- **Preliminary Matters**
- 1 International Criminal Court
- 2 Trial Chamber IX Courtroom 1
- 3 Situation: Republic of Uganda
- 4 In the case of The Prosecutor v. Dominic Ongwen ICC-02/04-01/15
- 5 Presiding Judge Bertram Schmitt, Judge Péter Kovács and Judge Raul Cano
- 6 Pangalangan
- 7 Trial Hearing
- 8 Tuesday, 6 December 2016
- 9 (The hearing starts in open session at 9.31 a.m.)
- 10 THE COURT USHER: All rise. The International Criminal Court is
- 11 now in session.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: Thank you very much. I would like to
- 13 welcome everybody in the courtroom. Thank you.
- 14 The first we have to do is to call the case and I would ask the
- 15 Court Officer, please, to do this.
- 16 THE COURT OFFICER: Thank you, Mr President. The situation in
- 17 Uganda, in the case of The Prosecutor versus Dominic Ongwen, case
- reference ICC-02/04-01/15. We are in open session.
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: Thank you very much.
- 20 And then I would ask the counsel to introduce themselves for the
- 21 record.
- 22 Please, Madam Prosecutor.
- MS BENSOUDA: Thank you, Mr President. Mr President, your
- Honours, present in court with me today are Ben Gumpert, senior trial
- Lawyer; Adesola Adeboyejo, trial lawyer; Ramu Fatima Bittaye, case

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15
Preliminary Matters

- 1 Manager; Kamran Choudhry, trial lawyer; Sanyu Ndagire, trial lawyer;
- 2 Hai Do Duc; Julian Elderfield; Yulia Nuzban; and Beti Hohler. Thank you,
- 3 Mr. President.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: Thank you.
- 5 And for the Defence, please, Mr. Odongo.
- 6 MR ODONGO: Mr President and your Honours, I am Krispus Ayena
- 7 Odongo. I'm being assisted by Chief Charles Achaleke Taku, co-counsel;
- 8 Thomas Obhof, assistant counsel; Tharcisse Gatarama, assistant counsel;
- 9 number 5, Roy Titus Ayena, case manager; Laura Karam, pro bono member of
- 10 the team. Thank you, Your Honour.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: And the Legal Representatives for
- 12 Victims.
- MS MASSIDDA: Good morning, Mr. President, your Honours. The
- 14 common Legal Representative team appearing today, to my right, Ms. Jane
- 15 Adong, field counsel based in Kampala; behind us, Mr. Orchlon
- Narantsetseg, legal officer; next to him, on the right, Ms. Jacqueline
- 17 Atim, legal professional; and on the left, Ms. Tamara Margetic, case
- 18 manager. I am Paolina Massidda, principal counsel.
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: Thank you.
- 20 And we have a second team of Legal Representatives for Victims.
- 21 MR MANOBA: Yes, Mr President. Mr President, your Honours, my
- 22 name is Joseph Manoba. I am joined by my co-colleague Mr Francisco Cox,
- and we are assisted by a team of legal consultants, Ms Megan Hirst and Mr
- James Mawira, together with our case manager, Ms Sepideh.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: Thank you very much.

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	For the members of the public and also for the parties	s and
2	participants, since not everybody may know us yet, my name is	Bertram
3	Schmitt and I am the Presiding Judge of this Chamber; to my r	ight is
4	Judge Péter Kovács and to my left, Judge Raul Pangalangan.	
5	We first have to issue a decision. Before proceeding	further, we
6	have to address a Defence request of yesterday. This request	is filing
7	620 in the case record. The Defence requests the following:	
8	First, issue an order halting the opening statements	of the trial
9	and scheduling a Status Conference instead;	
10	Second, order a psychiatric and/or psychological example.	ination to
11	ensure that Mr Ongwen understands the nature of the charges le	evied
12	against him;	
13	Third, order a psychiatric and/or psychological examination	nation of
14	Mr Ongwen to confirm or reject findings by Defence experts that	at Mr Ongwen
15	suffered and still suffers from a mental disease or defect that	at destroyed
16	Mr Ongwen's capacity to appreciate the unlawfulness or nature	of his
17	conduct;	
18	And fourth, order a psychiatric and/or psychological	examination
19	to ensure that Mr Ongwen is fit to stand trial.	
20	The Prosecution opposes the request in a response which	ch will be
21	notified shortly.	
22	In support of these requests, the Defence provides in:	formation
23	dating back to September 2015 about various issues Mr Ongwen l	nad had at
24	the ICC Detention Centre. The Defence also informs the Chambe	er of a
25	15 November 2016 preliminary report from its own two experts.	These

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	Defence experts allegedly state that Mr Ongwen does not unde	rstand the
2	charges brought against him. The Defence does not provide to	hese
3	preliminary expert reports and indicates that the final repo	rts will be
4	provided and disclosed in due course.	
5	The Chamber notes that there is no timeline in the s	tatutory
6	framework stipulating the filing of motions alleging that an	accused is
7	unfit to stand trial.	
8	The Chamber considers that it falls under its discre	tion under
9	Article 64(2) of the Statute to determine the timeliness of	such motions.
10	In this context, the Chamber recalls the Appeals Cha	mber
11	judgement with document number 2259 of the Katanga and Ngudj	olo case. In
12	this judgement, the Appeals Chamber majority concluded that	a
13	Trial Chamber did not err in dismissing a request to stay th	e proceedings
14	for being out of time even in the absence of any express tim	e limit.
15	For the reasons below that we now explain, the Chamb	er rejects
16	parts 1 and 2 of the request, namely, to halt the opening of	the trial
17	and appoint experts to ensure that Mr Ongwen understands the	charges, as
18	untimely.	
19	In Decision 449 the Chamber set a deadline of 28 Oct	ober 2016 for
20	the filing of any motions requiring resolution prior to the	commencement
21	of trial. The Defence's procedural history confirms that, A	, the Defence
22	began searching for experts in the field of psychiatry and p	sychology on
23	28 June 2015 during the confirmation phase of the case; B, t	he Defence
24	had conducted initial interviews with the two defence expert	s who
25	authored the preliminary reports as of 21 October 2015; and,	C, most of

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	the factual basis supporting the request was known to the De	fence well
2	before 28 October 2016.	
3	The Defence only filed this request on 5 December 20	16, the day
4	before the opening statements in the case and well after the	expiry of
5	the 28 October 2016 deadline.	
6	The Chamber considers that motions to stay the proce	edings on
7	grounds that the accused may be unfit to stand trial inevita	bly risk
8	significant delays or obstructions in the fair conduct of the	.e
9	proceedings. These motions can require significant time and	resources to
10	resolve, often requiring resort to experts as envisaged in R	ule 135 of
11	the Rules.	
12	The Chamber considers that such motions must be file	d at the
13	earliest available opportunity and that the Defence had many	
14	opportunities to file this request before the 28 October 201	6 deadline.
15	The Defence's failure to obtain the preliminary repo	rts of its
16	experts by 28 October is not an excuse in this regard. The	Defence
17	already was aware of most of the facts underlying its reques	t as of that
18	date, had already retained experts to interview Mr Ongwen on	his mental
19	health, and never requested a postponement of the 28 October	deadline.
20	Further, even after the reception of the preliminary	report on 15
21	November 2016, the Defence failed to file its request in a t	imely manner,
22	waiting until one day before the opening of the trial to sub	mit the
23	motion.	
24	It must also be emphasised that the Defence failed t	o provide
25	these preliminary reports leading to grave allegations that	Mr Ongwen is

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 not fit to commence the trial with no concrete substantiation. 2 The Defence gave no indication during the entire trial preparation phase that Mr Ongwen was unfit to stand trial. It elected to 3 4 wait until the absolute last moment it could. It is revealing that in 5 its latest request filed before the present one, Mr Ongwen was seeking 6 leave to appeal a previous decision on grounds that witness statements were introduced without being translated into Acholi so that Mr Ongwen 7 8 could read and understand them. 9 By filing this motion at the eve of trial, the Defence files a 10 request at such an advanced moment that engaging with its merits in any 11 way would force a postponement. The 28 October deadline was set precisely to avoid such a situation. The Chamber will not permit such 12 13 tactics in the strongest possible terms. 14 The request to halt the opening of this trial is therefore 15 rejected. 16 The request to order psychiatric and/or psychological examination 17 to ensure that Mr Ongwen understands the nature of the charges is equally 18 rejected. The Chamber will determine for itself whether Mr Ongwen 19 understands the nature of the charges later this morning. 20 In relation to part 3 of the request, identified previously, the 21 Chamber also rejects the request for similar examinations to determine the merits of a mental disease or defect defence. 22 23 The Chamber will not determine the validity of any grounds for 24 excluding criminal responsibility before the Prosecution has even made 25 its opening statements. This rejection is without prejudice to the

1 Chamber later appointing experts to verify the viability of any grounds

- 2 for excluding criminal responsibility.
- 3 As for the request to order psychiatric and/or psychological
- 4 examination of Mr Ongwen to ensure that he is fit to stand trial this
- 5 is part 4 of the request as identified above the Chamber emphasises
- 6 again that, A, it has been presented with insufficient evidence at this
- 7 time to conclude that Mr Ongwen is unfit; and, B, no adjournment of the
- 8 trial is therefore justified.
- 9 However, the Chamber may order a psychological and psychiatric
- 10 examination with a view to assessing Mr Ongwen's continued fitness to
- 11 stand trial. The Registry, in consultation with the parties, must
- 12 provide recommendations on experts to provide these examinations by
- 13 Tuesday, 13 December 2016.
- 14 By this same date, the parties must make their submissions on the
- 15 Registry's recommendations.
- Accordingly, except for the order in relation to assessing
- 17 Mr Ongwen's continued fitness to stand trial, the Defence request is
- 18 rejected.
- 19 With this resolved, I wish to make a few remarks about the
- 20 origins of this case. Then the charges will be read and we will proceed
- 21 to hear opening statements.
- 22 On 16 December 2003, the Government of Uganda referred to the
- 23 Prosecution of the Court the "situation concerning the
- 24 Lord's Resistance Army". This referral was understood to extend to the
- 25 entire situation in northern Uganda regardless of who committed the

crimes under this investigation. At the request of the Prosecution, the

- 2 Pre-Trial Chamber on 8 July 2005 issued warrants of arrest against
- Joseph Kony, Vincent Otti, Raska Lukwiya, Okot Odhiambo and Mr Ongwen. 3
- 4 Proceedings against Mr. Lukwiya and Mr Odhiambo have been
- 5 terminated due to their death, while the warrants of arrest against
- Joseph Kony and Vincent Otti remain pending. 6
- Mr Ongwen was transferred to the custody of the court in January 7
- 8 This case was severed from the case against Mr. Kony and Mr. Otti
- 9 on 6 February 2015 and exclusively concerns Mr Ongwen.
- 10 Charges brought forward by the Prosecutor against Mr Ongwen were
- 11 confirmed by Pre-Trial Chamber II of the court on 23 March 2016.
- This case is the first to go to trial from the Uganda situation 12
- since the 2003 referral was made. 13
- 14 In accordance with Article 64(8)(a) of the Statute, the charges
- will now be read to the accused following which Mr Ongwen will be 15
- 16 afforded an opportunity to make an admission of guilt or to plead not
- 17 guilty.
- This is an excerpt of the public redacted version of the charges 18
- 19 in which the names of a number of alleged victims are redacted and
- 20 replaced with a pseudonym in counts 50 to 60.
- 21 These names are redacted only from the public. Mr Ongwen and his
- 22 Defence team know the identities of the concerned individuals.
- 23 Court Officer, please read now the portion of the confirmed
- 2.4 charges from the decision on the confirmation of charges identified in
- 25 paragraph 6 of the Decision of the Chamber 497.

Trial Heari Procedura		ICC-02/04-01/15
1	THE COURT OFFICER: Thank you, Mr President.	
2	Count 1, attacks against the civilian population as s	such as a war
3	crime on or about 10 October 2003, at or near Pajule IDP camp);
4	Count 2, murder as a crime against humanity on or abo	out 10
5	October 2003, at or near Pajule IDP camp;	
6	Count 3, murder as a war crime on or about 10 October	2003, at or
7	near Pajule IDP camp;	
8	Count 4, torture as a crime against humanity on or ak	out 10
9	October 2003, at or near Pajule IDP camp;	
10	Count 5, torture as a war crime on or about 10 Octobe	er 2003, at
11	or near Pajule IDP camp;	
12	Count 6, cruel treatment as a war crime on or about 1	.0 October
13	2003, at or near Pajule IDP camp;	
14	Count 7, other inhumane acts as a crime against human	nity on or
15	about 10 October 2003, at or near Pajule IDP camp;	
16	Count 8, enslavement as a crime against humanity on o	or about 10
17	October 2003, at or near Pajule IDP camp;	
18	Count 9, pillaging as a war crime on or about 10 Octo	ber 2003, at
19	or near Pajule IDP camp;	
20	Count 10, persecution on political grounds of civilia	ns perceived
21	by the LRA as being affiliated with or supporting the Ugandar	n government
22	by attacks against the civilian population, murder, torture,	cruel
23	treatment, other inhumane acts, enslavement and pillaging on	or about 10

Count 11, attacks against the civilian population as such as a

October 2003, at or near Pajule IDP camp;

24

25

Trial Hearing	(Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
Procedural Matters		

- war crime on or about 29 April 2004, at or near Odek IDP camp;
- 2 Count 12, murder as a crime against humanity on or about 29 April
- 3 2004, at or near Odek IDP camp;
- 4 Count 13, murder as a war crime on or about 29 April 2004, at or
- 5 near Odek IDP camp;
- 6 THE INTERPRETER: The interpreter requests that the Court Officer
- 7 slow down.
- 8 THE COURT OFFICER: Count 14, attempted murder as a crime against
- 9 humanity on or about 29 April 2004, at or near Odek IDP camp;
- 10 Count 15, attempted murder as a war crime on or about 29 April
- 11 2004, at or near Odek IDP camp;
- 12 Count 16, torture as a crime against humanity on or about 29
- 13 April 2004, at or near Odek IDP camp;
- 14 Count 17, torture as a war crime on or about 29 April 2004, at or
- 15 near Odek IDP camp;
- 16 Count 18, other inhumane acts as a crime against humanity on or
- about 29 April 2004, at or near Odek IDP camp;
- 18 Count 19, cruel treatment as a war crime on or about 29 April
- 19 2004, at or near Odek IDP camp;
- 20 Count 20, enslavement as a crime against humanity on or about 29
- 21 April 2004, at or near Odek IDP camp;
- 22 Count 21, pillaging as a war crime on or about 29 April 2004, at
- or near Odek IDP camp;
- Count 22, outrages upon personal dignity as a war crime on or
- about 29 April 2004, at or near Odek IDP camp;

Count 23, persecution on political grounds of civilians perceived

- 2 by the LRA as being affiliated with or supporting the Ugandan government
- 3 by attacks against the civilian population as such, murder, attempted
- 4 murder, torture, cruel treatment, other inhumane acts, enslavement,
- outrages upon personal dignity, and pillaging, on or about 29 April 2004,
- 6 at or near Odek IDP camp;
- 7 Count 24, attacks against the civilian population as such as a
- 8 war crime on or about 19 May 2004, at or near Lukodi IDP camp;
- 9 Count 25, murder as a crime against humanity on or about 19 May
- 10 2004, at or near Lukodi IDP camp;
- 11 Count 26, murder as a war crime on or about 19 May 2004, at or
- 12 near Lukodi IDP camp;
- 13 Count 27, attempted murder as a crime against humanity on or
- about 19 May 2004, at or near Lukodi IDP camp;
- 15 Count 28, attempted murder as a war crime on or about 19 May
- 16 2004, at or near Lukodi IDP camp;
- 17 Count 29, torture as a crime against humanity on or about 19 May
- 18 2004, at or near Lukodi IDP camp;
- 19 Count 30, torture as a war crime on or about 19 May 2004, at or
- 20 near Lukodi IDP camp;
- 21 Count 31, other inhumane acts as a crime against humanity on or
- 22 about 19 May 2004, at or near Lukodi IDP camp;
- Count 32, cruel treatment as a war crime on or about 19 May 2004,
- 24 at or near Lukodi IDP camp;
- 25 Count 33, enslavement as a crime against humanity on or about 19

- 1 May 2004, at or near Lukodi IDP camp;
- Count 34, pillaging as a war crime on or about 19 May 2004, at or
- 3 near Lukodi IDP camp;
- 4 Count 35, destruction of property as a war crime on or about 19
- 5 May 2004, at or near Lukodi IDP camp;
- 6 Count 36, persecution on political grounds of civilians perceived
- 7 by the LRA as being affiliated with or supporting the Ugandan government
- 8 by attacks against the civilian population as such, murder, attempted
- 9 murder, torture, cruel treatment, other inhumane acts, enslavement,
- 10 pillaging, and destruction of property on or about 19 May 2004, at or
- 11 near Lukodi IDP camp;
- 12 Count 37, attacks against the civilian population as such as a
- war crime on or about 8 June 2004, at or near Abok IDP camp;
- 14 Count 38, murder as a crime against humanity on or about 8 June
- 15 2004, at or near Abok IDP camp;
- 16 Count 39, murder as a war crime on or about 8 June 2004, at or
- 17 near Abok IDP camp;
- 18 Count 40, attempted murder as a crime against humanity on or
- about 8 June 2004, at or near Abok IDP camp;
- 20 Count 41, attempted murder as a war crime on or about 8 June
- 21 2004, at or near Abok IDP camp;
- 22 Count 42, torture as a crime against humanity on or about 8 June
- 23 2004, at or near Abok IDP camp;
- 24 Count 43, torture as a war crime on or about 8 June 2004, at or
- 25 near Abok IDP camp;

1 Count 44, other inhumane acts as a crime against humanity on or

- about 8 June 2004, at or near Abok IDP camp;
- 3 Count 45, cruel treatment as a war crime on or about 8 June 2004,
- 4 at or near Abok IDP camp;
- 5 Count 46, enslavement as a crime against humanity, at or near
- 6 Abok IDP camp;
- 7 Count 47, pillaging as a war crime on or about 8 June 2004, at or
- 8 near Abok IDP camp;
- 9 Count 48, destruction of property as a war crime on or about 8
- June 2004, at or near Abok IDP camp;
- 11 Count 49, persecution on political grounds of civilians perceived
- 12 by the LRA as being affiliated with or supporting the Ugandan government
- 13 by attacks against the civilian population as such, murder, attempted
- 14 murder, torture, cruel treatment, other inhumane acts, enslavement,
- 15 pillaging, and destruction of property on or about 8 June 2004, at or
- 16 near Abok IDP camp;
- 17 Count 50, forced marriage as a crime against humanity of P-0099
- 18 between 1 July 2002 and September 2002, of P-0101 between 1 July 2002 and
- 19 July 2004, of P-0214 between September 2002 and 31 December 2005, of
- 20 P-0226 between 1 July 2002 and sometime in 2003, of P-0227 between
- 21 approximately April 2005 and 31 December 2005;
- 22 Count 51, torture as a crime against humanity of P-0101 between 1
- July 2002 and July 2004, of P-0214 between September 2002 and 31 December
- 24 2005, of P-0226 between 1 July 2002 and sometime in 2003, of P-0227
- 25 between approximately April 2005 and 31 December 2005;

1	Count 52, torture as a war crime of P-0101 between 1 July 2002
2	and July 2004, of P-0214 between September 2002 and 31 December 2005, of
3	P-0226 between 1 July 2002 and sometime in 2003, of P-0227 between
4	approximately April 2005 and 31 December 2005;
5	Count 53, rape as a crime against humanity of P-0101 between 1
6	July 2002 and July 2004, of P-0214 between September 2002 and 31 December
7	2005, of P-0226 between 1 July 2002 and sometime in 2003, of P-0227
8	between approximately April 2005 and 31 December 2005;
9	Count 54, rape as a war crime of P-0101 between 1 July 2002 and
10	July 2004, of P-0214 between September 2002 and 31 December 2005, of
11	P-0226 between 1 July 2004 and sometime in 2003 (sic), of P-0227, between
12	approximately April 2005 and 31 December 2005;
13	Count 55, sexual slavery as a crime against humanity of P-0101
14	between 1 July 2002 and July 2004, of P-0214 between September 2002 and
15	31 December 2005, of P-0226 between 1 July 2002 and sometime in 2003, of
16	P-0227 between approximately April 2005 and 31 December 2005;
17	Count 56, sexual slavery as a war crime of P-0101 between 1 July
18	2002 and July 2004, of P-0214 between September 2002 and 31 December
19	2005, of P-0226 between 1 July 2002 and sometime in 2003, of P-0227
20	between approximately April 2005 and 31 December 2005;
21	Count 57, enslavement, a crime against humanity, of P-0099
22	between 1 July 2002 and September 2002, of P-0101 between 1 July 2002 and
23	July 2004, of P-0214 between September 2002 and 31 December 2005, of
24	P-0226 between 1 July 2002 and sometime in 2003, of P-0227 between

06.12.2016 Page 14

approximately April 2005 and 31 December 2005, of P-0235 from September

25

- 1 2002 to 31 December 2005, of P-0236 between September 2002 and 31
- 2 December 2005;
- 3 Count 58, forced pregnancy as a crime against humanity of P-0101,
- 4 two pregnancies between 1 July 2002 and July 2004, of P-0214 sometime in
- 5 2005;
- 6 Count 59, forced pregnancy as a war crime of P-0101, two
- 7 pregnancies, between 1 July 2002 and July 2004, of P-0214 sometime in
- 8 2005;
- 9 Count 60, outrages upon personal dignity, a war crime, of P-0226
- sometime in 2002 or early 2003, close to Padongo, northern Uganda, of
- 11 P-0235 sometime in late 2002 or early 2003, at an unspecified location in
- 12 northern Uganda;
- 13 Count 61, forced marriage as a crime against humanity from at
- least 1 July 2002 until 31 December 2005;
- 15 Count 62, torture as a crime against humanity from at least 1
- 16 July 2002 until 31 December 2005;
- 17 Count 63, torture as a war crime from at least 1 July 2002 until
- 18 31 December 2005;
- 19 Count 64, rape as a crime against humanity from at least 1 July
- 20 2002 until 31 December 2005;
- 21 Count 65, rape as a war crime from at least 1 July 2002 until 31
- 22 December 2005;
- Count 66, sexual slavery as a crime against humanity from at
- 24 least 1 July 2002 until 31 December 2005;
- 25 Count 67, sexual slavery as a war crime from at least 1 July 2002

- 1 until 31 December 2005;
- 2 Count 68, enslavement as a crime against humanity from at least 1
- 3 July 2002 until 31 December 2005;
- 4 Count 69, conscription of children under the age of 15 into an
- 5 armed group as a war crime, between 1 July 2002 and 31 December 2005, in
- 6 northern Uganda;
- 7 Count 70, use of children under the age of 15 to participate
- 8 actively in hostilities as a war crime, between 1 July 2002 and 31
- 9 December 2005, in northern Uganda.
- 10 Thank you, Mr President.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: Thank you very much.
- 12 Mr Ongwen, please rise.
- Mr Ongwen, as Presiding Judge of this Chamber, I would like to
- 14 ask you some questions on behalf of the Chamber. Mr Ongwen, on 21
- 15 January 2016, do you remember being in this courtroom for your
- 16 confirmation hearing?
- 17 THE ACCUSED: (Interpretation) Yes, I do recall.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: At that hearing, Mr Ongwen, do you
- 19 remember being asked by a judge if you were fully aware of the charges?
- 20 THE ACCUSED: (Interpretation) I do recall being asked that
- 21 question and I do recall answering that I do not understand the charges
- 22 against me.
- PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: You say you do recall that you answered
- that you do not understand the charges. Do you recall saying give it a
- 25 second thought that you have, and I quote, said that you "read and

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15
Prosecution Opening Statement

1	understood	the	document	containing	the	charges"?

- THE ACCUSED: (Interpretation) I did understand the document
- 3 containing the -- I do understand -- I did understand the document
- 4 containing the charges but not the charges, because the charges -- the
- 5 charges I do understand as being brought against LRA but not me, because
- 6 I'm not the LRA. The LRA is Joseph Kony who is the leader of the LRA.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: Is it correct that you received the
- 8 decision confirming the 70 charges also in Acholi?
- 9 THE ACCUSED: (Interpretation) Yes, I did receive the charges in
- 10 Acholi, but I reiterate it is the LRA who abducted people in northern
- 11 Uganda. The LRA killed people in northern Uganda. LRA committed
- 12 atrocities in northern Uganda, and I'm one of the people against whom the
- 13 LRA committed atrocities. But it's not me, Dominic Ongwen, personally,
- 14 who is the LRA.
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: Thank you. I think this is enough to
- give us a certain basis to decide on the question if the accused
- 17 understands the nature of the charges, but we will have to deliberate on
- 18 that, of course, for a couple of minutes. I would say we will be back at
- 19 approximately 15 minutes.
- 20 (Recess taken at 10.19 a.m.)
- 21 (Upon resuming in open session at 10.36 a.m.)
- 22 THE COURT USHER: All rise. Please be seated.
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: We have to issue the following
- 24 decision:
- 25 The Chamber is satisfied that Mr Ongwen understands the nature of

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15

Prosecution Opening Statement

22

23

2.4

25

their legal characterisation.

1 the charges. Mr Ongwen confirmed to Pre-Trial Chamber II that he had 2 read and understood the charges as set out in the document containing the 3 charges at the confirmation hearing. As Mr Ongwen said back in January of this year, "Thank you, your 4 5 Honour. Well, from my point of view, whether the charges are read or not read is all going to be a waste of time. You may speak five words and 6 7 only two issues are correct. You may speak ten words and only two things 8 are correct. The reading out these charges, whether they are true or 9 not, is all going to be a waste of time. I've been handed out the 10 document translated in Acholi, so I've read and understood it. Thank 11 you." 12 Other information before the Chamber also confirms that Mr Ongwen 13 understands the charges. First, the charges that Mr Ongwen said he 14 understood in January are not materially different now. All 70 charges 15 alleged by the Prosecution were essentially confirmed. The confirmation 16 decision has been fully translated into Acholi specifically for 17 Mr Ongwen's benefit. The Chamber is not persuaded that Mr Ongwen cannot 18 understand something now he so clearly understood back in January. 19 this context, the Chamber is also satisfied that Mr Ongwen has been fully 20 informed of the incriminating conduct since the confirmation of charges 21 decision set out the facts of the case with precision, together with

Lacking further substantiation and in light of Mr Ongwen's own admission at the confirmation stage, the Chamber is unpersuaded that

Mr Ongwen at this stage does not understand the nature of the charges as

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15
Prosecution Opening Statement

<pre>foreseen in Article 64(8)(a) of the Stat</pre>

2.4

Second, and as mentioned earlier today, the Defence gave no

indication that Mr Ongwen was having difficulty understanding the nature

of the charges or the proceedings more generally. Quite the contrary,

the Defence has made several arguments, such as requests for Acholi

translations, reinforcing that their client did understand.

Third, the Chamber cannot help but note that Mr Ongwen's alleged lack of understanding comes just after his Defence team alleged it has evidence supporting the notion that he is not fit to stand trial.

As stated previously, the Chamber has not received sufficient substantiation to conclude that Mr Ongwen is unfit. In particular, the expert reports which purport to prove the Defence's position have not been provided to the Chamber. The Chamber nevertheless notes that in describing the expert reports, the Defence says the experts conclude that Mr Ongwen, "A, does not understand the charges," and "B, was not aware of the wrongfulness of his actions during his time in the bush." The former statement is a legal question experts cannot determine at the expense of the Chamber, for it is the Chamber who has the final decision on whether Mr Ongwen understands the charges. The latter statement on its face is less about unfitness and more about Mr Ongwen disputing the legal characterisation of his alleged prior conduct.

Mr Ongwen's remarks today are no different. Mr Ongwen's remarks that the LRA is not him and that the LRA committed these acts demonstrate an understanding of the confirmed charges. Mr Ongwen's remarks are rather a dispute as to Mr Ongwen's responsibility for these alleged acts.

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15

marricanng
Prosecution Opening Statement

1 And this is precisely a matter to be discussed during trial and is not

- 2 properly part of an Article 64(8)(a) determination.
- 3 For these reasons, the Chamber is satisfied that Mr Ongwen
- 4 understands the nature of the charges.
- 5 We will now proceed. And, Mr Ongwen, please rise again.
- 6 Now, Mr Ongwen, do you make an admission of guilt with respect to
- 7 any charge?
- 8 THE ACCUSED: (Interpretation) Your Honour, I haven't responded to
- 9 your question. I have a question first. I'd like to inform you that I'm
- 10 very happy because when I was initially brought here, this is an
- 11 international court. When I was in the bush, I heard people talking
- 12 about the International Criminal Court. For these reasons, I should have
- 13 started by crying, and just surrender. If there is anything that has to
- be done, let them go ahead and do it.
- 15 First, I would like to ask you if the Court would grant me
- permission. This is a division of death and life. In my opinion, this
- 17 amounts -- this amounts to my going back into the bush for the second
- 18 time. The International Criminal Court, do you agree, do you agree that
- 19 I'm the leader of the LRA? Do you agree that my life was not ruined?
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: You are here before a court and you are
- 21 not in the position to ask the Court questions. You are in the position
- 22 to make submissions via your counsel. You will receive a fair and
- impartial trial, and you may, if you want, answer the questions that the
- 24 Court puts to you. And I would ask you to answer the question and
- 25 I repeat it: Do you make an admission of quilt with respect to any

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15
Prosecution Opening Statement

- 1 charge?
- 2 THE ACCUSED: (Interpretation) In the name of God, I deny all
- 3 these charges in respect to the war in northern Uganda.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: You therefore plead not guilty with
- 5 respect to all the charges, I assume.
- 6 THE ACCUSED: (Interpretation) Yes.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: Thank you. You may sit down, please.
- 8 As stated previously, in Decision 449, the Chamber set a deadline
- 9 of 28 October 2016 for the filing of any motions requiring resolution
- 10 prior to the commencement of the trial. The Chamber subsequently ruled
- on all requests received on or before this date, and with this morning's
- oral decision has in fact ruled on all pending requests at this time.
- 13 However, noting Rule 134(2) of the Rules, the Chamber will now
- 14 ask the parties whether they have any remaining objections or
- observations concerning the conduct of the proceedings which have arisen
- since the confirmation hearings. Be mindful that in accordance with Rule
- 17 134(2), no such objection or observation may be raised or made again
- 18 during the trial proceedings without the leave of the Chamber.
- I first ask the Prosecution, please.
- 20 MS BENSOUDA: Mr President, no objections from the Prosecution.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: For the Defence?
- 22 MR TAKU: May it please your Honours. We've carefully listened
- 23 to the decision today and want just to say that in the course of the
- 24 proceedings we expect that specificity be given to aspects of some of the
- 25 charges which may -- with regard to venue, northern Uganda, within a

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15
1	period of five years, is so huge. So we hope that in relation to the
2	question of specificity as the proceedings proceed, in order to have
3	appropriate notice of some of the charges, we will raise this as the
4	occasion arises in the course of the trial.
5	PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: Yes. Thank you very much. It is now
6	time for the opening statements. We are shortly before the 11.00 break
7	so I would even ask Madam Prosecutor if you would prefer to start after
8	the break.
9	MS BENSOUDA: Perhaps, Mr President, that would be best so that
10	we can continue and have more time to make the full presentation.
11	PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: So we resume, then, on 11.30.
12	THE COURT USHER: All rise.
13	(Recess taken at 10.48 a.m.)
14	(Upon resuming in open session at 11.30 a.m.)
15	THE COURT USHER: All rise.
16	PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: Madam Prosecutor, you have the floor
17	for the opening statement of the Prosecution.
18	MS BENSOUDA: Thank you, Mr President.
19	Mr President, Honourable Judges, this trial is about violence and
20	misery that blighted the lives of millions of people living in northern
21	Uganda. Ordinary citizens, civilians, who wanted no more than to be
22	allowed to live their lives in peace, could no longer live in the
23	villages in which they had been born and raised. Violent attacks on
24	civilian targets by an armed group calling itself the
25	Lord's Resistance Army, or LRA, had resulted in those ordinary people

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	being forced into camps for internally displaced persons (IDF	s), and
2	often reduced to dependency on international food aid. These	e camps were
3	themselves subject to regular and terrifying attacks.	
4	According to the United Nations, by the middle of 200	5, well over
5	a million people in the Gulu, Kitgum and Pader districts of t	he Acholi
6	sub-region were registered as living in IDP camps.	
7	Meanwhile, in the Apac and Lira districts in the Lang	10
8	sub-region, there were camps holding just under half a million	n registered
9	inhabitants.	
10	And in the Katakwi, Soroti, Kumi and Kaberamaido dist	ricts of the
11	Teso sub-region, a little over 150,000 people were similarly	displaced.
12	When these camps were attacked by the Lord's Resistan	ice Army, the
13	attackers murdered the residents, burned their homes, and the	survivors
14	were enslaved to carry away the domestic animals, food, cloth	ies, money,
15	and other basic necessities which the inhabitants needed to s	survive.
16	Children were abducted on a more permanent basis to be conscr	ripted into
17	the ranks of the attackers as child soldiers and to act as se	ex slaves.
18	In the course of this trial, the Court will hear abou	ıt four
19	particular attacks which took place between October 2003 and	June of
20	2004. These attacks took place in Pajule, Odek, Lukodi and A	Abok. A
21	conservative estimate of their combined population at the time	ne of the
22	attacks was about 35,000 people. Approximately 4,000 individ	luals have
23	made applications to be registered in these proceedings as vi	ctims of
24	these four attacks.	
25	These locations form a rough triangle. Pajule is in	the Pader

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15
1	District. Odek and Lukodi in Gulu District. Abok is just over the
2	boundary in Oyam District of Lango. They have been selected because they
3	are attacks about which the Prosecution has been able to find a
4	significant and coherent body of evidence which demonstrates what
5	happened in detail and which links them to Dominic Ongwen, the accused in
6	this case.
7	That evidence comes, for the most part, in three varieties.
8	Firstly, the Prosecution relies upon accounts given by the victims of
9	these attacks. Secondly, the Prosecution will call former LRA fighters
10	to give evidence about what they did and who ordered them to do it.
11	Lastly, and perhaps most revealing of all, the Prosecution will be able
12	to put before the Court sound recordings and other reliable records of
13	the radio communication passing between LRA commanders at the time these
14	attacks took place. That evidence will clearly demonstrate that these
15	four attacks at Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok were terrifying.
16	The images now on the screen show that the physical effects of
17	one of these attacks at the camp at Lukodi, and I must warn that some of
18	these images are extremely disturbing.
19	(Viewing of the video excerpt)
20	PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: Is there a problem?
21	MS BENSOUDA: The images, Mr President, were not showing on the
22	screen in the courtroom but it seems to have been corrected.
23	PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: But it has worked on the screens here.
24	MS BENSOUDA: Indeed. Indeed.
25	(Viewing of the video excerpt)

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15
1	MS BENSOUDA: Large numbers of the civilian inhabitants of these
2	camps were killed and wounded. These were innocent people who had no
3	interest in the violent conflict which was taking place in northern
4	Uganda. Some were brutally tortured in various cruel ways. Hundreds of
5	them were abducted and forced to carry away the goods which had been
6	pillaged. If they could not walk fast enough, they were beaten and
7	killed. Nursing mothers, whose babies slowed up their progress or who
8	simply cried too loudly, watched as their babies were callously killed or
9	thrown into the bush and left behind.
10	Pillaging may sound a lesser crime by comparison with others
11	which were committed during these attacks, but it is not. The victims of
12	this crime were living on a knife edge. Items such as domestic animals,
13	cooking pots, clothing, and small amounts of food and cash were the
14	difference between surviving and perishing. For the LRA, the arithmetic
15	was simple. They had the guns so they could pillage the goods, whatever
16	the circumstances were for the victims.
17	Your Honours, the evidence shows that, in each case,
18	Dominic Ongwen played a prominent role in the planning and execution of
19	the four attacks. For all of them save Pajule, he did so as a commander
20	of one of the four principal operational units of the LRA, the Sinia
21	brigade. In addition to his responsibility for the attacks on the four
22	camps, the Prosecution charges him with crimes related to the abduction
23	of children and their use by the LRA as child soldiers or forced wives
24	and sex slaves.
25	The purpose of these proceedings is to establish whether it can

ICC-02/04-01/15

Ruling 1 be proved beyond a reasonable doubt that Dominic Ongwen bears 2 responsibility for these crimes. In the course of the trial, lights will inevitably be shed more generally on the situation in northern Uganda a 3 4 decade and a half ago. But there may be many events, many crimes, many 5 perpetrators of crimes, and many victims who will receive only limited 6 attention or none at all. The Prosecution has to make choices quided by the evidence readily available and to limit the scope of the cases that 7 8 it brings. Our efforts will be to ensure that this trial will establish 9 the truth and nothing but the truth with regard to the charged crimes. 10 We cannot hope to write in this trial a comprehensive history of the 11 conflict in northern Uganda. 12 Your Honours, over the period with which this case is concerned, 13 Dominic Ongwen became one of the most senior commanders in the LRA. 14 Between 2002 and 2005, he was the commander, first, of a battalion and 15 then, following rapid promotion based on his unwavering loyalty and 16 ferocity, of one of the four fighting brigades of the LRA. There is 17 evidence to suggest that, by the second half of 2005, Mr Ongwen was the 18 most senior LRA commander in Uganda. 19 So what was the nature of the LRA, the organisation in which 20 Dominic Ongwen played such an important role and which was causing mayhem 21 and visiting this misery on the people living in northern Uganda? 22 The LRA was founded and led by a man called Joseph Kony. Kony 23 was one of the five individuals against whom arrest warrants were issued 24 by this Court in 2005 and he remains at large. There is good reason to 25 believe that three of the others for whom arrest warrants were issued,

(Open Session)

Trial Hearing

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 Vincent Otti, Raska Lukwiya and Okot Odhiambo, are deceased. 2 Dominic Ongwen is on trial today. It is enough for now to say that LRA is an armed group which came 3 4 into being in northern Uganda in the late 1980s. It aimed to overthrow 5 the government of Yoweri Museveni, the President of Uganda. At first, it 6 was just one of a number of such groups, but by 1990 Kony's force was the only significant armed unit still fighting against the government in the 7 8 Acholi homelands. 9 The LRA was a disciplined, hierarchical armed group with a formal 10 rank structure mirroring that of a conventional army. The headquarters 11 unit was known as Control Altar. Its principal active service units were four brigades, namely, Sinia, Gilva, Trinkle, and Stockree. 12 13 Orders flowed down the chain of command. Reports on operations 14 were transmitted back up the chain of command. By March of 2004 the Sinia brigade was commanded by Dominic Ongwen. 15 16 Discipline in the LRA was strict and punishments for infraction 17 of the rules were brutal. Attempts to escape were particularly harshly 18 dealt with. Those who were caught were either put to death or caned so 19 severely that permanent injury was often caused. Despite this, the 20 majority of abductees did, in the end, escape from the clutches of the 21 Many Prosecution witnesses will recount to the Court how they 22 personally were able to escape. 23 There were peace negotiations between the LRA and the Ugandan

government in the mid-1990s. When they failed, the Sudanese government

began to provide support to the LRA. The LRA set up semi-permanent bases

06.12.2016 Page 27

24

25

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 in southern Sudan from which it was able to launch its attacks on Ugandan 2 targets. This continued until 2002, when the Sudanese government permitted 3 4 the Ugandans to enter Sudanese territory to begin a renewed military 5 campaign against the LRA called "Iron Fist". In the event, Kony and his 6 senior commanders evaded death or capture, but the majority of the LRA forces left Sudan and expanded their campaign in new parts of northern 7 8 Uganda, including Lira, Soroti, Apac and Katakwi districts. A series of 9 LRA attacks and atrocities, including the four on which this trial will 10 focus, followed with disastrous results. 11 Your Honours, the Prosecution's case is that civilian camps for 12 displaced persons were targeted because the LRA, despite its leader's 13 claim to be fighting for freedom and democracy, viewed the civilian 14 inhabitants of the government-protected IDP camps in northern Uganda as 15 their enemies. The LRA's thinking was simple: It was a case of "if you 16 are not for us, then you are against us." Any civilian who was unwilling 17 to support their struggle against the government was regarded as an This amounted to persecution on political grounds, a crime 18 19 against humanity. It was this persecutory policy that Dominic Ongwen and 20 the fighters that he commanded were implementing. 21 The crimes committed at Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok, were 22 simply part of a widespread and systematic attack on the civilian population. Between July 2002 and December of 2005, there were literally 23 24 hundreds of attacks on civilian targets. These were not just large-scale

06.12.2016 Page 28

set-piece attacks on IDP camps. People being driven in minibuses along

25

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15		
1	the country roads became the subject of ambushes. Commercial vehicles		
2	were stopped and looted. Children on their way to school were abducted.		
3	These attacks had a devastating effect upon the ordinary people of		
4	northern Uganda.		
5	The evidence in this case will establish that Dominic Ongwen was		
6	directly involved in many of these attacks by the LRA on civilians in		
7	northern Uganda. Part of the case which the Prosecution alleges against		
8	him is that he knew that the crimes he committed at Pajule, Odek, Lukodi,		
9	and Abok were part of the widespread and systematic attack.		
10	Mr President, let me give you some examples.		
11	LRA fighters attacked civilians in Ojwii in 2002 on		
12	Dominic Ongwen's orders.		
13	A 14-year-old boy abducted in September 2002 from Palabek Gem		
14	recalls Mr Ongwen ordering young children to kill civilian abductees. O	n	
15	one notorious occasion, Mr Ongwen ordered this boy and others to kill an	Ĺ	
16	old man by biting him and then stoning him to death.		
17	Additionally, in 2002, there were attacks on civilians at Atiak		
18	and Pader, led or planned by Dominic Ongwen.		
19	In April 2003, Joseph Kony had been complaining in radio		
20	exchanges with his senior commanders that the civilian inhabitants of a		
21	camp at Lagile had become a "problem." Dominic Ongwen provided		
22	Joseph Kony with the solution for that problem. He attacked the camp at		
23	Lagile, burning houses, killing 20 civilians, and abducting many others.		
24	In September 2003, shortly before the Pajule attack,		
25	Dominic Ongwen reported over the radio that he had attacked a church		

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15	
1	mission at Opit.		
2	In October of 2003, Dominic Ongwen played an instrum	ental role in	
3	the charged attack at Pajule.		
4	In November 2003, Dominic Ongwen was reported in LRA	radio	
5	traffic to have led an attack at Labwor Omor where his fighters had posed		
6	as Ugandan army soldiers before opening fire on drinkers at a bar. The		
7	report stated that civilians were killed, others abducted, and houses set		
8	ablaze.		
9	In February 2004, Dominic Ongwen reported to his sup	eriors that	
10	he had conducted an attack at Koc Ongako, in which he had burned all the		
11	houses.		
12	In April, May and June of 2004, Dominic Ongwen carri	ed out the	
13	charged attacks at Odek, Lukodi, and Abok.		
14	And in August of that year, Dominic Ongwen reported	the success	
15	of an ambush he had carried out on the Awach road, and he re	counted that	
16	several people had been killed, including the driver of a boda-boda, or		
17	motorcycle taxi.		
18	At Acet in 2004, in accordance with Dominic Ongwen's	orders, boys	
19	and girls between the ages of 13 and 15 were abducted.		
20	In addition to these attacks, the Prosecution allege	s that	
21	Dominic Ongwen played an essential role in two long-term act	ivities which	
22	were crucial to the continued existence of the LRA. Both in	volved the	
23	abduction of children, some as young as six, from their fami	ly homes.	
24	In order to sustain the fighting strength of the LRA	, children	
25	were kidnapped and recruited to become child soldiers. One	Prosecution	

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	witness, who was himself abducted by the LRA, estimates that t	the majority
2	of soldiers in Dominic Ongwen's group in 2002, 2003 to 2004, v	vere
3	children younger than 18, and 70 per cent to 80 per cent of the	nose between
4	13 and 15 years old.	
5	Child soldiers underwent rudimentary military training	g and
6	endured brutal disciplinary measures. They were regularly red	quired to
7	participate not only in murderous attacks on civilian camps but	ıt in
8	individual acts of torture and murder designed to convince the	em that
9	there could be no acceptance back in civilian society.	
10	When the Rome Statute recognises the age of 15 as being	ng the
11	threshold for the offences of conscription and use of child so	oldiers, the
12	evidence in this case makes it plain that Dominic Ongwen bears	3
13	responsibility for crimes committed against children far young	ger than
14	this. One of the witnesses on whom the Prosecution relies, h	imself only
15	nine years old when he was abducted during the attack on Odek	IDP camp by
16	troops under Dominic Ongwen's command, described children as y	oung as six
17	receiving military training in Ongwen's brigade. He noticed t	that they
18	were so small that the muzzles of their AK47 rifles dragged or	the ground
19	as they carried the guns on their shoulders.	
20	Photographs of some of the Prosecution witnesses were	taken soon
21	after they escaped from Dominic Ongwen's Sinia brigade. Prote	ection of
22	the witnesses' identity prevent their being shown in public ar	nd what
23	follows will not be shown on the screens in the public gallery	7.
24		

25

For those --

Trial Hearin Ruling	og (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	THE COURT OFFICER: For the persons present in the co	urtroom, the
2	document will be displaced on the Evidence 1 channel.	
3	MS BENSOUDA: For those in the courtroom, the obvious	youth of
4	these witnesses, at a time when many had already been with th	e LRA for a
5	number of years, is shocking.	
6	The LRA leader, Joseph Kony, viewed children as easil	y moulded
7	into the ruthless fighters that he needed to continue his pol	icy of
8	murder and persecution. Thus Kony and other senior LRA comma	nders,
9	Dominic Ongwen among them, created the horrific spectacle of	the
10	perpetrators of these dreadful crimes very often being children	en who had,
11	a few years or even months earlier, themselves been victims.	
12	In what may seem an astonishing display of confidence	
13	Joseph Kony and his deputy Vincent Otti took part in a radio	phone-in
14	programme broadcast on Mega FM radio station based in Gulu in	December of
15	2002. Kony spoke about his policy of child abduction to feed	the ranks
16	of his fighters.	
17	Kony knew that the abduction of children was a sensit	ive point.
18	Whatever his grand claims about fighting for freedom and demo	cracy, he
19	was plainly embarrassed. He knew that using small boys as so	ldiers was
20	unjustifiable. At first, he purported to deny the abduction	of children
21	by the LRA but in the next breath he conceded, and I'm quotin	g, he said,
22	"That's the way we recruit."	
23	He continued, as if it might be some excuse, "This is	the same
24	way Museveni was doing it when he was in the bush by abducting	g."
25	This was the policy that Dominic Ongwen was carrying	out when he

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 conscripted children under 15 into his brigade and when he used them to 2 participate in hostilities. Abductions by the LRA also served a second plan. This involved 3 4 the abduction of girls and young women with the express aim of forcing 5 them to act as wives and sex slaves of LRA commanders and fighters. 6 Again, there was no secrecy about the LRA's activities in this regard. Vincent Otti's words in the radio programme broadcast in 7 8 December 2002 to which I have already made reference is very clear. 9 said, and I quote, "I want to assure you that the girls whom we collect 10 and send to the bush are our mothers." Otti went on, and I'm still 11 quoting him, "We always collect the young ones who are not infected with 12 HIV." Your Honours, the only reasonable interpretation of these words is 13 that the LRA was implementing a policy of abducting young girls for sex. 14 These forced wives were given no choice. They were treated as spoils of war, awarded as prizes, without any more say in the matter than 15 16 if they had been animals or inanimate objects. When they hesitated or 17 refused to accept the sexual advances of the man whom they had been 18 allotted, they were savagely and repeatedly beaten. If they were 19 suspected of trying to escape, they would be caned or murdered. They 20 were held for months and in many cases they were held for years in sexual 21 slavery and domestic slavery and were subjected to repeated rape. Many 22 of them became pregnant without any choice in the matter, and some gave 23 birth to numerous children who were themselves then ingested into the 24 ranks of the LRA.

06.12.2016 Page 33

As a senior LRA commander Dominic Ongwen benefited most from the

25

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 misery of the abducted women and girls. Of his many, many forced wives, 2 seven women have already given evidence about their personal experiences. By way of example, the Prosecution witness with the pseudonym 3 4 P-0227 has given evidence concerning her abduction. She told the Court 5 that a little over a month after her abduction, Ongwen summoned her to 6 his house. She was shaking with fear. He demanded sex and she was not able to refuse. She felt that, in her own words, her "whole life was in 7 8 his hand." He penetrated both her vagina and her anus with his penis by 9 force. And to quiet her when she wept and screamed, he threatened her 10 with his bayonet. 11 After the rape, Ongwen and everyone else around her considered 12 this witness to be his wife. She could not escape. When she was thought 13 to have attempted to do so, she was brutally beaten. On another 14 occasion, Dominic Ongwen ordered that she be beaten for spending time at another LRA fighter's house. She saw the results of Ongwen's suspicions 15 16 concerning another woman whom he had taken in forced marriage. Believing 17 her to have shown interest in another man, he ordered the child soldiers 18 who served as his escorts to punish her with 100 strokes of the cane. 19 As a result of her rape by Dominic Ongwen, the witness gave birth 20 This was not her choice. She felt that she was not ready to 21 bear children. 22 Another Prosecution witness, P-0101, 14 years old at the time of 23 the crimes, gave a devastating insight into Dominic Ongwen's behaviour 2.4 towards the young girls who were placed at his mercy. She spoke both 25 from personal experience of her rape by Ongwen and from more general

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	observations over a period of years. She told the Court that	:, I quote,
2	" you are raped while you're still young Dominic was t	the worst
3	when it came to young girls [H]e has sex with the	em at a very
4	young age."	
5	But of course Dominic Ongwen's responsibility is far	wider than
6	simply for the crimes that he perpetrated himself. Within the	ne Sinia
7	brigade, Ongwen was commanded structures through which the pr	ractice of
8	abduction, forced marriage, rape, torture, slavery and sexual	slavery
9	were institutionalised. Hundreds of girls suffered these cri	mes at the
10	hands of the LRA fighters to whom Dominic Ongwen distributed	them.
11	Not only was the physical effects upon such girls and	l women
12	devastating but there was an enduring mental effect as well.	For these
13	those who survived even after their escape or release, they h	nad to live,
14	they still have to live, with the stigma of having been an LF	≀A wife, a
15	perversion of the true meaning of that word. Their future ho	pes of
16	re-establishing themselves in society and creating new conjug	jal
17	relationships, despite the efforts of a number of organisation	ons which
18	work to assist and empower them, are blighted. And there is	a whole
19	category of other victims: the children born in captivity res	sulting from
20	these forced marriages, who sometimes face hostility and taur	ıts as a
21	result of their parentage.	
22	Mr President, I want to turn lastly to Dominic Ongwer	n himself.
23	One aspect of this case is the fact that not only is Ongwen a	alleged to be
24	the perpetrator of these crimes, he was also a victim. He hi	mself, so he
25	has told the Court, was abducted from his home by an earlier	generation

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 of LRA fighters when he was 14 years old. He himself, therefore, must 2 have gone through the trauma of separation from his family, brutalisation by his captors, and initiation into the violence of the LRA way of life. 3 4 He has been presented as a victim rather than a perpetrator. 5 People following the case against Dominic Ongwen may do so with 6 mixed emotions. They will feel horror and revulsion at what he did but they will also feel sympathy. The evidence of many of the child victims 7 8 in this case could, in other circumstances, be the story of the accused 9 himself. The evidence makes it plain that he could be kind. One 10 Prosecution witness has told the Court that generally Dominic Ongwen was 11 a good man who would play and joke with the boys under his command and 12 was loved by everyone. But the same witness told the Court that at a 13 time when she believed she was still too young to get pregnant, Ongwen 14 had forced her to have sex with him and that she knew that she would be beaten if she refused. She also told the Court that she still bore the 15 16 scars on her breasts from a beating Ongwen had given her when she failed 17 to make his bed. 18 The reality is that cruel men can do kind things and kind men can 19 do cruel things. A hundred per cent consistency is a rare thing and 20 the phenomenon of perpetrator victims is not restricted to international

do cruel things. A hundred per cent consistency is a rare thing and
the phenomenon of perpetrator victims is not restricted to international
courts. It is a familiar one in all criminal jurisdictions. Fatherless
children in bleak inner cities face brutal and involuntary initiation
ordeals into gang life, before themselves taking on a criminal
life-style. Child abusers consistently reveal that they have been abused
themselves as children.

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15
Ruling

2.4

But having suffered victimisation in the past is not a justification or an excuse to victimise others. Each human being must be taken to be endowed with moral responsibility for their actions. And the focus of the ICC criminal process is not on the goodness or the badness of the accused person but on the criminal acts which he or she has committed. We are not here to deny that he was a victim in his youth. We will prove what he did, what he said, and the impacts of those deeds on the many victims. This Court will not decide his goodness or badness, nor whether he deserves sympathy but whether he is guilty of these crimes committed as an adult with which he stands charged.

Dominic Ongwen became one of the highest ranking commanders of the LRA. He did so by his enthusiastic adoption of the LRA's violent methods and through demonstrations that he could be more active and more brutal in his methods against the population of northern Uganda than other LRA officers. He was commended by Joseph Kony for the attacks his troops carried out on civilians. He was held up as an example to other less active LRA commanders.

As a senior commander Dominic Ongwen had complete operational control over the soldiers under his command. He could at any time simply have ordered that his troops march to the nearest Ugandan army barracks, lay down their arms and surrender. Alternatively, he could have taken the course that so many of the personnel under his control took and made an individual bid for freedom by simply deserting. After all, as the commander, he did not have to fear the brutal canings or peremptory execution which he himself ordered for unsuccessful escapees. He was

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	often separated by several days' or weeks' march from any hig	jher LRA
2	authority. Battalion commanders in his Sinia brigade did ind	leed escape
3	during this time.	
4	Between July 2002 and December of 2005, the Amnesty C	ommission
5	records show that over 9,000 LRA members surrendered and rece	eived
6	amnesty. But Dominic Ongwen did not take that course. Inste	ead, he
7	accepted the power and authority which came with his rank and	l his
8	appointment. He planned and executed operations which brough	it misery and
9	death to hundreds of ordinary people and reported the results	on the
10	radio with excitement, not regret.	
11	One of the logbooks used by the UPDF to record a radi	o contact
12	between LRA commanders contains a description of Dominic Ongw	<i>r</i> en
13	announcing his intention in August of 2004 of, and I quote di	rectly,
14	" starting to kill civilians seriously. He said right now	he has
15	already deployed squads for atrocities and very soon people w	ill hear it
16	on the radio."	
17	Mr President, let me play to you short portions of a	sound
18	recording of an intercepted radio conversation between Vincen	it Otti, the
19	LRA Deputy Chairman, and Dominic Ongwen. Otti is asking Ongw	en to finish
20	his report on Odek which he had begun earlier. And I will pa	use now
21	while that conversation is played to the Court.	
22	(Playing of the audio excerpt)	
23	MS BENSOUDA: Mr President, despite the poor sound qu	uality, what
24	you have just heard is important for two reasons. Firstly, i	t is a
25	direct firsthand confession by Dominic Ongwen. He can be hea	ard admitting

Trial Hearin Ruling	ng (Open Session) ICC-0	2/04-01/15
1	to the mass murder of civilians. Second, it demonstrates that wh	ile
2	Ongwen has no inhibitions about stating that he has killed people	, he is
3	still uncomfortable with the fact that these people are civilians	even
4	when speaking to other members of the LRA. He knows that what he	has
5	done is wrong. He does not want to use the word openly. And so	twice he
6	avoids it, the first time calling his dead civilian victims "our	
7	colleagues" and the second time using the standard LRA jargon wor	·d
8	"waya". The word means aunt in Acholi, but it was the LRA's slan	g for
9	civilians. I will play the clips again now, pausing after each o	ne.
10	Ongwen tells Otti that he has, I'm quoting, "Just been sh	ooting
11	our colleagues."	
12	(Playing of the audio excerpt)	
13	MS BENSOUDA: Otti cannot hear clearly. The sound qualit	y is bad
14	and Otti says, "Just what?"	
15	(Playing of the audio excerpt)	
16	MS BENSOUDA: And Ongwen repeats, quoting again from him,	"I have
17	just come from shooting people."	
18	(Playing of the audio excerpt)	
19	MS BENSOUDA: A little later, in the same conversation, t	he
20	subject matter comes back to these people who have been shot. We	re these
21	soldiers that Dominic Ongwen had been shooting? No. Ongwen boas	ts to
22	Otti, again I quote, "Let the people wait to hear about the waya,	" the
23	civilians, "we have shot all of them."	
24	(Playing of the audio excerpt)	
25	MS BENSOUDA: Mr President, the evidence shows that	

Trial Hearin Ruling	(Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15
1	Dominic Ongwen was a murderer and a rapist. It shows that he commanded
2	attacks which destroyed innocent civilians' livelihood. He presided over
3	a systematic use of child soldiers and sex crimes on young girls in the
4	units that he commanded. The circumstances in which he himself was
5	abducted and conscripted into the LRA many years before may perhaps
6	amount to some mitigation of sentence in the event that he's convicted of
7	these crimes. They cannot begin to amount to a defence or a reason not
8	to hold him to account for the choice that he made; the choice to embrace
9	the murderous violence used by the LRA and to make it the hallmark of
10	operations carried out by his soldiers.
11	Your Honours, Mr President, the victims of Mr Ongwen's brutal
12	crimes have waited long enough to see justice done. It is past time we
13	delivered to them what they are owed. On the strength of the
14	Prosecution's case and the evidence that will be presented during the
15	course of this trial, we hope to do just that.
16	I thank you, Mr President, and, with your kind permission, will
17	now hand over to Senior Trial Lawyer Mr. Ben Gumpert to continue with the
18	presentation of the Prosecution's opening remarks.
19	PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: Thank you very much, Madam Prosecutor.
20	Mr Gumpert, you have the floor.
21	Mr Taku.
22	MR TAKU: I have a standing objection. I think I should wait
23	until Mr Gumpert finishes or the Prosecutor finishes and then I can make
24	my objections on the record.
25	PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: I'm not sure if I will allow objections

Trial Hearin Ruling	og (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	during the opening, but we will wait for what Mr Gumpert has	to say.
2	MR TAKU: Yes, your Honour. But if the objections pe	rtain to
3	charges or crime bases, allegations, not in the indictment, t	hat we
4	received no previous that were not confirmed, I think it's	pertinent
5	to raise them, put them on record.	
6	PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: Mr Taku, we have taken noti	ce of that,
7	and, of course, the Chamber is fully aware of the confirmed c	harges and
8	how far they reach and how far they do not reach.	
9	Mr Gumpert.	
10	MR GUMPERT: Madam Prosecutor has given the Court an	overview of
11	the case against Dominic Ongwen. I shall describe the eviden	ce that will
12	be presented to the Chamber in more detail and explain how th	е
13	Prosecution puts its case in relation to each of the 70 charg	es which
14	Dominic Ongwen faces.	
15	There has been some public discussion about the numbe	r of
16	charges. Let me make the position clear. The large number o	f charges is
17	not designed to show that this case is more serious than the	case against
18	any other accused person. Nor will a trial involving 70 char	ges last ten
19	times as long as a charge involving only seven. This isn't a	n
20	arithmetical exercise. It's the evidence which will determin	e how long
21	this case lasts and whether it can be considered complex or 1	engthy.
22	There is a great deal of evidence. The large number of charg	es is a
23	direct result of the Prosecution's efforts to make it clear t	o the
24	accused person himself, to the Chamber, and to the public the	way that
25	the Prosecution puts its case. Thus, for each of the four at	tacks about

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 which Madam Prosecutor has spoken, there are about a dozen charges, and 2 they will enable the Chamber, at the end of the trial, to determine whether or not Dominic Ongwen is guilty of specific crimes, say murder or 3 4 enslavement, at each particular location. 5 I'll deal with the charges in sections, one section each for the 6 four charged attacks at Pajule, Odek Lukodi and Abok. Then a section on the sexual and associated crimes alleged to be perpetrated personally by 7 8 Dominic Ongwen himself. Then will follow a section on similar crimes for 9 which he bears more remote responsibility. And lastly, a section on the 10 conscription and use of children under the age of 15. 11 I shall also deal, although only in outline, with the notice that 12 the Defence has given that it intends to rely upon some of the grounds 13 for excluding criminal responsibility that are set out in the Rome 14 Statute under Article 31. The findings which the Chamber makes in its 15 judgement at the end of this case on those issues will be the first 16 judicial guidance on those provisions at this Court. 17 But first, I want to provide an introduction to some of the most 18 important evidence the Chamber will hear during the trial. This is the 19 evidence about the LRA's radio communications. The Prosecution case is 20 that these communications were intercepted and recorded in real time. 21 Sometimes they were sound recorded but more consistently they were 22 recorded in handwritten logbooks. 23 The Prosecution will call a large number of witnesses to explain 24 and confirm this evidence, and that will include witnesses who were 25 themselves instrumental in the LRA's own radio operation and witnesses on

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 the other side of the coin, witnesses who were involved in the 2 interception operation. The Prosecution suggests that the Court will find the intercept 3 4 evidence particularly valuable because it's unaffected by human memory's 5 fallibility, and it's free of bias or the suspect motivations that can 6 taint witness testimony. The evidence will show that by 2002, when these charges begin, the LRA had developed a reliable method of communicating 7 8 by radio. 9 I'm pausing to allow the map to be shown on the screen. 10 After Operation Iron Fist, which began, as Madam Prosecutor told 11 you, in 2002, Joseph Kony remained in Sudan. He was often hundreds of 12 kilometres away from his troops who were operating as far south as Apac 13 and Soroti, which can be seen on the map. The map demonstrates the 14 distances involved. And because of this geographical isolation, Kony 15 used high-frequency radio to issue orders and to communicate with his 16 senior commanders who were themselves spread over large distances. 17 LRA radio transmissions occurred every day at set hours on 18 predetermined frequencies. They communicated in the Acholi language. 19 Joseph Kony required senior LRA commanders to call in their location and 20 to report on their activities since the previous communication time. He used the radio to give orders, to enforce discipline, and to supervise 21 22 operations. Logistics, administration, the coordination of commanders' 23 movements, all of these things depended on radio transmissions. 24 LRA commanders used call-signs when communicating on the radio.

06.12.2016 Page 43

Dominic Ongwen's most frequently used call-sign during the period of

25

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15
1	interest in this trial was "Tem Wek Ibong".
2	The LRA knew that their unencrypted radio communications were
3	being intercepted and so they talked in code to hide their more sensitive
4	communications and they used two types of code.
5	The first was the use of Acholi proverbs or LRA jargon. The
6	Acholi expression, and I must apologise in advance for the poor
7	pronunciation of the Acholi words, the Acholi expression "tye imac gar"
8	literally means "the train's headlights". Proverbially, when something
9	is described as being "in the train's headlights", it means that it's
10	straight ahead. The LRA also used the word "church" to denote a
11	mountain. And so a message might be worded "the church is in the train's
12	headlights" and that would be a report of the position of the person
13	sending the message, indicating that the mountain was straight ahead. As
14	you've already heard in the course of Madam Prosecutor's remarks, the LRA
15	also used the Acholi word "waya" meaning aunty to signify civilians.
16	The second kind of code that was used was called "TONFAS".
17	That's an acronym. It stands for Time, Operator, Nicknames, Frequencies,
18	Address, Security. TONFAS codes were pages of random words that LRA
19	commanders referred to in order to transmit their most sensitive
20	communications. The LRA distributed these pages of words by hand to the
21	commanders with a radio.
22	Radios were issued to commanders of the LRA active service units,
23	the brigades, but also to lower-ranked commanders if they were
24	particularly active. The radios themselves were operated by trained
25	signallers who were allocated to particular units.

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	In total during this trial, the Chamber will hear li	ve evidence
2	from over 30 different witnesses, all former members of the	LRA or
3	abductees, who confirm that the LRA communicated by radio.	Many of these
4	have personal knowledge of Dominic Ongwen's use of the radio	to transmit
5	reports and to receive orders.	
6	So, can the Chamber rely on LRA radio transmissions	as an
7	accurate record of their plans and operations? The Prosecut	ion suggests
8	that there are many reasons to conclude that this is so.	
9	The LRA was fighting a war. Their aim was to topple	the Ugandan
10	government. At the time relevant to this trial, they were a	ble to
11	conduct coordinated attacks and complex troop movements. Al	.l of that
12	depended on the accuracy and reliability of reports that com	manders made
13	by radio about the situation on the ground. Their capacity	to carry on
14	this conflict is itself a testament to the fact that their r	adio
15	communications generally reflected what was happening.	
16	There is extrinsic corroboration of the accuracy of	LRA radio
17	communications. The most obvious in this trial is in respec	t of the four
18	attacks with which this trial is principally concerned. The	Prosecution
19	will present copious evidence, evidence from victims, eviden	ce from the
20	attackers, photographs, videos, evidence that the attacks on	which
21	Dominic Ongwen and others reported on the radio did indeed t	ake place and
22	took place in the way that they had been reported.	
23	Of course, there is extrinsic corroboration in the $\boldsymbol{\pi}$	any publicly
24	available media articles that cover the same events that LRA	commanders

were reporting in their internal radio communications and which were

25

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	recorded and collected in logbooks.	
2	LRA commanders were incentivised to report their oper	ations on
3	the radio because it brought them recognition, promotion, and	rewards.
4	The Court will hear evidence from the LRA's former Director o	f Signals.
5	You will also hear evidence from the Ugandan army's Director	of Technical
6	Intelligence, in other words, the top men on each side of this	s conflict
7	so far as radio communications and their interception is conc	erned. And
8	they both concur that the radio reporting was mostly accurate	. It's only
9	fair to say that both of them also note that occasionally LRA	commanders
10	would report incorrectly. Mostly that would be to avoid Kony	's wrath.
11	They would perhaps disguise the number of their own casualtie	s in battles
12	with the UPDF or exaggerate the number of UPDF soldiers killed	d.
13	Dominic Ongwen himself, as the Chamber has just heard	from Madam
14	Prosecutor, claimed to have killed all the civilians at the O	dek camp.
15	In fact, the death toll was in the region of 60 out of the app	proximately
16	6,000 inhabitants.	
17	Some commanders would also claim to have carried out	disciplinary
18	killings within the ranks of the LRA and in accordance with K	ony's orders
19	when in fact they had not. Indeed, the Court will hear numer	ous examples
20	within the intercept material where senior commanders discuss	the lack of
21	zeal of others among their number. On one memorable occasion	, having
22	just been promoted in rank, Dominic Ongwen threatens, as he p	uts it, to
23	"lay hands" on non-performing LRA commanders.	
24	But in the main, LRA commanders reported accurately be	ecause of
25	the threat of reprimand or punishment if they were found not	to be

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 truthful. They knew that Kony was able to cross-check their reports 2 against the local radio station in Gulu, Mega FM, and other public radio 3 broadcasts. 4 The Ugandan authorities came to realise that LRA radio 5 communications were a source of valuable intelligence and, thus, in the 6 mid-1990s, the Ugandan government began to set up interception operations. Eventually, three separate operations were established by 7 8 the Internal Security Organisation of the Ugandan government (the "ISO"), 9 by the Ugandan army (the "UPDF"), and later by the Ugandan Police force. 10 By 2001, the ISO and the UPDF had permanent offices at the UPDF 11 barracks in Gulu. They were intercepting radio communications from the 12 LRA on a daily basis, with trained staff working full time. At various 13 times, UPDF logbooks were being compiled quite separately in locations in 14 Soroti, Acholi Pii, and even in Sudan, as intercepters accompanied UPDF troops on active operations. The police operation was at a different 15 location also. That was based at Kamdini, about 60 kilometres south of 16 17 the barracks at Gulu. 18 I'm pausing while the next image appears on the screen. 19 This is the room in which the ISO carried out its operations. 20 shows the desk at which one of the Prosecution witnesses worked. 21 larger piece of equipment on the left under the headphones is the radio 22 set that he used for interception, and to its right is the tape recorder 23 on which sound recordings were made. 24 The Prosecution relies on evidence from 18 different individuals 25 involved at all levels of the ISO, UPDF, and police in their interception

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 operations. These witnesses, particularly the radio operators, were 2 intimately familiar with the LRA. They could break the TONFAS code, they 3 knew the LRA command structure, and they could identify commanders by 4 their voices alone. 5 One of the features of this evidence which demonstrates its 6 reliability is the fact that interception operations were being carried 7 out not by one but by three separate operations. 8 The intercepters would tune in to the LRA's regular frequencies 9 at the fixed broadcast times and their first job was to take shorthand 10 rough notes of the conversations. 11 The book you can see on the slide is a book of UPDF shorthand 12 rough notes. These notes were entered between March and July of 2004 13 and, of course, that's the period during which the Prosecution alleged 14 that Dominic Ongwen led attacks on Odek, Lukodi, and Abok IDP camps. UPDF radio operator, who is Prosecution witness P-0003, has confirmed 15 16 that he wrote in this very book. 17 At the top of the page, you can see the date and the time of a 18 particular intercepted communication. Down the left-hand side of the 19 page is a list of call-signs of LRA commanders who were on air, meaning 20 that they had called in their presence on the radio at that time. And 21 this represents the radio operator recording the TONFAS code. Winpipe, 22 that's the name of the code. The cracked code is written out in Acholi 23 at the bottom of the page underneath the figures. 24 Later, the intercepters would decode their shorthand rough notes 25 and make handwritten entries numbered consecutively in logbooks in

Trial Hearir Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	English. These logbook entries were the most important recor	rds that the
2	organisations produced. The Prosecution is able to produce	these
3	logbooks to the Chamber for physical inspection. I have a sa	ample in my
4	hand and I will make arrangements for it to be made available	e to the
5	Chamber and the parties and participants during the breaks in	n this
6	presentation.	
7	The Chamber will receive evidence from 12 witnesses	who are able
8	to recognise their handwriting in logbooks such as this, and	who will
9	confirm the genuine nature of these logbooks.	
10	This is a page from an ISO logbook. The book covers	
11	communications from May to August of 2003. You'll see that l	oefore each
12	entry, radio operators wrote the date and the time of the con	mmunication.
13	They also wrote the reference number of the tape that was use	ed to record
14	that transmission. In this case, you can see it's number 65	7. And using
15	that number, we can match up each logbook entry to a correspond	onding tape
16	and confirm the content in each.	
17	Also routinely noted were the commanders who signed	on.
18	Dominic Ongwen is most frequently referred to by his first na	ame, Dominic,
19	as here.	
20	From the logbooks, the UPDF and the police would prod	duce
21	intelligence reports summarising the important material, and	this was for
22	transmission up the chain of command. From at least 2003, the	ne UPDF and
23	the ISO sound-recorded LRA radio communications. Sound record	rdings were
24	not intended to cover the entirety of each communication. The	hey were used
25	as a backup in case radio operators missed the meaning or the	e content of

Trial Hearin Ruling	(Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15	
1	communications during live transmissions. They could use these sound	
2	recordings to go back and check what had been said in order to complete	
3	their logbook entry.	
4	It will be important to assess the authenticity and the	
5	reliability of the intercepted communications in their various recorded	
6	forms.	
7	Let me start by conceding that there were some shortcomings. LRA	
8	commanders were communicating over long distances. Atmospheric	
9	conditions sometimes meant that LRA commanders themselves had difficulty	
10	understanding what each other was saying. On some occasions an informal	
11	relay system had to be used, where communications from a distant	
12	commander were repeated by another commander who was closer to Kony. And	
13	this meant that it was sometimes hard for the intercepters to hear and	
14	understand what was being said.	
15	The collected material was intercepted and recorded,	
16	sound-recorded, with rudimentary equipment over ten years ago, and it was	
17	done in the context of an armed conflict. That too hampered the ability	
18	of radio operators to intercept and record all the LRA's communications.	
19	Recordkeeping wasn't always meticulous. Sometimes the labels fell off	
20	the sound recordings, and at one time the ISO's archive was affected by	
21	damp. Sometimes communications recorded in one logbook are not reflected	
22	in another or can't be discerned in the corresponding sound recording.	
23	On the other hand, the intercepters were trained professionals.	
24	They listened to the LRA for years without a break. The material that	
25	each organisation produced was distinct and independently compiled. An	

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15
1	examination of those separately compiled records produces a high degree
2	of corroboration.
3	The Prosecution will call, as the second witness at this trial,
4	an analyst who studied the intercept evidence from the periods around th
5	four charged attacks in order to explore the level of consistency in
6	reporting between the different strands of evidence.
7	Looking firstly at the attack on the Pajule IDP camp, the analys
8	notes that the various logbooks are unanimous in recording transmissions
9	in which Vincent Otti and another LRA senior commander, Raska Lukwiya,
10	report the attack on the Pajule camp in October 2003.
11	The analyst draws attention to considerable variation in the
12	various logbooks as to other commanders involved in the attack, but he
13	points out that there is mention of Ongwen's involvement in three
14	logbooks compiled by the UPDF in separate locations.
15	Turning to Odek, the analyst found that the details of radio
16	communications recorded in the logbooks of the ISO and the UPDF
17	concerning that attack were consistent in recording the details of the
18	number of people killed or, for example, the equipment seized.
19	There is also uniformity in the recording of a report by Ongwen
20	about the pillaging of a diamond during the attack.
21	The analyst points out inconsistency in the recording of the
22	identity of the LRA commander who initially reported the attack. The IS
23	logbook attributes it to an LRA commander with an unknown call-sign. Tw
24	of the UPDF logbooks attribute it to an LRA commander called Abudema. A
25	third UPDF logbook names another commander, Labongo.

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	But the analyst notes that the transmission on which t	the
2	compilers of these three conflicting logbooks are reporting is	one of
3	those for which there is a sound recording. We ourselves, the	e Court, can
4	listen to the transmission on which those compilers were basin	ng their
5	conflicting records. And the analyst points out that four wit	inesses
6	listening to those transmissions have each identified the void	ce of the
7	commander making the report as not Abudema or Labongo but Dom:	inic Ongwen.
8	The analyst, turning to Lukodi, observes that all the	logbooks
9	from the various sources are consistent and they record that	it was
10	Dominic Ongwen who reported that he had carried out the attack	at Lukodi
11	and in recording the details of the report that he made.	
12	The analyst notes again that four witnesses have each	identified
13	the voice of Dominic Ongwen as being the commander who makes t	the report.
14	Lastly, in relation to Abok, the analyst notes that the	ne UPDF
15	logbook for 9 July 2004 records Dominic Ongwen reporting his	
16	responsibility for that attack. But on that day, the 9th, the	e ISO
17	logbook is silent.	
18	The analyst notes that on 10 June, the position is rev	versed.
19	It's the ISO logbook that records Ongwen's claim of responsible	ility and
20	there is nothing in the UPDF logbook.	
21	Perhaps most significantly he notes once again that the	ne sound
22	recording made by the ISO intercepters has again been transcri	ibed and
23	listened to by four Prosecution witnesses. They know Ongwen's	voice.
24	They all identify him as the speaker.	
25	The accuracy of the material produced can be demonstra	ated in a

Trial Hearin Ruling	ng (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	different way. On at least one occasion, intercepted communic	ations were
2	used successfully to prevent an LRA attack. In 2002 or 2003,	the ISO
3	intercepted and decoded an LRA radio communication about an up	coming
4	attack on a place called Abim. One of the Prosecution witness	es will
5	testify that he himself then gave that information to the UPDF	prior to
6	the attack, and so, when the LRA arrived, UPDF forces ambushed	them and
7	killed an LRA commander.	
8	The Ugandans were also fighting a war, just like the L	RA. The
9	intercept material was collected for intelligence-gathering pu	rposes, not
10	to build a criminal case a decade later. Many of the most sig	nificant
11	documents and records came into the possession of the Prosecut	ion before
12	arrest warrants naming particular individuals had even been is	sued. The
13	fact that Dominic Ongwen would eventually be prosecuted and th	at it would
14	be useful to have records of his transmissions, sound recording	gs of his
15	voice wasn't something that could possibly have been known to	the
16	intercepters.	
17	Finally, the chain of custody is a secure one. Witnes	ses will
18	explain to the Chamber that after they had produced and used t	he
19	intercept evidence, it was stored under lock and key or altern	atively
20	sent to the their superiors in Kampala or Gulu. Having discov	ered the
21	existence of the material, the Prosecution made requests for a	ssistance
22	from the Ugandan government and in response to that, as the re	levant
23	witnesses will confirm, the intercept evidence was handed over	personally
24	to Prosecution investigators.	
25	Your Honours, the reality is that the intercepted LRA	radio

Trial Hearing Ruling	(Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	communications represent a unique opportunity to step inside	the mind of
2	Dominic Ongwen and other LRA commanders at a time before any	prospect of
3	Prosecution could possibly have influenced their thoughts or	their
4	actions. The Chamber will be able to hear Dominic Ongwen's v	oice oice
5	recorded over ten years ago claiming responsibility for the C)dek, Lukodi
6	and Abok IDP camp attacks.	
7	Your Honours, I've concluded that section. I intend	to move now
8	to the structure of the LRA and Dominic Ongwen's involvement	in that. It
9	may be that now would be a good time to break and to resume,	perhaps,
10	five minutes earlier than we would have done otherwise.	
11	PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: I agree. So we have the lu	ınch break
12	now until 2.30.	
13	THE COURT USHER: All rise.	
14	(Lunch recess taken at 12.54 p.m.)	
15	(Upon resuming in open session at 2.31 p.m.)	
16	THE COURT USHER: All rise. Please be seated.	
17	PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: Mr Gumpert, you still have	the floor.
18	MR GUMPERT: Thank you, Your Honour.	
19	I turn now to the LRA unit with which Dominic Ongwen	is most
20	closely connected.	
21	For the large majority of the time with which this tr	ial is
22	concerned, Dominic Ongwen was a leading and active member of	the Sinia
23	brigade.	
24	It's worth looking in detail at that unit during the	period
25	between 2002 to 2005.	

ICC-02/04-01/15

Ruling	
1	The brigade was commanded by an officer of the rank of Brigadier,
2	Colonel or Lieutenant Colonel. It had a brigade headquarters composed of
3	various departments including an operations room, an intelligence
4	officer, a brigade major and a brigade administrator. The brigade was
5	made up of three battalions named Oka, Terwanga, and Siba. Battalions
6	varied in size but were generally composed of at least 100 men. Each
7	battalion had a commander, usually at the rank of Major or Lieutenant
8	Colonel. There was also a deputy commander, an intelligence officer, a
9	commander for support weapons, and an adjutant who maintained records.
10	Each battalion was composed of a number of companies. Each company had a
11	commander and a deputy commander. Each battalion also had an operations
12	room, and the operations room would select troops would carry out patrols
13	and to provide and to receive briefings.
14	Discipline was enforced strictly. There were many rules.
15	Breaking them was punishable by caning or sometimes death. If an
16	infraction was suspected, the battalion intelligence officer and adjutant
17	would investigate and they would report to the battalion commander.
18	People who tried to escape from Sinia brigade were often punished by
19	death, and those who committed other serious infractions, such as
20	sleeping with the forced wife of another fighter, were often beaten or
21	killed.
22	The Sinia brigade was able to carry out complex operations.
23	Before an attack, intelligence officers gathered information from LRA
24	personnel who were familiar with the area. They would also capture
25	civilians. Attacks were planned and a tactical command was established

(Open Session)

Trial Hearing

Trial Heari Ruling	ng (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15
1	to oversee each operation. There were special tactics for ambushes and
2	for other types of attack. And Sinia brigade maintained a standby force
3	to be used if the primary attacking forces were compromised. Ammunition
4	and heavy weapons were distributed within the brigade in an organised
5	way.
6	Witnesses who were abducted as children will tell the Chamber
7	how, in the Oka battalion that Dominic Ongwen commanded before he became
8	the brigade commander, they were taught to serve as armed escorts to
9	perform guard duty and to patrol. One witness in particular will
10	describe how Ongwen trained the fighters under his command to march, to
11	salute the senior personnel, and to show respect.
12	On 1 July 2002, Dominic Ongwen was promoted by Joseph Kony from
13	Captain to the rank of Major. His progress, both in terms of the
14	positions that he occupied and the ranks that he held, can be seen on
15	this graphic. He served as the commander of Oka battalion in the Sinia
16	brigade from mid-2002 to March 2004, which is when he took command of the
17	brigade itself.
18	The brigade commander at this time was Buk Abudema.
19	Lapanyikwara, also known as Lapaico, was his deputy.
20	As a battalion commander, Dominic Ongwen was active. One witness
21	describes an attack on Pajule camp sometime in mid-2002, over a year
22	before the attack with which this trial is primarily concerned, and that
23	prior attack was carried out by soldiers of Oka battalion led by Ongwen.
24	Another witness recalls an attack on Achol-Pii IDP camp in August in
25	which Ongwen's battalion took part. Witnesses, several of them, describe

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 Ongwen leading his battalion into battle at Lanyatido and Atanga around 2 September 2002. Another witness, one of the other battalion commanders, states that Ongwen was the commander of Oka battalion at the time of an 3 4 attack on Patongo in late 2002 or early 2003. 5 Dominic Ongwen was wounded in approximately November 2002 and 6 stayed for some time in "sick-bay". This was the name the LRA used for the units where wounded LRA fighters could convalesce. Ongwen's 7 8 continued position of authority is indicated by the fact that he was 9 visited while in sick-bay by the brigade commander, Abudema, and other 10 senior LRA commanders such as Tabuley. Ongwen continued to have access 11 to a radio and signallers and he remained active while he was in the sick-bay. He was ordering and later, when he could walk again, leading 12 13 troops under his command on attacks and abduction operations. By 14 February 2003, Ongwen was reporting attacks carried out by his subordinates, and by March, he was fit enough for Kony to give 15 16 instructions for Ongwen to go on a mission to retrieve hidden weapons. 17 Shortly afterwards, operational once again, Ongwen personally 18 deployed for attacks on Opit and Awere which he commanded. His orders 19 were that the attackers should kill anything that they could not bring 20 back alive. 21 During this period, Dominic Ongwen and one of the Prosecution's 22 witnesses were arrested briefly within the LRA for obtaining a mobile 23 phone, allegedly to talk to the Ugandan government. But when he was 24 released again, Ongwen retained his position of authority, and in 25 mid-2003, he participated in and led troops during an LRA campaign in the

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	Teso sub-region.	
2	Dominic Ongwen reported to Vincent Otti, the vice-cha	irman of the
3	LRA, that he had attacked the Catholic mission at a location of	called Bario
4	on 6 September 2003 and another attack at Oaii Bar Onyio on 1	4 September
5	2003.	
6	In September of that year, Ongwen was appointed second	d in command
7	of the Sinia brigade, but around this period, on orders from	Joseph Kony,
8	Ongwen moved to Control Altar. That was the central command,	the
9	headquarters of the LRA. Kony stated in a radio transmission	captured by
10	the intercepters, that, and I quote from the logbook, "Dominion	c should
11	remain behind with Otti" because "he," Ongwen, "has good plans	s." Hence,
12	at the time of the Pajule attack, the first of the four with	which this
13	trial is particularly concerned, in October 2003, Ongwen was a	also a
14	commander in Control Altar.	
15	Shortly after playing a significant role in that attack	ck,
16	Dominic Ongwen was promoted again to lieutenant Colonel. This	s pattern
17	was repeated throughout Ongwen's career, participating in or	leading
18	successful attacks and then receiving a promotion shortly the	reafter. In
19	November 2003, he led his battalion in an attack at Labwor Omo	or, and he
20	continued this pattern of attacks in 2004.	
21	In March 2004, Dominic Ongwen took another step upward	ds in
22	position and authority.	
23	I'm just pausing because I'm hoping the graphic the	ank you.
24	He became the commander of Sinia brigade. He took over	er the

brigade from Labongo who had been the acting commander after Buk Abudema

25

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	had been transferred.	
2	It was at this point that Dominic Ongwen gained contro	ol over the
3	hierarchical military structure of the entire Sinia brigade.	
4	He was now at the apex of the brigade's structure and	in full
5	control of its activities. He commanded this brigade during n	numerous
6	operations in 2004 and 2005, including those at Odek in April	, Lukodi in
7	May, and Abok IDP camp in June 2004.	
8	These three attacks are examples of Ongwen's control of	over his
9	subordinate troops. After each attack, he received reports for	com his
10	subordinate commanders. Ben Acellam, one of the commanders of	the Odek
11	attack under Ongwen, Alex Ocaka, an officer in Sinia brigade a	and the
12	ground commander of the Lukodi attack, reported to Ongwen and	were his
13	direct subordinates. Ben Acellam was the commander of Oka bat	talion,
14	Alex Ocaka was the support commander in Sinia brigade, and Oke	ello Franco
15	Kalalang was initially the brigade major in the brigade headqu	larters and
16	then the Terwanga battalion commander.	
17	The Lukodi attack resulted in yet another step upwards	s in
18	Dominic Ongwen's rise as a leader. Kony promoted Ongwen to the	ne rank of
19	Colonel on 2 July I beg your pardon, 2 June 2004.	
20	In December 2004, he was further promoted to brigadien	£.
21	On 16 March 2005, Ongwen and another officer were appo	ointed
22	deputies to Otti. And in the latter half of 2005, Ongwen was	the most
23	senior LRA commander who remained within Uganda. And he remain	ined the
24	Sinia brigade commander until at least 31 December 2005.	
25	Dominic Ongwen was instrumental in maintaining discip	line in his

Page 59 06.12.2016

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	units. This was the case both when he commanded at battalion	level and
2	at brigade level. One witness will describe how the chain of	command was
3	used for this purpose. When a punishment was implemented in	a low-level
4	subordinate unit, its leader would report what had happened t	o a
5	mid-level leader. He in turn would report it to Ongwen's	
6	second-in-command and thence the report would go to Ongwen hi	mself.
7	One of the child soldiers acting as an escort to Domi	nic Ongwen
8	heard him state that anyone who tried to escape would be kill	ed. Another
9	described how two men who were suspected of plotting to escap	e were
10	killed by one of Dominic Ongwen's subordinate officers. Ther	e was no
11	need for direct orders in this case because Ongwen had alread	y decreed
12	that attempted escapees should be killed immediately. Many o	f Ongwen's
13	forced wives saw escapees being killed. Two of them avoided	this penalty
14	only at the cost of severe beatings when they themselves were	caught
15	escaping.	
16	Several of Dominic Ongwen's forced wives were beaten,	sometimes
17	to a state of unconsciousness, by Ongwen personally or by his	escorts on
18	his orders. The reasons varied: failing to make his bed, giv	ing food to
19	other women while preparing a meal for him, or even appearing	to look
20	dirty. One witness described how Ongwen, while still a batta	lion
21	commander, ordered the beating of one of his wives for defeca	ting near a
22	well. On occasions when the escorts did the beating, Ongwen	would sit
23	and watch. Once, when an escort fell over whilst carrying ou	t a beating,
24	Ongwen intervened and started to beat the escort.	
25	Dominic Ongwen's unchallenged life-or-death authority	over women

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15
1	in his battalion is illustrated by his radio report to his superiors
2	recorded on 24 March 2003. He's reported as saying, and I quote from the
3	logbook, "The Karamajong and Sudanese girls he kept had become stubborn
4	and therefore he had decided to kill them all."
5	Dominic Ongwen had the power to prevent crimes being committed by
6	his subordinates and the power to moderate the brutal punishments handed
7	out for infractions of the LRA rules. One Prosecution witness recalls
8	that Dominic Ongwen once stopped one of his escorts from killing a
9	recently abducted girl. His willingness to commute death sentences to
10	beatings when it was his own wives who were concerned has been noted
11	already.
12	Another indicator of Dominic Ongwen's authority and control over
13	his troops was the fact that he was viewed within all echelons of the LRA
14	as being a highly effective commander. He initiated operations
15	autonomously and enthusiastically. Joseph Kony and Vincent Otti
16	frequently described Dominic Ongwen as an example for other LRA
17	commanders to follow. His fellow officers and his subordinates saw him
18	in the same light. By contrast, Kony frequently criticised other
19	commanders and compared them unfavourably to Ongwen. Kony liked and
20	rewarded commanders who showed initiative and that's evident in Ongwen's
21	rapid rise through the ranks of the LRA, from Captain to Brigadier
22	General within two and a half years.
23	Your Honours, I turn now to the crime of persecution. Counts
24	10 we have a glitch. I don't think I can afford to wait. My time is
25	running out.

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	Counts 10, 23, 36 and 49 are crimes of persecution.	I deal with
2	them together, although as you can see from the screen there	is one for
3	each camp attack.	
4	The LRA attacked the civilians in these four camps be	ecause they
5	considered the inhabitants to be siding with the government.	In the
6	course of those attacks, Dominic Ongwen's fighters committed	crimes which
7	deprived the inhabitants of fundamental rights, such as the	right to life
8	by the commission of murder. The LRA were targeting the inha	abitants on
9	political grounds, to punish this perceived support of the go	overnment.
10	That is the crime of persecution.	
11	The evidence in this case will demonstrate that Joseph	oh Kony
12	issued orders to attack IDP camps throughout northern Uganda	. This was
13	no secret. The LRA would send open letters to IDP camp resid	lents,
14	warning them to leave the camps or to be attacked.	
15	The radio intercept logbooks record Kony ordering that	at when LRA
16	fighters attacked IDP camps, they should treat all the inhabs	itants as if
17	they were UPDF soldiers and shoot them.	
18	He also ordered that the Acholi people should be true	ly killed
19	and, if possible I'm very sorry. I know I'm using up time	e, but the
20	purpose of the graphics is to isolate the passages which I are	n speaking of
21	so that they appear on the screens in front of your Honours a	and
22	generally, and that's not happening just at the moment.	
23	May I just have a moment's indulgence.	
24	PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: Yes, of course, but indeed	you are
25	using up your time. You know that.	

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 (Pause in the proceedings) 2 MR GUMPERT: Kony's orders included the requirement that the Acholi people, the people of the Acholi region in northern Uganda, should 3 4 be, and I quote directly from an entry in the logbook, "truly be killed 5 and if possible wiped off totally because instead of running to the bush 6 to join hands with the LRA ... they go to Museveni." An Acholi clan chief, one of the many people abducted during the 7 8 Pajule attack in October 2003, recalled that after that attack, the LRA's 9 deputy chairman Vincent Otti threatened to kill the Pajule abductees, 10 saying that they shouldn't be in the camp and that they were government 11 supporters. Another Pajule abductee recalled that Otti had declared that 12 all the Acholi people living in the camps would be killed. 13 Joseph Kony also targeted other ethnic groups, such as the Langi 14 and the Itesos, who were perceived to support the government and the 15 Memorably he instructed his commanders that they should, and again I quote from the logbook, "weigh the minds of the people in a particular 16 17 area and decide whether they support the LRA or not ... if not, the 18 people in that area should be killed: all." 19 In early 2003, Joseph Kony ordered one of his commanders to kill 20 Itesos civilians who were "not cooperating, who were stubborn." He 21 congratulated another commander for killing Langi civilians since the 22 Langi are, according to Kony, Museveni supporters. He ordered that Langi women should be killed because "they are the ones producing militias who 23 24 are killing the LRA." A month later, speaking to one of his senior 25 commanders he said explicitly "we are fighting against people who support

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 Museveni." There is a sound recording of that statement. 2 Civilians were persecuted for a wide variety of actions that the LRA interpreted as resistance to its cause: failing to reveal UPDF 3 4 positions, refusing to follow LRA orders, moving to IDP camps instead of 5 joining the LRA, raising an alarm when the LRA was near, running away 6 from the LRA. Suspicious conduct so far as the LRA was concerned 7 included innocuous activities like carrying a telephone or moving in 8 vehicles on a road. 9 Proof was an unnecessary luxury. In September 2002, an LRA 10 commander reported to Kony his suspicion, no more than that, that 11 civilians on bicycles had told the UPDF about his position. Kony ordered 12 the commander to return to that area and, again I quote from the logbook, 13 "kill all persons he can see including babies, dogs, etc. and burn down 14 all houses." 15 So what has this to do with Dominic Ongwen? What's his role in these crimes? The Prosecution case is that senior LRA commanders relayed 16 17 Kony's orders down the chain of command. For example, November 2003, Buk Abudema, Ongwen's then brigade commander, said that the LRA's "major 18 19 problem is civilians who cling to Museveni like ticks." He went on, and 20 I quote, "The civilians must be killed until they ... accept to join the LRA and to remove Museveni." Ongwen himself passed along Kony's 21 persecutory orders to his troops. Witnesses will tell the Court of him 22 23 speaking of how the Acholi people should be killed because they are 24 "pigheaded" or because they "want to stay in the camps".

06.12.2016 Page 64

And it was those commanders, Ongwen amongst them, who took the

25

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15
1	active steps to put Kony's persecutory plan into action. The attacks on
2	the IDP camps, planned and executed by those commanders, were a direct
3	response to Kony's persecutory orders.
4	In April 2003, Ongwen targeted Lagile IDP camp in the Pader
5	District for attack, and that was planned on the basis of the civilian
6	population's perceived opposition to the LRA. Ongwen had discussed with
7	Kony how the people of Lagile had long been a problem and it was the very
8	next day that Ongwen reported that he had attacked Lagile IDP camp, burnt
9	down the houses, killed 20 civilians, and abducted many others.
10	During the attack at Pajule, in which Ongwen played a prominent
11	part, he told a local chief who had been abducted, tied up and brought
12	before Ongwen as a prisoner, that the LRA was going to kill all the
13	civilians because they were supporting the government.
14	One of Dominic Ongwen's subordinate commanders in the Sinia
15	brigade recalls Ongwen and Kony speaking on the radio prior to the attack
16	on Odek.
17	They agreed that the people in Odek were very difficult people
18	and that they needed to be taught a lesson.
19	And one of the brigade officers who participated in the Odek
20	attack confirmed that Ongwen, relaying an order from Kony, ordered the
21	killing of the people who remained in the camp because they were
22	supporting the government and as a result they were to be considered
23	enemies. That officer was clear that one purpose of the Odek attack was
24	to show the civilians that the government could not protect them in the
25	camps.

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	Following that attack at Odek, Ongwen addressed hi	s men and the
2	people who had been abducted. One of them heard Ongwen sa	y that he had
3	informed Joseph Kony that he had attacked Odek and killed	many people and
4	that Kony had laughed at the news. Kony's response was, a	nyone living in
5	the camp should be killed.	
6	One of the LRA fighters who participated in the Lu	kodi attack
7	will give evidence that shortly before it happened, Ongwen	told his
8	troops they would kill the Acholis because they were - the	words become
9	something of a theme - because they were "stubborn", becau	se they were
10	"pigheaded", because they "want to stay in the camps".	
11	During the Abok attack, one of the camp leaders ov	erheard LRA
12	fighters discussing Ongwen's orders to kill everyone who w	as not one of
13	them, and he meant kill the civilians siding with the gove	rnment.
14	Still other witnesses describe Ongwen as continuin	g thereafter to
15	be a vocal advocate of the LRA's persecutory campaign. Fo	r example, a
16	child soldier conscripted into Ongwen's group in February	2005 heard
17	Dominic Ongwen say that they must attack people in the cam	ps because they
18	do not support Kony, and that they must attack civilians a	nd kill them
19	because they were supporting the government.	
20	That concludes the remarks I want to make in respe	ct to the
21	charges of persecution and I now turn to the four attacks	on the IDP
22	camps on which this trial focuses in particular.	
23	I'll deal firstly with the attack on the Pajule ID	P camp in
24	October 2003.	
25	Pajule and Lapul IDP camps were set up in 1996. L	ocated in Aruu

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	County, in Pader District, the two camps were bisected by a r	oad which
2	was referred to as the Kitgum to Lira road. Pajule IDP camp	was situated
3	on the east side of the road and Lapul on the west side of th	e road, but
4	in effect they constituted a single camp and I shall refer to	it as
5	Pajule.	
6	The Prosecution will now ask the Court Officer to arr	ange for the
7	playing of a short video. It has an explanatory voiceover an	d it gives
8	some visual guidance to the various locations at Pajule which	will be of
9	significance during this trial.	
10	THE COURT OFFICER: The video will be displayed on th	e Evidence 2
11	channel.	
12	(Viewing of the video excerpt)	
13	THE COURT OFFICER: I'll invite the parties and the p	articipants
14	in the courtroom to switch back to the Evidence 1 channel to	follow the
15	rest of the presentation.	
16	MR GUMPERT: Thank you.	
17	The evidence will show that this attack resulted from	a common
18	plan that was conceived and implemented by Dominic Ongwen tog	ether with
19	other senior commanders of the LRA.	
20	Shortly before 10 October 2003, Vincent Otti, deputy	chairman,
21	summoned LRA fighters to a meeting not far from Pajule. Domi	nic Ongwen
22	attended. The Prosecution will call witnesses who were also	present.
23	The meeting lasted about two hours.	
24	During the course of the meeting, a plan was formed t	o attack
25	Pajule. The aim was to attack the soldiers guarding the camp	and thus

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	demonstrate the LRA's power to carry out such attacks and als	so to punish
2	the civilians residing at the camp who were perceived to supp	port the
3	government. Civilian property was to be pillaged and the res	sidents
4	abducted to carry away the loot and, where their age meant th	ney were
5	suitable, they were also to be abducted to serve as conscript	s in the
6	LRA.	
7	As seen on the video, LRA fighters attacking the camp	divided
8	into groups. One group headed by an LRA fighter called Bogi	was to
9	attack the barracks. Other groups were to attack the civilia	an areas.
10	One of them targeted the Catholic mission. Dominic Ongwen wa	as to lead
11	the group attacking the civilian trading centre and yet anoth	ner group was
12	to set an ambush for any government reinforcements.	
13	The commanders, including Dominic Ongwen, ordered the	eir
14	subordinates to select fighters to participate in the attack	and then
15	left for the camp. A small group remained behind under Vince	ent Otti.
16	UPDF and ISO logbooks confirm that in the days leading	ng up to the
17	attack, the co-perpetrators were planning to attack Pajule, t	chat
18	Dominic Ongwen was in company with Vincent Otti and other com	nmanders who
19	were all part of the plan.	
20	On 5 October a UPDF logbook records an LRA report tha	at Otti had
21	joined Abudema's group, together with Dominic and others. Or	ı the same
22	date, the ISO logbook records that LRA forces under Abudema w	vere
23	manoeuvering in the vicinity of Pajule.	
24	On 7 October, a UPDF logbook again records LRA transm	nissions
25	reporting that Dominic Ongwen was moving with Otti and Raska	Lukwiya

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 before the attack. 2 On 9 October, that's the day before, an ISO logbook records Kony 3 speaking to Otti and Abudema. Although Pajule is not mentioned by name, 4 Kony orders that, and I quote from the logbook, "This time ... killing 5 should be the order of the day." 6 The attack started at around 5:30 or 6 in the morning. A group of LRA fighters attacked the barracks. Other groups attacked the 7 8 civilian areas as planned. As they approached, LRA fighters armed with 9 knives, machetes and guns were whistling, ululating, firing their 10 weapons. Estimates of their number ranged from a minimum of 40 to many more. Some witnesses claim that the number of LRA fighters was closer to 11 The attack lasted about an hour after which the LRA were forced to 12 withdraw. That's because about 150 UPDF and Local Defence Unit soldiers 13 14 guarding the camp, helped by some reinforcements from the UPDF, were able 15 to repel them. I turn firstly to Count 1, an attack directed against the 16 17 civilian population. 18 The Prosecution case is that the attackers were under the joint 19 control of a group of co-perpetrators, that is to say, the officers who 20 planned and who were leading the attack, and that group included 21 Dominic Ongwen. And they intended the attack to be against the civilian 22 population of the camp. In addition to his role in planning the attack, 23 his leading role in its execution is demonstrated by a number of 24 observations made by two separate Prosecution witnesses. They saw Ongwen

06.12.2016 Page 69

directing the movements of LRA fighters by pointing with a stick. They

25

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	saw him at the centre of a group of LRA fighters, some of who	om were
2	saluting him. They heard him giving orders to LRA fighters,	including an
3	order to pillage items. And they saw him communicating with	other LRA
4	fighters using radio equipment.	
5	In the course of this attack, this attack on the civi	lian
6	population, various more specific crimes were committed.	
7	Murder, both as a war crime and as a crime against hu	umanity. The
8	Court will hear evidence that LRA fighters shot civilian resi	dents. They
9	aimed particularly at those who were trying to escape or those who	
10	refused to carry pillaged items. Civilians were killed and t	chese were
11	acts of murder. Among the dead were civilians Pangarasio One	ek, Kinyera
12	Lacung, and Agnes Aciro.	
13	A witness to Lacung's murder explained that he was ki	lled because
14	he was working for the government. The witness heard his kil	ler say that
15	this was the reason for killing him and the witness then saw	the LRA
16	fighter stab Lacung with a bayonet. Other witnesses saw the	dead body of
17	Lacung after it had been brought back from the bush and other	s still
18	attended his funeral.	
19	Onek was murdered because he refused to carry items w	which had
20	been given him by the LRA. A witness saw him shot three time	es in the
21	head and another witness later saw his body.	
22	But there is evidence of many other killings where th	ne witnesses
23	cannot name the victims. Some witnesses speak of seeing dead	l bodies of
24	civilians killed by the LRA during the attack. A UPDF soldie	er who wrote
25	a report on the attack saw dead bodies of both civilians and	LRA fighters

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 at the camp. 2 This is a photograph of some of the dead bodies that were seen by 3 that witness of whom I've just spoken. 4 One of the residents abducted from the camp saw LRA fighters 5 shoot a civilian resulting in his intestines spilling out. 6 Yet another saw LRA fighters place children in a hut which was then locked. They intended to burn the hut down with the children 7 8 inside. They were only prevented from doing so by the arrival of a UPDF 9 helicopter on the scene. 10 Dominic Ongwen himself was seen and heard to threaten to kill 11 civilians that refused to move as he instructed. Other witnesses saw 12 people who refused to carry pillaged goods or failed to move quickly 13 enough killed. 14 The four crimes of torture as a crime against humanity and a war 15 crime, cruel treatment and inhumane acts have different legal elements to which the Prosecution has made reference in detail in its written 16 17 submissions. But the essence of the acts underlying the commission of 18 these crimes at Pajule is much the same. The civilian residents of the 19 camp were beaten and forced to carry heavy loads, often for long 20 distances, while tied to each other. They were terrorised by the beating 21 and killing of other abductees either too week to carry on or trying to 22 escape. These acts caused severe physical and mental pain and suffering. This amounted to torture. It also amounted to the war crime of cruel 23 24 treatment and the crime against humanity of inhumane acts. 25 LRA fighters used an axe to break into one witness's home and

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 they tied up the witness and members of his family. They had to carry 2 heavy pillaged items away from the camp. And it was this same witness who saw the attempt to burn children alive. 3 4 Another civilian resident of the camp, an Acholi chief, was 5 forcibly removed from his home which was located near the trading centre. 6 His home can be seen marked on the aerial photograph on the screen, at the bottom. He was kicked, beaten with the butt of a gun, and tied up. 7 8 Then he was taken to a location north of the trading centre. It's also 9 marked on the photograph. And there he was presented to Dominic Ongwen. 10 Ongwen kicked him and abused him, as well as other civilians brought to 11 him at that time. 12 Other witnesses, cowering in their homes, were forced out at 13 gunpoint, tied up, made to carry pillaged items, and, on one occasion at 14 least, made to carry wounded LRA fighters. Family members were separated, not knowing if they would ever see each other again. 15 16 witnessed other people who refused to carry things or failed to move 17 quickly being beaten or killed. 18 One of the attackers who participated in the attack directly 19 under the command of Dominic Ongwen corroborates these accounts from the 20 victims. Your Honours will hear that following orders, he abducted 21 civilians and forced them to carry pillaged goods. He too confirms that 22 people who moved too slowly were beaten. 23 These abductions and the forced labour in carrying away pillaged 24 goods also amount to the crime of enslavement. Just as their commanders 25 had planned, the LRA fighters were treating the abductees as if they

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 owned them. They were deprived of their liberty. They were treated as 2 slaves. Dominic Ongwen himself played a direct role in enslaving 3 4 civilians from Pajule. Numerous witnesses saw him ordering civilians to 5 carry things and start moving. He moved up and down the line of 6 abductees, commanding them to move faster. One of the Prosecution witnesses was an attacker under Ongwen and he explained that he 7 8 personally abducted two civilians, and I quote, "because Ongwen ordered 9 me to do so." One of Dominic Ongwen's forced wives has already given 10 evidence that she saw Dominic Ongwen returning from this attack with 11 seven civilian abductees carrying pillaged goods. 12 One abductee estimated that at least 200 civilian residents were 13 abducted and enslaved following the attack on the camp but other 14 witnesses put the number much higher. One of the attackers estimates 15 that 400 civilians were abducted. The witness flying in the UPDF 16 helicopter, which was the saviour of the children who were about to be 17 burnt to death, saw what he estimated to be about 300 being abducted. 18 These abductees were assembled at a meeting point where they were 19 addressed as a group by senior LRA commanders including Vincent Otti and 20 Dominic Ongwen. Many were later released but some were not. And one 21 witness recalls that Ongwen divided the abductees among his troops. 22 Others were integrated into other LRA brigades, one by the name of 23 Trinkle. 24 Apart from the demonstration of strength which the attack 25 represented, pillaging was one of the most important aims of the common

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15		
1	plan. Ongwen's role was to lead a group to the trading centre, the most		
2	likely source of goods to pillage. And LRA fighters under his command		
3	took food items and other personal property for their private or personal		
4	consumption and use.		
5	One witness came across the dead body of an LRA soldier whom he		
6	estimated to be about 13 years old. True to his age, perhaps, he had		
7	been pillaging bubble gum when he met his death and the scattered sweets		
8	lay around his corpse.		
9	LRA fighters attacked and pillaged the trading centre in order to		
10	loot and to abduct. Civilian victims and LRA fighters describe how homes		
11	and shops were broken into, sometimes on Dominic Ongwen's direct orders.		
12	Pillaged items included maise, soda, ground nuts, beans, rice, salt,		
13	sweets, medicine, soap and salt.		
14	After the attack, the UPDF and ISO logbooks record numerous radio		
15	communications between Otti and Kony speaking about the success of the		
16	attack in Pajule IDP camp.		
17	On 10 October 2003 - that's the day of the attack - an ISO		
18	logbook records a report by Otti that he had sent a big force under the		
19	command of Raska Lukwiya to attack Pajule.		
20	Lukwiya is recorded as reporting himself that he had attacked		
21	Pajule barracks and that the plan had been successfully carried out.		
22	On the same date, a UPDF logbook identifies Otti as stating that		
23	he had deployed forces under the commands of Raska Lukwiya,		
24	Dominic Ongwen, and other commanders to attack the mission, IDP camp,		
25	trading centre, and barracks.		

ICC-02/04-01/15

Ruling 1 A separate UPDF logbook contains an entry recording a 2 transmission that Dominic/Bwona's task was to attack the mission to loot medicine and other items. 3 4 LRA radio communications were intercepted on 13 and 14 October as 5 well. And these were sound-recorded by the ISO. In the sound recording, 6 Kony, Otti and other senior LRA commanders, particularly one called Onen Kamdule, can be heard discussing the attack on Pajule. And your Honours 7 8 will hear Otti mentioning the intervention of the government helicopter, 9 about which I have already made mention, and also recounting how almost 10 300 people were abducted and gathered together and that he had told them 11 that the purpose of the attack that day was to show who was powerful. 12 (Playing of the audio excerpt) 13 MR GUMPERT: Next I turn to the attack on Odek IDP camp in April 14 This camp was situated around the village of Odek, in Odek Sub-County, Omoro County, Gulu District. The camp was created in 15 16 mid-2003. Approximately 6,000 civilians lived there at the time of the 17 attack. 18 Dominic Ongwen and other senior LRA officers are responsible as 19 the co-perpetrators of a common plan to attack the camp at Odek. 20 There was a pre-attack briefing. Dominic Ongwen instructed the 21 attackers to kill civilians and to abduct beautiful girls and good boys 22 to work as soldiers. He gave orders to pillage, telling his men that 23 since they had no food, they should go and collect it at Odek. The last 2.4 words uttered by Ongwen before the attack were "nothing should be left alive in Odek." Ongwen personally led the attack. It began at about 25

(Open Session)

Trial Hearing

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 5.00 p.m. 2 The video which you're about to see gives some visual guidance as to the various locations at Odek that will be of significance during this 3 trial. And, again, I ask that the Court Officer make provision that this 4 5 be played on what I think will be the Evidence 2 channel. Thank you. 6 (Viewing of the video excerpt) MR GUMPERT: I think we need to switch back to Evidence 1 for 7 8 some of the images which are to follow. 9 A large number of civilians were shot dead, and the LRA fighters 10 pillaged food and enslaved people who were beaten and made to carry away 11 the pillaged goods. Some of the men who were abducted were later murdered by LRA fighters. Children were conscripted into the ranks. 12 Following the attack, Dominic Ongwen addressed both his men and 13 14 the people they abducted. For one of these, it was the second time that she had been abducted by Ongwen's fighters and so she recognised him 15 16 immediately. And she heard him tell the crowd that he had informed 17 Joseph Kony that he attacked Odek and that he had killed many people. 18 went on to tell the crowd that Kony had laughed at this news, saying that 19 anyone living in the camp should be killed. Another witness, one of 20 Dominic Ongwen's senior subordinates, is clear. As far as he was concerned, nothing happened during the Odek attack that was not ordered 21 22 by Dominic Ongwen.

This was an attack on the civilian population and Dominic Ongwen intended that it should be so. He told the attackers that any civilian found was to be shot, that boys and girls should be abducted, and that

23

24

25

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 the inhabitants' food should be pillaged. The ways in which that attack 2 on the civilian inhabitants was carried out are the subject of more 3 specific crimes. I start with murder. At least 61 civilians were murdered in the 4 5 They were shot in the back as they ran away from the LRA. Others were elderly people, over 80 years old in some cases. One of the victims 6 was a heavily pregnant woman and her unborn child. 7 8 One of the attackers will testify that he was ordered to spray 9 bullets inside civilian houses. He shot dead a civilian in his 30's as 10 he sat on the ground. Another witness, a female LRA fighter, watched as 11 a hut with civilians inside it was set on fire by the attackers. Other civilians were shot down before her eyes. The camp resident abducted 12 13 during the attack witnessed an LRA fighter open the door of a hut and 14 shoot dead a woman inside. His LRA captor later warned him, referring to a dead body nearby, "if you try to run, we will kill you like him." 15 16 Young children were also targeted and killed. Another of the 17 attackers witnessed one of his fellow LRA fighters pierce the body of a 18 baby with a bayonet. Two others saw the bodies of many dead civilians in 19 the camp, women and children, some so badly beaten that their brains had 20 become exposed. After the attack, the 4-year-old son of an LDU soldier 21 stationed in the camp was found shot dead close to the barracks, 22 alongside the body of his father. 23 As they were being abducted, camp residents saw many dead

06.12.2016 Page 77

civilians lying scattered throughout the camp. The day after the attack,

a former camp resident saw corpses everywhere, including those of her own

24

25

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 children. 2 The murder of civilians from Odek wasn't confined to the camp itself. Civilians abducted from the camp who were unable to walk fast 3 4 enough, or who struggled, or who tried to escape, were killed. Nine men 5 abducted from Odek were first made to carry an injured commander, LRA 6 commander, and then murdered for their pains. The day after the attack, on 30 April 2004, a logbook maintained 7 by the UPDF records the commander reporting the attack over the radio to 8 9 Kony, speaking of killing, and I quote from the book, "many civilians in 10 Odek ... more than 10 in number." 11 Dominic Ongwen's report to that effect is confirmed in logbooks 12 maintained by the ISO and the police. 13 The Chamber has already heard today an audio recording from the 14 same date in which Ongwen can be heard saying, "I've just come from shooting people." 15 16 Confirmation of what can be heard on the sound recording will be 17 heard from witnesses familiar with Dominic Ongwen's voice through 18 listening to LRA radio communications for years. Ongwen said that during 19 the attack on Odek, his fighters had shot all of the waya, the civilians. 20 Vincent Otti later reported back to Joseph Kony that so many 21 civilians had died in this attack that Ongwen did not know the number. 22 On some occasions LRA fighters attacked their victims with lethal force intending to kill them, but the victim did not die. That's the 23 24 crime of attempted murder. 25 For example, one camp resident locked herself in her hut when the

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15	
1	attack began. LRA fighters fired shots through the door, wounding her	
2	husband and hitting her in the neck. She survived but her husband later	
3	died of his wounds. They were among the injured civilians transported to	
4	Lacor Hospital just outside Gulu town after the attack. Similarly,	
5	former camp leader, one of the Prosecution witnesses, found another woman	
6	shot in the cheek, her baby still tied to her back. He helped to nurse	
7	her wounds and she survived.	
8	The essence of the acts underlying the commission of these four	
9	crimes is, as I remarked before, much the same. In various ways the	
10	civilians inhabitants of the camp were caused physical and mental pain	
11	and suffering so severe that it amounts to torture, to cruel treatment,	
12	and to inhumane acts. A female camp resident was sexually assaulted by	
13	another woman, an LRA fighter. That fighter penetrated her vagina, first	
14	with a comb and then with a stick used for cooking, while forcing her	
15	husband to watch. It was done with such force that she bled and the	
16	attacker then threw her to the floor and stepped on her chest. After the	
17	attacker ran away, the victim had to remove the stick from her own body.	
18	A 9-year-old boy was beaten with sticks and with a grenade	
19	launcher. A female camp resident was beaten with a gun.	
20	As the abductees were led away, they were forced to carry	
21	extremely heavy loads. One female resident was made to carry a sack of	
22	maize weighing an estimated 50 kilogrammes. They were beaten if they	
23	walked too slowly. They were beaten if their babies were crying too	
24	much.	
25	This forced labour amounted to enslavement. LRA fighters treated	

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 the abductees as if they owned them. Two of the abductees recall 2 carrying their loads at least four kilometres to a location called Lakim. 3 Others were made to carry an LRA fighter as well as large weaponry. 4 of the attackers recalls abducting a young boy at gunpoint together with 5 his father and forcing them to carry away food. Other children were tied 6 together with ropes and dragged away from their homes. This enslavement 7 was not just for the temporary purpose of carrying pillaged goods. 8 some it was intended to be permanent. As I've told the Court, the attackers were instructed by Dominic Ongwen to abduct and return with 9 10 beautiful girls. That order was carried out. One of the senior LRA 11 commanders concerned estimates that 35 civilians were abducted, including 12 seven girls. 13 The day after the attack, on 30 April 2004, the abduction of 14 eight young boys from Odek is recorded in a UPDF logbook. There are other records which confirm this number. 15 16 One witness, the forced wife of one of Dominic Ongwen's many 17 subordinate officers, recalled the abduction of people after the attack 18 at Odek. The younger ones were taken to the brigade headquarters and the 19 witness knew that it was Ongwen, the brigade commander, who distributed 20 abductees. Later on the same day, the man to whom she herself had been 21 distributed, brought back two new girls. They were about 16 years old. 22 In the course of the attack, LRA fighters pillaged food from 23 homes in the camp. It had recently received a World Food Programme 24 distribution. The attackers broke into stores in the trading centre. 25 They looted flour, salt and soda. And as a result of that pillaging,

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15	
1	many of the camp residents suffered intense hunger thereafter.		
2	One LRA fighter saw Dominic Ongwen himself go pillaging in the		
3	trading centre. And, as you will see shortly, a valuable diamond was		
4	pillaged during the attack by one of Ongwen's subordinate officers.		
5	The attackers humiliated, degraded, or otherwise violated the		
6	dignity of Odek residents thereby committing outrages upon personal		
7	dignity. One victim was forced to kill a man with a club. He suffered		
8	extreme mental anguish as a result. But then for the next three days, he		
9	was forced to look at the decomposing bodies, including that of his own		
10	father, to ensure that they were all dead.		
11	As they herded the enslaved inhabitants away from the	e camp, LRA	
12	fighters forced women to abandon their children at the side of the road.		
13	One witness recalled, "If you are a mother carrying your baby on your		
14	back, they will tell you to remove that baby you just untie the baby,		
15	while one hand is holding what you are carrying on your head. So, the		
16	baby would drop on the road."		
17	The first post-attack report from the 30th of April w	vas recorded	
18	by the ISO in Gulu. Using his call-sign, "Tem Wek Ibong", Do	ominic Ongwen	
19	claimed responsibility for this attack. You can hear the report that		
20	Ongwen made now.		
21	(Playing of the audio excerpt)		
22	MR GUMPERT: When Kony asked Ongwen if he had cleaned	l the	
23	"backside of his mother" in Odek, that was the use of jargon/	slang. The	
24	evidence of Prosecution witnesses familiar with this slang is	that this	
25	is a query referring to the killing of civilians. And in res	sponse to	

Trial Hearing	g (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/1	15	
1	that query, Dominic Ongwen replied "kichi kichi", which is the Acholi	for	
2	completely.		
3	The second post-attack report by Dominic Ongwen was made the n	ext	
4	day.		
5	This report was recorded in both the UPDF and the ISO logbooks		
6	for 1 May 2004. This is the ISO logbook. Ongwen reported to Kony that		
7	in his report the previous day, he'd forgotten to mention that he had		
8	captured a diamond of high value.		
9	I turn now to the attack on Lukodi IDP camp. Your Honour, thi	s	
10	will take us a little past 5.00.		
11	PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: I don't hope that you're talking abo	ut	
12	5.00, but if you mean 4.00, I think we will have no problem to have fi	ve	
13	or ten minutes longer. Thank you.		
14	MR GUMPERT: Thank you. Yes, 4.00, indeed. I'm getting		
15	short-sighted.		
16	Lukodi IDP camp is situated north of Gulu town in Bangatira		
17	Sub-County, Aswa County, in Gulu District. There were about 7,000 peop	ple	
18	living in the camp in May 2004 and there were 30 soldiers stationed at		
19	the barracks to protect them.		
20	The video you're about to see again gives visual guidance to ti	he	
21	various locations which will be of significance, and once again, I wou	ld	
22	be grateful if the Court Officer could help with the playing of that		
23	video on Evidence 2, I should say.		
24	(Viewing of the video excerpt)		
25	MR GUMPERT: The government soldiers withdrew after a short		

Trial Hearin Ruling	(Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15		
1	engagement and that left the civilian population defenceless and so the		
2	civilians became the sole target of the LRA. The attack lasted perhaps		
3	an hour or two but it caused chaos. The attackers killed and injured		
4	civilians, adults and children alike. They set huts on fire. They		
5	pillaged food and other property. They abducted men and women to carry		
6	the loot and conscripted children to serve as fighters and sex slaves.		
7	The damage done was so bad that the camp later had to be abandoned.		
8	Dominic Ongwen had control over this attack and control over the		
9	attackers. He had conceived, planned, and ordered the attack. On 17 May		
10	2004, two or three days before the attack, he requested permission from		
11	Kony to attack camps to pillage food, and he'd been granted permission.		
12	In preparation for the attack, he gathered fighters from Major		
13	Olak Tulu's Gilva sick-bay which was situated near the Aswa River. These		
14	forces were under Ongwen's command on an ad hoc basis for the duration of		
15	the attack on Lukodi. Ongwen was able to do so because he was senior to		
16	Tulu in the LRA's hierarchy.		
17	Shortly before the attack, Ongwen told his fighters to kill the		
18	Acholi inhabitants of the camp because they were "stubborn", "pigheaded",		
19	and they "want to stay in the camps". And he selected fighters, he		
20	briefed the attack group, and it was he who sent them to execute his		
21	orders.		
22	Ongwen chose Captain Ocaka as the overall commander on the		
23	ground, assisted by two officers who will appear before the Chamber as		
24	Prosecution witnesses. Ongwen ordered his subordinates to shoot		
25	soldiers, burn houses, loot everything, and return with food. And he		

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15 Ruling 1 warned them, "If you don't complete your mission, don't return." 2 This was an attack on the civilian population and Ongwen intended that it should be so. In the course of the attack, LRA fighters killed 3 more than 45 civilians, including at least 12 children. In contrast, not 4 5 a single government soldier was killed during this attack. 6 murdered and wounded civilians, the nature of the crimes, the ages and identities of the victims, and the extent of the property destruction all 7 8 demonstrate that this was a deliberate attack on the civilian population 9 of Lukodi. The ways in which that attack was carried out are the subject 10 of more specific crimes. 11 Murder. Civilians were shot, stabbed, strangled, burnt and 12 beaten to death. One witness's 8-year-old daughter was shot in the 13 stomach and bled to death in front of her. Another watched LRA fighters 14 throw her own daughter and two other children inside a burning house. When the children tried to escape, LRA fighters caught them and threw 15 them back inside where they perished. They were just 4 years old. 16 17 same witness's mother, son and uncle were also shot and killed. 18 The killing of civilians wasn't confined to the camp itself. 19 LRA killed abductees, mainly men, after they had left Lukodi. 20 night, one of the abducted women could hear the sound of other abductees 21 being beaten to death. She thought it was the sound of chopping wood at 22 first. Other witnesses saw the corpses of male and female abductees at a 23 distance from the camp and these were never collected or buried. 24 The LRA attackers didn't hide their murderous intent. LRA

06.12.2016 Page 84

fighters could be heard saying, "Kill them all." One LRA commander told

25

ICC-02/04-01/15

Ruling 1 an abductee that the LRA were "supposed to kill all of you". 2 The day after the attack, Lukodi residents buried their dead in 3 and around the camp. In the following days, a government pathologist 4 from Kampala exhumed and reburied 35 -- sorry, 25 bodies on the site as 5 part of a local investigation into this incident. Another witness, 6 arriving at the camp at 9.00 in the morning after the attack to assess the security situation, saw bodies hacked in a barbaric way. This 7 8 witness recorded 38 bodies of men, women and children, all civilians, 9 including a 3-year-old girl and elderly people. 10 Circumstances sometimes intervened to prevent the best efforts to 11 kill people from being successful. An LRA fighter threw one Prosecution witness, a child at the time, inside a burning hut because he was 12 13 interfering with the movement of other abductees. Against the odds, the 14 child survived. 15 Other LRA attackers hit a witness with a bayonet and then shot him when he tried to escape and, again, he survived despite their best 16 17 efforts. 18 One camp resident and her two daughters were at home. Five LRA 19 fighters started shooting directly into their house. The mother and her 20 elder daughter were wounded but the younger child died. 21 As I remarked before, the underlying acts which are the essence 22 of these four crimes of torture, cruel treatment and inhumane acts are 23 much the same despite their legal ingredients. The attackers committed 24 all of these crimes. They behaved with ruthless cruelty to civilians, in 25 particular to small children. One witness saw two girls, including her

(Open Session)

Trial Hearing

Trial Hearing (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15
Ruling

own daughter, being beaten when they tried to escape from a burning

2 house. Mothers with babies and elderly people were forced to carry loot

while being beaten and under threat of death. One witness was stabbed

4 for dropping a heavy load and beaten for allowing a looted goat to escape

when she had to hide from a helicopter gunship.

As LRA fighters marched the abductees out of the camp, again they forced mothers to abandon their children under threat of death. The LRA fighters threw them, some just babies, into the bush because they were crying and because they were distracting their mothers from their task to carry pillaged goods. Little children that tried to rejoin their mothers were kicked back into the undergrowth. These crimes caused physical and mental pain and suffering so severe that it amounts to torture and to the war crime of cruel treatment and to the crime of inhumane acts.

Enslavement. During the attack, a designated group of LRA fighters systematically abducted civilians. Abductees were tied up and marched from the camp to the LRA's rendezvous point, in the LRA jargon, the "RV", and this was under armed guard. These abductees - they were mainly women aged between 20 and 50 - were used as slave labour to carry looted goods such as beans, sim-sim, sorghum. One witness with a 2-week-old baby on her back was forced to carry two basins of beans on her head. Most women were subsequently released after they reached the RV, although not all abductees were that fortunate. And that was because, as was the pattern in these attacks, boys and girls were abducted with the intention of turning them into child soldiers and sex slaves.

Trial Hearin Ruling	(Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15	
1	Before the attack, relief organisations had distributed emergency	
2	supplies to Lukodi residents: beans, maize, cooking oil, soap, cooking	
3	utensils, and blankets, emergency provisions of that kind. These sorely	
4	needed items were pillaged by the LRA. They took the food, sweets,	
5	livestock, clothes, soap, and other items, both from civilian houses and	
6	from shops alike.	
7	The LRA fighters destroyed property belonging to civilians in	
8	Lukodi, viewing them, as they did, as their adversaries or enemies. Over	
9	200 civilian huts in the camp, ordinary people's homes containing their	
10	few possessions, were burnt. The video images of the destruction with	
11	the huts still smoking the following day were played during the course of	
12	Madam Prosecutor's presentation.	
13	Two days after the attack, there is a sound recording of an	
14	intercepted radio communication containing exchanges between Vincent Otti	
15	and Dominic Ongwen about the Lukodi attack.	
16	(Playing of the audio excerpt)	
17	MR GUMPERT: Ongwen confirms that it is he who is responsible for	
18	the attack on Lukodi.	
19	(Playing of the audio excerpt)	
20	MR GUMPERT: There is also the corroboration of the sound	
21	recording to be found in a UPDF logbook. It's an entry for 21 May. It's	
22	on the screen in front of your Honours. It reads, "Otti reported to Kony	
23	that radio news reported that a certain LRA group under unknown	
24	commanders attacked and killed 25 civilians including young people and	
25	burnt about 100 houses in Lukodi trading centre in Bungatira." The	

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session) ICC-02/04-01/15	
1	logbook goes on, "Dominic immediately told Otti that he is the one who	
2	made that deployment." That's what your Honours have just heard.	
3	The ISO logbook from the same date records the same details.	
4	I'll just pause so that your Honours can see that on the screen.	
5	The LRA's director of signals, who is a Prosecution witness -	
6	he'll appear in this courtroom - personally heard Dominic Ongwen's repor	
7	about this Lukodi attack when it was transmitted live. He recalled	
8	Ongwen describing the houses he'd burnt and the people he had killed.	
9	Three days later, 24 May 2004, UPDF, ISO and police logbooks all record	
10	that Dominic Ongwen provided a more complete report. This is the UPDF	
11	logbook. Ongwen said that he had caused havoc and decided to kill all	
12	living things in Lukodi. In the ISO logbook, Ongwen is reported as	
13	saying in respect of the Lukodi attack that "if civilians die he feels	
14	happy."	
15	About ten days after the Lukodi attack, Kony promoted	
16	Dominic Ongwen from Lieutenant Colonel to Colonel, and Tulu, who had	
17	provided a contingent of the attacking troops from his sick-bay, was	
18	promoted from Major to Lieutenant Colonel. There is a sound recording of	
19	Kony announcing this promotion.	
20	The Police logbook for 2 June contains a record of a conversation	
21	which reveals much about the newly promoted Colonel Ongwen's enthusiasm	
22	for the dreadful things that he was doing, and it reveals his contempt	
23	for other LRA officers who were operating less vigorously. It reads,	
24	"Kony, in particular, lauded Colonel Ongwen Dominic following his recent	
25	performances in Odek and Lukodi. Colonel Ongwen Dominic himself informed	

Trial Hearin Ruling	g (Open Session)	ICC-02/04-01/15
1	Kony that he would lay hands in arresting non-performing	officers."
2	Your Honours, I'm grateful for that indulgence.	I've concluded
3	the section on Lukodi, and this may be an appropriate pla	ace to break for
4	today.	
5	PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: Indeed.	
6	We have now reached the end of today's hearing.	We adjourn and
7	resume tomorrow at 9.30.	
8	(The hearing ends in open session at 4.07 p.m.)	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		