MASSIDDA: (Overlapping speakers)
17	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:41:42] It's not really a question.
18	MS MASSIDDA: [10:41:47](Overlapping speakers) Sorry. Apologies, I was
19	overlapping. No submission. Our position is in the written joint submission
20	paragraph 16-18. Thank you for the Prosecution for acknowledging our request.
21	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:41:58] Ms Clooney, anything to add?
22	MS CLOONEY: [10:42:02](Overlapping speakers) thank you, your Honour.
23	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:42:09] Sorry. That then brings us on to
24	the question of languages and transcripts and translations.
25	Yes, you say, Mr Nicholls the Prosecution says in its submissions that the greatest

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

- 1 number speak Arabic; is that right?
- 2 MR NICHOLLS: [10:42:37] Thank you, your Honour. If I may, I will pass this topic
- 3 to Ms Mazzarella, who has prepared on these issues.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:42:45] Okay, yes.
- 5 Ms Mazzarella, yes.
- 6 MS MAZZARELLA: [10:42:54] Madam President, your Honours, can you hear me?
- 7 Oh, I might move this a bit closer.

8 We have largely addressed this matter in our written submissions. However, we

- 9 would like to note that -- well, you may have observed today that we will
- 10 be -- the Prosecution will be addressing the Court during proceedings in the working
- 11 languages of the Court, primarily English, but of our 124 expected witnesses there are
- 12 71 who speak Arabic and 35 who speak Fur. The remaining witnesses speak either
- 13 Masalit or Zaghawa, a minority of the witnesses, and 11 witnesses speak English.
- 14 The --
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:43:57] I mean that's fairly -- I mean I can see that.
- 16 I don't think there's any problem with Arabic, obviously not with English and so on,
- 17 but it seems to be the problem is going to be Fur, Masalit and Zaghawa.
- 18 MS MAZZARELLA: [10:44:15] Zaghawa. That is correct, Madam President. And
- 19 that is precisely what I was about to note, is that of the Masalit and Zaghawa
- 20 speaking witnesses, they speak Arabic as well so they can provide testimony in
- 21 Arabic.
- 22 However, we share the same concern as Mr Edwards noted earlier, and the Registry
- 23 noted in its submissions, that there is a lack of qualified -- or it is, rather, challenging
- 24 to identify qualified Fur interpreters for the proceedings. We share that concern and
- 25 we are willing to explore options with the Registry, as they've noted, to potentially

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1	pool language resources if it would facilitate fair and efficient proceedings.
2	Then, as the Registry also noted in its submissions, it is our understanding that
3	the retraining or, rather, the training of new Fur interpreters could take up to six
4	months, and the Prosecution notes that this would impact not only the length of the
5	proceedings but also the potential commencement date of the trial.
6	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:45:21] Well, only if you I would hope that you
7	could put the Fur witnesses the witnesses who speak Fur back to, as it were, to
8	the back of the list.
9	MS MAZZARELLA: [10:45:38] Well
10	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:45:39] Which would give the Registry more time.
11	MS MAZZARELLA: [10:45:41] we're certainly open to exploring those options.
12	However, we have not yet determined the order in which we intend to call witnesses,
13	so that's an assessment that we are not prepared to make at this time I think.
14	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:45:54] No, I'm sure that's right. But I'm merely
15	telling you.
16	MS MAZZARELLA: [10:45:58] Certainly. Thank you. Thank you, Madam
17	President.
18	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:46:00] Anything else?
19	MS MAZZARELLA: [10:46:02] No, that's it, unless you have any questions for
20	the Prosecution.
21	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:46:04] No. Unless my colleagues do. I don't
22	think so.
23	Yes, Mr Laucci or Mr Edwards, I mean
24	MR LAUCCI: [10:46:15](Interpretation) President, I would like to add to what my
25	colleague Edwards said earlier. This is a question for which I need clarification from

Page 29

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 the OTP. In their filings it seems that testimonies in Arab language are now listed as 2 those that need to be translated into Arabic. I would like to know why those 3 testimonies taken in Arabic need to be translated into Arabic. If that means that 4 there is going to be the Arabic translation of the original English, then we would 5 much prefer to have the English original and that would be better for everybody. 6 With regard to translating Arabic or another language to -- sorry, of Arabic to 7 the Court's working languages, the Defence team is able to make use of at least two 8 very competent Arabic speakers in our team and we would be happy to have original 9 Arabic versions. Obviously, we would need the translated versions into either 10 English or French once these are also available. 11 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:48:03] Yes. Sorry. I just want to make sure I'm 12 following this. What you're asking the OTP to do is disclose to you any statements 13 taken on which they rely which are in Arabic and have not yet been translated. Is 14 that what you're asking? 15 MR LAUCCI: [10:48:24](Interpretation) It was a first question of clarification so that 16 we understand it properly. Why are statements taken in Arabic being translated into 17 This is what we read from the filings, and we didn't understand that, so we Arabic? 18 would like to have that clarified. 19 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:48:49] Yes, Mr Nicholls. 20 MR NICHOLLS: [10:48:50] I'm sorry, I don't understand completely what -- you know, most of our -- virtually all of our written statements are taken in English, that 21 22 that is the language of the written statement, and it is signed. Those need to be 23 translated into -- we don't take -- I don't understand the other portion about Arabic 24 statements being translated into Arabic.

25 For transcripts, we -- that are taken in both languages for suspect interviews and that

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 are recorded, then those of course need to be transcribed.

2 The question may be, which is something that Ms Mazzarella could address in-depth,

3 revision of draft transcripts, if that is -- that's not what he -- okay, that's not the issue.

4 Then I'm -- don't know what I can add.

5 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:49:40] Okay. But, Mr Nicholls, can I just ask

6 you to clarify something. Are you saying that when witnesses were interviewed by

7 investigators it -- it was the translated version of what the witness said in whatever

8 language they were using, in other words, the investigator asked a question in

9 English, the interpreter interprets, the -- the answer is given in Arabic or Fur or

10 whatever it is, it's translated into English and it's the English that's written down?

11 MR NICHOLLS: [10:50:17] Correct. Because the investigator, most of them taking

12 these statements do not speak Arabic. So as the statement is typed up during

13 the interview, it's typed in English based on what the interpreter has said interpreting

14 the witness's statement.

15 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:50:31] Yes, I see.

16 And can I just ask also, the witness then signs the English version of the statement?

17 MR NICHOLLS: [10:50:43] Yes, following a read back. And there is a portion at the

18 end of these -- each written statement where the witness acknowledges that it's been

19 read back to them. And the interpreter -- I can't think of the exact language,

20 your Honour, but the interpreter also signs saying: I have fatefully and to the best of

21 my ability interpreted what the witness said into the language of the interview.

22 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:51:11] Yes.

23 MR NICHOLLS: [10:51:11] So that's probably not ideal. In the perfect world we

24 would have enough investigators who could speak the language, including Fur, but --

25 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:51:27] But a system with which I think all of us

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 who have been in previous tribunals are familiar. 2 MR NICHOLLS: [10:51:31] Yes. It's -- it's the same, similar system, your Honour. 3 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:51:34] All right. Yes. 4 Well, Mr Laucci, I'm sorry, I'm still not clear what it is you're asking for, and I don't 5 think Mr Nicholls is either. 6 MR LAUCCI: [10:51:42](Interpretation) I think that's important that we have this 7 clarification. So witnesses who speak Arabic are interviewed by the OTP through 8 interpretation, which in this case has usually been English, and then the transcript is 9 only in English, it does not appear -- does not exist in Arabic. 10 So, it would be useful to give us the recording in Arabic and the translated English so 11 that we could have the full translated version and that would enable us to make 12 progress. 13 MR NICHOLLS: [10:52:37] I think I may understand. If my colleague is talking 14 about tape-recorded interviews and is asking for the recording of those audio 15 recordings, that that is something we can talk about. Those are -- those are 16 transcribed and we provide the translation, but that is something I think we can 17 discuss. 18 I understand the point, and it's a fair point to make, so we will try to move forward 19 on that. 20 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:53:11] Yes, well, thank you very much, 21 Mr Nicholls. That seems to me, again if I may say so, an example of the cooperation 22 which can produce results between teams. 23 Yes, I take it that this isn't an issue that any of the Victims' Representatives want to 24 comment on? No. 25 MS CLOONEY: [10:53:32] Nothing from me, your Honour.

```
Status Conference
```

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:53:36] As far as the Registry's points are
2	concerned, I don't think there's anything that we can say about it at this stage. We'll
3	have to review this as matters go along. So it seems to me that's nothing else that we
4	can say about that.
5	There was one thing that the Prosecution do, Mr Nicholls, which I think would help
6	everybody, and that's if you can prepare some kind of a glossary of the names and
7	locations which have been translated from the Arabic into English and French. That
8	would help I think everybody.
9	MR NICHOLLS: [10:54:21] We will do that, your Honour. I'm sorry
10	that genuinely sorry, for ourselves as well, that we don't always that we didn't
11	have that for the DCC and the PCB. And we will certainly create a comprehensive
12	one for the pretrial brief, including, we would suggest, also names of persons who
13	reappear throughout the narrative, places, and as well as acronyms and sayings, so
14	that that is something that we will definitely do.
15	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:54:58] Yes. Well, that's very helpful. Thank
16	you.
17	(Microphone not activated)
18	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:55:14] I'm going to have to get used to this again.
19	Was yeah, investigations.
20	Now, you tell me, Mr Nicholls, there are still investigations going on. I won't say
21	that comes as a stunning surprise to anybody.
22	Really, as far as we're concerned, that's a matter for the Prosecution, but it is not going
23	to delay the start of the trial. And anything that turns up, obviously anything
24	exculpatory must be disclosed as soon as possible. That's all I'm prepared to say at
25	the moment.

08.09.2021

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 MR NICHOLLS: [10:56:13] Yes, your Honour. Well, it certainly won't delay

2 the start of the trial, because the trial will start when you say it starts and we will go

3 with what we have at that point.

4 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:56:23] Yes.

5 MR NICHOLLS: [10:56:24] My point, and I tried to make it earlier, I won't repeat

6 myself, but because of the changes in circumstances that have occurred really this

7 year, and including since the confirmation decision and our ability to investigate,

8 there is the ability to obtain significant new evidence in the coming months that will,

9 we believe, be important for the Chamber and also may well contain exculpatory

10 information and important evidence to prove the case, and to give greater

11 understanding and detail to the case.

12 And it's not the case that we just think more is more in every instance and we will 13 never stop. And I can't go into too much detail here about the people we are trying 14 to interview now, but these are people we haven't been able to speak to before, that 15 are the types of insiders that have been important in other cases at this and other 16 tribunals.

17 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [10:57:38] Mr Laucci, I saw from your written brief

18 that you want us to stop the Prosecution investigation. Well, I'm afraid there's

19 nothing that the Trial Chamber can do about that.

20 MR LAUCCI: [10:58:02](Interpretation) It's not a question of interrupting

21 the investigations, but what we needed to have finally questions answered which

22 have been asked throughout the pretrial phase, questions which concern us greatly

23 from a legal point of view. The OTP and the Court in Sudan, well, on the basis of the

24 information we have, there is no legal framework in Sudan, there is no convention

25 authorising the Court to carry out activities in Sudan, and there is no basis for

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 privileges and immunities of the Court in Sudan.

2 And I hope that the Registry will join with us when we discuss protection of

3 witnesses. There is no means of offering protection to victims, witnesses and

4 anybody else at risk through the Court's activities in Sudan.

5 The Prosecutor is not the only one to have had last minute information that has

6 appeared after the confirmation of charges. We are continually hearing that

7 cooperating with the Court is a criminal offence in Sudan and anyone cooperating can

8 be subject to capital punishment. It's a very serious risk which has not yet been dealt

9 with and we have had to date no information allowing us to deal with this aspect.

10 We can only do our part from the Defence with regard to the protection of victims,

11 witnesses and other persons at risk, asking that this be taken very seriously and it

12 shouldn't be sort of swept away of -- in the way of information received in error, as

13 has been done in past.

PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:00:20] Well, Mr Laucci, you can rest assured that
we are well aware that protection of witnesses and ability to investigate applies

16 equally to the Defence as to the Prosecution.

17 As I understand the matter, certainly from what Mr Nicholls says, there is now

18 cooperation from the Sudanese government and hopefully that will obviously extend19 to the Defence as well.

20 MR LAUCCI: [11:00:52](Interpretation) The witnesses stated that the concerns are 21 not only limited to the investigations of the Defence, but to all the investigations, all 22 the activities being carried out by the Court, be it the OTP or the Legal Representative 23 of Victims. Everybody, as of today, is at risk in Sudan. That's the information we 24 have. This has to be clarified.

25 Obviously it has an effect on us because, as you understand, if we want to approach

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

somebody as the Defence whom we see as potential witness and we have to say to
them it's likely that, if this person cooperates with us, they could be prosecuted or
even be subject to capital punishment. That is very difficult. But we have to be
open with the people that we contact. We have asked the OTP how they operated,
how they were open with these people, but we've had no clarification from them on
this point.

7 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:02:09] Yes, Mr Nicholls.

8 MR NICHOLLS: [11:02:12] Yeah, just -- not to sort of hijack this part of the status 9 conference, but Security Council resolution 1593 provides a legal basis, there's a legal 10 basis in that. That Security Council resolution, it's been decided many times in this 11 Court - in the Jordan appeals hearing - obliges Sudan to cooperate with the Court in 12 cases related to the referral. That is in place now, it's recognised by the Government 13 of Sudan. I don't have a cite with me, but they have publicly said they understand 14 their obligation to cooperate.

15 Members of the Registry have -- well, there have been missions to Sudan we have

16 undertaken. We are now. And there is cooperation. There are notes verbale that

17 can be exchanged regarding privileges and immunities. And I don't have

18 the Registry submissions to hand, but they have offered to assist the Defence with

19 these -- with these missions and these issues.

20 I hope that there's a more formal full agreement on all these issues in the future with

21 Sudan and the Court, but at the moment I do not believe it would be impossible for

22 the Defence to visit and I'm happy to talk and assist in any way we can. But

the main point I wanted to make is there is a legal basis obliging the Government of

24 Sudan to cooperate and that would include all these issues.

25 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:03:57] Yes.

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 Mr Laucci, I think -- I don't think there's much point in pursuing this at this stage. 2 If real difficulties arise, I suggest that you and Mr Nicholls and the Registry get 3 together on this to see what can be achieved. But if real difficulties arise, then of 4 course you can bring it back before the Trial Chamber. 5 MR LAUCCI: [11:04:23] (Interpretation) Yes. With regard to the Registry, we have 6 made this request on many occasions, we've asked to meet with them to discuss this, 7 But we've not heard much. I would say that perhaps Mr Nicholls could to clarify. 8 support me and achieve greater success with the Registry. 9 And a point of clarification with regard to the UN treaties and the Statute of Rome, 10 Sudan does not recognise any obligation under the Rome Statute. That still exists. 11 That has not been withdrawn. 12 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:05:08] All right. I -- at this stage I don't imagine 13 that either of the representatives of the victims wish to say anything. We've run over 14 slightly, we should be taking a break. So if they do we'll deal -- if you want so say 15 anything we'll listen to it after the break. 16 There will be a break of 30 minutes, I think. And Registry will be attending after 17 the break, so they may be able to assist with some -- on this particular aspect. 18 Yes, thank you very much. 19 So we'll adjourn until 11.35. Thank you. 20 THE COURT USHER: [11:05:49] All rise. 21 (Recess taken at 11.05 a.m.) 22 (Upon resuming in open session at 11.38 a.m.) 23 THE COURT USHER: [11:38:38] All rise. 24 Please be seated. 25 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:39:01] Yeah, Mr Nicholls, before we carry on, I'm

(Open Session)

1	told that the representatives from the Registry are here now.
2	A Mr Mahr, is that right? And a Mr Henquet? It may be an idea if we just go back
3	over the two matters that were raised that relate to the Registry. Firstly, the question
4	of the interpretation and the difficulties. Does anybody want to say anything about
5	that from the Registry?
6	We dealt with the fact that you're going to have to find interpreters or train
7	interpreters in the Fur language. As far as the Registry is concerned, is that going to
8	be concluded if the trial were to start at the beginning of April?
9	MR MAHR: [11:40:21] Thank you very much, your Honour.
10	My name is Christian Mahr, I'm the Director for External Operations. I'm joined
11	here this morning by Thomas Henquet, who's the chief of our Registry Legal Office.
12	Misa Zgonec-Rozej, also from the Registry Legal Office. And Vera Wang, who's
13	with my immediate office.
14	On this particular point, your Honour, we do not have the specific details, but we'd be
15	happy to check back with our colleagues who specialise in this aspect and we can
16	revert back on this particular issue. Thank you.
17	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:40:57] Thank you.
18	The second issue is, effectively, raised by the Defence but is the ability to conduct
19	investigations in Sudan.
20	Oh, you want to say something, do you, Ms Massidda?
21	MS MASSIDDA: [11:41:14] Thank you very much, Madam President. It's because
22	it's linked to this matter, before the Registry take the floor. And this was the discrete
23	issue I would like I wanted to raise before the break.
24	The Defence has indicated the difficulties in being able to undertake investigation.
25	Now, you have seen our submissions, I'm referring to paragraph 25 in fine in which

(Open Session)

1	we are also relating some difficulties in the possibility of undertaking field activities,
2	in particular of course in relation to the issue of contacting our clients, meeting our
3	clients in the places where they reside, and eventually collecting application forms
4	and supplemental information.
5	I'm flagging this because, since the Registry will probably take the floor at your
6	invitation after, I thought it was important for the Chamber to know that there is also
7	these discrete issues for us. Thank you very much.
8	I will be happy to provide more details not in open session. Thank you very much.
9	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:42:21] Yes.
10	Ms Clooney, do you want to say anything about it before I ask the Registry?
11	MS CLOONEY: [11:42:25] May I just say one thing that I tried to do earlier, is just to
12	introduce the other Legal Representative for Victims. I'm told that the technical
13	issues are now resolved. So if I may invite the Court to hear from Mr Nasser Amin.
14	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:42:40] Yes, Mr Amin, I see that you are now
15	present.
16	Would you like to introduce yourself.
17	MR NASSER: [11:42:53](Interpretation) Thank you very much. Thank you very
18	much, your Honour. Firstly, I would like to apologise.
19	THE ARABIC INTERPRETER: This is a note from the Arabic booth that the line is
20	very bad, we are unable to hear clearly what Mr Amin is saying.
21	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:43:16](Overlapping speakers) He's completely
22	gone now. I'm afraid I'm afraid I don't think this is capable of resolution.
23	MS CLOONEY: [11:43:25] I appreciate the effort. Thank you very much. Of
24	course understood.
25	I just had one point to add on investigations, if I if I may, your Honours, on behalf

(Open Session)

1	of the victims, and it's just to say that at the pretrial stage we did make a filing on
2	21 May just to signal the type of additional evidence that we hoped
3	the Office of the Prosecutor would seek to collect. The it included evidence of
4	sexual violence by the Janjaweed and also allegedly by the accused himself.
5	The Prosecution indicated during the confirmation hearing that they were willing to
6	investigate these matters, and I can say that steps have been taken since then that are
7	responsive to this. We're obviously all keenly aware that you will be announcing
8	a trial date and will not wish to see any delay to that, but from the perspective of the
9	victims we do believe that these additional investigations are warranted and we are
10	pleased to know that at least some of them are ongoing.
11	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:44:36] Yes, thank you, Ms Clooney.
12	Yes, Mr Nicholls?
13	MR NICHOLLS: [11:44:38] Thank you, your Honour. Just to make a correction to
14	the transcript, which actually relates to the point that you are going into now.
15	At page 45, line 16, it reads that I said I do not believe it would be possible for
16	the Defence to visit. That what I said was it not be impossible. But since this is
17	what we're discussing now, I'd make that correction now, thank you.
18	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:45:10] I think that is really an important
19	correction to make. Thank you, Mr Nicholls.
20	All right. Can I invite the Registry, just briefly, to say whether they believe it is
21	possible for them to facilitate in particular, obviously, the Defence undertaking
22	investigations in Sudan.
23	MR MAHR: [11:45:37] Thank you very much, your Honour.
24	On the part of the Registry we've expended quite a bit of time and energy over
25	the past few months, starting in last year, to ensure that we have a framework that

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1	various actors within the Court can continue and conduct operations on the ground in
2	Sudan.

The two pillars for this is really a legal framework that exists in terms of our
cooperation with the Sudanese government and a logistical framework that allows
the practical operating environment for our partners to operate.
On the legal framework, initial discussions at the highest levels began early on this

year. By 10 May we were able to have an agreement in place with the Sudanese
government which allows us and creates the legal framework necessary for us to
operate within Sudan.

10 As far as the logistical framework is concerned, we have been in negotiations with 11 the UN since late last year. The end result is that we now have a partner on the 12 ground who is extremely willing and has shown an ability to provide practical 13 logistical support. We had an expert mission of security experts from the Court visit 14 Khartoum in February. That opened up the door for activities in Khartoum that 15 could be conducted there. We have another mission of security experts going out to 16 both Khartoum and various parts of Darfur. They're leaving later this week. We 17 believe that once this mission is back we will have the parameters and the details 18 regarding our ability to be able to begin supporting missions in Darfur above and 19 beyond Khartoum.

20 Thank you, your Honour.

21 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:47:45] Yes. Well, thank you very much. That's
22 very helpful.

23 I think that both sides will have to wait, in particular the Defence, until

24 the -- the mission has been completed by the Registry. And then approach them

about how this can work.

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 MR LAUCCI: [11:48:07](Interpretation) Yes, Madam President, we will wait for 2 the additional information, quite naturally, but we want to welcome the Registrar's 3 representative who is in attendance. And this is very useful, their presence is very 4 useful, because then it engages the kind of discussion that we have attempted to 5 engage, if memory doesn't fail me, since August 2020. Therefore, this opens a 6 continuous discussion.

But then when it comes to the legal framework, we want to maintain our reservations
on the grounds that have already been raised and additional grounds which we have
become aware of having read the May agreement.

We would like to revisit willingly this matter, but as my learned colleague Nicholls said, maybe we shouldn't spend too much time on today's hearing to deal with this matter. Because some progress has already been made so far and so we will engage discussions with the Registry on this point, whereas this wasn't possible before, so this is a positive point. So I say that nothing, absolutely nothing regarding the questions that we have raised have been -- has been resolved, particularly *not by the May agreement.

PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:49:54] Yes. Well, thank you, Mr Laucci. As I
say, it seems to me that we're not going to make much progress on this today, and so I
suggest that you liaise with the Registry within a -- I'd say a couple of weeks or so
and see what's happening.

21 MR LAUCCI: [11:50:13](Interpretation) We will do so promptly as of today.

22 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:50:16] Yes.

Can we move to the next item then on the agenda, which is the timing and volume ofdisclosure of outstanding evidence.

25 The Prosecution in its filing says that about approximately a third of the items in your

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

- 1 possession have been disclosed.
- 2 Anything you want to add to what you said?

3 MR MOURAD: [11:50:46] Thank you, Madam President, your Honours.

4 Indeed, an overview of the process of disclosure so far reveals that the Prosecution

5 was diligent in its review and only disclosed those items that it assessed to be relevant.

6 So it's around one-third of the items in its collection that have been disclosed,

7 the majority of which were disclosed as Rule 70 -- under Rule 77 as material to the

8 preparation of the Defence.

9 The disclosure is, when we try to disclose an item we give an overarching value of the

10 value, be it INCRIM or PEXO or Rule 77, but we also systematically identify

11 the relevant parts in each item that may bear a different classification.

12 This is a brief overview of the process so far.

13 Now turning to the key point that would have a bearing on today's discussion is what

14 need to be done in preparation for disclosure for trial. I am guided by

15 your Honour's indication of 17 December as a cut-off date for disclosure to be able to

16 start trial in April of next year. And I just -- I will put a few steps that we were

17 considering that we need to take in order to meet this deadline for your own

18 consideration. These steps obviously would include reviewing the new items

19 collected, currently in our possession, or as a result of further investigative steps.

20 Reassessing evidence --

21 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:52:43] Stop there for a moment. How many

22 items are we talking about? Roughly.

23 MR MOURAD: [11:52:51] The items that currently need to be reviewed, the new

24 items are not much, they're only 78 items.

25 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:52:59] This is all in your filing.

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 MR MOURAD: [11:53:02] Yes. 2 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:53:03] You needn't go through the filing again. 3 MR MOURAD: [11:53:06] Indeed. I just want to emphasise just with broad strokes 4 the process that we need to undertake, with your leave, just in two minutes. If that's 5 okay. 6 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:53:19] Yes. All right, Mr Mourad, do go ahead. 7 MR MOURAD: [11:53:23] So, your Honours, we have approximately 23,000 items that we need to reassess, because those items were reviewed and previously 8 9 considered as irrelevant for disclosure. But given the process of the case we think 10 that it's -- would be diligent on our part and most prudent to reassess this totality 11 of -- of collection and to identify any new items that need to be disclosed. 12 And in this respect we will try to be efficient, so we'll try to run keyword search, and 13 we definitely invite the Defence to share with us any search terms that they consider 14 relevant to their preparation. 15 Another aspect that would normally take a few months, and it was done in other 16 cases, would be to reassess redaction already applied to evidence disclosed for 17 the purpose of confirmation hearing. For trial, we have to make -- we have to make 18 all our efforts to lift redaction to the extent possible that are no longer required to 19 enable the Defence to prepare for trial. 20 This is a big amount of items that we need to go through and it is a technical process 21 that requires reviewing item by item and redaction by redaction to be able to decide 22 what can be lifted and what cannot and has to remain. This normally, in our 23 assessment, would take around three months. 24 The other key obligation that we have to fulfil is disclosure of translations and

25 transcription, which, as in our written submission, is estimated to take up to maybe

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 five months.

Included in this assessment, of course, the time by which we will be able to clear our
witnesses for disclosure and the need to file any delayed disclosure, if necessary.
This is basically the key points that I'm trying to consider and to raise before
the Chamber in its final assessment of the cut-off date for disclosure, your Honours.
Thank you.

PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:56:08] Yes, I think what I was trying to say earlier is this, what you should do is disclose as soon as possible matters which don't require, for example, reassessing redactions or written transcripts and translation issues. And I think it would be helpful if you could indicate whether there are categories of material which can be disclosed quicker than the three and five months you're talking about. In other words, documents where you're not going to have to reassess redactions and the like.

I mean, are there documents which you can disclose, let's say, within the next month? MR MOURAD: [11:57:07] We -- it's an ongoing process and we try to disclose all the items necessary in the shortest possible delay and we try to disclose almost on weekly or biweekly intervals. So we currently are reviewing the newly collected material and we can prepare them -- prepare them for disclosure with the standard redaction already available.

20 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:57:42] Yes, all right.

The other thing is this: One of the complaints that the Defence make, and which is a complaint which is not just limited to this Court but nearly all courts, is what's called adopting the keys to the warehouse approach. In other words, dumping on them everything without any indication as to whether it's exculpatory, inculpatory, what it is. And I don't think, if that practice is being followed, it should continue.

(Open Session)

1	I think what is and, you know, it's not what I think what is disclosed by
2	the Prosecution, it must be indicated the nature of the material that's being disclosed,
3	whether it is inculpatory or exculpatory. And that must be done by in a, as it were,
4	tabular form. There must be a description of what the material is, first of all. And
5	then to, as I say, into which category it falls.
6	MR MOURAD: [11:58:56] Indeed, your Honours, this is the practice we are
7	following. And pursuant to a decision from the Pre-Trial Chamber on 2 October of
8	last year we've been systematically doing this.
9	So as I explained, we disclose the item based on the overarching value of this item.
10	So this item like a witness statement is incriminatory item that we intend to rely on.
11	But within the same statement there is a mixed value. Some, in some places there is
12	information that may be material or of assistance to the Defence, so we indicate
13	the page or the relevant paragraphs. And we've been systematically doing this since
14	2 October pursuant an instruction of the Pre-Trial Chamber.
15	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:59:43] Yes, all right. Thank you very much.
16	Yes, all right. Well, as I say, we will set actual deadlines when we come to the time
17	for trial.
18	So is there anything else you want to say, Mr Mourad?
19	No, thank you.
20	MR MOURAD: [11:59:57] Thank you very much, your Honour.
21	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [11:59:59] Mr Laucci.
22	Mr Edwards.
23	MR EDWARDS: [12:00:02] I'm afraid it's me again, your Honour.
24	We're encouraged to hear that the process is an ongoing one.
25	We do insist, to the extent we can, that disclosure continue on a rolling basis, because

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 that assists us, as much as possible. 2 It may be, and I don't know whether the Prosecution has turned its mind to it, but 3 the Prosecution must have a fairly firm idea of the order in which they propose to 4 present their evidence, whether it be crime base, or what have you, because if these 5 are the witnesses who are going to be coming first, then perhaps they could focus 6 their attention as a matter of priority on those first witnesses and disclose the material 7 to us as a priority. That allows us to be ready for the beginning of trial. We are less concerned about 8 9 evidence that's going to be called six months or 12 months or 18 months after 10 the beginning of trial. We can get to that when we need to. 11 That's the first --12 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:01:13] I'd forget the 12 to 18 months. This is 13 going to be an efficient trial, Mr Edwards. 14 MR EDWARDS: [12:01:19] I'm sure it will be. I'm being overly pessimistic, I'm 15 sure. 16 The only other point I really have to make it this: We're intrigued by the wording in 17 the Prosecution's submissions at paragraph 26 of their -- of their filing that the -- that 18 the Prosecution are reassessing previously reviewed but undisclosed items reflecting 19 recent developments of the case. We're not too sure what that means, "recent 20 developments of the case", and if the Prosecution is in a position to enlighten us today, 21 such enlightenment will be very much welcomed. 22 That's all we have to say on the matter. 23 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:02:05] Yes. One -- can I just ask you this - sorry, 24 Mr Edwards - before I go back to Mr Nicholls. It's pointed out that it assists -- well,

there are two matters, that if you provide keywords that you want searched that will

25

(Open Session)

1	help the Prosecution. I take it you're prepared to do that?
2	MR EDWARDS: [12:02:23] Yes. We'll do everything and anything that will assist
3	the process.
4	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:02:27] And the other thing, as I say I'm going to
5	come back to this, is of course what will be of most assistance, not just for
6	the purposes of disclosure but to the Court and everybody else, is if we have some
7	idea of what the Defence is at an earlier stage at an early stage, rather.
8	MR EDWARDS: [12:02:48] Yes, I I see from the agenda that we were going to
9	come on to that (Overlapping speakers).
10	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:02:55](Overlapping speakers) We're going to
11	come on to that. But I'm just I'm flagging that up
12	MR EDWARDS: [12:02:54] Yes.
13	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:02:54] as it relates to this issue of disclosure.
14	MR EDWARDS: [12:03:00] yes, yes. Well, I'll take the opportunity of making
15	the point now, and it's really a reiteration of the point. We are very, very far behind
16	in terms of the investigations that we want to carry out.
17	We haven't stepped foot in Sudan yet. There are investigations that we can carry out
18	in Khartoum which may be less sensitive, or it may be an area of Sudan that is less
19	sensitive and is more amenable to defence investigations.
20	We await the outcome of the mission to Darfur and other parts of Sudan. We await
21	that with great interest. But the current advice that we have from the Registry,
22	the advice from JTAG - I'm afraid I don't know what that acronym means, but it's
23	some sort of unit in the Registry - and their recommendations now are that missions
24	to Darfur are simply not possible.
25	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:04:12] Yes. Well, I mean, as you say, this is

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 going to have to await the Registry's mission.

2 MR EDWARDS: [12:04:18] Yes. But all that -- all that to say, if I may, your Honour,

3 we are nowhere near where we would like to be in terms of investigations to produce

4 any kind of meaningful notice of lines of defence, other than perhaps the one which

5 has already been very much flagged which relates to the -- our client's contesting that

6 he is the person that the Prosecution describe as Ali Kushayb.

7 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:04:53] Yes. Well, I mean, that of course is

8 the point. What appeared from the -- the earlier hearings in the Pre-Trial Chamber is

9 that the Defence appears to be, as it was put forward, a challenge that your client is

10 the person described by the nickname in the charges.

11 But in the alternative, if it is him, then he did commit these acts but didn't appreciate

12 that there was anything wrong with them. The one of -- if identity, if the Defence is

13 one, not me, somebody completely different, well that's one thing. It's a completely

14 different thing if the defence is I do not contest it is me, but I didn't appreciate that it15 was a crime.

16 And if it were the second the evidence for the Prosecution would be greatly reduced.

17 So it does really make a difference to know what your defence is.

18 MR EDWARDS: [12:06:15] We appreciate the situation. And to that end can I - he's

19 already on his feet - turn to my learned leader.

20 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:06:22] Yes. Mr Laucci.

21 MR LAUCCI: [12:06:25](Interpretation) I would like to thank my colleague Edwards,

22 but we talk about the line of defence used in the preliminary -- the pretrial phase and

23 the charge of -- confirmation of charges where Mr Edwards was not part of our team,

24 I would like to take the floor.

25 As your question sums up, the difficulty of the Defence in this case throughout

(Open Session)

1	the pretrial phase, which is to prepare a confirmation of charges hearing without
2	having had any possibility to carry out any form of investigation. And there is
3	the line of defence, the primary line of defence, which will remain the primary line of
4	defence, the fact that Ali Muhammad Ali Abd-Al-Rahman is not the person known as
5	Ali Kushayb.
6	You may have seen the Defence filing related to this point and request to appear
7	before the Chamber, but this is was a fine line in the previous hearing. There was
8	a video presented by Mr Abd-Al-Rahman, but the proof is that it was requested by
9	the OTP and therefore it was not admissible as evidence. This will remain
10	the primary line of defence during the confirmation charges hearing.
11	We also stated that the that the Trial Chamber might accept that there is sufficient
12	proof that he is Ali Kushayb, and therefore there would be no, there would be no
13	concession from our part. But we have developed the argument that, even if he had
14	been Ali Kushayb, there is another problem in the Prosecutor's dossier, which is
15	the psychological element of knowledge was not raised, demonstrated or discussed.
16	And we said at the confirmation of charges hearing our lines of defence will not be
17	solely these two points, we will discuss the possibility of a partial alibi, for which we
18	have to carry out investigations in order to come up with something sufficiently
19	concrete and establish it in order not to waste the Court's time.
20	And there's another line of defence which will again require investigations which we
21	have not been able to start.
22	So we can't go that far. We have come so I will say again, the dispute about
23	the nickname remains our primary line of defence.
24	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:10:16] Well, can I say that's very helpful. I'm
25	only at this stage raising this because you raised the question of disclosure. And

- 1 what the Defence is or may be is an important one when it comes to the Prosecution
- 2 knowing what it has to disclose as exculpatory.
- 3 And I'll come on to, as you say, the pretrial briefs in the order in which the agenda is
- 4 set out.
- 5 I'm taking it that on the question of disclosure this doesn't -- isn't a matter that
- 6 the Victims' Representatives wish to be heard on.
- 7 No, I see Ms Massidda shaking her head.
- 8 Ms Clooney? No, thank you very much.
- 9 Transcript and translations.
- 10 Yes, Mr Nicholls -- or who's dealing with that, this aspect from the Prosecution?
- 11 MR NICHOLLS: [12:11:10] Thank you, your Honour. That would be
- 12 Ms Mazzarella.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:11:13] All right, Ms Mazzarella.
- 14 You say in your filing that the Prosecution intends to conduct a number of
- 15 Article 55(2) interviews. Do you mean these are interviews which have not yet taken
- 16 place?
- 17 MS MAZZARELLA: [12:11:36] Apologies, Madam President, I didn't quite catch
- 18 that last -- the first part of your sentence.
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:11:43] In your filing you say the Prosecution -- its
- 20 paragraph 10 of the filing, I think.
- 21 MR EDWARDS: [12:12:04] It's paragraph 28.
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:12:08] Sorry, yes, paragraph 28, sorry,
- 23 page -- that's my fault, I've written down page numbers, which doesn't help.
- 24 Paragraph 28, you say "intends to conduct". Do I take it this is all in the future?
- 25 MS MAZZARELLA: [12:12:22] Yes. There are currently some interviews that are

(Open Session)

1	planned. They're ongoing interviews at the moment.
2	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:12:30] You mean they have started?
-	MS MAZZARELLA: [12:12:32] There are so this number or this reference includes
4	some interviews that have begun, but this this is meant to also address
5	the possibility of additional Article 55(2) interviews that we have identified as being
6	possible or likely in the immediate term. So this would be the very near future.
7	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:12:54] All right. Then you've got audio files.
8	There are still Arabic items that need translation, which is the five months referred to
9	by Mr Mourad, I take it. Yes. All right.
10	Well, you've still got a number of items outstanding then?
11	MS MAZZARELLA: [12:13:34] Yes, there are a number of items that we still have
12	not begun to translate, if that addresses your question, Madam, Madam President.
13	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:13:44] Well, I mean, as an overall suggestion
14	again, I think that all of this should be disclosed on a rolling basis. If you run into
15	real difficulties then you can come back about it. But as I say, there's going to be
16	a cut-off deadline for all of this and we'll see how we go.
17	Yes. Right. Thank you.
18	Mr Laucci or Mr Edwards, anything you want to say?
19	MR EDWARDS: [12:14:17] Only I think to reiterate a point I alluded to earlier. We
20	want the transcripts eventually, but in in the first place, if the audio files can be
21	disclosed to us, we have Arabophones on our team, we can get started on the work
22	with just the audio files for now.
23	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:14:37] Yes, Mr Nicholls.
24	MR NICHOLLS: [12:14:41] Sorry, just one very quick point on that, your Honour.
25	What's time consuming with the audio file disclosure here, and unfortunately is very

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 time consuming, is that redactions still need to be made. There are -- I'm not 2 a redaction expert, but there are certain standard redactions, information which 3 would be redacted from any statement, transcript turned over. And when you need 4 to do that on an audio file it's quite time consuming. 5 So there -- in other jobs I've had there were audio transcripts where there was really 6 nothing that needed to be redacted because of a different category of witness, or 7 something that could just go out, and it would be disclosed right away. Here, very many of these do need redactions. That requires, there's no way to 8 9 automate it, somebody needs to listen to the audio with the transcript with them and 10 find the parts. So it can be done -- and they need to manually remove, tape over. 11 So I wish I could just hit a button and send them, but it's not that easy, but that -- I just 12 want to make that point. 13 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:16:00] Yes, well, I -- we appreciate -- I mean, 14 everybody appreciates the difficulties of this, but, as I say, there's going to have to be 15 a cut-off date for the whole thing. Yeah. 16 Right. And of course, I mean -- I'm told that the Rules and Regulations of the Court 17 don't actually require a translation to be made of every document. It's really 18 the ones on which the Prosecution are going to rely or which contain exculpatory 19 evidence. 20 Right, witness protection. 21 You're -- Mr Nicholls, again, I gather you're going under -- or undertaking, or 22 the Witness and Victim Unit is undertaking various assessments at the moment. I 23 think all of these assessments really need to be expedited. I don't know whether 24 that's you, or it's the Victim and Witnesses Unit I take it. 25 MR NICHOLLS: [12:17:08] It's -- it's actually both, your Honour.

08.09.2021

(Open Session)

1	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:17:11] Right.
2	MR NICHOLLS: [12:17:12] It's both. But I would ask this is actually
3	Mr Mourad's section, all of E, if he has another point he'd like to make. But it is
4	the Victim and Witness Unit. And we have our own protective strategies unit which
5	undertakes a review of what the needs are of the different witnesses.
6	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:17:34] Yes.
7	Yes, Mr Mourad.
8	MR MOURAD: [12:17:40] Thank you, your Honours.
9	We are working closely with VWS on this issue. We have a unit within
10	the Office of the Prosecutor who contacts the witnesses and conducts risk assessment
11	analysis and then would be able, in consultation sometimes with VWS, to clear their
12	witnesses for disclosure.
13	I don't know if I can go in further details on the situation of witnesses. But it's I
14	would refer to the written submission in this respect unless your Honours have
15	specific additional questions on this point.
16	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:18:24] Yes, I do have some in relation to your
17	paragraph 34, but these are not matters that I think need to be aired in open session,
18	so we'll deal with that at a later stage.
19	Yes, Mr Laucci, anything you want to say on this aspect?
20	MR LAUCCI: [12:18:44](Interpretation) Thank you, your Honour.
21	I don't want to take up any more of your time at the moment, but I would ask that
22	the Registry representative update us, as I requested, with regard to the real
23	capabilities of the Court in terms of the protection of people in Sudan. This is
24	something we need to have a clarification of, or at least an update, because a year ago
25	we were told that there was no possibility at all.

ICC-02/05-01/20

1	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER:	[12:19:29] Yes.	Well, I'm sure that there will be an
2	update provided.		
_			

3 Again, I take it this is not a matter that the victims' representatives want to express

4 a view on. I'm wrong.

5 MS MASSIDDA: [12:19:45] No, your Honour, we have no submissions on that.

6 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:19:51] No. Right. Thank you.

7 Ms Clooney, I see you shaking your head, so the answer is no.

8 Yes, Rule -- the next item is the Article 54(3)(e) of the Statute, material.

9 I see from the written filings that the Prosecution's got is it one witness that may deal

10 with that and the Defence have asked for the report, so I don't think we can take that

11 matter any further today. Anybody disagree?

12 No, all right.

13 All right, which brings us on to Defence disclosure, and really that goes along with

14 the provision of trial briefs and which we really -- which Mr Edwards has helpfully,

15 and Mr Laucci has also dealt with.

16 As far as the pretrial brief is concerned, Mr Nicholls, we did deal with that at the

17 beginning and I think you asked for six weeks before the trial.

18 However, it seems to me, and particularly in the light of what the Defence have been

19 saying about the nature of their defence, that you should in fact produce your pretrial

20 brief three months in advance, which will take us to the beginning of January, if April

21 is the start date. So that gives the Defence an opportunity to respond and also tell us

22 what its defence is, and tell indeed you what the Defence is, once they've had

23 the chance to investigate.

24 I've heard what you say about six weeks, at the moment, unless you want to add to

any of that?

08.09.2021

(Open Session)

1	MR NICHOLLS: [12:22:18] No, your Honour. Thank you.
2	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:22:20] Right, Mr Edwards or Mr Laucci,
3	whichever is going to deal with it. You very helpfully said you were prepared to
4	provide a pretrial brief if the Court required it. I think it would assist everybody in
5	this case for you to provide one so that everybody, before the trial starts, has an idea
6	of what the real issues, which I referred to our opening remarks, are in this case.
7	What we have in mind is to order you to provide a pretrial brief which sets out, first,
8	what matters that you take issue with that the Prosecution are proposing to lead in
9	evidence.
10	And second, sets out what your defence is in general terms.
11	We do not expect you to provide the complete detail of the defence, certainly not at
12	that stage. It does not such the provision of such a brief does not preclude you
13	from adding other avenues at a later stage if those become evident to you in
14	the course of investigations, but at least everybody starts with a good idea of what is
15	the issue or what are the issues in this case.
16	What we had in mind was to order you to provide a Defence pretrial brief by 5 March,
17	a month before the trial begins, if we order it to begin on 5 April.
18	Is there anything that you want to say about that?
19	MR LAUCCI: [12:24:36](Interpretation) To check with my notes, the 5 March would
20	be two months after the Prosecution brief; is that correct?
21	Yes, well, as we said and as you read in our filings, the Defence is at your disposal to
22	submit a brief. We said that the start of the presentation of the proof of defence
23	would perhaps be a more appropriate moment. However, we take full account of
24	the Chamber's different point of view.
25	I note the elements you'd like to see in this brief, but what I would like to say is,

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1	clearly we are prepared to provide a pretrial brief, but if possible we would like to
2	have the option to have a pre-defence brief, to submit that at the appropriate moment.
3	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:25:58] What's a pre-defence brief?
4	MR LAUCCI: [12:26:02](Interpretation) Well, that would be once the Prosecution
5	proof has been offered, once the questions relating to the existence of or not of
6	a charge or a case that we should answer, once that has been resolved we would
7	through a brief set out and that will no longer be under reservation, that will be
8	a clear indication, a clear breakdown of the Defence presentation.
9	So we have just been asked to have a pretrial brief which would not be exhaustive
10	and obviously would depend on the reservation of our investigations, contacts and so
11	on. Yes, we're perfectly happy to do that, but we could offer something more
12	concrete, more definitive as an introduction to the presentation of the Defence case.
13	That is the suggestion that I'm making.
14	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:27:08] Well, can I say that that is exceedingly
15	helpful of you, Mr Laucci. When would you anticipate providing such a pre-defence
16	brief?
17	MR LAUCCI: [12:27:25](Interpretation) Well, that would be after the conclusion of
18	the Prosecution evidence and once we've resolved any questions relating to no case to
19	answer.
20	I must apologise to the interpreters and I will slow down a bit.
21	Once the Prosecution has presented its case, and that is closed, and once we have
22	resolved any questions relating to no case to answer, despite being a French native
23	speaker there is no French for "no case to answer".
24	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:28:00] Yes, well, as we all know, it's been
25	a matter of considerable discussion in various cases before this Court.

08.09.2021

(Open Session)

Sorry. So, yes, I see, what you're saying is that when the Prosecution evidence is
concluded and any submissions of no case to answer have been dealt with, if
the Court is against you on a submission of no case to answer then you would
provide a further defence brief?
MR LAUCCI: [12:28:38](Interpretation) Yes, that's the proposal I'm making.
And I've just been whispered to, that is the case in many of the cases here. So that is
the practice which is established and which we would intend following.
PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:28:59] Yes. Well, as I say, that's very helpful,
but I'm afraid that the Trial Chamber is going to insist that before the trial actually
starts you file a, if you like, a pre-pre-defence brief which sets outs, in particular it's
important to know, what issue you take with the Prosecution evidence and
the outline of your defence as you understand it to be at that stage. All right.
MR LAUCCI: [12:29:36](Interpretation) My proposal of the pre-defence brief was
based on there being this pre-pre-defence brief.
PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:29:48] Yes.
Again yes, Ms Massidda, do you want to say anything?
MS MASSIDDA: [12:29:53] On this, yes, your Honour, unfortunately. Our position
on trial brief is said in paragraph 20 of our joint written submissions.
It has been the practice in the Ongwen and the Yekatom, Ngaïssona cases to also
allow legal representatives, if they wish, to file a written trial brief. So we would like
to enquire with the Chamber about the position on this request.
And since I have the floor, I was thinking while the Prosecution was mentioning this,
that it could be maybe useful if the Chamber is provided with the trial brief by
the legal representatives slightly after the Prosecution, because in this case we could
present, let's say, our position on the issues at trial after having read what

(Open Session)

- 1 the Prosecution is including in its trial brief.
- 2 Thank you.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:30:57] Yes.
- 4 Ms Clooney, I'd forgotten that you said, or it was said at paragraph 20 that you want
- 5 to do that. You're with that as well, are you?
- 6 MS CLOONEY: [12:31:10] (Microphone not activated)
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:31:15] Ms Clooney, you're silent at the moment.
- 8 MS CLOONEY: [12:31:18] Apologies.
- 9 Yes, as set out in paragraph 20, we would like the opportunity to submit our own
- 10 brief. And as Ms Massidda has said, it may be most helpful to the Chamber if we do
- 11 so in-between the Prosecution and the Defence.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:31:35] Yes. Well, that seems sensible to me.
- 13 Yes, I see no reason to depart from the procedures that were set out in Ongwen and,
- 14 yes, you can file briefs. Thank you.
- 15 We'll deal at a later stage with length and so on.
- 16 Right. Come on to the question of pretrial motions.
- 17 The Defence have a large number of outstanding motions, but most of which are
- 18 addressed to the Pre-Trial Chamber and relate to decisions made. And I think I
- 19 should emphasise this Trial Chamber can't act as a court of appeal from
- 20 the Pre-Trial Chamber.
- 21 So as far as that is concerned, the Prosecution have suggested that there's a deadline
- 22 of 45 days prior to the start of the trial for the filing of motions. I think it has to be
- a bit earlier than that.
- Again, we emphasise that really the filing of motions should only take place if
- 25 agreement cannot be reached and if they relate to issues which need to be decided

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 before the start of the trial.

2 So apart from your - I'll go to Mr Laucci first - apart from your many outstanding 3 motions, do you anticipate filing motions relating to this aspect of this trial? 4 MR LAUCCI: [12:33:47] (Interpretation) Madam President, I pray you to please 5 pardon me if our written filings were not clear enough on this point. Defence has 6 never claimed to turn the Trial Chamber into an Appeal Chamber for 7 the Pre-Trial Chamber. That is exactly why in our submissions we dwelled on 8 the decisions of the Pre-Trial Chamber which referred matters that would be dealt 9 with at your Trial Chamber, matters which were not dealt with at that level or which 10 were dealt with only to a limited extent in relation to the purposes of confirmation of 11 charges. So that is why they were referred pending confirmation. 12 So in this context we had no choice but to bring those matters up again, 13 the nonresolved matters to be brought before trial. I agree with you that it is a very 14 long list, but these are essential matters relating to witness protection, to activities on 15 the field in Sudan, and admissions of victims to participate in the process, reparations, 16 and what have you. It's an extremely long list which I don't want to repeat here. So 17 these are all pending issues that have not been resolved. 18 Now, when it comes to timing, I would say there is no problem, we are ready and 19 willing. And we have even already, if I may say, set aside the judicial recess this 20 summer and the composition of your Chamber, we felt that it was timely to wait for 21 this hearing in order to get instructions from you as to how you intend to guide us in 22 this matter. 23 So all of these questions have been attended to and we hope that they will be resolved. 24 We are waiting impatiently for solutions.

25 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:36:10] So your argument is, is it, that these are

ICC-02/05-01/20-T-013-ENG ET WT 08-09-2021 61/81 SZ T

Status Conference

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 not matters which should be brought before the Appeals Chamber but are matters 2 unresolved by the Pre-Trial Chamber which we the Trial Chamber should deal with? 3 Is that what you're saying? 4 MR LAUCCI: [12:36:34] (Interpretation) Given that all these matters, questions, relate 5 to the basic foundation on which this trial can take place, so quite naturally the trial 6 cannot commence, cannot start without us knowing whether yes or no the legal 7 conditions are in place to go to Sudan, to provide protection for witnesses and to 8 know whether or not we can finally be able to have access to witnesses' applications 9 for participation before they are effectively allowed to participate. So these are legal 10 matters on which I have said we hope there will be a judgment from 11 the Appeals Chamber in another case. 12 So these are a few examples that I bring to your attention and we do believe that these 13 are essential questions that speak to the very heart of the judicial matter that is before 14 And so it is important and completely necessary that they be resolved before you. 15 we proceed, otherwise we would lack a basis on which to work. 16 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:38:25] But, I'm sorry, can -- firstly, Mr Laucci, I'm 17 not sure you're correct. I think some of the issues have already dealt with an appeal. 18 But, for example, one of the matters you filed here is violation of the principle of 19 equality of arms as concerns access to the territory of Sudan. But we've discussed 20 that and this is not something at the moment that we can take any further, until such 21 time as you know that the Registry's mission has returned and you're carrying on. 22 So it seems to me that you ought to take stock again and ask yourself which of these 23 issues actually do require resolution by this Trial Chamber which have not been dealt 24 with either by the Appeals Chamber or are practical matters.

25 So I don't think today is the day where we can give you any indication of how we're

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 going to approach this. I think you've got to rethink this is a bit. 2 MR LAUCCI: [12:39:41](Interpretation) Madam President, perfectly I agree. We 3 will do so pending any specific instructions. But we will do the work and we will 4 submit our motion and observations mindful of the new information we are getting. 5 For example, what the Registry has just mentioned a short while ago, the mission they 6 have referred to is an important element. We shall wait to find out what their results 7 will be and then we will proceed to make our own requests. 8 But once again, regardless of the length of our list, it focused exclusively on 9 the questions that were not addressed by the Pre-Trial Chamber, or 10 the Pre-Trial Chamber dealt with them only in relation to the purposes of 11 confirmation. 12 Now, you've talked about the equality of arms, footnote 41 of our observations relates 13 to the exact paragraph or passage: (Speaks English) "this principle [of equality of arms] 14 must be interpreted with respect to the stage of the proceedings. [...] even if it had 15 truly been impossible for the Defence to investigate in Sudan or to obtain cooperation 16 from it, this would not automatically lead to the conclusion that it would violate 17 Mr Abd-Al-Rahman's rights to proceed with the confirmation of charges hearing. 18 [...]. [The confirmation process] is not designed to be a mini-trial and the Defence is 19 not expected to set out a complete defence. [...] Should the charges be confirmed, 20 the Defence will have ample opportunity to conduct investigations before 21 the presentation of its case before the Trial Chamber." 22 (Speaks French) So, now we are before the Trial Chamber, we will wait for 23 the information that the Registry can provide and then we will make the necessary 24 submissions based on this information and in relation to the trial. 25 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:41:57] Yes. Well, that's exactly the point,

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1	Mr Laucci. I mean, some of these motions that you're filing or going to file relate to
2	matters which we can't deal with until further investigation has been made.
3	Right. I'm told that Mr Hassan wants to say something if he's back now.
4	MR NASSER: [12:42:33](Interpretation) Thank you very much, your Honour, for I
5	only wanted to speak about the item, the previous item, so I do not want to repeat
6	what I'm saying. Thank you very much.
7	THE ARABIC INTERPRETER: [12:42:50] The last part was inaudible.
8	MR NASSER: [12:42:52](Interpretation) Thank you.
9	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:42:53] Yes, I'm I may say I'm a little confused.
10	I understood that you were co-counsel with Ms Clooney; is that right? Or are you
11	representing a completely separate group?
12	MS MASSIDDA: [12:43:09] If I may clarify, Madam President. In accordance with
13	the decision of the Pre-Trial Chamber appointing Legal Representative for Victims,
14	the Pre-Trial Chamber decided to maintain the choice of victims in this case. As a
15	result, two teams have been composed. One team is led by counsel from the OPCV,
16	who has been appointed by a number of participating victims. And the second team
17	is composed by Ms Clooney and Mr Nasser as both Legal Representative of Victims,
18	in accordance with the choice of the victims themselves.
19	So they actually composed one team of two lead counsel, if I can put that way.
20	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:44:04] Well, I'm not no, I'm not clear I
21	understand that at all.
22	But, in any event, Mr Nasser, if there's anything you want to say, now is your
23	opportunity.
24	MR NASSER: [12:44:27](Interpretation) I only want to point out that I represent
25	the victims that I am the Legal Representative of the Victims and I work to express

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 the concerns of the victims in front of the Court.

2 The following points -- in the following points I will be giving my own opinion on an

3 independent basis.

4 Thank you, your Honour.

5 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:45:02] Which points do you want to give your

6 opinion on?

7 MR NASSER: [12:45:13](Interpretation) At the outset, I wanted to speak about

8 the -- the guarantee for the work of the Defence team in order to communicate with

9 the victims within Sudan that require protection and require active cooperation from

10 the Sudanese government to secure the work of the teams.

11 In order to be able to meet the victims we are facing very, very difficult circumstances

to reach those victims, especially within Sudan itself, but we are able to resolve thesedifficulties.

14 Also, the cooperation is not only to provide the secure way of meeting those victims

15 in Sudan, but also to cooperate with the Sudanese government which relates to

16 providing the security and also the papers.

17 We are here talking about victims that are suffering very much and they are living in

18 difficult circumstances. They are living in areas which do not -- are not even covered

19 by any government infrastructure, so we are dealing with victims who it's very

20 difficult for them --

21 THE ARABIC INTERPRETER: [12:46:47] Note from the booth: The voice cuts out.

22 MR NASSER: [12:46:51](Interpretation) So we find there is cooperation from

23 Sudan -- sorry, we require more cooperation from the Sudanese government in order

24 to provide this during the next stage and also to -- in order to establish the evidence

and especially to help also the Defence team.

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 Thank you, your Honour.

2 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:47:12] Yes, thank you very much, Mr Nasser.

3 All right. That's pretrial motions.

4 Yes, as I say, Mr Nicholls, does anybody want to add anything? I mean, we'll just set

5 a deadline and we'll see where we go.

6 Ms Massidda, yes.

7 MS MASSIDDA: [12:47:34] Your Honour, I was just listening at the list of pending

8 issues in accordance with the Defence. Position of course now, in my humble

9 position there are issues that require eventually to be solved by the Chamber very

10 soon. I'm referring, for instance, to the issue that the Defence is referring to in

11 relation to application by new victims or issues related to victims' participation. I'm

12 afraid this cannot wait 45 days prior to the commencement of trial.

13 So, of course I can touch upon this issue during the J agenda, I meant on application,

14 but we have a very strong position as Legal Representative that the matter of

15 applications and dealing with the procedure of application has been dealt with

16 properly by the Pre-Trial Chamber and there is no need for the Trial Chamber to

17 disturb that procedure.

18 But I will be more than willing to offer my thoughts on that during the next agenda

19 item.

20 Thank you.

21 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:48:45] No, sorry, I mean the -- the -- it's not that
22 we're suggesting this is going to wait until 45 days before the trial. In fact we

23 disagree with that anyhow. We're going to set a deadline.

24 Matters such as this will be dealt with earlier. It was simply all I was dealing with

25 where the Prosecution say up to 45 days before trial. No is the answer, it's got to be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

sooner. So that's in your favour. All right. The length of opening statements. Unless anybody wants to add anything to what they say. Yes, the only thing I do need to clarify is with the Victims' Representatives. What is said in your filing is that you want an hour for each of you, but may request additional time if victims want to intervene in person. Is that what you intend to do? Are you able to say that? First of all, Ms Massidda. MS MASSIDDA: [12:50:09] Your Honour, the opening statements for victims are particularly important. It's their chance to make the Chamber understand the extent of the victimisation and what they suffered from. In this respect, we think that it could be useful for the Chamber to have a portion of the opening statements reserved for the possibility of victims to present themselves, their views and concerns, at the start of the trial. This is a discussion which has been recently engaged with the other legal representatives, so we are not today in a position to provide a clear joint position on the issue. As Madam President has probably noticed, we are trying to present to the Chamber joint position, because we think that this will help not only the Chamber, but also the victims, in presenting their arguments and we are at the moment discussing this opportunity amongst us. Also verifying who amongst the clients that we are representing could eventually be suitable - I'm sorry to use this term but I don't find any other more suitable term in English - for this purpose. In relation to the length of the opening statements, I would say that if victims are

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 coming to present their views and concerns, most probably, at least on my side, 2 the presentation of my opening statements could be easily shortened to 30 minutes, 40, 3 45 minutes maximum. Thank you. 4 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:52:01] Yes. Thank you. Yes, all right, I 5 appreciate it's a bit early. 6 Yes, Mr Laucci. 7 MR LAUCCI: [12:52:08](Interpretation) Thank you, Madam President. 8 I simply want to say that if the proposal from the distinguished representative of 9 victims is for victims to participate in the opening statements by making statements 10 which will not account to -- which will not amount to testimonies and therefore not 11 subject to cross-examination, there will be absolutely no objection from the Defence to 12 that suggestion. Which, by the way, is in line -- is in line with the proposal that 13 Mr Abd-Al-Rahman himself should make a very short statement at the beginning, 14 which will be very brief, which will not be a testimony and therefore will not be 15 subjected to cross-examination. Thank you very much. 16 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:53:04] Ms Clooney, anything you want to add to 17 what Ms Massidda said? 18 MS CLOONEY: [12:53:08] Thank you, your Honour. 19 No, I -- I agree with the position. We were just saying an hour would be basically 20 the maximum that counsel would need and that, if there were to be a request for 21 victims to speak directly, we would make that request for additional time on that basis and in due course. 22 23 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:53:27] Yes. 24 Mr Nasser. 25 MR NASSER: [12:53:35](Interpretation) I believe that the time that has been

(Open Session)

1	suggested by the LRVs, which is one hour, which will be sufficient in the next stage.
2	And this shows that this time is actually not a very long time. It's not a long time,
3	because we will be representing many witnesses and many victims. And we will
4	also speak about the general points that combine all of these victims together and all
5	the circumstances, the combined circumstances that join these witnesses
6	together sorry, the victims together, in representing their concerns and their views,
7	and I believe that this time allocated will be very suitable. Thank you very much.
8	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:54:30] Yes, thank you.
9	That brings us then to the victims' participation. This is clearly a matter that needs,
10	potentially, either a separate hearing or separate proper written submissions.
11	I don't think that we can take it much further today, unless anybody wants to say
12	something.
13	Ms Massidda.
14	MS MASSIDDA: [12:54:58] Thank you very much, Madam President.
14 15	MS MASSIDDA: [12:54:58] Thank you very much, Madam President. We consider that our submission - paragraphs 24 until I think 28, yes - adequately
15	We consider that our submission - paragraphs 24 until I think 28, yes - adequately
15 16	We consider that our submission - paragraphs 24 until I think 28, yes - adequately reflect our position, which I can simply summarise in a few words.
15 16 17	We consider that our submission - paragraphs 24 until I think 28, yes - adequately reflect our position, which I can simply summarise in a few words. One, the participating victims the victims already participating in the proceedings
15 16 17 18	We consider that our submission - paragraphs 24 until I think 28, yes - adequatelyreflect our position, which I can simply summarise in a few words.One, the participating victims the victims already participating in the proceedingsare automatically authorised to participate at trial, which is the constant practice.
15 16 17 18 19	We consider that our submission - paragraphs 24 until I think 28, yes - adequatelyreflect our position, which I can simply summarise in a few words.One, the participating victims the victims already participating in the proceedingsare automatically authorised to participate at trial, which is the constant practice.Second, we need some flexibility from the Chamber in allowing victims to present
15 16 17 18 19 20	 We consider that our submission - paragraphs 24 until I think 28, yes - adequately reflect our position, which I can simply summarise in a few words. One, the participating victims the victims already participating in the proceedings are automatically authorised to participate at trial, which is the constant practice. Second, we need some flexibility from the Chamber in allowing victims to present their application throughout the proceedings.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 We consider that our submission - paragraphs 24 until I think 28, yes - adequately reflect our position, which I can simply summarise in a few words. One, the participating victims the victims already participating in the proceedings are automatically authorised to participate at trial, which is the constant practice. Second, we need some flexibility from the Chamber in allowing victims to present their application throughout the proceedings. Legal representatives have suggested until at least the end of the presentation of
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 We consider that our submission - paragraphs 24 until I think 28, yes - adequately reflect our position, which I can simply summarise in a few words. One, the participating victims the victims already participating in the proceedings are automatically authorised to participate at trial, which is the constant practice. Second, we need some flexibility from the Chamber in allowing victims to present their application throughout the proceedings. Legal representatives have suggested until at least the end of the presentation of evidence by the Prosecution. The Registry went even further in their Annex II,

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 victims, as already indicated previously.

2 Third observation, we think that the procedure set by the Pre-Trial Chamber in 3 dealing with the system of application forms is very efficient, suitable and should be 4 continued during the trial. Unless of course then the Defence will argue something 5 different, and in that case we will reserve our right to present observations once 6 the Defence will make this issue before the Chamber. 7 Finally, just to inform the Chamber that -- and also because this is an issue dealt with 8 by the Registry again in Annex II, the Registry is indicating in the annex that 9 the current system of legal representation is working. I think that we can confirm 10 that. And all the three legal representatives will be, of course, willing to continue 11 their engagement with victims in this case. So we also think that there is no need at 12 this point in time to change the system of legal representation as it was done by 13 the Pre-Trial Chamber. 14 I'm of course available for any further questions that the Chamber may have and, of 15 course, for attending any other status conference that the Chamber will consider 16 useful to deal with this issue. 17 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:57:50] Yes. Well, having said that it should be 18 dealt with on a separate occasion, I do note that really the Defence objection is that 19 the whole system should start again. And I'm going to ask Mr Laucci what benefit 20 he thinks that's going to have so that we can try and deal with this today.

21 So thank you, Mrs Massidda.

Before I turn to you, Mr Laucci, do you want to add anything, Ms Clooney, to what'sin the written submission?

24 MS CLOONEY: [12:58:29] I think for purposes of what the Trial Chamber is trying

25 to determine today, I certainly stand by our joint written submissions. And if

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 anything further is needed, we can address that through written submissions

2 following this hearing, of course.

3 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [12:58:43] Right.

4 Now, Mr Laucci, very quickly. Well, I think we're going to have to adjourn, so

5 you've got two minutes at the moment.

6 Why should the whole system change? Why should it start again from

7 the beginning?

8 MR LAUCCI: [12:59:06](Interpretation) Madam President, at this juncture, in order

9 to save time, let me simply say that I positively welcome your suggestion to have

10 a status conference on this specific situation. And I suggest that, to be effective, that

11 status conference should come after the judgment of the Appeals Chamber in the Said

12 case, in which the ABC approach was submitted to the Appeals Chamber. It may be

13 validated or invalidated, and at that point we will have a clear view.

14 So the Defence has deployed all its energy during the pretrial phase to challenge

15 the ABC approach, which may be extremely efficient but entirely incompatible with

16 the Defence. So let us wait for the Appeals Chamber decision for things to be

17 clarified.

18 And I agree with you that this is a matter of great priority and so, after we receive

19 the Appeals Chamber's decision, then we can make written submissions on this

20 question and then come to various conclusions.

21 And why must we start afresh? It would be because if the approach has been

22 invalid -- or becomes invalidated, then the victims who were admitted in the pretrial

23 phase would have been admitted on an illegal basis, that is if the Appeals Chamber

24 were to come to such a finding.

25 MS MASSIDDA: [13:01:00] Thirty seconds, just for the record, your Honour. I

```
Status Conference
```

(Open Session)

- 1 think I understand you now. Start understanding you.
- 2 Just for the information of the Chamber, the judgment in the Said case will be
- 3 delivered on 14 September.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [13:01:15] On which?
- 5 MS MASSIDDA: [13:01:16] On 14 September, so next week.
- 6 And in any case, just again for the record, even if the Appeals Chamber will revert the
- 7 decision, that decision of the Appeals Chamber is not *stare decisis* for this Chamber, so
- 8 we will argue that at a later stage. Thank you very much.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [13:01:33] Yes. Well, thank you very much.
- 10 Was that the last on the -- there were other matters.
- 11 Yes, all right. We'll adjourn then now until -- what time? Anybody?
- 12 (Trial Chamber confers)
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [13:02:20] Yes. All right. I'm told that it's 2.30.
- 14 So we'll adjourn until 2.30.
- 15 I think the remaining matters -- well, if we can, we'd like to give a ruling possibly
- 16 at 2.30.
- 17 There are other issues I don't -- and, I'm sorry, we're just going to, if the interpreters
- 18 don't mind, we'll just continue five minutes.
- 19 The conduct of proceedings document was one and the protocols. Are these matters
- 20 which really should be resolved today?
- 21 First of all, Prosecution.
- 22 It's simply that I'm looking at if we can deal with the rulings on the matters that
- are -- really have to, and particularly a date of trial at 2.30, because there's going to
- 24 have to be a break before any matters are raised by the Prosecution *ex parte* I'm told.
- 25 So, I mean, I'm just asking at this stage, submission on the conduct of proceedings

(Open Session)

1	document, is that something that needs to be resolved today?
2	MR NICHOLLS: [13:03:48] I don't think so, your Honour.
3	I mean, I think we will we will first try to agree, and speak to each other, and then
4	we will make submissions I think on all aspects on the conduct of the proceedings by
5	a date that you said. I may be
6	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [13:04:06] Yes. A question that occurred to us is
7	whether we should entertain submissions on the conduct of the proceedings.
8	MR NICHOLLS: [13:04:14] I see. Well, I think, for example, your Honour,
9	one one issue that's quite important is whether there will be witness preparation.
10	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [13:04:21] Yes.
11	MR NICHOLLS: [13:04:22] And what the parameters of that will be. And before I
12	would file a demand for it, I would speak to counsel and see. And I won't start
13	advocating for it now, but when we're talking about efficiency, that can be a factor
14	(Overlapping speakers)
15	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [13:04:46] No, no.
16	MR NICHOLLS: [13:04:43] And also the amount of sorry.
17	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [13:04:44] It is a matter that will come in
18	the document that relates to the conduct of the proceedings. I simply throw this out
19	because it occurred to me that how proceedings are conducted are a matter for
20	the Judges. And particularly in the hopes that there is now going to be consistency
21	between all Trial Chambers on how proceedings are conducted, do we want to
22	entertain submissions so that we go back to a system whereby each Trial Chamber
23	makes its own decision, which is not desirable?
24	MR NICHOLLS: [13:05:30] I see. In that case, your Honour, I think it's entirely up
25	to the Chamber. And and if anything if you issue your document on

```
Status Conference
```

(Open Session)

- 1 the conduct of the proceedings, if we think anything would be helpful, then we may
- 2 raise it. Or if you think that some input would be helpful from the parties, then we
- 3 will provide it. But I agree with you.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [13:05:55] Yes.
- 5 All right. I think we should -- we'll adjourn now until 2.35.
- 6 THE COURT USHER: [13:06:04] All rise.
- 7 (Recess taken at 1.06 p.m.)
- 8 (Upon resuming in open session at 2.37 p.m.)
- 9 THE COURT USHER: [14:37:03] All rise.
- 10 Please be seated.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:37:38] Yes, before we go back to the question of
- 12 the conduct of proceedings, I gather, Mr Hassan, is it? I keep -- Nasser, sorry.
- 13 Mr Nasser I am sorry, I just got muddled wants to clarify something about
- 14 the position of you and Ms Clooney.
- 15 Can I put it this way: It is the understanding of the Trial Chamber that you
- 16 represent the same group of victims. In which case you cannot both be leading
- 17 counsel. One of you is leading and the other is co-counsel.
- 18 MS CLOONEY: [14:38:26] Your Honour, may I just make a comment?
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:38:31] Yes, Ms Clooney.
- 20 MS CLOONEY: [14:38:34] Thank you. Can I just suggest that rather than take up
- 21 the Court's time today with this sort of issue, I'd be happy to make some submissions
- 22 directly on this following the status conference, if that would be convenient.
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:38:48] Can I say I thoroughly approve that of
- 24 that point of view, but unfortunately I was told that Mr Nasser wanted to say
- 25 something about this.

(Open Session)

- 1 MS CLOONEY: [14:38:57] Okay.
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:38:57] And I don't want, at this stage of the
- 3 proceedings, to cut him off.
- 4 MS CLOONEY: [14:39:04] Okay. I don't know what's coming.
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:39:05] You don't know what's coming, well, nor
- 6 do we.
- 7 MS CLOONEY: [14:39:10] (Overlapping speakers)
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:39:11] Can I say, Mr Nasser, would it not be
- 9 better, as Ms Clooney suggests, if this were to be dealt with by way of further written
- 10 submissions?
- 11 MR NASSER: [14:39:26](Interpretation) Yes, of course we do that, as Ms Clooney
- 12 said. Yes, written submissions are welcome. They can be provided for the Court.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:39:39] Thank you very much. In that case we'll
- 14 go back to where we were, the conduct of proceedings.
- 15 Yes, Mr Nicholls, you said you wanted to make submissions on it and I
- 16 expressed can I put it this way a preliminary view. Is there anything else you
- 17 want to add to that?
- 18 MR NICHOLLS: [14:39:57] No, your Honour. Thank you. And I didn't mean I
- 19 wanted to make them now. That's just an example of the type of written submission
- 20 that might be useful.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:40:06] Yes, thank you.
- 22 Yes, Mr Laucci, do you want to say anything at this stage about that? Mr Edwards.
- 23 MR EDWARDS: [14:40:12] Yes, it's just a modest proposal really. It has worked
- 24 well in other jurisdictions when the Trial Chamber produces what's termed a draft
- 25 order seeking then observations on the part of the parties. Of course the decision is

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 that of the Trial Chamber at the end of the day, but it's not inconceivable that 2 the parties think that there is something that ought to be in the order that has been not 3 before then been drawn to attention of the Trial Chamber. 4 It's not inconceivable that we may have thought of something that your Honours 5 haven't. That's the only point. 6 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:40:55] Yes. Thank you, Mr Edwards. 7 I know exactly what you mean. But I think at the moment this is still a topic that's 8 under discussion and we'll come to a decision on that. Either we'll issue an order, as 9 it were, a final order, or we'll issue a draft order and invite parties' submissions on it. 10 MR EDWARDS: [14:41:23] Thank you. The important thing is that there is an order 11 at the end of the day. 12 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:41:28] Oh, yes. I think we all appreciate that. 13 Yes, anything that the Victims' Representative want to say on this. 14 MS MASSIDDA: [14:41:35] Thank you, Madam President. 15 Our position is already in the written submission, paragraph 39, so we will refer to 16 that. 17 And in relation to the standardisation that you mention in the previous session, if I 18 look at the recent decisions in the Yekatom, Ngaïssona case, which is decision 631, 19 and in the Al Hassan case which is decision 789, there seems to be indeed 20 a standardisation on the conduct of the proceedings, so maybe could be useful to 21 follow that -- that practice. 22 Thank you very much. Which takes into account, by the way, our suggestions in 23 paragraph 39. 24 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:42:16] Yes. 25 Whilst you're on your feet about your submission, there was something I meant to

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 mention. I think it is yours. I think when you quote the cases in your footnotes you 2 simply quote - and this is for all three of you, I think - the case number without saying 3 what the name of the case is. It would help, certainly a new person like myself, if 4 you were to mention the name in future submissions and not just the number. 5 MS MASSIDDA: [14:42:53](Overlapping speakers) the team will be more than 6 happy to comply, your Honour. But we provide the hyperlink, so it's also I think 7 But we will do certainly, certainly. Thank you. easy. 8 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:43:05] Yes. It just makes it quick if you can see 9 it was Yekatom or whoever. Thank you very much. 10 All right, the final matter that was raised, I think largely by you, or the victims' 11 submissions - sorry, forgive me, one moment - was the question of the protocols. 12 I propose to defer that, for a number of reasons, unless anybody feels an urgent 13 decision is needed. 14 No. No. All right. 15 Very well, then what I propose to do now is issue an oral decision on perhaps 16 the most important aspects that have been discussed this morning in the status 17 conference. 18 The first, of course, is the commencement date for this trial. 19 There are a number of factors which govern the commencement date. The first is 20 the Prosecution's request that it does not start until June because of the amount of 21 work they say still remains. 22 Against that, the defendant has been in custody since his arrest and it is important 23 that a person, an unconvicted person in custody, has a trial as speedily as possible. 24 And the Defence in this case have made it clear that is what they want, despite 25 the difficulties they have themselves in investigating, and suggested February.

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1 The third aspect is that these events are now exceedingly old. A lot of the evidence 2 that the Prosecution anticipate calling comes from witnesses who will be having to 3 give evidence about these events many years ago, and the longer the delay the more 4 likely it is that they will have difficulty in recalling those events. 5 The Prosecution difficulties, which they outlined succinctly, as one would expect, 6 should, in our view, be alleviated to a sufficient extent by the fact that there is proper 7 contact between the Defence and the Prosecution and a proper willingness to narrow 8 the issues. And that should assist the Prosecution. 9 And so taking into account those competing submissions and, which cannot be 10 overlooked, the ability of this Court to accommodate the trial, it is our view, having 11 heard all the submissions, that, as we said earlier, we feel that this trial should 12 commence on 5 April of next year. 13 It will then run on a daily basis until the recess, which is on 14 April. We would 14 expect in that period that opening statements can take place and the calling of at least 15 Thereafter it will depend on -- the sitting times will depend on, as I say, one witness. 16 the availability of the courts. 17 It seems to me that it would help, as regards the progress of this case, if there were to 18 be a further status conference. That will be on 12 November of this year, again 19 which is a date that the Court calendar can accommodate. 20 So that is the first and most important ruling that we make. 21 As far as agreed facts are concerned, which is another matter of major importance for 22 the reasons we've already expressed, we're not going to set a deadline. I think it 23 would be pointless to do so. But we will review the position at the status conference 24 on 12 November and we would very much hope that those agreed facts had reached 25 a rather larger number than at present envisaged.

(Open Session)

1	As far as disclosure is concerned, we are going to set a cut-off date for disclosure by
2	the Prosecution of evidence on which it intends to rely of 5 January of next year.
3	Obviously, exculpatory evidence is an ongoing matter.
4	Any disclosure of evidence which is to be used as part of the Prosecution case after
5	that date, which we would discourage strongly, and we add to that discouragement
6	this: It may only be used in evidence with the permission of the Trial Chamber.
7	We would obviously expect that any disclosure after 5 January would be
8	accompanied by a full explanation of the reason for the delay.
9	As far as trial briefs are concerned - please forget the word I've been using of pre-trial
10	briefs, which comes from another tribunal - as far as trial briefs are concerned,
11	the Prosecution trial brief should be filed by 5 January. It should be accompanied by
12	a list of witnesses and a list of the documents which will be used. And by
13	"documents" I include, obviously, any visual documents.
14	It would be helpful to the Defence and to the Court if there were to be a list of
15	the expected order in which they will be called, understanding of course that there
16	may be unforeseen problems which will arise, but that will help the Defence in
17	deciding how to prioritise their investigations.
18	The Defence trial brief should be filed by 4 March.
19	As far as pretrial motions are concerned, there will be a cut-off date of 3 December.
20	The motions referred to in the Defence filing for this status conference are not in fact, I
21	suddenly realised, filed before this Trial Chamber. So any motions which
22	the Defence, after reflecting on whether it helps to file a motion, must be filed by
23	3 December.
24	The Prosecution response should be within subject to the nature, but should come
25	within 14 days of the filing by the Defence. We will issue a written direction about

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

1	the length of these motions if it is intended to depart from what is set out in
2	the Regulations of the Court about length.
3	It is also our intention to have a hearing for all these motions together and dispose of
4	them all, hopefully. However, the length of that hearing and when it will be,
5	obviously it will be sometime after December and probably in early next year, to be
6	decided when we see how many motions there are and so on and so forth.
7	Obviously, motions which require urgent decisions are a different matter.
8	As far as the openings are concerned, the actual opening for each of the parties, we, as
9	already indicated, agree the times suggested. There was a suggestion that
10	the defendant, the accused himself may wish to speak. He may certainly do so, if he
11	wishes, and his counsel are happy with that. But it will be deducted from the time
12	allowed.
13	And I think that concludes all the rulings that we propose to make today.
14	Yes, Mr Laucci.
15	MR LAUCCI: [14:55:15] I'm sorry, Madam President. One difficulty with one of
16	the dates that you announced, that is the date, tentative date for the second status
17	conference on 12 November. We cannot know, but at this time we should be, if our
18	request has been authorised, in Sudan. We plan to be there over the first three weeks
19	of November, actually. It's not confirmed, I don't know whether that will be, but if
20	that is, we will not be available.
21	PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:55:52] Yes. Mr Laucci, obviously it's most
22	important that you're able to get there. If that is the case and that is your time to be
23	over there, then could you, through the Registry, notify us in advance and we'll try
24	and find another date with is suitable.

25 MR LAUCCI: [14:56:10] Immediately once we know.

- 1 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:56:13] Right. Yes. Well, I perfectly understand
- 2 that. Thank you.
- 3 Any other problems with anything else I've mentioned from anybody?
- 4 MS CLOONEY: [14:56:16] Your Honour (Overlapping speakers)
- 5 MS MASSIDDA: [14:56:17] Yes, Madam President. Unless I am mistaken, we have
- 6 not heard any deadline for the Legal Representative of Victims trial brief.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:56:31] (Microphone not activated)
- 8 MS CLOONEY: [14:56:31] Or a response regarding --
- 9 MS MASSIDDA: [14:56:33] Or -- yes, this is one matter (Overlapping speakers).
- 10 MS CLOONEY: [14:56:36] (Overlapping speakers) trial motion.
- 11 MS MASSIDDA: [14:56:39] And the second is the response to the motions. I can't
- 12 remember now. It was 14 days for the Prosecution. We understand that the same
- 13 deadline applies to us?
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:56:49] (Microphone not activated)
- 15 THE INTERPRETER: Microphone, Judge Korner, please.
- 16 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:56:59] You're quite right, Ms Clooney. I'm sorry.
- 17 As you will understand it, Victims' Representatives are a new feature for me.
- 18 MS MASSIDDA: [14:57:11] I'm happy to stand up.
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:57:13] Yes.
- 20 No, the same deadline would apply to you. And your brief should, I suppose, be
- 21 filed in-between the two briefs. So what did we say?
- 22 MS CLOONEY: [14:57:28] Early February.
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:57:30] Yes. If you were to say -- yes, if you were
- 24 to say subject to not being a Saturday or Sunday 5 February, something like that.
- 25 MS CLOONEY: [14:57:35] So it's Monday the 7th, your Honour.

```
Status Conference
```

(Open Session)

ICC-02/05-01/20

- 1 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:57:45] Right. Monday the 7th, yes.
- 2 MS CLOONEY: [14:57:42] Thank you.
- 3 MS MASSIDDA: [14:57:43] Thank you.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE KORNER: [14:57:43] Anything else that I ought to say, haven't

5 said?

- 6 No. Well, then that -- that concludes the open part of the status conference.
- 7 As I say, the next status conference, unless the Defence team manage to get to Sudan,
- 8 will be on 12 November, and obviously we'll arrange another date around that time
- 9 which fits in with them.
- 10 Yes. Well, thank you very much. The Chamber will then adjourn so that
- 11 the matters relating to witnesses that the Prosecution want to raise can be done in
- 12 closed session or *ex parte* session.
- 13 Thank you.
- 14 THE COURT USHER: [14:58:36] All rise.
- 15 (The hearing ends in open session at 2.58 p.m.)
- 16 CORRECTIONS REPORT
- 17 The following corrections, marked with an asterisk and included in the audio-visual
- 18 recording of the hearing, are brought into the transcript.
- 19 Page 42, lines15-16:
- 20 "even the pending issues for tomorrow" is corrected to "not by the May agreement"